IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

JUNE MEDICAL SERVICES L.L.C., et al.,

Petitioners-Cross-Respondents,

 \mathbf{v}

REBEKAH GEE, SECRETARY, LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HOSPITALS,

Respondent-Cross-Petitioner.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

JOINT APPENDIX VOLUME III

Travis J. Tu

CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE
RIGHTS
Solicitor General
LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF
JUSTICE
New York, NY 10038
(917) 637-3600
tjtu@reprorights.org
Elizabeth B. Murrill
Solicitor General
LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF
JUSTICE
1885 N. 3rd Street
Baton Rouge, LA 70802
(225) 326-6766
murrille@ag.louisiana.gov

Counsel of Record for
Petitioners-CrossRespondents

Counsel of Record for
Respondent-CrossPetitioner

Petition for Writ of Certiorari Filed: April 17, 2019 Cross-Petition for Writ of Certiorari Filed: May 20, 2019 Certiorari Granted: October 4, 2019

Page
VOLUME I
Relevant Docket Entries, No. 3:14-cv-00525 (M.D. La.)
Relevant Docket Entries, No. 17-30397 (5th Cir.)
Am. Compl. (Aug. 26, 2014), Dkt. 14
DHH Defs.' Opp'n to Pls.' Appl. for TRO and for Protective Order (Aug. 26, 2014), Dkt. 20
Pls. June Med. Servs. LLC, et al., Status Chart of Pending Applications (Oct. 8, 2014), Dkt. 50
Mem. Regarding Status of Pending Applications for Privileges (Oct. 8, 2014), Dkt. 51
Answer of Def. Kathy Kliebert (Nov. 17, 2014), Dkt. 64
Pls. June Med. Servs. LLC, et al., Status Chart of Pending Applications (Feb. 26, 2015), Dkt. 91
Pls. June Med. Servs. LLC, et al., Status Chart of Pending Applications (Mar. 19, 2015), Dkt. 121

Page	,
Letter from Demme Doufekias to Judge John W. deGravelles Regarding Status of Pending Applications (Sept. 25, 2015), Dkt. 209	
Letter from Demme Doufekias to Judge John W. deGravelles Regarding Status of Pending Applications (Mar. 1, 2016), Dkt. 240	
Letter from Demme Doufekias to Judge John W. deGravelles Regarding Status of Pending Applications (Apr. 1, 2016), Dkt. 245	
Letter from Demme Doufekias to Judge John W. deGravelles Regarding Status of Pending Applications (May 2, 2016), Dkt. 246	
Letter from Demme Doufekias to Judge John W. deGravelles Regarding Status of Pending Applications (June 1, 2016), Dkt. 247	
Letter from Demme Doufekias to Judge John W. deGravelles Regarding Status of Pending Applications (Aug. 1, 2016), Dkt. 249	
Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Causeway Med. Clinic (Sept. 15, 2016), Dkt. 255	

Page
Letter from David Brown to Judge John W. deGravelles Regarding Closure of Bossier City Med. Suite (Apr. 23, 2017), Dkt. 270
Decl. of Dr. John Doe 2, M.D. (Apr. 21, 2017), Dkt. 272
VOLUME II
Trial Tr. for June 22, 2015, Dkt. 190 90
Testimony of Kathaleen Pittman 103
Testimony of Dr. John Doe 3
Testimony of Dr. Christopher Estes 275
VOLUME III
Trial Tr. for June 23, 2015, Dkt. 191 359
Testimony of Dr. John Doe 2 369
Testimony of Dr. Sheila Katz 465
Testimony of Sec'y Kathy Kliebert 551
VOLUME IV
Excerpts of Trial Tr. for June 24, 2015, Dkt. 192
Testimony of Dr. John Doe 1

P	age
VOLUME V	
Trial Tr. for June 25, 2015, Dkt. 193	98
Testimony of Dr. Robert Marier 8	801
Testimony of Dr. Tumulesh K.S. Solanky9	22
VOLUME VI	
Trial Tr. for June 29, 2015, Dkt. 195 10	20
Testimony of Dr. Eva Pressman 10	30
VOLUME VII	
Joint Ex. 54, Letter from Dr. John Doe 1 to Dr. Michael Harper, Univ. Health Shreveport, Dkt. 165-59	17
Joint Ex. 109, Decl. of Sylvia Cochran in Supp. of Pls.' Mot. for Prelim. Inj. (Sept. 19, 2014), Dkt. 196-24	19
Joint Ex. 110, Decl. of John Doe 5, M.D. (Sept. 19, 2014), Dkt. 196-25	132
Joint Ex. 116, Decl. of Kathaleen Pittman in Supp. of Pls.' Appl. for TRO and Prelim. Inj. (Aug. 21, 2014), Dkt. 196-28	40

	Page
Joint Ex. 117, Decl. of Robert Gross in Supp. of Pls.' Appl. for TRO and Mot. for Prelim. Inj. (Aug. 21, 2014), Dkt. 196-29	1252
Joint Ex. 135, Letter from Robert L. Marier, Exec. Dir., La. State Bd. of Med. Exam'rs, to Ms. Stephanie Toti, Staff Att'y, Domestic Legal Program, Ctr. for Reproductive Rights (July 11, 2008), Dkt. 196-32	1304
Joint Ex. 168, Decl. of John Doe 6, M.D. (Sept. 19, 2014), Dkt. 196-38	1307
Joint Ex. 186, Decl. of John Doe 1, M.D. in Supp. of Pls.' Appl. for TRO and Mot. for Prelim. Inj. (Aug. 21, 2014), Dkt. 196-39	1314
Joint Ex. 187, Decl. of John Doe 2, M.D. in Supp. of Pls.' Appl. for TRO and Mot. for Prelim. Inj. (Aug. 21, 2014), Dkt. 196-40	1317
Joint Ex. 188, Decl. of John Doe 3, M.D. in Supp. of Pls.' Appl. for TRO and Mot. for Prelim. Inj. (Aug. 21, 2014), Dkt. 202-11	1320
VOLUME VIII (Sealed)	
Excerpts of Tr. of Dep. of Dr. John Doe 5 (Jan. 30, 2015), Dkt. 168-06	1324

	Page
Excerpts of Tr. of Dep. of Dr. Cecilia Mouton (Jan. 22, 2015), Dkt. 168-10	1350
Joint Stipulation Regarding Admitting Privileges of Dr. John Doe 5 (June 26, 2015), Dkt. 176	1397
Joint Ex. 71, Correspondence Between CHRISTUS Health Shreveport- Bossier and Dr. John Doe 1 (July 17, 2014), Dkt. 237-88	1404
Joint Ex. 75, Letter from Minden Med. Ctr. to Dr. John Doe 1 (Aug. 8, 2014), Dkt. 237-89	1434
Joint Ex. 89, Letter from WK Bossier Health Ctr. to Dr. John Doe 2 (Nov. 19, 2014), Dkt. 237-99	1435
Joint Ex. 128, Letter from Willis- Knighton to Dr. John Doe 1 (Mar. 11, 2015), Dkt. 237-109	1436
Joint Ex. 130, Abortion Care Training Plan and Checklist, Dr. John Doe 1, Dkt. 237-111	1437
Joint Ex. 144, Letter from Willis- Knighton to Dr. John Doe 2 (Aug. 11, 2014), Dkt. 237-116	1442
Joint Ex. 145, Email from Dr. John Doe 2 to Willis-Knighton (Aug. 16, 2014), Dkt. 237-117	1445

vii

	Page
Joint Ex. 169, Email Correspondence	
Regarding Dr. John Doe 2's	
Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for	
Admitting Privileges (Mar. 14, 2014),	
Dkt. 237-140	1447
Joint Ex. 170, Email Correspondence	
Regarding Dr. John Doe 2's Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for	
Admitting Privileges (May 27, 2014),	
Dkt. 237-141	1//0
DRt. 237-141	1440
Joint Ex. 171, Email Correspondence	
Regarding Dr. John Doe 2's	
Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for	
Admitting Privileges (May 28, 2014),	
Dkt. 237-142	1449
Joint Ex. 172, Email Correspondence	
Regarding Dr. John Doe 2's	
Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for	
Admitting Privileges (July 28, 2014),	
Dkt. 237-143	1451
Joint Ev. 179 Email Companyandense	
Joint Ex. 173, Email Correspondence	
Regarding Dr. John Doe 2's	
Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for	
Admitting Privileges (July 28, 2014),	1450
Dkt. 237-144	1452

viii

	Page
Joint Ex. 174, Email Correspondence	
Regarding Dr. John Doe 2's	
Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for	
Admitting Privileges (Aug. 5, 2014),	
Dkt. 237-145	1453
Joint Ex. 175, Email Correspondence	
Regarding Dr. John Doe 2's	
Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for	
Admitting Privileges (Aug. 18, 2014),	
Dkt. 237-146	1/5/
DRt. 257-140	1404
Joint Ex. 176, Email Correspondence	
Regarding Dr. John Doe 2's	
Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for	
Admitting Privileges (Aug. 26, 2014),	
Dkt. 237-147	1455
Joint Ex. 177, Email Correspondence	
Regarding Dr. John Doe 2's	
Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for	
Admitting Privileges (Aug. 26, 2014),	
Dkt. 237-148	1456
Joint Ex. 178, Email Correspondence	
Regarding Dr. John Doe 2's	
Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for	
Admitting Privileges (Nov. 19, 2014),	
Dkt. 237-149	1457

	Page
Joint Ex. 179, Email Correspondence Regarding Dr. John Doe 2's Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for Admitting Privileges (Jan. 9, 2015), Dkt. 237-150	1458
Joint Ex. 180, Dr. John Doe 2's Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for Admitting Privileges (Jan. 8, 2015), Dkt. 237-151	1459
Joint Ex. 181, Email Correspondence Regarding Dr. John Doe 2's Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for Admitting Privileges (Feb. 23, 2015), Dkt. 237-152	1462
Joint Ex. 183, Email Correspondence Regarding Dr. John Doe 2's Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for Admitting Privileges (June 16, 2015), Dkt. 237-154	1463
Joint Ex. 184, Email Correspondence Regarding Dr. John Doe 2's Application to Tulane Med. Ctr. for Admitting Privileges (Mar. 5, 2015), Dkt. 237-155	1466
Joint Ex. 185, Letter from Univ. Health Shreveport to Dr. John Doe 2 (May 21, 2015), Dkt. 237-156	1468

	Page
Joint Ex. 189, Letter from Dr. John Doe 1	
to Willis-Knighton (Mar. 24, 2015),	
Dkt. 237-157	1472
Joint Ex. 190, Email Correspondence	
Regarding Dr. John Doe 1's	
Application to CHRISTUS Health	
Shreveport-Bossier for Admitting	
Privileges (Jan. 8, 2015), Dkt. 237-	
158	1555

The following opinions, decisions, judgments, orders, and record documents have been omitted from the joint appendix because they appear in the appendix to the petition in Case No. 18-1323 as follows:	
Appendix A: Opinion, United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (Sept. 26, 2018)	
Appendix B: Opinion, United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (Jan. 18, 2019)	
Appendix C: Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana (Apr. 26, 2017)	
Appendix D: Order, Supreme Court of the United States (Feb. 8, 2019)	
Appendix E: U.S. Const. amend. XIV, § 1 285a	
Appendix F: La. Rev. Stat. § 40:1061.10 (Act 620) (excerpted)	
Appendix G: La. Admin. Code., tit. 48, pt. I § 4423 (excerpted)	
Appendix H: La. Admin. Code., tit. 48, pt. I § 4401 (excerpted)	
Appendix I: La. Dep't of Health, Notice to Licensed Outpatient Abortion Facilities	

xii

Appendix J: Emergency Mot. of Appellant	
for Stay Pending Appeal, United	
States Court of Appeals for the Fifth	
Circuit (Feb. 16, 2016) (excerpted) 2	94a

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 1 of 3051

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA					
JUNE MEDICAL SERVICES, L.L.C., ET AL	CIVIL ACTION				
VERSUS	No. 14-525				
KATHY KLIEBERT, ET AL	HON. JOHN W. DEGRAVELLES				
	JUNE 23, 2015 VOLUME II OF VI				
REDACT	ED				
BENCH TI HONORABLE JOHN W					
APPEARA	ANCES				
FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:					
MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP BY: DIMITRA DOUFEKIAS, ESQUIR 2000 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006					
CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS BY: ILENE JAROSLAW, ESQ. ZOE LEVINE, ESQ. DAVID BROWN, ESQ.					
199 WATER STREET, 22ND FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10038					
FOR THE DEFENDANT:					
DUNCAN PLLC					
DUNCAN PLLC BY: S. KYLE DUNCAN, ESQ. 1629 K. STREET, NW, SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006					
BY: S. KYLE DUNCAN, ESQ. 1629 K. STREET, NW, SUITE 300					

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 2 of 3052

BY: STEVEN 440 1ST STE	FENDING FREEDOM ADEN, ESQ REET, NW, SUITE 6 , D.C. 20001	00	
OFFICIAL COURT	reporter:	GINA DELATTE-R	ICHARD,CCR
	BATON ROUGE,	ES COURTHOUSE LOUISIANA 70801 389-3564	

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 3 of 3053

1	
2	INDEX
3	PLAINTIFFS' WITNESSES:
4	DR. DOE NUMBER 2
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY DEMME DOUFEKIAS11
6	CROSS EXAMINATION BY KYLE DUNCAN47
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY DEMME DOUFEKIAS95
8	SHEILA KATZ
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY ZOE LEVINE107
0	CROSS EXAMINATION BY KYLE DUNCAN162
1	KATHY KLIEBERT
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY DEMME DOUFEKIAS193
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MIKE JOHNSON268
4	RECROSS EXAMINATION BY DEMME DOUFEKIAS297
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

JUNE MEDICAL V. KATHY KLIEBERT 14-CV-525 06-23-15

THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. EVERYONE BE SEATED.

I'M GOING TO TAKE UP A COUPLE OF PRELIMINARY MATTERS BEFORE WE START WITH THE EVIDENCE. I DON'T KNOW THAT I DID THIS ON THE RECORD YESTERDAY, BUT IN THE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE IN CHAMBERS BEFORE WE BEGAN, WE TALKED ABOUT THE DEPOSITIONS, WHICH AT THIS POINT I DON'T THINK HAVE BEEN FORMALLY OFFERED. BUT THERE WERE DEPOSITIONS SUBMITTED TO ME PRETRIAL, WHICH I REVIEWED AND I MADE SOME PRELIMINARY RULINGS ON THE INDIVIDUAL OBJECTIONS, WHICH HAVE BEEN RAISED DURING THE COURSE -- WHICH HAVE BEEN HIGHLIGHTED BY THE PARTIES WHEN THE DEPOSITIONS WERE SUBMITTED TO ME PRETRIAL.

BUT WHAT I SAID IN CHAMBERS AND I REPEAT NOW, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE TWO THAT WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT, MS. STEVENS AND DR. MOUTON, WHAT I SAID WAS, IT WAS DIFFICULT TO RULE ON THESE INDIVIDUAL OBJECTIONS WITHOUT HEARING ALL OF THE EVIDENCE AND IN ADVANCE OF TRIAL.

SOME OF THE OBJECTIONS RAISED WERE OBVIOUSLY OF THE KIND THAT WENT TO THE GENERAL OBJECTIONS THAT HAD BEEN RAISED, LIKE TO MEDICAL REASONABLENESS AND MEDICAL CERTAINTY, AND THEREFORE IT WAS A RELEVANCE OBJECTION AND THOSE HAVE REALLY BEEN -- THEY WERE RULED UPON AS A PART OF THE MOTIONS IN LIMINE. THEY ARE IN ESSENCE IN LIMBO GIVEN THE SUBSEQUENT MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION FILED BY THE DEFENDANTS IN THIS

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 5 of 3055

CASE. AND AS I EXPLAINED YESTERDAY, I JUST SIMPLY HAVE NOT HAD TIME TO DO THAT, BUT WILL DO THAT EVENTUALLY.

SO ALL OF THE EVIDENCE COMING IN, INCLUDING THAT
DEPOSITION TESTIMONY, WILL COME IN SUBJECT TO THE DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF BOTH THE SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND
THE IN LIMINE MOTIONS.

TO THE EXTENT THAT THESE OBJECTIONS RAISED

INDIVIDUALLY IN THE DEPOSITIONS DO NOT ADDRESS THOSE BROADER

ISSUES, FOR INSTANCE, NO PROPER FOUNDATION OR HEARSAY OR

SOMETHING LIKE THAT, THEY'RE SPECIFIC, I AM GOING TO RULE ON

THOSE AS A PART OF THE PROCESS OF DECIDING THIS CASE. SO

THOSE SORT ARE TAKEN -- THOSE OBJECTIONS ARE TAKEN UNDER

ADVISEMENT.

NOW, DURING THE COURSE OF THE CHAMBER'S MEETING
YESTERDAY MORNING WE TALKED ABOUT OBJECTIONS THAT WERE RAISED
TO TWO DEPOSITIONS IN THEIR ENTIRETY, ONE WAS DR. CECILIA
MOUTON THE OTHER ONE WAS JENNIFER STEVENS, AND IN BOTH CASES
DEFENDANT OBJECTS TO THE ENTIRETY OF THOSE DEPOSITIONS AND THE
REASONS FOR THOSE ARE STATED IN A LETTER FROM MR. DUNCAN TO
MS. DOUFEKIAS, WHICH WAS PROVIDED TO ME IN A LETTER DATED JUNE
3RD, 2015 AND THAT IS -- WE'RE GOING TO MAKE THAT A PART OF
THE RECORD AS DOCUMENT 160.

THE PLAINTIFFS' LETTER IN RESPONSE, DATED JUNE 18TH, 2015, IS DOCUMENT 159 AND I WAS ASKED NOT TO SORT OF PUT THOSE OBJECTIONS IN LIMBO AND RULE, BECAUSE DEPENDING UPON MY RULING

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 6 of 3056

THERE MIGHT BE SOME DIFFERENCE IN THE WAY THE PLAINTIFFS' CA	SE
WOULD BE PRESENTED. SO I DID TAKE A SECOND AND HARDER LOOK	AT
THOSE TWO DEPOSITIONS, WITH RESPECT TO THE OBJECTIONS IN THE	IR
ENTIRETY.	

AND FOR THE REASONS GIVEN IN THE PLAINTIFFS' LETTER, WHICH IS DOCUMENT 159, I AM OVERRULING THE OBJECTIONS TO THESE DEPOSITIONS IN THEIR ENTIRETY.

AS I SAID INFORMALLY YESTERDAY IN THE CONFERENCE, IT
DIDN'T LOOK LIKE MS. STEVENS HAD MUCH TO SAY, WHICH MS.

DOUFEKIAS RESPONDED, THAT'S KIND OF THE POINT OF THE
DEPOSITION. BUT IN ANY EVENT, AND AS YOU PROBABLY HAVE
FIGURED OUT BY NOW, MY VIEW OF EVIDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH
THIS PRELIMINARY JUNCTION IS, I'M AIRING ON THE SIDE OF
LETTING THINGS IN, AS OPPOSED TO KEEPING THINGS OUT FOR A
VARIETY OF REASONS.

ONE OF WHICH IS THAT THIS IS A PRELIMINARY JUNCTION

AND THE JURISPRUDENCE IS TO THE EFFECT THAT YOU HAVE A RELAXED

STANDARD OF ADMISSIBILITY IN SUCH A HEARING.

THE SECOND THING, WHICH IS SORT OF RELATED TO THE FIRST THING, IS THAT WE DON'T HAVE A JURY THAT I'M TRYING TO PROTECT HERE. THIS IS A BENCH TRIAL. SO I WILL TEND TO VIEW THESE THINGS REALLY AS MATTERS OF WEIGHT, AS OPPOSED TO ADMISSIBILITY.

