### In The Supreme Court of the United States

CHRISTOS KOUTENTIS,

Petitioner,

v.

N.Y.C. POLICE DEPARTMENT, LICENSING DIVISION,

Respondent.

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the Court of Appeals of the State of New York

#### APPENDIX

LAW OFFICE OF BERNARD V. KLEINMAN, PLLC BERNARD V. KLEINMAN, ESQ. *Counsel of Record for Petitioner* 108 Village Square Suite 313 Somers, NY 10589-2305 Tel. 914-644-6660 Fax. 914-694-1647 Email: attrnylwyr@yahoo.com

LANTAGNE LEGAL PRINTING 801 East Main Street Suite 100 Richmond, Virginia 23219 (800) 847-0477 Unpublished Disposition

Slip Copy, 31 N.Y.3d 909, 2018 WL 2920758 (Table), 2018 N.Y. Slip Op. 74687

Koutentis, Matter of, v. NYC Police Dept., Licensing Div.

Court of Appeals of New York

#### 2018 - 323

June 12, 2018

CITE TITLE AS: Matter of Koutentis v. NYC Police Dept., Licensing Div.

1st Dept: 158 AD3d 542

# MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL GRANTED OR DENIED

denied

#### 158 A.D.3d 542

Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department, New York.

## In re Christos KOUTENTIS, Petitioner–Appellant, v.

#### NYC POLICE DEPARTMENT, LICENSING DIVISION, Respondent–Respondent.

#### 5753

#### Index 100599/16

#### ENTERED: FEBRUARY 20, 2018

Attorneys and Law Firms:

Law Office of Bernard V. Kleinman, PLLC, Somers (Bernard V. Kleinman of counsel), for appellant. Zachary W. Carter, Corporation Counsel, New York (Elina Druker of counsel), for respondent. Renwick, J.P., Richter, Manzanet–Daniels, Andrias, Gesmer, JJ.

#### Opinion

\*542 Determination of respondent, dated February 11, 2016, which, after a hearing, revoked petitioner's premises handgun license, unanimously confirmed, the petition denied and the proceeding brought pursuant to CPLR article 78 (transferred to this Court by order, Supreme Court, New York County [Barbara Jaffe, J.], entered October 17, 2016), dismissed, without costs.

Respondent's determination  $\mathbf{is}$ supported bv substantial evidence (see generally 300 Gramatan Ave. Assoc. v. State Div. of Human Rights, 45 N.Y.2d 176, 180-181, 408 N.Y.S.2d 54, 379 N.E.2d 1183 [1978]). The record shows that petitioner neglected to report multiple domestic violence incidents, failed to properly safeguard his guns as the registered address was not his primary residence, failed to cooperate with the Licensing Division's investigation, and did not maintain familiarity with applicable rules (see e.g. Matter of Verges v. Bratton, 128 A.D.3d 602, 11 N.Y.S.3d 115 [1st Dept. 2015]; Matter of Kozhar v. Kelly, 62 A.D.3d 540, 882 N.Y.S.2d 399 [1st Dept. 2009] ). Petitioner's arguments that the Hearing Officer was biased, and that revocation violated his Second Amendment rights, are unpreserved, as they were not raised at the hearing (see \*543 Matter of Striplin v. Selsky, 28 A.D.3d 969, 812 N.Y.S.2d 722 [3d Dept. 2006] ), and are also unavailing (see Matter of Delgado v. Kelly, 127 A.D.3d 644, 8 N.Y.S.3d 172 [1st Dept. 2015], lv denied 26 N.Y.3d 905, 2015 WL 5445688 [2015]).

The revocation of petitioner's premises handgun license does not shock our sense \*\*723 of fairness (see Verges at 602, 11 N.Y.S.3d 115).

All Citations 158 A.D.3d 542, 68 N.Y.S.3d 722 (Mem), 2018 N.Y. Slip Op. 01158