

APPENDIX

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

FEB 22 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

JOSE GUADALUPE CEBREROS,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 17-56843

D.C. Nos. 3:17-cv-02310-JM
3:01-cr-02788-JM-1Southern District of California,
San Diego

ORDER

Before: Trott and Fisher, Circuit Judges.

The request for a certificate of appealability is denied because appellant has not shown that “jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the [section 2255 motion] states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

In order for a district court to consider a second or successive 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion, this court must first authorize the district court to consider that motion. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 2244(b)(3), 2255(h). The Clerk shall serve this order and a copy of the standard form application for leave to file a second or successive

motion on appellant.

DENIED.

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MAR 30 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

JOSE GUADALUPE CEBREROS,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 17-56843

D.C. Nos. 3:17-cv-02310-JM
3:01-cr-02788-JM-1

Southern District of California,
San Diego

ORDER

Before: CLIFTON and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

The motion for reconsideration (Docket Entry No. 4) is denied. *See* 9th Cir. R. 27-10.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.