WITH RESPECT TO THE ISSUE OF DR. MOUTON, EVEN THOUGH
THE LOUISIANA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS IS NO LONGER A

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 7 of 3057

1	PARTY, THE POINT RAISED BY MS. DOUFEKIAS I THINK IS A
2	LEGITIMATE ONE AND THAT IS THAT A PART OF THE STIPULATION THAT
3	WAS REACHED IN CONNECTION WITH THE DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE
4	OF THE LOUISIANA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS, WAS THAT
5	THE ISSUE OF THE ENFORCEABILITY OF THIS THE RIGHT OF THE
6	BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS TO HAVE SOME ENFORCEMENT DUTY OR
7	RIGHT WITH RESPECT TO ACT 620 IS STILL AN ISSUE. AND,
8	THEREFORE, I THINK FOR THAT REASON, AMONG THE OTHERS LISTED IN
9	THIS LETTER, I THINK IT SHOULD THAT THE OBJECTION SHOULD BE
10	OVERRULED AND THESE TWO DEPOSITIONS WILL COME IN.
11	NOW, ARE THERE ANY OTHER PRELIMINARY MATTERS THAT WE
12	NEED TO TAKE UP THIS MORNING BEFORE WE START THE EVIDENCE?
13	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, JUST ONE SMALL THING.
14	WE FILED A COPY OF THE JOINT CONSENT MOTION LAST NIGHT AND I
15	HAVE A COPY OF THAT WITH AN ORDER FOR YOU TO SIGN IF YOU WANT
16	IT IN PAPER.
17	THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE TERRIFIC AND I'LL SIGN IT
18	RIGHT NOW.
19	AND THIS IS ON THE PROTECTIVE ORDER?
20	MS. DOUFEKIAS: THAT'S RIGHT, THE CONFIDENTIAL
21	EXHIBITS.
22	THE COURT: AND WE TALKED ABOUT THAT YESTERDAY
23	MORNING IN CHAMBERS, SO I'M FAMILIAR WITH IT.
24	AND BOTH SIDES HAVE AGREED TO THIS OBVIOUSLY?
25	OKAY, THEN I WILL GO AHEAD AND SIGN IT.

Case 3;14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 8 of 3058

1 MR. DUNCAN: THAT'S FINE. 2 MS. DOUFEKIAS: MAY I APPROACH? 3 THE COURT: YES. 4 THE RECORD WILL REFLECT THAT I'VE SIGNED IT AND IT'S 5 BEEN MADE A PART OF THE RECORD. 6 ONE OTHER QUESTION I HAD WAS THAT THE JOINT EXHIBITS 7 HAVE COME INTO EVIDENCE, AT LEAST THROUGH 185. I DON'T KNOW 8 ABOUT 186 THROUGH 189. I DON'T THINK THAT THAT'S BEEN 9 FORMALLY DONE AT THIS POINT. BUT THERE WERE EXHIBITS THAT 10 WERE INTRODUCED BY THE INDIVIDUAL PARTIES THAT WERE OBJECTED 11 TO. 12 BUT THERE WERE SOME PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS, I THINK, 13 AND DEFENSE EXHIBITS THAT WERE OFFERED, BUT HAVEN'T BEEN 14 OBJECTED TO. AND I DIDN'T KNOW WHETHER THAT WAS -- WHETHER 15 THOSE WERE GOING TO BE -- THE OBJECTIONS TO THOSE, WHILE NOT 16 EXPRESSED IN PRETRIAL FILINGS, NONE THE LESS, THOSE OBJECTIONS 17 WERE RESERVED OR THERE WEREN'T ANY OBJECTIONS AND THEY WERE 18 COMING IN, SO I JUST WANTED TO GET THE FEELINGS OF THE PARTIES 19 ON THAT. 20 MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, I THINK WE'RE STILL 21 WORKING OUT SOME OF THE EXHIBIT ISSUES. I THINK THERE WILL BE 22 ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS THAT WILL BE JOINT IN THE SENSE THAT WE'LL 23 AGREE THAT THEY'RE STIPULATED INTO EVIDENCE. WE MAY KEEP THE 24 PLAINTIFFS AND THE EXHIBIT NUMBERS ONLY BECAUSE WE KEEP

RE-NUMBERING AND RE-NUMBERING AND RE-NUMBERING, SO PURELY FOR

25

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 9 of 3059

LOGISTICAL PURPOSES.

BUT I -- WE'VE BEEN KEEPING TRACK OF WHAT'S COME IN

AND WHAT HASN'T COME IN AND OUR INTENTION WAS TO FILE BEFORE

THE CLOSE OF OUR CASE A COPY OF OUR EXHIBIT LIST -- THE

UPDATED JOINT EXHIBIT LIST AND ANY ADDITIONAL OBJECTIONS WE

MAY HAVE TO DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS -- TO THEIR NEW EXHIBITS.

THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S FINE.

MR. DUNCAN, YOU WANT TO COMMENT ON THAT?

MR. DUNCAN: NO, THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING TOO, YOUR HONOR. THERE ARE -- AS NEW EXHIBITS COME IN, DEMME AND I ARE LOOKING AT THEM AND SORT OF ASSESSING DO WE HAVE OBJECTIONS TO THEM? MOST OF THEM I WOULD THINK NO, MAYBE SOME OF THEM YES. SO WE WILL WORK THAT OUT BETWEEN OURSELVES OR I GUESS, FOR INSTANCE, IF THE DEFENDANT WANTS TO SAY I'LL INTRODUCE THIS EXHIBIT THEN THEY CAN OBJECT AND YOU, YOUR HONOR, CAN RULE ON IT.

THE COURT: OKAY, THAT SOUNDS GREAT.

ONE OTHER THING, HOPEFULLY, YOU CAN KEEP THE NUMBERS THAT WERE REFERRED TO DURING THE TRIAL, OTHERWISE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE SOME SORT OF TABLE THAT WE'LL HAVE TO GO TO OR THE COURT OF APPEAL WILL HAVE SOME TABLE THEY'LL HAVE TO GO TO, TO FIGURE OUT WHAT OBJECTION WE'RE TALKING ABOUT -- I MEAN WHAT EXHIBIT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THAT'S REFERENCED IN THE MIDDLE OF THE TRIAL.

MR. DUNCAN: YEAH, WE DON'T WANT THAT EITHER.

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 10 of 309

	Case 3.14-04-00525-3WD-RLB Document 191 06/21/15 Page 10 01 30-54
1.	THE COURT: OKAY. GREAT.
2	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, WE'RE BEING VERY CAREFUL
3	ABOUT, ESPECIALLY EXHIBITS THAT HAVE PLAINTIFFS' OR
4	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT AND A JOINT EXHIBIT NUMBER. AND OBVIOUSLY
5	WE WILL SHOW DEFENDANTS A COPY OF ANYTHING WE FILE BEFORE WE
6	FILE IT TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY AGREE WITH ALL OF THE NUMBERS.
7	BUT OUR INTENTION IS TO FILE SOMETHING WITH THE COURT THAT
8	SHOWS ALL OF THE JOINT EXHIBITS AND THE CORRESPONDING
9	PLAINTIFF OR DEFENSE EXHIBITS SO THAT THE RECORD IS CLEAR.
10	THE COURT: GREAT. GOOD. THANK YOU.
11	MR. DUNCAN: ONE OTHER MATTER, YOUR HONOR, ABOUT
12	SCHEDULING OF WITNESSES. IF WE SEE THAT THE PLAINTIFFS ARE
13	GOING FASTER TODAY AND FOR INSTANCE, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU'LL
14	BE ABLE TO PUT ON DOE 1 TODAY OR IF THAT'S TOMORROW MORNING.
15	WE'RE TRYING TO PLAN ON HOW WE CAN FOLD IN SOME OF OUR
16	WITNESSES IF WE HAVE TO GO EARLY.
17	SO I SAID CECILE CASTELLO, WE'RE MAKING INQUIRIES
18	RIGHT NOW. IF THINGS GO FASTER AND WE NEED TO PUT ON DR.
19	MARIER ON WEDNESDAY INSTEAD OF THURSDAY, WE'RE MAKING
20	INQUIRIES RIGHT NOW TO SEE IF HE CAN TESTIFY. HE'S A BUSY
21	MAN. WE'RE JUST GOING TO SEE.
22	THE COURT: GREAT. AND I UNDERSTAND VERY, VERY WELL
22	THE DEFECUITIES OF THE KIND OF THINK SO IS THERE ARE

THE COURT. GREAT. AND I UNDERSTAND VERT, VERT WELL
THE DIFFICULTIES OF THIS KIND OF THING. SO IF THERE ARE
PROBLEMS THAT ARISE BECAUSE YOU JUST CAN'T MAKE IT ALL WORK,
THEN WE'LL JUST TAKE SOME DOWNTIME IF WE NEED TO. I'D LIKE

24

25

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 11 of 305

1.	THINGS TO GO SMOOTHLY AND I'D LIKE THINGS TO GO EFFICIENTLY,
2	BUT BLANK HAPPENS, SO WE'LL JUST DEAL WITH IT WHEN IT HAPPENS.
3	MR. DUNCAN: THANK YOU.
4	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. READY TO PROCEED?
5	MS. DOUFEKIAS: WE ARE, YOUR HONOR.
6	PLAINTIFFS CALL DR. DOE NUMBER 3.
7	MR. DUNCAN: NUMBER 2?
8	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I APOLOGIZE, DR. DOE NUMBER 2.
9	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. COME FORWARD DOCTOR AND
10	MS. CAUSEY IS GOING TO COME BACK AROUND AND SHE'S GOING TO
11	SWEAR YOU IN. YOU CAN STAY RIGHT THERE AND SHE'LL SWEAR YOU
12	IN AS SOON AS SHE GETS HERE.
13	ALL RIGHT. MS. CAUSEY, YOU WANT TO SWEAR THE DOCTOR
14	IN?
1.5	(WHEREUPON, DR. DOE NUMBER 2, HAVING BEEN DULY
16	SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS.)
17	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY BE SEATED.
18	DIRECT
19	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
20	Q GOOD MORNING, DOCTOR. JUST LET ME KNOW WHEN YOU'VE
21	GOTTEN SETTLED.
22	A OKAY.
23	Q DOCTOR, BEFORE WE PROCEED THIS MORNING I JUST WANTED
24	TO ASK YOU, DO YOU WEAR ANY SORT OF HEARING AID TO ASSIST WITH
25	YOUR HEARING?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 12 of 302

1	A YES, I DO, FOR APPROXIMATELY THE LAST FOUR TO FIVE
2	MONTHS.
3	Q OKAY. BECAUSE YOU ARE TESTIFYING FROM BEHIND A
4	SCREEN, IF AT ANY POINT YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY HEARING ME OR NEED
5	ME TO REPEAT, PLEASE JUST LET ME KNOW AND I WILL BE HAPPY TO
6	DO THAT.
7	A THANK YOU.
8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND LET ME JUST ALSO SAY THE
9	SCREEN PRESENTS A PROBLEM FOR OUR COURT REPORTER IN THAT IT'S
.0	BLOCKING YOUR VOICE, SO IF YOU COULD TRY TO MAKE AN EFFORT TO
1	GET A LITTLE BIT CLOSER TO THE MIC WHEN YOU'RE TESTIFYING.
.2	THE WITNESS: CAN I MOVE SOME OF THESE BINDERS?
L3	THE COURT: YES. THEY'RE PROBABLY GOING TO ASK YOU
14	TO PULL SOME OF THOSE UP AT SOME POINT BUT, YEAH, JUST MAKE
L5	YOURSELF MORE COMFORTABLE,
.6	THE WITNESS: OKAY. CAN YOU HEAR ME NOW?
L7	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
18	Q I CAN HEAR YOU. CAN YOU HEAR ME? CAN YOU HEAR ME,
9	DOCTOR?
20	A YES.
21	Q DOCTOR, ARE YOU TESTIFYING UNDER A PSEUDONYM THIS
22	MORNING?
23	A YES, I AM.
24	Q AND COULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN FOR THE COURT WHY IT IS
25	YOU'RE TESTIFYING UNDER A PSEUDONYM?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 13 of 305

1.	A CONSIDERING THAT I DO ELECTIVE ABORTIONS, WHICH IS
2	KIND OF A HOT BUTTON, HIGHLY CONTROVERSIAL ISSUE, I FEEL THAT
3	IT'S IN MY BEST INTEREST TO KEEP AS MUCH ANONYMITY AS I CAN
4	FOR MY OWN PERSONAL SAFETY.
5	Q HAVE YOU EVER HAD THREATS TO YOUR SAFETY?
6	A YES.
7	Q COULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THOSE FOR THE COURT?
8	A I'VE HAD A COUPLE OF INSTANCES OF PHONE CALLS. I'VE
9	HAD PEOPLE FOLLOW ME TO RESTAURANTS ON OCCASION. FORTUNATELY,
10	NOT TOO OFTEN. A LOT OF THREATS ARE YELLED WHEN I CROSS THE
11	PICKET LINE, ESPECIALLY IN NEW ORLEANS.
12	Q ON THESE PHONE CALLS AND THESE THINGS THAT WERE
13	SHOUTED TO YOU, WHAT SORTS OF THINGS WERE SAID TO YOU IN THESE
14	EXCHANGES?
15	A USUALLY PROFANITY LADEN AND WITH A COMMON THING,
16	THAT I'M GOING TO HELL.
17	Q DOCTOR, HOW LONG WITHDRAWN. ARE YOU A BOARD
18	CERTIFIED OB/GYN?
19	A YES.
20	Q AND HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A BOARD CERTIFIED OB/GYN?
21	A I'VE BEEN BOARD CERTIFIED SINCE 1981.
22	Q HAS THAT BOARD CERTIFICATION EVER BEEN RE-CERTIFIED?
23	A I'M WHAT YOU CALL GRANDFATHERED IN. I DON'T HAVE TO
24	RE-CERTIFY, BUT I VOLUNTARILY RE-CERTIFIED IN 1997.
25	Q WHERE DID YOU GO TO MEDICAL SCHOOL?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 14 of 304

1	A AT LSU MEDICAL SCHOOL IN NEW ORLEANS.
2	Q AND WHERE DO YOU HOLD MEDICAL LICENSES?
3	A I HOLD MEDICAL LICENSES IN THE STATE OF LOUISIANA
4	AND ALSO IN WASHINGTON STATE.
5	Q ARE YOU A MEMBER OF ANY MEDICAL SOCIETIES?
6	A I'M A MEMBER OF THE ACOG, THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF
7	OBSTETRICIANS AND GYNECOLOGISTS, ABOG, THE AMERICAN BOARD OF
8	OBSTETRICIANS AND GYNECOLOGISTS.
9	Q HAVE YOU BEEN A MEMBER OF ANY MEDICAL SOCIETIES IN
.0	THE PAST?
1	A I HAVE BEEN IN THE PAST. I'VE BEEN A MEMBER OF THE
.2	SHREVEPORT MEDICAL SOCIETY AND ALSO THE BOSSIER MEDICAL
13	SOCIETY.
L4	Q BETWEEN 1980 AND 2004, HOW LONG DID YOU WORK
.5	WITHDRAWN. BETWEEN 1980 AND 2004, DID YOU WORK AT LSU?
.6	A YES.
L7	Q FOR APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY YEARS IN THAT PERIOD DID
8.	YOU WORK AT LSU?
19	A IT WAS A CUMULATIVE TOTAL OF APPROXIMATELY 18 YEARS,
20	I BELIEVE.
21	Q AND WHAT DID YOU DO AT LSU?
22	A WELL, THE FIRST TWO YEARS FROM 19 I THINK IT WAS
23	LATE '79 TO 1981, I WAS A FULL-TIME ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF
24	OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY. AND IN THE OTHER YEARS THAT I WAS
25	THERE, I WAS A PART-TIME ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF GYNECOLOGY

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 15 of 305

1	OF OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY.
2	Q WHAT SORTS OF WORK DID YOU DO WHEN YOU WERE EITHER A
3	FULL-TIME OR A PART-TIME PROFESSOR?
4	A I WAS PRIMARILY ON A CLINICAL TRACK, WHICH MEANS I
5	WAS ACTUALLY IN THE OPERATING ROOM FOR THE MOST PART WITH THE
6	RESIDENTS. I DID SOME LECTURING, BUT THE PRIMARY FOCUS WAS
7	HELPING THE RESIDENTS DEVELOP THEIR LAPAROSCOPIC SKILLS,
8	ACTUALLY DOING HYSTERECTOMIES WITH THEM, THAT SORT OF THING.
9	Q HOW MUCH TIME DID YOU SPEND IN THE OPERATING ROOM?
10	A AT LSU, THAT WAS REALLY THE MAJORITY OF MY TIME.
11	Q DURING THE 18 YEARS THAT YOU WORKED AT LSU DID YOU
12	COME INTO CONTACT WITH THE ER DOCTORS?
13	A VERY SELDOM. THE RESIDENTS WOULD HAVE COME INTO
14	CONTACT MORE THAN MYSELF, BUT OCCASIONALLY SOME CONTACT AND IT
15	USUALLY WAS BY TELEPHONE.
16	Q DID YOU HAVE A VIEW OF WHETHER OR NOT THE ER DOCTORS
17	HAD ACCESS TO OB/GYN DOCTORS AT LSU MEDICAL CENTER?
18	A AT LSU THEY HAD ACCESS REALLY 24/7.
19	Q AND WERE SPECIALISTS LIKE OB/GYN DOCTORS INVOLVED
20	WHEN GYNECOLOGICAL WHEN PATIENTS WITH GYNECOLOGICAL ISSUES
21	CAME INTO THE ER?
22	A I'M SORRY, COULD YOU REPEAT THAT?
23	Q WERE OB/GYN DOCTORS INVOLVED WHEN PATIENTS CAME INTO
24	THE ER WITH GYNECOLOGICAL ISSUES?
25	A YES. THE ER DOCTOR IN THOSE TYPE ESPECIALLY IN

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 16 of 3049

OBSTETRICS,	ALMOST	ROUTINELY	CALLED	IN	THE	GYNECOLOGIST	OR	THE
OBSTETRICIA	N/GYNECO	DLOGIST.						

- **Q** FOR VARIOUS YEARS WHEN YOU WEREN'T FULL-TIME AT LSU, WERE YOU ALSO ON OCCASION BETWEEN 1980 AND 2004 IN PRIVATE PRACTICE?
- A FROM 1980 TO 2004 I WAS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE. FROM ABOUT 1980 -- I BELIEVE LATE '81, TO EARLY 1987 WITH DRS. HART AND MCCABE IN BOSSIER CITY, LOUISIANA.
 - Q AND WHY DID YOU LEAVE THAT PRACTICE?
- A THE REASON I LEFT THAT PRACTICE -- WE HAD ALL TRAINED TOGETHER. IT WAS REALLY A GOOD GROUP. I ENJOYED IT. BUT I HAD -- I WAS ALSO AT THE TIME WITH THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND BLESSING, WORKING PART-TIME AT HOPE MEDICAL GROUP DOING ELECTIVE TERMINATIONS. I HAD A MALPRACTICE CASE INVOLVING A PATIENT AT HOPE. THE GROUP INSURANCE WAS -- THE CARRIER WAS ST. PAUL AND WHEN THAT CASE CAME ABOUT ST. PAUL BASICALLY SAID THEY WOULD COVER ME FOR THAT CASE, BUT IF I CONTINUED DOING ELECTIVE TERMINATIONS THEY WOULD NOT COVER ME FOR MALPRACTICE INSURANCE.
- DRS. HART AND MCCABE WERE COVERED WITH A GROUP
 INSURANCE POLICY. WE TRIED TO STRIKE A DEAL WHERE I COULD
 HAVE INDIVIDUAL COVERAGE AND THEY WOULD STILL COVER THEM.
 THEY ADAMANTLY REFUSED TO COVER ANY OF US IF I CONTINUED TO DO
 ELECTIVE TERMINATIONS. AT THAT POINT I HAD AN OFFER TO GO
 BACK TO LSU I REALLY LIKED THE NEW HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT OVER

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 17 of 308

1	THERE. I DIDN'T WANT TO CAUSE THEM ANYMORE HEADACHES, SO I
2	MADE THE DECISION TO LEAVE THE PRACTICE.
3	Q WHEN DID YOU BEGIN PERFORMING ABORTIONS?
4	A 1980.
5	Q AND DO YOU STILL PERFORM ABORTIONS TODAY?
6	A YES, I DO.
7	Q WHERE DO YOU CURRENTLY PROVIDE ABORTIONS?
8	A AT BOSSIER MEDICAL SUITE IN BOSSIER CITY, LOUISIANA
9	AND AT CAUSEWAY MEDICAL CLINIC IN METAIRIE, LOUISIANA.
10	Q WHEN DO YOU WORK AT BOSSIER MEDICAL SUITE?
11	A I WORK AT BOSSIER EVERY EXCUSE ME, EVERY WEEK AND
12	I WORK AT CAUSEWAY MEDICAL CLINIC TWO WEEKENDS A MONTH.
13	Q CAN YOU EXPLAIN FOR THE COURT HOW YOU MANAGE YOUR
14	WORK SCHEDULE BETWEEN CAUSEWAY AND BOSSIER?
1.5	A WELL, SUNDAYS AND MONDAYS ARE MY BASIC WEEKENDS.
16	TUESDAY THROUGH SATURDAY, WHEN I'M NOT GOING TO NEW ORLEANS
17	TO METAIRIE, TO WORK, I WORK AT BOSSIER. ON WEEKS THAT I GO
18	TO THE CLINIC IN METAIRIE FOR FRIDAY AND SATURDAY WORK, I WORK
19	TUESDAY, WEDNESDAY AND THURSDAY AT BOSSIER MEDICAL BOSSIER
20	MEDICAL SUITE.
21	Q AND APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY PROCEDURES HAVE YOU
22	PERFORMED AT BOSSIER IN THE LAST YEAR?
23	A I BELIEVE LAST YEAR IT WAS AROUND 550.
24	Q ARE THERE ANY OTHER DOCTORS WHO PERFORM ABORTIONS AT
25	BOSSIER?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 18 of 3048

1	Α	NO.
2	Q	APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY PROCEDURES DO YOU PERFORM AT
3	CAUSEWAY	OR DID YOU PERFORM AT CAUSEWAY IN THE LAST YEAR?
4	А	I BELIEVE IT WAS AROUND 450. THAT'S A BALL PARK
5	FIGURE.	
6	Q	DO YOU KNOW WHEN I REFER TO DR. DOE NUMBER 4, DO
7	YOU KNOW	WHO THAT IS?
8	А	YES.
9	Q	DOES HE ALSO PERFORM ABORTIONS AT CAUSEWAY?
.0	Α	YES.
1	Q	AND TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE DOES HE CURRENTLY HAVE
.2	ADMITTING	G PRIVILEGES AT A HOSPITAL WITHIN 30 MILES OF
13	CAUSEWAY	,
L4	А	I DO NOT BELIEVE HE DOES, NO.
.5	Q	DO YOU KNOW WHETHER HE HAS APPLIED FOR PRIVILEGES?
16	Α	IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT HE HAS, YES.
L7	Q	IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT HE APPLIED FOR
18	PRIVILEGE	ES AS A RESULT OF HOUSE BILL 388?
.9	Α	THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING.
20	Q	WHEN I REFER TO HOUSE BILL 388, WHICH SOMETIMES IS
21	ALSO REFE	ERRED TO AS ACT 620 IN THIS CASE, DO YOU KNOW WHAT I'M
22	TALKING A	ABOUT?
23	А	YES.
24	Q	COULD YOU DESCRIBE FOR THE COURT YOUR UNDERSTANDING
25	OF HOUSE	BILL 388?

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 19 of 3049

A WELL, HOUSE BILL 388, THE PART THAT I THINK IS MOST
APPLICABLE TO MY SITUATION TO DOCTORS' SITUATION IN THIS
INSTANCE, REGARDS THE ATTAINING OF ADMITTING PRIVILEGES TO A
HOSPITAL WITHIN 30 MILES OF THE CLINIC. IN THAT STATUTE, MY
READING OF IT, IT SAYS ACTIVE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES, WHICH IS
TO MY MIND SOMEWHAT OF A NEBULOUS TERM, BUT IT DOES DESCRIBE
THAT LATER IN SAYING THAT, MUST BE ABLE TO PERFORM DIAGNOSTIC
TESTS AND SURGERY ON PATIENTS ADMITTED.

- **Q** DO YOU CURRENTLY HAVE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES AT A HOSPITAL WITHIN 30 MILES OF BOSSIER?
 - A NO.

- **Q** DOCTOR, COULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PATIENTS THAT YOU SEE AT CAUSEWAY IN BOSSIER?
- A SINCE 1980 TO THE PRESENT, EVERY CLINIC I'VE WORKED

 AT, IT IS -- THEY CUT ACROSS ALL SOCIO-ECONOMIC LINES. I

 WOULD HAVE TO SAY THE MAJORITY ARE ON THE LOWER END OF THAT

 SPECTRUM. BUT IT REALLY IS INDIVIDUAL AS THE PERSON THAT

 COMES IN. ALL AGE GROUPS, ALL RACES, ALL OCCUPATIONS AND LIKE

 I SAY, IT CUTS ACROSS ALL LINES.
- **Q** HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT THESE PATIENTS ARE GENERALLY ON THE LOWER END OF THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC SCALE?
- A WELL, MANY OF THESE PATIENTS ARE MEDICAID PATIENTS,

 MANY OF THEM IN MY COUNSELING OF THEM, DISCUSS HOW HARD IT IS

 TO COME UP WITH THE MONEY. IS THERE ANYTHING WE CAN DO TO

 HELP? SO IT BECOMES SOMEWHAT -- IT BECOMES QUITE APPARENT IN

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 20 of 30/9

1	MANY CASES.
2	Q DOES IT BECOME APPARENT IN YOUR DISCUSSIONS WITH THE
3	PATIENTS WHERE THEY COME FROM WHEN THEY TRAVEL TO THE CLINIC?
4	A YES.
5	Q AND WHERE DO YOUR PATIENTS COME FROM?
6	A OBVIOUSLY, I THINK THE PRIMARY SOURCE IS LOUISIANA,
7	BUT WE HAVE A GOOD NUMBER OF PATIENTS FROM ALL OF THE
8	SURROUNDING STATES; TEXAS, ARKANSAS, MISSISSIPPI. I'VE HAD
9	PATIENTS FROM MOBILE, ALABAMA. I'VE EVEN HAD PATIENTS FROM
10	WEST TEXAS, WHICH I HAD A PATIENT FROM AMARILLO. SO IT'S A
11	LARGE GEOGRAPHIC AREA.
12	Q DO YOUR PATIENTS EVER DISCUSS HOW THEY CAME TO THE
13	CLINIC?
14	A HOW THEY CAME TO THE CLINIC?
15	Q YES. LET ME ASK A BETTER
16	A I'M NOT EXACTLY SURE WHAT YOU MEAN.
17	Q DO YOUR PATIENTS EVER DISCUSS WHETHER IT'S DIFFICULT
18	FOR THEM TO GET TO THE CLINIC?
19	A OH, YES. YES. I MEAN ESPECIALLY WITH THE
20	REQUIREMENTS FOR THE 24 HOUR COUNSELING PRIOR TO THE
21	PROCEDURE, MANY OF THESE PATIENTS, MOST OF THEM HAVE TO MAKE,
22	YOU KNOW, TWO TRIPS TO THE CLINIC OR COME IN, SPEND THE
23	NIGHT IT'S A TWO DAY PROCESS. SO A LOT OF THEM DISCUSS THE
24	HARDSHIPS OF JUST PHYSICALLY BEING ABLE TO GET TO THE CLINIC.
25	Q DOCTOR, WHERE DO YOU LIVE?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 21 of 304

1	Α	I LIVE IN BOSSIER CITY, LOUISIANA.
2	Q	AND HOW FAR IS THAT FROM THE CLINIC AT CAUSEWAY?
3	A	APPROXIMATELY 330 MILES.
4	Q	AND APPROXIMATELY HOW LONG DOES IT TAKE YOU TO MAKE
5	THAT DRIV	E?
6	A	DEPENDING ON THE TRAFFIC, ANYWHERE FROM FIVE HOURS
7	TO FIVE A	ND A HALF HOURS.
8	Q	DO YOU OWN YOUR OWN CAR?
9	Α	YES.
10	Q	WHAT KIND OF CAR DO YOU DRIVE?
11	Α	SINCE 2008, I HAVE BEEN DRIVING TOYOTA PRIUS'S.
12	Q	IS ONE OF THE REASONS THAT YOU PURCHASED A PRIUS THE
13	FACT THAT	YOU HAVE TO MAKE THIS DRIVE EVERY WEEK?
14	Α.	THAT WAS ONE OF THE FACTORS. AND I ALSO LIKE THE
15	CAR AND I	LIKE THE TECHNOLOGY.
16	Q	WHAT PROCEDURES DO YOU PERFORM AT BOSSIER?
17	Α	ELECTIVE TERMINATIONS OF PREGNANCY, BOTH FIRST AND
18	SECOND TR	IMESTER TERMINATIONS.
19	Q	DO YOU PERFORM MEDICATION ABORTIONS?
20	Α	MEDICATION YES, I DO, AT BOSSIER MEDICAL SUITE.
21	Q	AND DO YOU PERFORM ABORTIONS IN THAT SAME
22	GESTATION	AL TIME PERIOD AT CAUSEWAY?
23	А	NO.
24	Q	WHAT PERIOD DO YOU PERFORM ABORTIONS WHAT
25	GESTATION	AL PERIOD DO YOU PERFORM ABORTIONS AT CAUSEWAY?

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 22 of 303

1	A AT CAUSEWAY, THAT WOULD BE FROM SIX WEEKS LMP TO 21
2	WEEKS, SIX DAYS.
3	Q DO YOU PERFORM MEDICATION ABORTIONS AT CAUSEWAY?
4	A AT CAUSEWAY, NO, I DO NOT.
5	Q WHY IS THAT?
6	A IT'S A MORE TIME CONSUMING COUNSELING PROCESS AND
7	WHEN I GO TO CAUSEWAY, FRIDAY AND SATURDAY ARE USUALLY QUITE
8	BUSY. IT'S A PRETTY INTENSIVE TWO DAYS AND I JUST DON'T FEEL
9	LIKE I CAN GIVE THE AMOUNT OF TIME TO THOSE PATIENTS FROM A
10	COUNSELING ASPECT THAT THEY DESERVE. I JUST DON'T THINK THAT
11	I CAN DO AS GOOD A JOB WITHOUT WITHOUT MORE TIME.
12	Q TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE ARE YOU THE ONLY DOCTOR IN THE
13	STATE LOUISIANA THAT PERFORMS PROCEDURES THROUGH 21 WEEKS, SIX
14	DAYS LMP?
15	A TO MY KNOWLEDGE, YES.
16	Q WHY DO YOU PERFORM ABORTIONS UP TO THAT DATE?
17	A WELL, MANY YEARS AGO WHEN I STARTED DOING THIS, IT
18	WAS SOMEWHAT HEART BREAKING TO SEE WHAT PATIENTS WENT THROUGH
19	WHEN THEY HAD GENETIC ANOMALIES OF THE FETUS, SO I REALLY
20	WANTED TO DEVELOP THAT SKILL TO BE ABLE TO OFFER THEM THAT
21	SERVICE. I THINK THAT WAS PROBABLY THE INITIATOR. THERE ARE
22	MANY PATIENTS IN DIRE CIRCUMSTANCES FROM MAYBE MEDICAL
23	CONDITIONS THAT THEY HAVE, WHERE PREGNANCY IS NOT A GOOD IDEA,
24	THAT'S ANOTHER CATEGORY. MANY PATIENTS DO NOT HAVE SYMPTOMS

25 OF PREGNANCY OR REGULAR PERIODS, SO BY THE TIME THEY REALIZE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 23 of 305

1	THEY'RE PREGNANT, THEY'RE FURTHER ALONG AND REQUIRE A SECOND
2	TRIMESTER PROCEDURE. THAT'S ACTUALLY MUCH MORE COMMON THAN
3	EVEN A LOT OF OB/GYNS REALIZE. SO IT'S A VARIETY OF REASONS.
4	Q WHEN YOU WORKED AT LSU DID YOU HAVE ADMITTING
5	PRIVILEGES?
6	A YES.
7	Q HOW WERE YOU ABLE TO MAINTAIN THOSE PRIVILEGES WHEN
8	YOU WORKED AT LSU?
9	A THROUGH MY BODY OF WORK AT LSU. I MEAN WE WERE
.0	WE HAD LOTS OF ADMISSIONS, LOTS OF SURGERY, IT WAS EASY TO
1	JUDGE MY PERFORMANCE IN THE DEPARTMENT.
.2	Q WHEN YOU LEFT YOUR POSITION AT LSU, WHAT HAPPENED TO
13	YOUR ADMITTING PRIVILEGES?
L4	A I NO LONGER HAD ADMITTING PRIVILEGES, BUT THEY
L5	CONTINUED TO GIVE ME WHAT'S CALLED COURTESY PRIVILEGES.
16	Q AND WHAT ARE YOU ALLOWED TO DO UNDER THOSE COURTESY
L7	PRIVILEGES?
18	A IT'S JUST CONSULTATION. IT DOES NOT ENCOMPASS
19	ADMITTING PRIVILEGES OR ACTUALLY DOING PROCEDURES WITHOUT
20	SPECIAL PERMISSION. BUT YEAH, IT DOES NOT ENCOMPASS
21	ADMITTING PRIVILEGES.
22	Q WAS IT YOUR CHOICE TO REDUCE YOUR PRIVILEGES FROM
23	ADMITTING TO COURTESY?
24	A I THINK THAT'S JUST STANDARD WHEN YOU'RE NOT WORKING
25	THERE ANY LONGER. BUT YOU HAD BEEN. YOU KNOW, WITH THE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 24 of 304

1	DEPARTMENT AS LONG AS I HAD BEEN.
2	Q DO YOU CURRENTLY HAVE COURTESY PRIVILEGES AT LSU?
3	A YES.
4	Q HOW OFTEN ARE THOSE RENEWED?
5	A I BELIEVE I BELIEVE IT'S EVERY TWO YEARS.
6	Q HAVE YOU HAD ADMITTING PRIVILEGES AT OTHER HOSPITALS
7	THROUGHOUT YOUR CAREER?
8	A YES.
9	Q CAN YOU NAME SOME OF THOSE HOSPITALS?
.0	A WELL, WHEN I WAS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE, ESPECIALLY, I
1	HAD PRIVILEGES AT BOSSIER MEDICAL HOSPITAL OR BOSSIER MEDICAL
.2	CENTER, I THINK IT WAS CALLED THEN. I HAD PRIVILEGES AT
L3	SCHUMPERT MEDICAL CENTER, EXCUSE ME, AT HIGHLAND MEDICAL
L4	CENTER. I BELIEVE I THINK THERE WAS A SMALL HOSPITAL WE
L5	OCCASIONALLY DID SOME CASES IN, RIVERSIDE HOSPITAL, WHICH
.6	DOESN'T, I BELIEVE, EXIST ANY LONGER. SO A VARIETY OF PLACES.
L7	Q HOW WERE YOU ABLE TO MAINTAIN PRIVILEGES AT THOSE
18	HOSPITALS?
.9	A WELL, WE DID DELIVERIES THERE, NOT AS FREQUENTLY AS
20	WE DID AT BOSSIER HOSPITAL, THAT WAS OUR MAIN FOCUS. BUT WE
21	DID ENOUGH CASES THERE TO KEEP OUR, YOU KNOW TO KEEP OUR
22	PRIVILEGES INTACT.
23	Q DOCTOR, DID YOU ATTEMPT TO SECURE ADMITTING
24	PRIVILEGES AS A RESULT OF HB 388 BEING PASSED?
25	A YES, I DID.

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 25 of 305

1	Q WHERE DID YOU APPLY FOR PRIVILEGES?		
2	A I APPLIED FOR PRIVILEGES WELL, THE FIRST PLACE I		
3	ASKED, WHICH WOULD SEEM NATURAL, WAS I WENT TO THE HEAD OF THE		
4	DEPARTMENT AT LSU MEDICAL CENTER OR I THINK IT WAS HEALTH		
5	SCIENCE CENTER AT THAT TIME, AND JUST ASKED ABOUT THE		
6	POSSIBILITY OF HAVING THE COURTESY PRIVILEGES UPGRADED. HE		
7	WAS VERY RETICENT AND RELUCTANT TO CONSIDER THAT BECAUSE OF		
8	THE POLITICAL NATURE OF THAT AT THE TIME.		
9	MR. DUNCAN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. HEARSAY.		
10	THE COURT: HOLD ON, DOCTOR.		
11	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I CAN REPHRASE THE QUESTION.		
12	THE COURT: IT'S UP TO YOU.		
13	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:		
14	Q DOCTOR, WHO DID YOU SPEAK WITH ABOUT UPGRADING YOUR		
15	PRIVILEGES?		
16	A THE HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT OF OB/GYN, DR. GROOME.		
17	Q WHAT'S YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHY YOU WERE NOT ABLE		
18	TO UPGRADE YOUR PRIVILEGES?		
19	MR. DUNCAN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. HEARSAY. IT		
20	CALLS FOR HEARSAY.		
21	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I'M ASKING FOR HIS UNDERSTANDING,		
22	I'M NOT ASKING		
23	THE COURT: THAT'S KIND OF A BACK DOORWAY. SO		
24	UNLESS YOU CAN SHOW ME AN EXCEPTION TO THE HEARSAY RULE OR		
25	THAT IT'S NOT HEARSAY, THEN I'M GOING TO		

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 26 of 3045

1	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, I'M NOT ASKING FOR THE	
2	TRUTH OF THE MATTER. IT'S HIS IMPRESSION. IT'S WHAT HIS VIEW	
3	AND UNDERSTANDING WAS AND WHY HE THEN DIDN'T PROCEED WITH AN	
4	APPLICATION TO LSU.	
5	THE COURT: OKAY. I'M GOING TO OVERRULE THE	
6	OBJECTION. YOU MAY ASK THE QUESTION.	
7	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:	
8	Q DOCTOR, WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHY YOU	
9	COULDN'T UPGRADE YOUR PRIVILEGES AT LSU?	
.0	A WELL, DR. GROOME TOLD ME THAT HE WAS RELUCTANT TO	
1	EVEN CONSIDER THAT, BECAUSE IT WAS SUCH A CONTROVERSIAL TOPIC,	
.2	BUT HE WOULD TAKE IT TO THE DEAN AND ASK AND CALL ME, WHICH	
13	IS WHAT HE DID AND HE ESSENTIALLY SAID THAT YOU'RE NOT GOING	
L4	TO GO BEYOND YOUR COURTESY PRIVILEGES.	
L5	Q WERE YOU SURPRISED BY THAT RESPONSE?	
16	A NO.	
L7	Q WHY WEREN'T YOU SURPRISED?	
18	A I WOULD HAVE I WOULD HAVE BEEN REALLY SURPRISED	
19	IF IT HAD BEEN ANYTHING ELSE.	
20	Q WHY IS THAT?	
21	A JUST BECAUSE OF THE POLITICAL NATURE OF WHAT I DO	
22	AND THE CONTROVERSY OF WHAT I DO.	
23	Q WHERE ELSE DID YOU APPLY FOR PRIVILEGES?	
24	A I APPLIED AT WILLIS-KNIGHTON IN BOSSIER CITY,	
25	LOUISIANA.	

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 27 of 3047

1	Q AND AFTER YOU APPLIED FOR PRIVILEGES AT
2	WILLIS-KNIGHTON, DID THE HOSPITAL RESPOND TO YOUR APPLICATION?
3	A YES.
4	Q DID THEY RESPOND IN WRITING?
5	A INITIALLY THEY SENT ME THE APPLICATION AND WE
6	CORRESPONDED BACK AND FORTH WHEN I HAD QUESTIONS IN FILLING IT
7	OUT AND SO FORTH, SO, YES.
8	Q DID YOU EVENTUALLY RECEIVE A LETTER FROM
9	WILLIS-KNIGHTON?
10	A YES.
11	Q SO THERE ARE SOME BINDERS AT YOUR FEET AND I'M GOING
12	TO ASK YOU TO LOOK AT AN EXHIBIT. THE EXHIBIT
13	IS CONFIDENTIAL, SO I'M NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO ASK YOU ABOUT
14	SPECIFIC LANGUAGE IN THE LETTER, BUT I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A
15	FEW GENERAL QUESTIONS ABOUT THE LETTER. SO JUST KEEPING THAT
16	IN MIND THAT BECAUSE THE LETTER IS CONFIDENTIAL, WE CAN'T
17	BECAUSE OF AN ORDER OF THE COURT, WE CAN'T DISCUSS THE
18	SPECIFIC WORDS IN THE DOCUMENT. COULD YOU LOOK AT THE BINDERS
19	AT YOUR FEET? AND YOU'LL SEE THERE'S A SET OF FOUR
20	A OKAY.
21	Q THAT HAVE JOINT EXHIBITS, IS WRITTEN ON THE SPINE.
22	I BELIEVE IN THE FIRST ONE IT MIGHT BE THE SECOND ONE, I'M
23	SORRY. BUT IF YOU COULD LOOK FOR THE BINDER THAT HAS JOINT
24	EXHIBIT 144?
25	A JOINT EXHIBIT 144?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 28 of 3048

1	Q IF YOU LOOK FOR THE TAB THAT HAS 144 ON IT?
2	THE COURT: IT'S GOING TO BE IN VOLUME FOUR.
3	WHAT'S THE EXHIBIT NUMBER? 144?
4	MS. DOUFEKIAS: 144.
5	THE COURT: YOU'RE GOING TO PUT IT ON THE SCREEN FOR
6	ME? IS SOMEBODY GOING TO PUT IT ON THE SCREEN? I CAN PULL IT
7	UP OFF THE BINDER, BUT
8	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR, WE'RE WORKING
9	ON SOME OF THE ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS AND THE COMPUTER THAT WE
10	HAVE, ALL OF THE EXHIBITS LOADED ON TO IT IS NOT IN THE
11	COURTROOM AT THE MOMENT.
12	THE COURT: I'VE GOT THE HARD COPY IN FRONT OF ME.
13	MR. DUNCAN: WE'LL LOOK AT THE HARD COPY, YOUR
14	HONOR. 144. IS THAT RIGHT?
15	THE WITNESS: I APOLOGIZE, I DO NOT SEE A 144 AT MY
16	FEET.
17	THE COURT: DOCTOR, I THINK SOMEBODY IS GOING TO GET
18	YOU A HARD COPY HERE MOMENTARILY.
19	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
20	Q DOCTOR, DO YOU SEE A BINDER LABELED JOINT EXHIBITS,
21	BINDER FOUR OF FOUR.
22	A YES.
23	Q IF YOU LOOK IN THAT BINDER YOU SHOULD FIND A NUMBER
24	TAB THAT SAYS 144.
25	A OKAY. LET ME GET THE OTHER BINDER OFF MY FOOT.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 29 of 309

1.	OKAY.
2	Q DO YOU REMEMBER RECEIVING THIS LETTER?
3	A ON 140 HERE WE GO. HERE WE GO. OKAY. YES, I
4	DO.
5	Q DOES THIS LETTER ASK YOU TO SUBMIT ADDITIONAL
6	INFORMATION TO WILLIS-KNIGHTON?
7	A YES, IT DOES.
8	Q DID YOU HAVE A SENSE OF WHETHER OR NOT YOU COULD
9	SUBMIT THE INFORMATION REQUESTED TO WILLIS-KNIGHTON?
10	A WELL, THEY WERE REQUESTING INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC,
11	YOU KNOW, PROCEDURES THAT I HAD DONE IN HOSPITALS, IN OTHER
12	HOSPITALS, IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN
13	IMPOSSIBLE FOR ME TO SUBMIT THAT INFORMATION.
14	Q WHY IS IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR YOU TO ADMIT {SIC} THAT
15	INFORMATION, DOCTOR?
16	A WELL, BECAUSE I HAVEN'T DONE ANY IN-HOSPITAL WORK IN
17	TEN YEARS, SO THERE IS NO BODY OF HOSPITALIZED PATIENTS THAT I
18	HAVE TO DRAW FROM.
19	Q AND WHY HAVEN'T YOU DONE ANY IN-HOSPITAL WORK IN TEN
20	YEARS?
21	A WELL, BECAUSE IN 2004, I BELIEVE IT WAS 2004, I
22	DECIDED TO, AT THAT POINT, JUST I WAS WORKING AT LSU. I
23	WAS ALSO WORKING AT BOSSIER AND I WAS ALSO WORKING AT
24	CAUSEWAY, AND I WAS JUST GETTING OLDER. AND I WANTED TO SLOW
25	DOWN AND SO THAT'S WHAT I CHOSE TO ELIMINATE AND SO FOR TEN

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 30 of 3089

1	YEARS, MY JOB AT THE CLINIC WAS BASICALLY TO KEEP PEOPLE OUT
2	OF THE HOSPITAL. SO I WAS NOT DOING THE TYPE OF PRACTICE THAT
3	WOULD LEND ITSELF TO HAVING HOSPITALIZED PATIENTS.
4	Q DOCTOR, DO YOU REMEMBER RECEIVING A SECOND LETTER
5	FROM WILLIS-KNIGHTON?
6	A YES.
7	Q WAS THAT LETTER SIMILAR TO THIS LETTER?
8	A YES. IN RESPONSE TO THEM I HAD SUBMITTED CASES THAT
9	I HAD DONE AT THE CLINIC IN BOSSIER.
10	MR. DUNCAN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. I JUST NEED TO
11	KNOW IF WE'RE REFERRING TO AN EXHIBIT?
12	THE COURT: I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW ALSO.
13	IS THERE AN EXHIBIT HERE THAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO?
14	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, HE'S JUST I CAN
1.5	REPHRASE THE QUESTION, BUT I'M NOT USING AN EXHIBIT RIGHT NOW.
16	THE COURT: OKAY.
17	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
18	Q DOCTOR, DID YOU SUPPLY ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO
19	WILLIS-KNIGHTON AFTER YOU RECEIVED JOINT EXHIBIT 144?
20	A YES.
21	Q WHAT DID YOU GIVE THEM?
22	A I SENT THEM JUST THE OPERATIVE PAGE ON PATIENTS
23	WITH THE PATIENTS' NAME BLACKED OUT OF COURSE, OPERATIVE PAGE
24	THAT WE DO ON THE PATIENTS ON REPRESENTATIVE PATIENTS THAT
25	I HAD DONE. BUT THEY DID NOT DEEM THAT SUFFICIENT TO SATISFY

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 31 of 304

1.	THEIR REQUIREMENTS.
2	MR. DUNCAN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. HEARSAY.
3	THE COURT: MS. DOUFEKIAS?
4	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, THAT INFORMATION IS
5	ALREADY IN EVIDENCE. I'M GLAD TO ALREADY IN EVIDENCE. I'M
6	HAPPY TO POINT TO AN EXHIBIT.
7	MR. DUNCAN: THAT'S WHY I ASKED ABOUT THE EXHIBIT
8	EARLIER, YOUR HONOR. I JUST WANT TO SEE
9	THE COURT: YEAH, I WOULD LIKE FOR US ALL TO BE ON
10	THE SAME PAGE, SO WHY DON'T WE LOOK AT AN EXHIBIT, IF YOU'VE
11	GOT ONE.
12	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
13	Q DOCTOR, IF YOU COULD LOOK AT THOSE BINDERS AGAIN?
14	A YES.
15	Q I THINK YOU'RE GOING TO BE LOOKING AT BINDER TWO OF
16	FOUR THIS TIME. IF YOU COULD LOOK FOR JOINT EXHIBIT NUMBER
17	89. OH, I APOLOGIZE. IT'S BINDER THREE. I HAVE ALL OF MY
18	BINDERRING NUMBERING OFF, I'M SORRY.
19	MR. DUNCAN: DEMME, WE HAVE THAT IN OUR HARD COPY,
20	JUST SO YOU'LL KNOW.
21	THE WITNESS: IT'S BINDER NUMBER THREE OF FOUR?
22	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
23	Q THREE OF FOUR, JOINT EXHIBIT 89, AND THIS IS ALSO A
24	CONFIDENTIAL EXHIBIT.
25	A WAS THAT 1989 I'M SORRY, 89?

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 32 of 3082

1		Q	EIGHTY-NINE.
2		A	YES.
3		Q	AFTER YOU SUBMITTED ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION TO
4	WILL	IS-KN	IGHTON, DID YOU RECEIVE THIS LETTER?
5		A	YES.
6		Q	AND IS THIS LETTER WILLIS-KNIGHTON'S RESPONSE TO
7	WHAT	YOU	SENT THEM?
8		Α	YES.
9		Q	DID YOU UNDERSTAND THIS LETTER TO MEAN THAT
.0	WILL	IS-KN	IGHTON WAS NOT SATISFIED WITH WHAT YOU SENT THEM?
1		A	YES.
.2	- 6	Q	HAVE YOU HEARD ANYTHING ELSE FROM WILLIS-KNIGHTON
13	SINCE	E YOU	RECEIVED JOINT EXHIBIT 89?
L4		A	NO.
.5		Q	DO YOU ANTICIPATE BEING ABLE TO PROVIDE
16	WILL	IS-KN	IGHTON WITH THE KIND OF INFORMATION THAT THEY
L7	REQU	IRE?	
18		Α	SINCE IT DOESN'T EXIST, NO.
19		Q	WILL IT EXIST AT ANY TIME IN THE NEAR FUTURE?
20		A	NO.
21		Q	WHY IS THAT?
22		A	BECAUSE I'M JUST NOT INTERESTED IN DOING A
23	HOSP	ITAL-	BASED PRACTICE.
24		Q	WHERE ELSE DID YOU APPLY FOR ADMITTING PRIVILEGES?
25		A	I APPLIED AT TULANE MEDICAL CENTER IN NEW ORLEANS,

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 33 of 306

1	1 LOUISIANA.	
2	2 Q AND DID YOU RECEIVE A	RESPONSE FROM TULANE WHEN YOU
3	3 APPLIED?	
4	4 A YES.	
5	5 Q WHAT RESPONSE DID YOU	RECEIVE FROM TULANE?
6	6 MR. DUNCAN: AGAIN, YO	UR HONOR. OBJECTION. COULD
7	7 WE REFER TO AN EXHIBIT, PLEASE?	
8	8 THE COURT: IS THERE A	N EXHIBIT?
9	9 MS. DOUFEKIAS: SURE.	
.0	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:	
1	Q DOCTOR, IF YOU COULD T	AKE A LOOK AT JOINT
1.2	EXHIBIT 183? THAT WILL BE IN BI	NDER FOUR, AGAIN.
13	A OKAY. I HAVE BINDER F	OUR. WHAT WAS THAT AGAIN?
L4	Q ONE EIGHTY-THREE.	
.5	A OKAY. GOT IT.	
16	Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS	DOCUMENT?
L7	A YES.	
8	Q WITHOUT DESCRIBING THE	SPECIFIC CONTENT OF THE
19	DOCUMENT, COULD YOU TELL US WHAT	THIS DOCUMENT IS?
20	20 A I'M SORRY, TELL TEL	L YOU THE DOCUMENT WHAT?
21	Q TELL THE COURT WHAT TH	E DOCUMENT IS.
22	A THAT WAS A BASICALL	Y A LETTER FROM THE GOVERNMENT
23	23 INFORMING ME THAT THE GOVERNING	BOARD OF TULANE HAD GRANTED ME
24	PRIVILEGES WITH CERTAIN RESTRICT	IONS.
25	Q DID YOU SPEAK WITH ANY	BODY ABOUT YOUR TULANE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 34 of 30/54

1	PRIVILEGES DURING THE PROCESS OF YOUR APPLICATION?
2	A YES.
3	Q AND WITHOUT SAYING THE DOCTOR'S NAME, WHO DID YOU
4	SPEAK WITH?
5	A I SPOKE WITH THE HEAD OF THE OBSTETRICAL AND
6	GYNECOLOGICAL DEPARTMENT AT L EXCUSE ME, AT TULANE MEDICAL
7	CENTER.
8	MR. DUNCAN: YOUR HONOR, PARDON ME. I'M GOING TO
9	OBJECT AGAIN TO HEARSAY WITH RESPECT TO COMMUNICATIONS WITH
LO	THE DOCTOR UNLESS WE CAN REFER TO AN EXHIBIT.
11	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR
.2	THE COURT: I HAVEN'T HEARD THE QUESTION YET, BUT
13	I'M SURE IT'S COMING.
L4	IS THERE A QUESTION, WHAT DID THE DOCTOR
L5	MS. DOUFEKIAS: NO.
L6	THE COURT: OKAY. SINCE THERE'S NOTHING TO SUSTAIN
L7	OR OVERRULE.
18	MR. DUNCAN: WITHDRAW, YOUR HONOR.
19	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
20	Q DID YOU COMMUNICATE WITH THE DOCTOR BY E-MAIL?
21	A YES.
22	Q AND IF I REFER TO, DOCTOR A, DO YOU KNOW WHO THAT
23	IS?
24	A YES.
25	Q IS THAT THE DOCTOR THAT YOU COMMUNICATED WITH BY

1	E-MAIL?
2	A YES.
3	Q WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF YOU TESTIFIED THAT
4	YOUR TULANE PRIVILEGES HAVE SOME CONDITIONS. WHAT IS YOUR
5	UNDERSTANDING ABOUT THE CONDITIONS THAT YOUR TULANE PRIVILEGES
6	COME WITH?
7	A YES. THEY FALL UNDER THE CATEGORY OF COURTESY
8	PRIVILEGES. I THINK EACH HOSPITAL DEFINES THEIRS SOMEWHAT
9	DIFFERENTLY. BUT I WILL GO ON IF I HAVE TO PUT A PATIENT
10	INTO TULANE MEDICAL CENTER, I WILL BE ON RECORD AS THE
11	ADMITTING PHYSICIAN, BUT THEY IMMEDIATELY TAKE OVER THE CARE
12	OF THAT PATIENT.
13	Q AND HAS TULANE IDENTIFIED DOCTORS WHO WILL TAKE OVER
14	THE CARE OF ANY PATIENTS YOU ADMIT
15	A YES.
16	Q ONCE THEY'RE ADMITTED? ARE YOU AWARE DOCTOR
17	OH, BEFORE I ASK THAT, WHAT DOES IT MEAN WHEN ONE
18	DOCTOR COVERS ANOTHER DOCTOR?
19	A WELL, THAT MEANS THAT EXACTLY AS IT'S IMPLIED.
20	YOU'RE TAKING OVER THE CARE OF ANOTHER DOCTOR'S PATIENT FOR A
21	PRESCRIBED PERIOD OF TIME.
22	Q ARE YOU AWARE THAT SECRETARY KLIEBERT HAS FILED AN
23	AFFIDAVIT IN THIS CASE ABOUT THE SUBJECT OF YOUR PRIVILEGES?
24	A I WAS MADE AWARE OF THAT RECENTLY, YES.
25	MR. DUNCAN: YOUR HONOR, I GUESS MY OBJECTION IS

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 36 of 30%

1.	THAT, MS. DOUFEKIAS HAS REFERRED TO THE DECLARATION AND IT'S			
2	NOT IN EVIDENCE. IF WE'D LIKE TO MOVE IT TO BE IN EVIDENCE, I			
3	WON'T OBJECT TO IT.			
4	MS. DOUFEKIAS: THAT'S MY NEXT QUESTION.			
5	MR. DUNCAN: OH, WITHDRAWN.			
6	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOU HAD YOUR COFFEE THIS MORNING,			
7	MR. DUNCAN.			
8	MR. DUNCAN: I HAD TOO MUCH COFFEE THIS MORNING.			
9	THE COURT: LET THE RECORD REFLECT			
10	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, I'M NOT SURE, BECAUSE			
11	THESE ARE DOCUMENTS THAT WE JUST EXCHANGED THIS MORNING. IS			
12	THERE A COPY OF THIS IN THE BINDER? DO YOU HAVE A COPY YOU			
13	CAN PUT UP ON THE SCREEN?			
14	MR. DUNCAN: SO WE GAVE YOU THE HARD COPIES AND MS.			
15	CAUSEY NEEDS THE COPIES			
16	THE COURT: SOMEWHERE AMONGST ALL OF THESE BINDERS I			
17	HAVE IT			
18	MR. DUNCAN: WE WERE JUST EXCHANGING IT THIS			
19	MORNING.			
20	THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE GREAT IF I COULD HAVE			
21	ANOTHER COPY.			
22	MR. DUNCAN: LET'S DO THIS. PARDON ME.			
23	MS. DOUFEKIAS: IF YOU DON'T HAVE A COPY FOR THE			
24	WITNESS I CAN GIVE THE WITNESS MY COPY.			
25	MR. DUNCAN: WELL, YOU CAN DO IT ELMO, RIGHT?			

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 37 of 3087

1.	MS. DOUFEKIAS: THAT'S FINE. IF YOU CAN PUT IT ON
2	THE SCREEN, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.
3	MR. DUNCAN: 157? YOU GOT IT?
4	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE DEFENDANT'S
5	EXHIBIT 157 IS AN AGREED EXHIBIT OR QUICKLY BECOMING ONE.
6	THE COURT: MINE IS LABELED 156.
7	MR. DUNCAN: THAT'S A DIFFERENT EXHIBIT, YOUR HONOR.
8	THE COURT: OKAY.
9	MR. DUNCAN: 157 IS ENTITLED, KLIEBERT DECLARATION.
10	DO YOU HAVE THAT?
1	THE COURT: I HAVE IT, BUT NOT HANDY.
.2	MR. DUNCAN: WELL, WE NEED TO GET IT TO YOU.
13	THE COURT: YEAH, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.
4	MS. DECKER: YOUR HONOR, THE 157, DID YOU FIND THAT?
.5	THEY'RE IN THE STACK THAT I JUST GAVE YOU GUYS. I'M SORRY, I
16	DIDN'T HAVE ANY EXTRA TABS.
.7	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY. HOLD ON.
18	MS. DECKER: I'M SORRY. THEY'RE IN THERE.
19	THE COURT: I THOUGHT THIS WAS ALL 156, BUT I HAVE
20	NOW COME UPON 157.
21	MR. DUNCAN: ARE YOU ABLE TO SHOW IT TO THE WITNESS,
22	MS DEMME? ARE YOU ABLE TO SHOW IT TO THE WITNESS OR DO
23	YOU NEED IT?
24	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I HAVE A HARD COPY THAT I CAN GIVE
25	THE WITNESS OR IF YOU CAN PUT IT UP ON THE SCREEN. WE'LL PUT

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 38 of 30%

1	IT UP ON THE SCREEN.
2	MR. DUNCAN: I'VE GOT IT.
3	MS. DOUFEKIAS: SO, YOUR HONOR, I'D MOVE DEFENDANT'S
4	EXHIBIT 157 IN AS A JOINT EXHIBIT.
5	MR. DUNCAN: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
6	THE COURT: CAN WE GIVE IT A NUMBER; JOINT EXHIBIT
7	NUMBER? IS IT 190 IS THE NEXT ONE?
8	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I BELIEVE THAT'S JOINT EXHIBIT 191,
9	YOUR HONOR.
LO	THE COURT: 191, OKAY.
1	YOU HAVE IT, DOCTOR, ON THE SCREEN?
.2	THE WITNESS: YES.
13	THE COURT: OKAY.
14	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
.5	Q DOCTOR, IF YOU COULD SCROLL DOWN OR IF SOMEBODY
L6	COULD SCROLL DOWN FOR YOU, I BELIEVE IT'S PARAGRAPH 6.
L7	A OKAY.
18	Q IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT SECRETARY KLIEBERT HAS
19	STATED IN THIS DECLARATION THAT YOUR PRIVILEGES AT TULANE
20	SATISFY HB 388?
21	A LET ME TAKE A MOMENT TO READ THAT, PLEASE. CAN YOU
22	REPEAT THE QUESTION?
23	Q OF COURSE. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT IN
24	PARAGRAPH 6 OF JOINT EXHIBIT 191, SECRETARY KLIEBERT HAS TAKEN
25	THE POSITION THAT YOUR TULANE PRIVILEGES SATISFY THE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 39 of 309

1.	REQUIREMENT IN HB 388?				
2	A THAT SEEMS TO BE WHAT SHE'S SAYING.				
3	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS ABOUT THAT?				
4	A YES.				
5	Q COULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR CONCERNS?				
6	A WELL, MY READING OF HOUSE BILL 388, WHERE THEY				
7	SPECIFICALLY SAY THAT ACTIVE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES INCLUDES				
8	BEING ABLE TO DO DIAGNOSTIC TESTS AND SURGERY ON THE PATIENT,				
9	IS NOT WHAT I HAVE AT TULANE. SO I WOULD BE VERY CONCERNED				
LO	THAT REGARDLESS OF HER DECLARATION, THAT WHAT I HAVE DOES				
1	NOT AT TULANE DOES NOT SATISFY HOUSE BILL 388.				
.2	Q AND IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT YOU CAN NOT DO				
13	SURGERY AT TULANE BASED ON YOUR CONVERSATIONS WITH PEOPLE				
L4	MR. DUNCAN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. HEARSAY. CAN				
1.5	WE REFER TO AN EXHIBIT?				
L6	THE COURT: I MEAN IT IS HEARSAY UNLESS YOU TELL ME				
L7	AN EXCEPTION TO IT THAT I BUY.				
L8	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, WE'VE HAD THIS OBJECTION				
19	BEFORE. I'M NOT OFFERING IT FOR THE TRUTH, I'M JUST OFFERING				
20	IT FOR WHAT THE DOCTOR UNDERSTANDS.				
21	THE COURT: IS THIS A STATE OF MIND?				
22	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YES.				
23	THE COURT: OKAY, WELL THEN FOR THAT PURPOSE AND				
24	LIMITED PURPOSE, I'LL ALLOW IT.				
25	MR. DUNCAN: THANK YOU.				

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 40 of 309

1	THE COURT: LET ME ASK YOU THIS, JUST A POINT OF
2	CLARIFICATION FOR THE COURT. DOES THE LETTER FROM TULANE
3	DELINEATE THAT HE CAN PERFORM SURGERY?
4	MS. DOUFEKIAS: IT DOES NOT, YOUR HONOR.
5	THE COURT: OKAY.
6	MR. DUNCAN: YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE THAT'S GOING TO
7	BE
8	THE COURT: THAT'S AN ISSUE.
9	MR. DUNCAN: THAT'S APPARENTLY AN ISSUE.
.0	THE COURT: I SEE.
1	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
.2	Q DOCTOR, DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS THAT THE POSITION
13	SECRETARY KLIEBERT HAS TAKEN IN THIS DECLARATION MAY CHANGE AT
L4	ANY POINT IN TIME?
L5	A YES, I DO.
6	Q COULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN THAT CONCERN?
L7	A WELL, THE LETTER FROM TULANE I MEAN SPECIFICALLY
18	SAYS, THAT I WILL HAND OVER IMMEDIATELY MY CARE OF THE PATIENT
19	TO THEM, WHICH I THINK IS PROPER, ACTUALLY. AND SHE SEEMS TO
20	BE SAYING THAT THAT SATISFIES HOUSE BILL 388. WHAT I'M
21	READING SEEMS TO ME THAT IT DOESN'T. I WOULD BE VERY
22	CONCERNED THAT SOMEBODY WOULD COME UP IN THE FUTURE WITH A
23	DIFFERENT INTERPRETATION.
24	Q DOCTOR, DO YOU KNOW WHAT PATIENT CONTINUITY OF CARE
25	MEANS?

1 A YES.

Q WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?

A TO ME IT MEANS THAT YOU'RE GETTING THE BEST CARE

POSSIBLE FOR YOUR PATIENT IN A CONTINUING FASHION. THE

PATIENT DOES NOT HAVE TO HAVE THE SAME PHYSICIAN TO ACCOMPLISH

THAT. I THINK THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IN CONTINUITY OF CARE

IS IF YOU HAND A PATIENT OFF FOR WHATEVER REASON, IS

COMMUNICATION WITH THE PHYSICIAN THAT YOU'RE HANDING THEM TO.

THAT TO ME IS THE CRUX OF CONTINUITY OF CARE. FOR INSTANCE, IN PRIVATE PRACTICE -- IN A GROUP PRIVATE PRACTICE, AN OBSTETRICAL PATIENT IS OFTEN NOT DELIVERED BY HER DOCTOR IN THAT GROUP BECAUSE SOMEONE ON CALL WILL DO IT. BUT COMMUNICATION WITHIN THAT GROUP IS PARAMOUNT I THINK FOR THE PATIENT TO GET THE BEST CARE.

SAME THING IN MY SITUATION WITH CONTINUITY OF CARE,
MEANS IF I DO HAVE A COMPLICATION THAT REQUIRES
HOSPITALIZATION, IS MAKING SURE THEY GET TO A FACILITY THAT
CAN PROVIDE THEM GOOD CARE AND COMMUNICATION WITH THAT
FACILITY FROM MY PART.

Q DOCTOR, HAVE YOU EVER -- DOCTOR, HAVE YOU EVER -ACTUALLY, I'M SORRY, LET ME JUST ASK YOU ONE REAL QUICK
QUESTION. BEFORE WHEN WE WERE DISCUSSING YOUR TULANE
PRIVILEGES, YOU SAID THAT YOU THOUGHT IT WAS APPROPRIATE FOR
YOU TO HAND YOUR PATIENTS OFF TO A DOCTOR AT TULANE; IS THAT
CORRECT?

1	A YES.
2	Q WHY DO YOU HAVE THAT VIEW?
3	A WELL, YOU KNOW, I HAVEN'T DONE A HYSTERECTOMY IN TEN
4	YEARS, SINCE I BASICALLY RETIRED FROM MY SERVICES FROM LSU. I
5	STILL KNOW HOW TO DO ONE. I STILL KNOW HOW TO ROLLER SKATE,
6	BUT I'M NOT GOING TO BE AS GOOD AT IT AS I WAS WHEN I WAS
7	DOING A LOT OF THESE A YEAR. PLUS, ANY DOCTOR WILL TELL YOU
8	THAT THEY HAVE THEIR FAVORITE PLACES TO OPERATE. THE FIRST
9	QUESTION I ASKED MY SURGEON ON MY FOURTH NECK SURGERY IS WHERE
10	DO YOU FEEL MOST COMFORTABLE DOING THIS?
11	SO I THINK THE PATIENT IS MUCH BETTER SERVED IF I
12	HAVE A COMPLICATION THAT REQUIRES SURGERY, TO GET HER IN THE
13	HANDS OF SOMEONE, GIVE THAT SOMEONE ALL OF THE KNOWLEDGE I CAN
14	ABOUT THE SITUATION AND HAVE THEM DO THE APPROPRIATE SURGERY,
15	IF THAT'S WHAT'S REQUIRED.
16	Q DOCTOR, DO YOU HAVE THAT SAME VIEW OF YOUR ABILITY
17	TO PERFORM AN ABORTION?
18	A NO, I'M VERY GOOD AT DOING ABORTIONS BECAUSE I DO
19	THEM ALL THE TIME.
20	Q HAVE YOU HAD IN THE COURSE OF YOUR WITHDRAW. IN
21	THE PAST FIVE YEARS HAVE YOU HAD ANY PATIENTS THAT HAVE
22	REQUIRED HOSPITALIZATION?
23	A IN THE PAST FIVE YEARS, YES.
24	Q HOW MANY?
25	A TWO, I BELIEVE, THAT I CAN RECALL.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 43 of 399

Q	IN BOT	TH OF THO	SE INSTA	NCES DI	D YOU SPEA	AK WITH TH
HOSPITAL	DOCTOR	WHEN THE	PATIENT	WAS TR	ANSFERRED	TO THE
HOSPITAL'	?					

A ABSOLUTELY.

Q WHY IS THAT?

A ONE OF MY BIGGEST GRIPES AND ONE THING I STAYED ON THE RESIDENTS ABOUT WHEN I WAS TEACHING, IS DON'T JUST DUMP A PATIENT OFF TO SOMEONE ELSE WITHOUT GIVING THAT SOMEONE ELSE INFORMATION. I CAN HONESTLY SAY I HAVE NEVER SENT A PATIENT TO ANOTHER INSTITUTION THAT I DID NOT CALL, SEND EVERY WRITTEN RECORD THAT'S APPLICABLE AND APPROPRIATE THAT I COULD. AND TRY TO SPEAK TO AS MANY PEOPLE INVOLVED IN THAT PATIENT'S CARE AS POSSIBLE.

Q YOU MENTIONED THAT THERE WERE TWO PATIENTS THAT HAD
TO BE TRANSFERRED TO THE HOSPITAL. COULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE
THOSE TWO CASES?

A YES. ONE CASE WAS REALLY QUITE INTERESTING AND REALLY, REALLY RARE. IT WAS SECOND A TRIMESTER PROCEDURE. I BELIEVE SHE WAS APPROXIMATELY 18 WEEKS GESTATION LMP, HAD REALLY AN UNCOMPLICATED PROCEDURE. IT WENT VERY EASILY. JUST NO SENSE THAT ANYTHING HAD GONE AWRY FROM, YOU KNOW, MY END OF THINGS AND YET WHEN I FINISHED EMPTYING THE UTERUS, THE PATIENT STARTED HAVING DIS — VAGINAL BLEEDING, BUT FROM AN ABDOMINAL EXAM, IT BECAME APPARENT QUITE QUICKLY THAT THIS PATIENT WAS HAVING INTRA-ABDOMINAL BLEEDING ON A LARGE SCALE.

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 44 of 304

WE IMMEDIATELY CALLED 911, GOT HER TO ACTUALLY, IN
THAT CASE, WILLIS-KNIGHTON IN BOSSIER. I CALLED THE EMERGENC
ROOM PHYSICIAN. WE WERE IN WHILE THE EMTS WERE IN THE
PROCESS OF GETTING HER READY FOR TRANSPORT, WE WERE COPYING
THE CHART. I WAS WRITING MY NOTE TO SEND WITH THEM. I CALLED
THE DOCTOR THAT WAS GOING TO BE INVOLVED WHEN I FOUND OUT WHO
HE WAS, AND ASKED HIM TO PLEASE GIVE ME A FOLLOW-UP AFTER THE
GOT OUT OF SURGERY. I STILL HAD PATIENTS TO ATTEND TO.

AND WHAT IT ENDED UP BEING, SHE HAD HAD ONE PREVIOUS C-SECTION AND IT WAS ACTUALLY A LOW TRANSVERSE INCISION ON THE UTERUS, WHICH IS THE LEAST LIKELY TO RUPTURE, BUT HER SCAR JUST LET GO, IT WAS JUST GAPING WIDE OPEN.

NOT IN A TRAUMATIC FASHION. THE DOCTOR THAT DID THE SURGERY, WE DISCUSSED IT AFTERWARDS, AND THAT IS -- YOU COULD GO A WHOLE CAREER AND NEVER SEE ANYTHING LIKE THAT AT THAT GESTATIONAL AGE. IT'S RARE EVEN IN PREGNANCIES THAT ARE FURTHER ALONG AFTER MULTIPLE C-SECTIONS, BUT ALMOST UNHEARD OF IN THIS SITUATION. VERY UNUSUAL. SHE DID REQUIRE A HYSTERECTOMY AND SHE DID WELL. I DID GO TO THE HOSPITAL AND TALKED TO HER FAMILY AND THEN LATER WENT BACK AND TALKED TO HER AND FORTUNATELY SHE DID WELL.

Q BASED ON YOUR REVIEW OF THE CASE, WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING ABOUT WHETHER HER C-SECTION SCAR WOULD HAVE BURST AT SOME POINT HAD SHE CARRIED TO TERM?

A IT'S ALMOST CERTAIN THAT IN AN IRONIC WAY, HAVING

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 45 of 305

THAT TERMINATION PROBABLY SAVED HER LIFE. BECAUSE AT SOME
POINT, IF IT HAD THINNED OUT THAT MUCH BY 18 WEEKS, THAT LOWER
UTERINE SEGMENT WHERE THE SCAR IS, IF IT HAD THINNED OUT TO
THAT POINT BY 18 WEEKS, IT WAS ALMOST CERTAINLY GOING TO
RUPTURE LATER IN PREGNANCY AND IF SHE HAD DONE THIS AT HOME,
QUITE FRANKLY I DON'T KNOW IF SHE WOULD HAVE MADE IT TO THE
HOSPITAL OR WOULD HAVE SURVIVED.

Q DID THE OPERATING SURGEON AGREE WITH YOUR ASSESSMENT?

A YES.

Q YOU SAID THAT THERE WERE TWO CASES; CAN YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SECOND CASE?

A YES. THE OTHER CASE, SHE WAS A LADY THAT, ONCE
AGAIN, HAD A TWO DAY TERMINATION, A SECOND TRIMESTER
TERMINATION. I DON'T RECALL HOW FAR ALONG, BUT AN EASY CASE,
SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION. SHE WAS -- I BELIEVE HAD HAD SEVERAL
VAGINAL DELIVERIES AND HAD A CONDITION, WHAT WE CALL UTERINE
ATONY. IT'S JUST WHERE THE UTERUS DOESN'T CONTRACT ENOUGH TO
CLOSE OFF THE VESSELS THAT WERE SUPPLYING THE AFTERBIRTH OR
PLACENTA. AND IN THAT CASE, YOU KNOW, THEY KEEP BLEEDING FROM
THAT PLACENTAL BED. YOU CAN ADMINISTER DRUGS, WHICH WE DID,
AND UTERINE MASSAGE TO HELP THE UTERUS CLAMP DOWN.

SHE CONTINUED TO -- HER UTERUS WAS A LITTLE SLOWER
TO RESPOND THAN I LIKED. I DIDN'T FEEL LIKE IT WAS -- YOU
KNOW, SHE WAS IN A CRITICAL -- IT WASN'T TO THAT POINT IN A

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 46 of 3045

1	CRITICAL ACUTE STATE OF BLEEDING, BUT IT WAS JUST MORE THAN I
2	WAS COMFORTABLE WITH. SO I MADE THE DECISION TO CALL 911 AND
3	GET EMTS OUT FOR TRANSPORT AND ONCE AGAIN, I BELIEVE THAT MAY
4	HAVE BEEN TO LSU. I DON'T RECALL SPECIFICALLY. BUT
5	INTERESTINGLY, BY THE TIME THEY GOT THERE, SHE HAD PRETTY MUCH
6	QUIT BLEEDING. I THINK ALL OF THE DRUGS WE HAD GIVEN AND THE
7	MASSAGE WORKED.
8	TOOK HER TO THE HOSPITAL ANY WAY. I THINK THEY
9	WATCHED HER. I DON'T EVEN THINK THEY ADMITTED HER AND YES, IT
.0	WAS LSU, BECAUSE THE RESIDENT CALLED ME AND THEY DISCHARGED
1	HER SEVERAL HOURS LATER AND SHE DID FINE,
.2	Q IN THE 35 YEARS THAT YOU PERFORMED ABORTIONS, HOW
L3	MANY ABORTIONS DO YOU THINK YOU PERFORMED OVER THAT PERIOD OF
L4	TIME?
1.5	A IN THE ENTIRETY? SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 30 AND 40,000, I
16	WOULD GUESS. NOT GUESS. SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 30 AND 40,000.
L7	Q AND OF THAT NUMBER, HOW MANY CASES HOW MANY OF
8	YOUR PATIENTS DO YOU BELIEVE WITHDRAW. OF THAT NUMBER, HOW
19	MANY PATIENTS OF YOUR PATIENTS HAVE REQUIRED
20	HOSPITALIZATION TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE?
21	A I WOULD SAY MORE THAN 10, LESS THAN 20.
22	Q I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
23	THE COURT: CROSS EXAMINATION?
24	MR. DUNCAN: JUST GIVE ME ONE SECOND, I NEED TO LUG

25 | SOME BINDERS UP THERE.

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 47 of 3087

1	THE COURT: YOU BET.			
2	MR. DUNCAN: OKAY, WE'RE READY TO GO, JUDGE?			
3	THE COURT: READY TO GO.			
4	MR. DUNCAN: GREAT.			
5	CROSS			
6	BY MR. DUNCAN;			
7	Q DOCTOR, MY NAME IS KYLE DUNCAN. I REPRESENT THE			
8	DEFENDANT IN THIS MATTER, WHO'S THE SECRETARY OF THE			
9	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HOSPITALS NAMED KATHY KLIEBERT.			
10	THANK YOU FOR BEING WITH US. I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS FOR YOU.			
1	DOCTOR, LET'S START BY REVIEWING WHAT YOU'VE SAID SO FAR ABOUT			
.2	YOUR ADMITTING PRIVILEGES. JUST TO RECAP, YOU PROVIDE			
13	ABORTION SERVICES AT TWO CLINICS, BOSSIER CITY MEDICAL SUITE			
L4	IN BOSSIER CITY AND CAUSEWAY MEDICAL CLINIC IN METAIRIE;			
L5	RIGHT?			
16	A THAT'S CORRECT.			
L7	Q WITH RESPECT TO YOUR PRACTICE AT BOSSIER CITY			
18	MEDICAL SUITE, YOU'VE APPLIED FOR PRIVILEGES AT			
.9	WILLIS-KNIGHTON IN BOSSIER CITY; RIGHT?			
20	A THAT'S CORRECT.			
21	Q YOU DID NOT APPLY FOR PRIVILEGES AT CHRISTUS			
22	SCHUMPERT; CORRECT?			
23	A THAT IS CORRECT.			
24	Q YOU DIDN'T APPLY TO CHRISTUS BECAUSE CHRISTUS IS A			
25	CATHOLIC HOSPITAL; IS THAT RIGHT?			

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 48 of 3048

1	Α	YES.		
2	Q	IT'S TRUE ISN'T IT THAT YOU THOUGHT IT WOULD NOT BE		
3	WORTH THE	PAPERWORK OR THE FEE TO APPLY TO CHRISTUS; ISN'T		
4	THAT RIGH	τ?		
5	Α	THAT WOULD BE ACCURATE.		
6	Q	ARE YOU NOT AWARE THAT DR. DOE 3 WHEN I SAY		
7	DR. DOE 3	, DO YOU KNOW WHO I'M TALKING ABOUT?		
8	А	YES, I DO.		
9	Q	GREAT. ARE YOU NOT AWARE THAT DR. DOE 3 HAS		
.0	ADMITTING	PRIVILEGES AT CHRISTUS?		
1	А	I AM AWARE OF THAT.		
1.2	Q	YOU ALSO DID NOT APPLY FOR PRIVILEGES AT MINDEN		
13	MEDICAL CI	ENTER; IS THAT RIGHT?		
L4	A	NO, DID NOT.		
L5	Q	AND YOU HAVE NO INTENTION OF APPLYING THERE FOR		
16	PRIVILEGE:	5?		
L7	A	NO, I DO NOT.		
18	Q	NOW, WITH RESPECT TO YOUR PRACTICE AT CAUSEWAY		
19	MEDICAL C	ENTER IN METAIRIE, YOU APPLIED FOR PRIVILEGES AT		
20	TULANE MEDICAL CENTER; RIGHT?			
21	A	THAT'S CORRECT.		
22	Q	AND TULANE IS THE ONLY NEW ORLEANS AREA HOSPITAL		
23	WHERE YOU	APPLIED FOR PRIVILEGES; CORRECT?		
24	Α	THAT IS CORRECT.		
25	Q	NOW, WHEN I MENTION THE DR. JOHN DOE NUMBER 5; DO		

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 49 of 309

1	YOU KNOW WHO I'M REFERRING TO?
2	A NO, I DO NOT.
3	Q OKAY. I'LL REPRESENT TO YOU I WON'T GIVE YOU THE
4	DOCTOR'S NAME, BECAUSE THE DOCTOR, LIKE YOU, IS PRECEDING
5	ANONYMOUSLY, I'LL REPRESENT TO YOU THAT DR. JOHN DOE 5 IS
6	ANOTHER OUTPATIENT ABORTION PROVIDER IN LOUISIANA. ARE YOU
7	AWARE THAT THIS OUTPATIENT ABORTION PROVIDER, DR. JOHN DOE 5,
8	WAS GRANTED ADMITTING PRIVILEGES AS A MEMBER OF THE COURTESY
9	MEDICAL STAFF AT ANOTHER HOSPITAL IN THE NEW ORLEANS AREA LAST
10	YEAR?
11	A NO, I WAS NOT AWARE OF THAT.
12	Q OKAY. NOW WE'VE JUST HEARD A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS
13	ABOUT YOUR APPLICATION TO TULANE AND THE PRIVILEGES THAT HAVE
14	BEEN GRANTED TO YOU AT TULANE, SO I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME
15	QUESTIONS ABOUT THAT. IS THAT OKAY?
16	A SURE.
17	Q GREAT. NOW, IT'S TRUE, ISN'T IT, THAT TULANE THIS
18	PAST FEBRUARY GRANTED YOU ADMITTING PRIVILEGES AS A MEMBER OF
19	THE COURTESY MEDICAL STAFF, ISN'T IT?
20	A CORRECT.
21	Q NOW WE HAD A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS ABOUT
22	COMMUNICATIONS VIA E-MAIL THAT YOU HAD WITH A DOCTOR AT TULANE
23	NAMED, DR. A, I BELIEVE THAT'S WHAT WE'RE CALLING THE DOCTOR.
24	DO VOLL KNOW WHO I'M REFERRING TO?

25

I DO.

17-30397.6488

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 50 of 359

Q	OKAY. I WANT YOU WE'RE GOING TO REFER TO SEVERAL
DOCUMENTS	FROM THAT COMMUNICATION AND THESE ARE DOCUMENTS,
MAYBE YOU'	VE BEEN IN THIS PART OF THE BINDER ALREADY, BUT I
JUST WANT	TO ORIENT YOURSELF TO IT. THESE DOCUMENTS ARE
GENERALLY	IN THE JOINT EXHIBIT BINDER NUMBER FOUR AND THEY GO
FROM DOCUM	MENTS 169 THROUGH 184. I'LL LET YOU JUST PAUSE AND
ORIENT YOU	JRSELF AND LET THE COURT AND EVERYBODY ELSE DO THAT
FOR A SECO	OND. LET ME KNOW WHEN YOU'VE SORT OF PUT YOUR HANDS
ON THOSE,	DOCTOR. ARE YOU THERE, DOCTOR?

- A YOU SAID 164?
- Q I'M SORRY; JOINT EXHIBIT 169, THAT'S WHERE WE'RE GOING TO START AND THEY GO ALL THE WAY THROUGH 184. JUST WANTED YOU TO SEE WHERE THEY ARE IN THE GENERAL UNIVERSE OF DOCUMENTS. DO YOU SEE THAT?
 - A YES, I AM AT -- I AM AT THAT POINT. I DO SEE THAT.
 - Q GREAT.

MR. DUNCAN: NOW I BELIEVE THAT EVERYTHING I'M

REFERRING TO IS, FOR THE BENEFIT OF COURT AND COUNSEL, IS

GOING TO BE CONFIDENTIAL, SO IT SHOULDN'T BE DISPLAYED ON THE

GENERAL -- ON THE AUDIENCE DISPLAY, THE GALLERY, BUT IT CAN BE

DISPLAYED ON OUR COMPUTERS.

THE COURT: OKAY.

BY MR. DUNCAN:

- Q LET'S START AT JX 169, DOCTOR.
- A OKAY.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 51 of 305

1	Q I'M GOING TO LOOK AT THAT ON MY HARD COPY, BECAUSE I
2	CAN NOT SEE. THIS SCREEN IS SO LIGHT THAT I CAN'T SEE IT, BUT
3	I'VE GOT THE HARD COPIES RIGHT HERE. NOW I'D LIKE YOU TO
4	NOW, SINCE THIS IS CONFIDENTIAL, DOCTOR, WE'RE NOT GOING TO
5	READ VERBATIM FROM WHAT IT SAYS, WE'RE GOING TO REFER TO WHAT
6	IT SAYS AS CLEARLY AS WE CAN WITHOUT READING VERBATIM FROM IT.
7	SO I'D LIKE FOR YOU TO REVIEW THAT FIRST OF ALL, LET ME
8	SAY, DOES THAT LOOK LIKE AN E-MAIL EXCHANGE THAT YOU
9	RECOGNIZE?
10	A YES.
11	Q THAT'S BETWEEN YOU AND THE DR. A AT TULANE WE WERE
12	REFERRING TO; CORRECT?
13	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, I'M SORRY, TO INTERRUPT.
14	BUT THE VERSION THAT'S ON THE SCREEN IS NOT REDACTED PER THE
15	CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT.
16	THE COURT: LET'S TAKE IT DOWN THEN.
17	MR. DUNCAN: TAKE THAT DOWN.
18	THE COURT: I'M LOOKING AT THE HARD COPY MYSELF AND
19	I THINK THE DOCTOR HAS A HARD COPY IN FRONT OF HIM.
20	MR. DUNCAN: OKAY, SO THESE WERE REDACTED AND WE'RE
21	NOW PUTTING UP THE CORRECT REDACTED ONE.
22	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I THINK IF WE JUST OPERATED ON THE
23	HARD COPIES, I THINK THAT IF THE WITNESS HAS A HARD COPY AND
24	MR. DUNCAN HAS A HARD COPY, I THINK THAT WOULD BE FINE.
25	MP DUNCAN' I DON'T MIND DOING IT THAT WAY JUDGE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 52 of 363

1	IT'S EASI	ER FOR ME TO LOOK AT THE HARD COPY.
2		THE COURT: LET'S DO IT THAT WAY THEN.
3		MR. DUNCAN: OKAY.
4	BY MR. DL	INCAN:
5	Q	SO REFERRING AGAIN TO JX 169, DOCTOR, YOU RECOGNIZE
6	THAT E-MA	AIL?
7	A	YES.
8	Q	WHY DON'T YOU JUST TAKE A LOOK AT IT, READ IT
9	THROUGH.	NOW I JUST WANT TO ASK YOU A GENERAL QUESTION.
.0	Α	OKAY.
1	Q	DOES THIS E-MAIL REFLECT THE BEGINNING OF A
1.2	CONVERSAT	TION, E-MAIL CONVERSATION, THAT YOU HAD WITH TULANE
L3	MEDICAL C	ENTER OVER SEVERAL MONTHS REGARDING YOUR SEEKING
L4	ADMITTING	PRIVILEGES?
.5	Α	CORRECT.
6	Q	AND THAT EXCHANGE OF COMMUNICATIONS WAS INITIATED BY
L7	YOU IN OF	DER TO OBTAIN ADMITTING PRIVILEGES AND COMPLY WITH HB
18	388; RIGH	IT?
19	Α	THAT WOULD BE ACCURATE.
20	Q	I'D LIKE TO GO NOW TO JOINT EXHIBIT 175?
21	A	EXCUSE ME, 175?
22	Q	YES, SIR, 175. YOU FIND THAT ONE, DOCTOR?
23	Α	I'M WORKING ON IT.
24	Q	OKAY.
25	A	OKAY, I HAVE JOINT EXHIBIT 175.

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 53 of 30/5

1	Q GREAT. NOW, I'D LIKE FOR YOU TO LOOK AT THAT.
2	REVIEW THAT ONE, PLEASE. DOCTOR, IS THIS AN E-MAIL EXCHANGE
3	SORT OF FURTHER DOWN IN THE LINE OF YOUR COMMUNICATIONS WITH
4	THIS DOCTOR AT TULANE REGARDING YOUR SEEKING ADMITTING
5	PRIVILEGES?
6	A YES.
7	Q OKAY, I'D JUST REFER YOU TO THE RESPONSE FROM DR. A
8	TO YOU GIVEN ON AUGUST 18TH, 2014. THAT'S THE TOP E-MAIL ON
9	THAT ON THAT EXHIBIT. DO YOU SEE THAT?
LO	A YES.
.1	Q NOW LET ME I'M NOT GOING TO READ VERBATIM FROM
.2	THE E-MAIL, BUT I WANT TO REFER TO IT. IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT
L3	THIS E-MAIL DESCRIBES DR. A, THAT SHE'S WORKING ON AN APPROACH
4	WHERE YOU GET ADMITTING PRIVILEGES FOR YOUR ABORTION PATIENTS,
1.5	IN OTHER WORDS, WOMEN THAT HAVE, YOU KNOW, POSSIBLE
16	COMPLICATIONS FROM ABORTION, AND UNDER THAT ARRANGEMENT YOU
L7	THEN CONSULT WITH THE DEPARTMENT FOR FURTHER CARE AND
L8	FURTHER LET ME JUST STOP THERE. IS THAT A FAIR
19	CHARACTERIZATION?
20	MS. DOUFEKIAS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. MR. DUNCAN
21	WAS OBJECTING TO THESE DOCUMENTS BECAUSE THEY'RE HEARSAY. SO
22	IF THEY'RE HEARSAY FOR ME, THEY'RE HEARSAY FOR HIM.
23	THE COURT: WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE E-MAIL; WHAT'S
24	IN THE E-MAIL?

MR. DUNCAN: NO, I -- I'M SORRY,

25

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 54 of 3054

1	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
2	MR. DUNCAN: I DID NOT OBJECT TO ANY OF THESE
3	DOCUMENTS AS HEARSAY. WHAT I OBJECTED TO WAS REFERENCE TO
4	COMMUNICATIONS THAT ARE NOT CONTAINED IN ANY EXHIBIT THAT WE
5	HAVE HERE.
6	THE COURT: NUMBER ONE, THESE ARE JOINT EXHIBITS.
7	THEY'RE ADMITTED. THEY'RE IN EVIDENCE, SO I'LL OVERRULE THE
8	OBJECTION.
9	MR. DUNCAN: THANK YOU.
10	BY MR. DUNCAN:
11	Q DOCTOR, DID YOU UNDERSTAND MY QUESTION OR WOULD YOU
12	LIKE ME TO REPHRASE IT?
13	A COULD YOU REPEAT IT PLEASE OR REPHRASE IT?
14	Q SURE. DOES THIS E-MAIL INDICATE THAT THE DOCTOR AT
15	TULANE WHO YOU'RE E-MAILING IS WORKING ON AN APPROACH WHERE
16	YOU CAN GET ADMITTING PRIVILEGES FOR YOUR ABORTION PATIENTS
17	AND THEN YOU WOULD CONSULT WITH THE DEPARTMENT AT TULANE FOR
18	THE CARE OF THOSE PATIENTS?
19	A I THINK THAT'S ACCURATE, YES.
20	Q THANK YOU. AND IT ALSO SAYS, YOU KNOW, AND AGAIN,
21	I'M JUST CHARACTERIZING THE DOCUMENT WITHOUT READING IT
22	VERBATIM. IT ALSO SAYS THAT YOU'D HAVE TO SHOW TULANE THAT
23	YOU KNOW HOW TO DIAGNOSE AND INITIALLY MANAGE ABORTION
24	COMPLICATIONS; CORRECT?
25	A CORRECT.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 55 of 305

1.	Q OKAY. THANK YOU. LET'S GO TO JX 183. I THINK
2	YOU'VE ALREADY LOOKED AT THIS DOCUMENT, SO TURN TO THAT ONE.
3	THAT'S THE ACTUAL LETTER AND THE DELINEATION FROM TULANE.
4	183. ARE YOU THERE, DOCTOR?
5	A I'M WORKING ON IT.
6	Q OKAY. SORRY. I'VE HAD A LOT OF COFFEE THIS
7	MORNING, AS WE'VE ESTABLISHED.
8	A I'M NOT AS FAST AS YOU YOUNGSTERS, BUT I'M GETTING
9	THERE.
10	Q THANK YOU, DOCTOR.
11	A OKAY. I HAVE EXHIBIT 183.
12	Q NOW, IF YOU LOOK AT THAT EXHIBIT IT LOOKS LIKE ONE
13	PAGE OF IT IS A LETTER FROM TULANE AND THEN THE NEXT PAGE OF
14	IT IS CALLED DELINEATION OF PRIVILEGES; DO YOU SEE THAT?
15	A CORRECT.
16	Q I WANT YOU TO LOOK AT THE DELINEATION OF PRIVILEGES
17	PAGE AND ASK YOU A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS ABOUT THAT. NOW THIS
18	DOCUMENT IS CONFIDENTIAL SO I'M NOT GOING TO READ VERBATIM
19	FROM IT, BUT I AM GOING TO CHARACTERIZE IT FOR YOU. DOES THIS
20	REFLECT THAT YOU HAVE BEEN GIVEN THE STATUS OF COURTESY
21	MEDICAL STAFF AT TULANE?
22	A YES.
23	Q AND DOES THIS INDICATE THAT YOU HAVE PRIVILEGES FOR
24	OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY AT TULANE?
25	A NO.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 56 of 3056

1	Q OKAY. WELL, DOCTOR, I'M JUST GOING TO AGAIN, I
2	CAN'T READ VERBATIM FROM THE DOCUMENT, BUT I'D JUST LIKE YOU
3	TO LOOK AT THE PART OF THE DOCUMENT THAT SAYS THAT REFLECTS
4	WHAT THE PRIVILEGES ARE FOR AND DOES IT REFLECT THAT THEY'RE
5	FOR OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY?
6	A I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION. MY THE
7	CONDITION ON THIS LIMITS ME AND IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT
8	CONDITION LIMITS ME TO WHAT I CAN DO.
9	Q I UNDERSTAND THAT, DOCTOR. I'M NOT ASKING ABOUT THE
10	LIMITATION ON THE PRIVILEGES. I'M JUST ASKING ABOUT THE
11	GENERAL CATEGORY OF PRIVILEGES THAT ARE REFLECTED ON THE
12	DOCUMENT. DOES IT NOT IS IT NOT THE CASE THAT THE GENERAL
13	CATEGORY OF PRIVILEGES REFLECTED ON THE DOCUMENT THAT YOU'VE
14	BEEN GRANTED AS A MEMBER OF THE COURTESY STAFF FOR OBSTETRICS
15	AND GYNECOLOGY?
16	A YES.
17	Q THANK YOU. NOW, YOU'LL SEE A COLUMN THERE, AGAIN,
18	I'M NOT GOING TO READ VERBATIM FOR IT FROM IT, BUT THE
19	COLUMN SAYS PRIVILEGE. RIGHT? DO YOU SEE THAT COLUMN?
20	A YES.
21	Q OKAY. AND THERE'S A NUMBER OF THERE'S THREE
22	PARAGRAPHS UNDER THAT COLUMN, WRITTEN IN VERY SMALL PRINT. DO
23	YOU SEE THAT?
24	A YES.
25	Q OKAY. LET ME ASK YOU THIS, DOES THIS DOCUMENT

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 57 of 3057

1.	REFLECT THAT CORE PRIVILEGES IN OBSTETRICS CAN INCLUDE THE
2	ABILITY TO ADMIT A PATIENT?
3	A YES.
4	Q AND DOES IT REFLECT THAT CORE PRIVILEGES IN
5	OBSTETRICS INCLUDE THE ABILITY TO DIAGNOSE A PATIENT?
6	A YES.
7	Q AND DOES IT REFLECT THAT CORE PRIVILEGES IN
8	OBSTETRICS CAN INCLUDE THE ABILITY TO PROVIDE MEDICAL AND
9	SURGICAL CARE FOR A PATIENT?
10	A YES.
11	Q THANK YOU.
12	A BUT I DON'T DO OBSTETRICS.
13	Q YES, THANK YOU, DOCTOR. I'LL ASK YOU ABOUT THE
14	LIMITATION NOW.
15	A OKAY.
16	Q SO YOU SEE WAY OVER ON THE RIGHT, THERE'S A
17	CONDITION ON THE PRIVILEGES?
18	A CORRECT.
19	Q SO IT DOES REFLECT THAT THE PRIVILEGES ARE LIMITED
20	TO SOMETHING; IS THAT RIGHT?
21	A CORRECT.
22	Q RIGHT. NOW IF YOU READ THAT, I KNOW WE CAN'T READ
23	IT VERBATIM, THE COURT CAN AND COUNSEL CAN.
24	THE COURT: AND THE COURT IS.
25	MR. DUNCAN: AND THE COURT IS READING IT, GOOD.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 58 of 358

1	BY MR. DUNCAN:
2	Q DOCTOR, DOES THIS CONDITION, IS IT FAIR TO SAY FROM
3	WHAT IS SAID ON THAT PAGE, THAT THIS CONDITION ALLOWS YOU TO
4	ADMIT PATIENTS WITH REFERRAL OF THOSE PATIENTS TO AN ATTENDING
5	PHYSICIAN AT TULANE?
6	A CORRECT.
7	Q OKAY, THAT'S GREAT. THANK YOU. I'M JUST GOING TO
8	ASK YOU A COUPLE MORE QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS. I KNOW IT'S HARD
9	TO GO THROUGH THESE DOCUMENTS. LET'S LOOK LET'S GO BACK
.0	TWO AND GO TO JX 181. THAT'S TWO BACK FROM 183.
1	A I WOULD HOPE SO.
.2	Q WE'VE ESTABLISHED THAT. VERY GOOD. ALL RIGHT. DO
L3	YOU SEE THAT, 181?
L4	A YES, I HAVE 181.
.5	Q GREAT. NOW, THAT'S WOULD YOU LOOK AT THAT
16	E-MAIL? YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
L7	A YES.
18	Q THAT'S FURTHER PART OF YOUR COMMUNICATIONS WITH THIS
.9	DOCTOR AT TULANE, DR. A, REGARDING YOUR SEEKING ADMITTING
20	PRIVILEGES; CORRECT?
21	A CORRECT.
22	Q NOW THIS ONE IS DATED FEBRUARY 23RD, 2015; IS IT
23	NOT?
24	A YES.
25	Q THAT IS IN FACT, THAT'S RIGHT AROUND THE TIME

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 59 of 359

1	THAT YOU WERE ACTUALLY GRANTED THE PRIVILEGES AT TULANE, ISN'T
2	IT?
3	A YES.
4	Q OKAY. NOW, IF YOU LOOK AT THIS E-MAIL, I THINK WHAT
5	YOU'LL SEE THERE I'LL JUST ASK YOU. DO YOU SEE THERE THE
6	SAME LANGUAGE FROM YOUR DELINEATION OF PRIVILEGES THAT
7	REFLECTS THE LIMITATION ON THE PRIVILEGES?
8	A YES.
9	Q IN FACT, IT'S QUOTED. WE WON'T READ IT VERBATIM,
10	BUT IT'S QUOTED THERE. NOW, I WANT YOU TO LOOK AT THE
11	SENTENCE RIGHT UNDER THAT. I WANT YOU TO READ THAT SENTENCE.
12	A YOU WANT ME TO READ
13	Q NO, I'M SORRY. DON'T READ IT OUT LOUD, JUST READ IT
14	TO YOURSELF.
15	A YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE SENTENCE UNDER WHAT?
16	Q UNDER WHERE THE E-MAIL IS QUOTING THE LIMITATION
17	ON YOUR PRIVILEGES FROM THE DELINEATION AND THEN RIGHT UNDER
18	IT LET ME JUST ASK YOU. RIGHT UNDER IT, IS THERE A
19	SENTENCE THAT EXPLAINS FURTHER WHAT THOSE PRIVILEGES MEAN?
20	A YES.
21	Q NOW, DOES THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THAT
22	SENTENCE, IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT WHAT TULANE WHAT THE
23	DOCTOR AT TULANE IS SAYING IS BASICALLY YOU HAVE ADMITTING
24	PRIVILEGES, BUT YOU HAVE TO CONSULT WITH US RIGHT AWAY?
25	A MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT I HAVE MY NAME WILL BE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 60 of 369

1	AS THE ADMITTING PHYSICIAN, BUT THE CARE OF THE PATIENT IS
2	TURNED OVER TO THEM IMMEDIATELY. THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING,
3	YES.
4	Q I UNDERSTAND THAT'S YOUR UNDERSTANDING, DOCTOR. BUT
5	I'M ASKING ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR COMMUNICATION WITH TULANE.
6	OKAY, NOW YOU'VE READ THAT SENTENCE, RIGHT? I CAN'T QUOTE IT
7	VERBATIM.
8	MS. DOUFEKIAS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. COUNSEL IS
9	ASKING FOR THE WITNESS' UNDERSTANDING OF HIS PRIVILEGES BASED
.0	ON THIS DOCUMENT AND THE WITNESS IS ANSWERING WITH HIS
1	UNDERSTANDING AND COUNSEL KEEPS ASKING THE QUESTION ABOUT HIS
.2	UNDERSTANDING. IT'S ASKED AND ANSWERED.
L3	MR. DUNCAN: YOUR HONOR, OF COURSE THE REASON THAT
L4	I'M DOING I DON'T WANT TO DO THAT, YOUR HONOR. BUT THE
1.5	REASON I'M DOING THAT IS BECAUSE I CAN'T READ THE THING TO
.6	HIM.
L7	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. I UNDERSTAND. AND I AM
18	READING IT AND I'M LOOKING AT THE LANGUAGE AND UNDERSTAND THE
19	ISSUES, SO
20	MR. DUNCAN: FINE. YOU'RE HONOR IS READING IT.
21	BY MR. DUNCAN:
22	Q SO, DOCTOR, IS YOUR ANSWER THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING
23	OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS NOT THAT YOU HAVE ADMITTING
24	PRIVILEGES, BUT HAVE TO CONSULT WITH TULANE RIGHT AWAY?

MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT I WOULD BE THE ADMITTING

25

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 61 of 365

1	PHYSICIAN OF RECORD, BUT THEY WILL BE THE TREATING PHYSICIANS
2	Q OKAY, IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THIS SENTENCE, THAT
3	TULANE HAS NAMED A DOCTOR THAT HAS AGREED TO BE YOUR PRIMARY
4	CONSULTANT?
5	A YES.
6	Q ONE MORE E-MAIL, DOCTOR. JX JOINT EXHIBIT 184.
7	DO YOU SEE THAT ONE, DOCTOR?
8	A I JUST GOT THERE.
9	Q OKAY. THANK YOU. SO LOOK AT THAT. DO YOU
10	RECOGNIZE THAT E-MAIL EXCHANGE?
11	A YES.
12	Q OKAY. THIS E-MAIL EXCHANGE IS ALSO FROM DR. A AT
13	TULANE TO YOU REGARDING THE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES THAT HAVE
14	BEEN GRANTED; CORRECT?
15	A CORRECT.
16	Q AND THIS ONE IS DATED MARCH 5TH, 2015; IS IT NOT?
17	A YES.
18	Q OKAY. AND THAT'S, JUST TO BE CLEAR, THAT'S MAYBE
19	ALMOST TWO WEEKS, MAYBE TEN DAYS OR SO AFTER THE PRIVILEGES
20	WERE ACTUALLY GRANTED; RIGHT?
21	A YES.
22	Q OKAY. NOW, IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT THIS E-MAIL
23	EXCHANGE IS TO FURTHER EXPLAIN THE NATURE OF YOUR PRIVILEGES
24	GRANTED AT TULANE?
25	A YES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 62 of 362

1	Q EVEN AFTER YOU RECEIVED THE DELINEATION IN THE
2	LETTER; CORRECT?
3	A CORRECT.
4	Q YOU SOUGHT FURTHER CLARIFICATION FROM TULANE VIA
5	THIS E-MAIL EXCHANGE; CORRECT?
6	A CONFIRMATION. YES. YES.
7	Q THANK YOU. AND READING THIS E-MAIL RESPONSE FROM
8	DR. A, DO YOU SEE THAT? THAT'S THE FIRST E-MAIL THERE? IT'S
9	REALLY JUST THREE SENTENCES, WHICH I WON'T READ VERBATIM. BUT
.0	IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT THIS E-MAIL REFLECTS THAT YOU WILL BE
1	THE ADMITTING PHYSICIAN AND THAT TULANE WILL BE THE CONSULTING
.2	PHYSICIAN AND IT NAMES A DOCTOR?
13	A YES.
4	Q OKAY. IS THAT THE FINAL COMMUNICATION YOU HAVE
L5	RECEIVED FROM TULANE REGARDING THE PRIVILEGES GRANTED TO YOU
16	ON FEBRUARY 24TH?
L7	A I BELIEVE SO.
18	Q OKAY. NOW, LET ME ASK YOU, YOU WERE ASKED STRIKE
19	THAT. YOU WERE ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT A DECLARATION THAT
20	SECRETARY KLIEBERT HAS FILED IN THIS LITIGATION, WEREN'T YOU?
21	A YES.
22	Q LET'S MAKE SURE WE'RE ALL LOOKING AT THE
23	DECLARATION. FIRST OF ALL ME. THAT TO REFRESH YOUR
24	MEMORY, DOCTOR, THAT WAS DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 157. 157. DO
25	YOU HAVE THAT IN FRONT OF YOU, DOCTOR? WE ACTUALLY HAD THAT

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 63 of 363

1.	UP ON TH	E SCREEN EARLIER, DIDN'T WE?
2	A	157?
3	Q	157, RIGHT. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE YOU WERE
4	LOOKING	AT IT.
5	А	I'M WORKING ON IT.
6	Q	OKAY.
7	A	YOU DID SAY ONE, FIVE, SEVEN?
8	Q	RIGHT; ONE, FIVE, SEVEN. THE CONFUSION MAY BE
9	THAT'S D	EFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 157. EARLIER I BELIEVE YOU WERE
.0	LOOKING	AT IT, MS. DOUFEKIAS WAS REFERRING TO A PARTICULAR
1	PARAGRAP	H ON THAT DOCUMENT.
.2	A	IT'S ON THE COMPUTER SCREEN.
13	Q	OKAY. YOU CAN SEE THAT OKAY?
L4	A	WELL, IT'S NOT ON THERE NOW. IT DROPPED OFF.
.5	Q	OKAY. IT'S BACK UP.
16	A	YES, I SEE DECLARATION OF SECRETARY KATHY KLIEBERT?
.7	Q	YES. LET ME JUST ASK YOU A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. DO
18	YOU KNOW	WHO SECRETARY KLIEBERT IS? DO YOU KNOW OFFICIALLY
19	WHO SHE	IS?
20	A	YES.
21	Q	AND SHE IS THE SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
22	AND HOSP	ITALS; IS SHE NOT?
23	A	YES.
24	Q	AND YOU KNOW THAT SHE'S THE DEFENDANT IN THIS
25	LITIGATI	ON?

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 64 of 369

1	A YES.
2	Q SO, NOT TO BE NOT TO BE UGLY ABOUT IT, BUT YOU
3	SUED HER, DIDN'T YOU?
4	A YES.
5	Q AND YOU SUED HER, DID YOU NOT, BECAUSE SHE'S IN
6	CHARGE OF ENFORCING HB 388?
7	A YES.
8	Q LET'S LOOK AT PARAGRAPH 6. I BELIEVE MS. DOUFEKIAS
9	WAS ASKING YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT PARAGRAPH 6. WELL, I'M
.0	SORRY, DOCTOR, JUST ONE FOLLOW-UP ON WHAT I JUST ASKED YOU.
1	ARE YOU AWARE OF ANYONE ELSE IN THE STATE OF LOUISIANA WHO
12	ENFORCES HB 388?
L3	A I'M SORRY, COULD YOU REPEAT THAT?
L4	Q ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY OTHER OFFICIAL IN THE STATE OF
L5	LOUISIANA WHO ENFORCES HB 388?
16	A NO, I'M NOT.
L7	Q ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY OTHER OFFICIAL IN THE STATE OF
18	LOUISIANA THAT ENFORCES ABORTION REGULATIONS?
19	A OUTSIDE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HOSPITALS?
20	Q CORRECT.
21	A NO, I'M NOT.
22	Q NOW, LET'S LOOK AT PARAGRAPH 6 AGAIN. THIS IS
23	SECRETARY KLIEBERT'S DECLARATION ABOUT YOUR PRIVILEGES AT
24	TULANE. DO YOU SEE THAT PARAGRAPH?
25	A YES, I'M READING IT AS WE SPEAK.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 65 of 365

1.	Q WONDERFUL. NOW, SINCE THIS ONE IS NOT A
2	CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT WE CAN READ ALONG TOGETHER, SO LET'S DO
3	THAT. SECRETARY KLIEBERT SAYS, "HAVING REVIEWED THE DOCUMENTS
4	REFERRED TO ABOVE IN PARAGRAPH 4" AND IF YOU'LL LOOK AT
5	PARAGRAPH 4 YOU'LL SEE THAT THE DOCUMENT SHE'S REFERRING TO
6	ARE THESE COMMUNICATIONS AND LETTERS FROM TULANE THAT WE'VE
7	BEEN TALKING ABOUT.
8	A RIGHT.
9	Q SHE SAYS, "I HAVE DETERMINED THAT THE ADMITTING
10	PRIVILEGES GRANTED TO DR. JOHN DOE 2 ARE SUFFICIENT TO COMPLY
11	WITH THE ACT." MORE SPECIFICALLY, SHE GOES ON TO SAY, "A, THE
12	HOSPITAL THAT HAS GRANTED DR. JOHN DOE 2 PRIVILEGES IS ONE
13	THAT PROVIDES OBSTETRICAL AND GYNECOLOGICAL HEALTH SERVICES
14	AND IS LICENSED BY THE DEPARTMENT." ALL RIGHT, DO YOU SEE
1.5	THAT?
16	A YES.
17	Q DO YOU AGREE WITH THAT? AS FAR AS YOU KNOW DO YOU
18	AGREE WITH THAT STATEMENT?
19	A YES.
20	Q AND THEN, B, IT SAYS, "THAT HOSPITAL HAS APPOINTED
21	DR. JOHN DOE 2 A MEMBER IN GOOD STANDING OF IT'S COURTESY
22	MEDICAL STAFF. DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON NOT TO AGREE WITH THAT?
23	A NO.

Q C, SHE SAYS, THE HOSPITAL'S GOVERNING BYLAWS PROVIDE

THAT MEMBERS OF THE COURTESY MEDICAL STAFF HAVE THE ABILITY TO

24

25

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 66 of 365

1	ADMIT PATIENTS. DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO DISAGREE WITH THAT?
2	A NO.
3	Q D, THE CLINICAL PRIVILEGES GRANTED ALLOW DR. JOHN
4	DOE TWO TO ADMIT HIS ABORTION PATIENTS TO A HOSPITAL WHERE
5	DIAGNOSTIC AND SURGICAL CARE CAN BE PROVIDED TO SUCH PATIENTS.
6	DO YOU AGREE WITH THAT STATEMENT?
7	A NO.
8	Q AND E, THE HOSPITAL IS WITHIN 30 MILES OF THE
9	LOCATION WHERE DR. JOHN DOE TWO PROVIDES OUTPATIENT ABORTION
10	SERVICES IN THE NEW ORLEANS AREA. DO YOU AGREE WITH THAT
11	STATEMENT?
1.2	A NO.
13	Q THANK YOU. NOW WHEN MS. DOUFEKIAS WAS ASKING YOU
14	QUESTIONS YOU EXPRESSED CONCERNS ABOUT PARAGRAPH SIX. DO YOU
1.5	REMEMBER THAT?
16	A YES.
17	Q AND IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOUR CONCERNS ARE THAT
18	SECRETARY KLIEBERT MADE, OR SOME OTHER SECRETARY IN THE FUTURE
19	MAY CHANGE THEIR VIEW OF WHAT ACT 620 REQUIRES. DIDN'T YOU
20	TESTIFY TO THAT?
21	A YES.
22	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO BELIEVE THAT SECRETARY
23	KLIEBERT WILL SOMEHOW CHANGE HER VIEW OF YOUR PRIVILEGES AT
24	TULANE?
25	A I WAS GOING BY MY READING OF HOUSE BILL 388.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 67 of 367

1	Q	I UNDERSTAND, DOCTOR. WHO'S RESPONSIBLE FOR
2	ENFORCING	HOW BILL 388 BY LAW IN LOUISIANA?
3		MS. DOUFEKIAS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. HE'S NOT A
4	LAWYER.	
5	11	THE COURT: HE CAN ANSWER IF HE KNOWS.
6	BY MR. DUI	NCAN:
7	Q	IF YOU KNOW?
8	Α	I'M SORRY.
9	Q	WHO'S RESPONSIBLE FOR ENFORCING HB 388 IN LOUISIANA;
10	WHAT DEPA	RTMENT?
11	A	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HOSPITALS.
12	Q	AND SECRETARY KLIEBERT IS THE HEAD OF THAT
13	DEPARTMENT	T, IS SHE NOT?
14	Α	I ASSUME FOR NOW, YES.
15	Q	WOULDN'T IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT SHE HAS THE
16	AUTHORITY	TO INTERPRET AND APPLY HB 388 TO PARTICULAR
17	SITUATION:	5?
18	A	WHILE SHE'S THE HEAD IF THE DHH, YES.
19	Q	SO THE ANSWER IS YES?
20	А	YES.
21	Q	AND YOU UNDERSTAND THAT SHE'S FILED THIS DECLARATION
22	IN THIS L	ITIGATION; CORRECT?
23	A	CORRECT.
24	Q	AND YOU SUED HER BECAUSE YOUR IS IT FAIR TO SAY
25	YOU SUED I	HER BECAUSE YOU WERE CONCERNED THAT YOU WOULDN'T BE

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 68 of 368

1	ABLE TO COMPLY WITH 388 AND BE UNABLE TO CONTINUE TO PROVIDE
2	ABORTION SERVICES?
3	A I'M SORRY, CAN YOU REPEAT THAT?
4	Q SURE.
5	A I DON'T UNDERSTAND EXACTLY WHAT YOU'RE SAYING.
6	Q IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU SUED SECRETARY KLIEBERT
7	BECAUSE YOU WERE CONCERNED THAT HB 388 WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM
8	GAINING ADMITTING PRIVILEGES AND CONTINUING TO APPLY ABORTION
9	SERVICES?
10	MS. DOUFEKIAS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THE QUESTION
11	MAY SOLICIT PRIVILEGED INFORMATION.
12	THE COURT: WE CAN DO ONE OF TWO THINGS. EITHER PUT
13	THE HEAD PHONES ON OR YOU COULD REPHRASE THE QUESTION.
14	MR. DUNCAN: I'LL TRY TO REPHRASE THE QUESTION.
15	BY MR. DUNCAN:
16	Q YOU WERE AWARE THAT A COMPLAINT WAS FILED IN THIS
17	CASE ON YOUR BEHALF, CORRECT, DOCTOR?
18	A CORRECT.
19	Q AND THAT COMPLAINT CHALLENGED HB 388, DID IT NOT?
20	A YES.
21	Q CHALLENGED IT AS VIOLATING THE CONSTITUTION,
22	CORRECT?
23	A CORRECT.
24	Q AND ARE YOU AWARE THAT THE PURPOSE OF FILING THE
25	COMPLAINT WAS TO OVERTURN HB 388?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 69 of 369

1	A YES.
2	Q AND YOU WERE AWARE OF THE COMPLAINT; CORRECT?
3	A YES.
4	Q AND IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOUR REASON FOR JOINING
5	IN THE COMPLAINT WAS BECAUSE YOU WERE CONCERNED THAT HB 388
6	WOULD PREVENT YOU FROM OBTAINING ADMITTING PRIVILEGES AND
7	BEING ABLE TO CONTINUE TO PROVIDE ABORTION SERVICES IN NEW
8	ORLEANS?
9	A YES.
LO	Q OKAY. ALL RIGHT, NO FURTHER QUESTIONS ABOUT THAT
1	DECLARATION. LET'S MOVE ON. I DON'T THINK I HAVE ANYTHING
1.2	ELSE ON IT. SORRY, LET ME JUST GET THESE PAPERS OUT OF THE
L3	WAY.
L4	DOCTOR, LET'S RETURN TO TALKING ABOUT YOUR
.5	PRIVILEGES APPLICATION TO WILLIS-KNIGHTON IN BOSSIER CITY.
16	YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER THAT YOU APPLIED TO WILLIS-KNIGHTON WITH
17	RESPECT TO YOUR ABORTION PRACTICE AT BOSSIER CITY MEDICAL
18	SUITE; CORRECT?
.9	A CORRECT.
20	Q NOW YOU WERE ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR
21	COMMUNICATIONS WITH WILLIS-KNIGHTON, WERE YOU NOT? BY MS.
22	DOUFEKIAS? DO YOU RECALL BEING ASKED QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR
23	COMMUNICATIONS WITH WILLIS-KNIGHTON REGARDING YOUR
24	APPLICATION?
25	A YES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 70 of 379

1	Q OKAY. LET'S REFER TO JX 90. DOCTOR, THAT'S	
2	PROBABLY IN BINDER ONE. JX 90? IT'S IN ONE OF THE EARLIER	
3	BINDER?	
4	THE COURT: IT'S TWO.	
5	BY MR. DUNCAN:	
6	Q WELL, NUMBER TWO, DOCTOR. NUMBER TWO. JX 90. THAT	Г
7	IS WELL LET ME JUST CONFIRM WITH COUNSEL.	
8	MR. DUNCAN: I DON'T THINK THAT ONE HAS BEEN MARKED	
9	CONFIDENTIAL?	
.0	THE COURT: NO, I TAKE THAT BACK, THAT'S IN THREE.	
1	MR. DUNCAN: I'M SORRY, BINDER THREE, JX 90.	
.2	MS. DOUFEKIAS: IT IS CONFIDENTIAL.	
L3	MR. DUNCAN: OH, IT IS CONFIDENTIAL. SO IT SHOULD	
L4	NOT GO UP ON THE GALLERY SCREEN.	
L5	BY MR. DUNCAN:	
16	Q I JUST WANT TO ASK YOU A COUPLE OF BASIC QUESTIONS	
L7	ABOUT	
18	A DO I NEED TO FIND THAT ONE?	
19	Q YES, SIR.	
20	A BINDER THREE?	
21	Q YES, BINDER THREE. IT'S JOINT EXHIBIT OF 90. I	
22	KNOW IT'S DIFFICULT.	
23	A YOU'RE KILLING MY BACK DOWN HERE. THESE ARE HEAVY,	
24	NO WONDER LAWYERS HAVE SUCH STRONG BACKS, OKAY, EXHIBIT 90?	
25	I HAVE IT, YES.	

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 71 of 309

1	Q YES. THIS EXHIBIT SHOULD BE YOUR INITIAL
2	APPLICATION TO THE WILLIS-KNIGHTON HEALTH SYSTEM. AND I JUST
3	WANT YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE EXHIBIT AND TELL ME IF YOU
4	RECOGNIZE IT OF AS YOUR APPLICATION INITIALLY AT
5	WILLIS-KNIGHTON.
6	A YES.
7	Q WOULD YOU REFER TO THERE'S SOME NUMBERS AT THE
8	BOTTOM OF THOSE PAGES AND UNFORTUNATELY THEY'RE LONG AND
9	COMPLICATED. BUT THE NUMBERS THAT I HAD ON THE JOINT EXHIBITS
10	WERE DO YOU SEE NUMBER 2052 AND THEN DASH 123? THIS SHOULD
11	BE AT THE BACK OF THIS EXHIBIT, SORT OF NEAR THE END OF THE
12	EXHIBIT. I'M SORRY, THIS IS SO COMPLICATED, DOCTOR. WE HAD
13	TO ADD PAGES TO THIS PARTICULAR EXHIBIT. I'VE GOT A HARD COPY
14	HERE, LET ME SEE IF I CAN HELP YOU FIND IT. IF YOU FLIP TO
15	THE BACK OF THIS EXHIBIT YOU'LL SEE YOU SEE THOSE PAGE
16	NUMBERS AT THE BOTTOM THAT SAY LIKE 2,000 SOMETHING?
17	A I MUST BE ON THE BINDER THREE
18	Q 90?
19	A 90.
20	Q THAT'S RIGHT.
21	THE COURT: DOCTOR, IN THE CENTER OF THE PAGE, AT
22	THE BOTTOM, IT HAS THE BATES STAMPED NUMBERS AND THIS ONE IS
23	2052 HYPHEN ONE.
24	MR. DUNCAN: YOU GOT IT. THAT'S RIGHT. THANK YOU.
25	THE WITNESS: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR. I DON'T I'M

25

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 72 of 303

1	CONFUSED. I'M NOT I DON'T SEE THESE NUMBERS THAT YOU ALL	
2	ARE REFERRING TO.	
3	THE COURT: YOU WANT TO HELP MS. CAUSEY, SEE IF YOU	
4	CAN HELP HIM FIND IT.	
5	MR. DUNCAN: THIS IS THE ONE THAT WE HAD TO ADD THE	
6	PAGES AT THE BACK TO IT.	
7	THE COURT: IS IT POSSIBLE THAT IT'S NOT IN HIS	
8	BINDER?	
9	MR. DUNCAN: I DON'T KNOW.	
10	THE COURT: IT'S IN MY MINE. I'M LOOKING AT IT AS	
11	WE SPEAK.	
12	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE HIS BINDER WAS	
13	CORRECTED.	
14	MR. DUNCAN: WE'RE GOING TO GET YOU SOME HELP,	
15	DOCTOR.	
16	THE CLERK: WHAT PAGE?	
17	MR. DUNCAN: IT'S 2052, ONE, TWO, AND THREE.	
18	THE CLERK: I TURNED RIGHT TO IT.	
19	THE WITNESS: OH, OKAY.	
20	BY MR. DUNCAN:	
21	Q WE GOT IT?	
22	A I'M SORRY. THIS WAS NOT IN MY BINDER THREE.	
23	Q I'M SORRY, DOCTOR.	
24	A OKAY. HANG ON.	
25	Q WE'RE AT THAT PAGE NOW 2052, ONE, 2052, TWO, 2052,	

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 73 of 30/5

1	THREE.	
2	A YES, 2052, ONE.	
3	Q RIGHT. IT SHOULD LOOK LIKE A CHART?	
4	A YES.	
5	Q IT SAYS PRIVILEGES AND THEN IT LISTS A BUNCH OF	
6	STUFF?	
7	A YES, I HAVE THE ELUSIVE 2051 NOW.	
8	Q VERY GOOD. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS AS A PRIVILEGE	
9	REQUEST FORM TO WILLIS-KNIGHTON? DO YOU RECOGNIZE IT AS YOUR	
10	PRIVILEGE REQUEST FORM?	
11	A 2051?	
12	THE COURT: NO, IT'S 2052 HYPHEN ONE.	
13	BY MR. DUNCAN:	
14	Q 2052, ONE?	
15	A OKAY. HERE WE GO. 2052, ONE.	
16	Q I'M SORRY, DOCTOR. IT'S GOING TO BE ANTICLIMACTIC	
17	WHEN YOU HEAR THE QUESTIONS I'M GOING TO ASK ABOUT IT.	
18	THE COURT: WE'RE WAITING WITH BATED BREATH.	
19	THE WITNESS: MY BREATH IS BATED. YES. YES.	
20	BY MR. DUNCAN:	
21	Q THIS IS YOUR PRIVILEGES REQUEST FORM AT	
22	WILLIS-KNIGHTON FROM MAY 12TH, 2014; IS IT NOT?	
23	A YES.	
24	Q GREAT. IF YOU LOOK AT THE CHART THERE, JUST LOOK AT	
25	THE CHART AND YOU SEE YOU CHECKED SOME BOXES ON THAT PAGE AND	

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 74 of 3054

1	ON THE NEXT PAGE YOU CHECKED SOME MORE BOXES AND THEN ON THE		
2	THIRD PAGE YOU CHECKED ANOTHER BOX; DO YOU SEE THAT?		
3	A CORRECT.		
4	Q IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT IN YOUR INITIAL APPLICATION		
5	YOU REQUESTED PRIVILEGES TO PERFORM CERTAIN KIND OF		
6	GYNECOLOGICAL SURGERY AT WILLIS-KNIGHTON?		
7	A CORRECT.		
8	Q AND THE FORM LISTS ALL OF THOSE DIFFERENT KIND OF		
9	SURGERY THAT YOU ASKED FOR?		
10	A YES.		
11	Q IT'S ALSO TRUE, ISN'T IT, THAT YOU REQUESTED		
12	PRIVILEGES TO PERFORM CERTAIN KINDS OF LAPAROSCOPY		
13	LAPAROSCOPIC SURGERY?		
14	A LAPAROSCOPY, YES.		
15	Q LAPAROSCOPY, I HAVE TROUBLE WITH THAT, YES.		
16	MOVING AWAY FROM THAT. WE'LL GO TO JOINT EXHIBIT 144, WHICH I		
17	THINK YOU SHOULD HAVE BECAUSE YOU WERE ASKED QUESTIONS ABOUT		
18	IT EARLIER. THIS IS ALSO A CONFIDENTIAL EXHIBIT. JOINT		
19	EXHIBIT 144. 144, NOT 24. WAIT, I'M SORRY, I'M SEEING 24		
20	COMING UP ON MY SCREEN. I NEED JOINT EXHIBIT 144, WHICH IS A		
21	CONFIDENTIAL EXHIBIT?		
22	A WHICH BINDER IS THAT IN?		
23	THE COURT: IT'S IN BINDER FOUR.		
24	BY MR. DUNCAN:		
25	Q BINDER FOUR, DOCTOR. THIS IS, WHEN YOU TURN THERE,		

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 75 of 305

1	I THINK YOU'LL SEE THAT THIS IS THE AUGUST 11TH, 2014 LETTER		
2	THAT MS. DOUFEKIAS WAS ASKING YOU ABOUT?		
3	A THAT WOULD BE PAGE 3445?		
4	Q YOU GOT IT.		
5	A YES.		
6	Q YOU'RE LEARNING THE ELEGANT SYSTEM THAT WE HAVE		
7	HERE. THAT'S GOOD. SO THIS IS AS YOU RECALL, THIS IS THE		
8	LETTER FROM WILLIS-KNIGHTON TO YOU REQUESTING DOCUMENTATION IN		
9	CONNECTION WITH YOUR APPLICATION FOR PRIVILEGES; IS IT NOT?		
10	A YES.		
1	Q AND SO THE HOSPITAL ASKED YOU TO PROVIDE SOME		
.2	DOCUMENTATION THERE AND I'D LIKE YOU TO REVIEW JUST THE FIRST		
13	PARAGRAPH THERE IN THE LETTER AND PARTICULARLY FOCUSING ON THE		
L4	LAST TWO SENTENCES?		
.5	A THE LAST TWO SENTENCES OF THE FIRST PARAGRAPH?		
.6	Q YES, SIR.		
L7	A NOW, DOCTOR OKAY.		
8	Q DID YOU READ THAT?		
.9	A YES.		
20	Q THANK YOU. DOCTOR, IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING FROM		
21	READING THAT, THAT WHAT WILLIS-KNIGHTON IS ASKING FOR IS		
22	DOCUMENTATION, INCLUDING OPERATIVE NOTES AND OUTCOMES OF CASES		
23	THAT YOU'VE PERFORMED IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS FOR THE SPECIFIC		
24	PROCEDURES THAT YOU'RE REQUESTING PRIVILEGES FOR ON THE FORM?		
25	ISN'T THAT WHAT THEY'RE ASKING FOR?		

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 76 of 30%

1	A YES.			
2	Q OKAY. AND JUDGING FROM THAT PARAGRAPH, IS IT YOUR			
3	UNDERSTANDING, YOU'RE ASKING FOR THAT DOCUMENTATION IN ORDER			
4	TO DETERMINE WHETHER YOU HAVE THE ABILITY TO PERFORM THOSE			
5	PROCEDURES, SO THAT, YOU KNOW, IN THE INTEREST OF PATIENT CARE			
6	AND SAFETY?			
7	A YES.			
8	Q IS THAT A FAIR CHARACTERIZATION OF WHAT THAT SAYS?			
9	A YES.			
.0	Q NOW, DOCTOR, I KNOW YOU TESTIFIED ABOUT YOUR			
1	RESPONSE TO THIS LETTER, BUT I JUST WANT TO ASK YOU A FEW			
12	QUESTIONS ABOUT IT. IS YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOU COMPLIED WITH			
L3	THE HOSPITALS REQUEST FOR THAT DOCUMENTATION, THE			
L4	DOCUMENTATION IN THE AUGUST 11TH LETTER?			
.5	A I'M NOT EXACTLY SURE WHAT YOUR MEANING IS.			
.6	Q WELL, YOU UNDERSTAND IS THAT THE LETTER IS ASKING			
L7	YOU FOR DOCUMENTATION; RIGHT?			
8	A RIGHT.			
.9	Q WHICH WE JUST TALKED ABOUT. NOW IS IT YOUR			
20	TESTIMONY THAT YOU COMPLIED WITH THAT REQUEST FOR			
21	DOCUMENTATION?			
22	A I COMPLIED TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY.			
23	Q OKAY. LET'S LOOK AT JX 145, THAT'S THE NEXT			
24	DOCUMENT. THIS IS ALSO CONFIDENTIAL, I BELIEVE. DO YOU SEE			
25	THAT DOCUMENT, DOCTOR?			

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 77 of 303

1	A 145.	
2	Q 145. IT SHOULD BE THE ONE RIGHT AFTER 144. THIS IS	
3	CONFIDENTIAL. YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR? THAT'S AN E-MAIL, ISN'T	
4	IT, FROM YOU	
5	A YES.	
6	Q TO SOMEONE AT WILLIS-KNIGHTON?	
7	A YES.	
8	Q RIGHT?	
9	A YES.	
.0	Q COULD YOU READ THAT E-MAIL TO YOURSELF, PLEASE?	
1	A YES.	
.2	Q IS THIS E-MAIL INTENDED TO BE YOUR RESPONSE TO THE	
13	AUGUST 11TH LETTER THAT WAS SENT REQUESTING THE DOCUMENTATION?	
L4	A YES.	
.5	Q NOW I'D LIKE TO FOCUS ON THE FIRST PARAGRAPH. I'M	
16	NOT GOING TO READ IT VERBATIM. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING FROM	
L7	THE FIRST PARAGRAPH THAT YOU'RE AMENDING THE PRIVILEGES THAT	
18	YOU REQUESTED FROM WILLIS-KNIGHTON AND THAT NOW YOU'RE	
.9	REQUESTING COURTESY STAFF AND ALSO PRIVILEGES FOR PERFORMING	
20	SUCTION D&C AND D&E?	
21	A CORRECT.	
22	Q SO YOU AMENDED YOUR PRIVILEGES APPLICATION THROUGH	
23	THAT E-MAIL; IS THAT RIGHT?	
24	A I BASICALLY ELIMINATED EVERYTHING BUT THOSE TWO	
25	PROCEDURES. THAT WAS I JUST REQUESTED THOSE.	

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 78 of 30/9

1	Q OKAY. AND JUST FOCUSING ON THE THIRD PARAGRAPH OF			
2	THAT E-MAIL, I'D LIKE YOU TO READ THAT TO YOURSELF.			
3	A OKAY.			
4	Q IS IT FAIR TO STAY FROM THAT PARAGRAPH THAT YOU'RE			
5	SAYING TO WILLIS-KNIGHTON THAT YOU ARE WELL QUALIFIED TO			
6	PERFORM THE PROCEDURES FOR WHICH YOU'RE REQUESTING PRIVILEGES?			
7	A THAT'S FAIR.			
8	Q IS IT ALSO FAIR TO SAY THAT YOUR RESPONSE TO			
9	WILLIS-KNIGHTON IS, I HAVE EXTENSIVE DOCUMENTATION TO SHOW			
10	THAT, BUT WILLIS-KNIGHTON NEEDS TO SEND SOMEBODY TO THE CLINIC			
11	TO LOOK AT THAT DOCUMENTATION?			
12	A IT WAS JUST AN OFFER.			
13	Q OKAY. NOW LET'S GO TO WELL JUST TO BE CLEAR,			
14	DOCTOR, THAT PARAGRAPH IN YOUR E-MAIL IS INTENDED AS A			
15	RESPONSE TO THE REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTATION ON AUGUST 11TH BY			
16	WILLIS-KNIGHTON; IS IT NOT?			
17	A YES.			
18	Q AND IT'S FAIR TO SAY THAT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING THERE			
19	IS NOT THAT YOU'D SEND THE DOCUMENTATION, BUT THAT SOMEONE			
20	FROM WILLIS-KNIGHTON NEEDS TO COME LOOK AT THE DOCUMENTATION			
21	AT YOUR CLINIC; IS THAT RIGHT?			
22	A YES, BUT THAT'S NOT EXCLUSIVE, I DIDN'T REFUSE TO			
23	SEND THEM THE DOCUMENTATION.			
24	Q WELL, DOES THE E-MAIL THAT WE JUST LOOKED AT SAY			
25	THAT YOU'RE GOING TO SEND THEM THE DOCUMENTATION?			

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 79 of 30/9

1	A NO, NOT THIS NO, IT DOES NOT.			
2	Q THANK YOU, DOCTOR, AT THAT POINT DID			
3	WILLIS-KNIGHTON DENY YOUR REQUEST FOR PRIVILEGES?			
4	A THEY HAVEN'T DENIED MY REQUEST FOR PRIVILEGES.			
5	Q THEY HAVE NOT DENIED YOUR REQUEST FOR PRIVILEGES,			
6	THAT'S YOUR TESTIMONY?			
7	A WELL, IF YOU READ THE LETTERS OF WHEN THEY			
8	RECEIVE MY UNDERSTANDING IS WHEN THEY RECEIVE THE			
9	DOCUMENTATION THEY'RE REQUESTING, THEN THEY WILL CONTINUE WITH			
.0	MY APPLICATION.			
1	Q OKAY. SO YOUR TESTIMONY IS THEY HAVEN'T YET DENIED			
.2	YOUR PRIVILEGES THEN, RIGHT?			
13	A BUT I CAN'T PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION BECAUSE IT			
L4	DOESN'T EXIST.			
.5	MS. DOUFEKIAS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. HE'S MISS			
16	CHARACTERIZING THE WITNESS'S ANSWER.			
L7	THE COURT: I THINK HE JUST DID ANSWER IT,			
18	CLARIFYING IT, BUT I WAS TRYING TO LISTEN TO THE OBJECTION AND			
19	THE WITNESS'S TESTIMONY. COULD YOU REPEAT WHAT YOU JUST SAID,			
20	DOCTOR?			
21	THE WITNESS: YES, YOUR HONOR. YOU KNOW, THEY			
22	HAVEN'T FORMALLY DENIED ME. THEY JUST WHEN THEY RECEIVE			
23	INFORMATION ON HOSPITALIZED PATIENTS IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS,			
24	THEY WILL CONTINUE IT, CONSIDERING MY APPLICATION, EVEN THOUGH			
25	I'VE EXPLAINED THAT THAT INFORMATION DOESN'T EXIST. I'M IN A			

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 80 of 369

1	CATCH 22 BASICALLY. I CAN'T PROVIDE INFORMATION I DON'T HAVE.
2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I UNDERSTAND THE ANSWER.
3	BY MR. DUNCAN:
4	Q OKAY. LET'S LOOK, AGAIN, AT THE LETTER FROM
5	WILLIS-KNIGHTON THAT WE TALKED ABOUT EARLIER. LET ME SEE IF I
6	CAN FIND THE THAT WAS JX 89. WE HAVE TO GO BACK FOR THAT
7	ONE. JX 89. THAT IS ALSO CONFIDENTIAL. I BELIEVE YOU'VE
8	LOOKED AT THAT ALREADY, DOCTOR. THAT IS BINDER THREE.
9	A CAN I JUST READ THIS OFF THE SCREEN.
.0	Q YOU CAN IS IT UP THERE ON THE SCREEN, DOCTOR?
1	A YES.
.2	Q ALL RIGHT. SURE. NOW YOU SEE THAT PARAGRAPH THERE,
13	THAT'S FIRST OF ALL, YOU RECOGNIZE THIS LETTER, RIGHT?
L4	A YES.
.5	Q THIS IS S LETTER FROM WILLIS-KNIGHTON ON
16	NOVEMBER 19TH, 2014, THAT YOU'VE ALREADY TESTIFIED ABOUT?
L7	A YES.
18	Q JUST DRAWING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE BOTTOM OF THAT
19	FIRST LONG PARAGRAPH. DO YOU SEE THAT LAST SENTENCE THERE?
20	JUST READ IT TO YOURSELF, DON'T
21	A YES, SIR.
22	Q DON'T READ IT OUT LOUD. IS IT FAIR TO SAY FROM THIS
23	SENTENCE THAT YOUR APPLICATION AT WILLIS-KNIGHTON REMAINS
24	INCOMPLETE AND CANNOT BE PROCESSED?
25	A THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 81 of 365

1	Q OKAY. DOCTOR, I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU ONE OTHER			
2	QUESTION ABOUT SOMETHING YOU SAID ABOUT YOUR PRIVILEGES			
3	AT AND I'M PROBABLY GOING TO GET THE NAME WRONG AT THE LSU			
4	SHREVEPORT SYSTEM. WHAT'S IT CALLED, DOCTOR? UNIVERSITY			
5	HEALTH, IS THAT THE RIGHT WAY TO REFER TO IT?			
6	A IT IS CURRENTLY UNIVERSITY HEALTH.			
7	Q THAT'S LSU SHREVEPORT, IS THAT ANOTHER WAY OF			
8	REFERRING TO IT?			
9	A IT USED TO BE LSU HEALTH SCIENCE CENTER. BEFORE			
10	THAT IT WAS LSU HEALTH CENTER. AND BEFORE THAT IT WAS			
11	CONFEDERATE MEMORIAL MEDICAL CENTER.			
12	Q OKAY. IS THE BEST WAY TO REFER TO IT NOW IS			
13	UNIVERSITY HEALTH?			
14	A I THINK THAT WOULD BE ACCURATE.			
15	Q I JUST GET CONFUSED WITH ALL OF THE DIFFERENT NAMES.			
16	A SO DO I.			
17	Q YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER ABOUT THE PRIVILEGES THAT YOU			
18	MAINTAIN AT UNIVERSITY HEALTH; CORRECT?			
19	A CORRECT.			
20	Q AND I BELIEVE YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER, DIDN'T YOU,			
21	THAT YOU HAVE COURTESY PRIVILEGES THERE?			
22	A THAT'S CORRECT.			
23	Q AND DID YOU TESTIFY THAT THOSE THAT YOU WERE			
24	UNABLE TO ADMIT PATIENTS BECAUSE YOU HAVE COURTESY PRIVILEGES			
25	THERE?			

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 82 of 362

1	A THAT'S CORRECT.
2	Q OKAY. FIRST, LET'S REFER TO JX 185. THAT SHOULD BE
3	NUMBER FOUR, BINDER FOUR. WAY IN THE BACK.
4	THE COURT: WHAT'S THE NUMBER?
5	MR. DUNCAN: 185, YOUR HONOR.
6	THE WITNESS: I'M READING IT.
7	BY MR. DUNCAN:
8	Q YOU'RE SEEING THAT ONE? IS THAT A LETTER FROM
9	UNIVERSITY HEALTH SHREVEPORT TO YOU SORRY.
10	MR. DUNCAN: FIRST OF ALL, IS THIS ONE CONFIDENTIAL?
11	IT SHOULD BE CONFIDENTIAL, RIGHT?
12	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YES.
13	BY MR. DUNCAN:
14	Q THIS IS UNIVERSITY HEALTH SHREVEPORT. IT'S A LETTER
15	TO YOU FROM MAY 21, 2015. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
16	A YES.
17	Q OKAY. I WANT YOU TO LOOK AT THE FIRST PARAGRAPH OF
18	THAT LETTER AND READ IT TO YOURSELF, PLEASE.
19	A OKAY.
20	Q IS IT FAIR TO SAY FROM THAT LETTER THAT YOU ARE A
21	MEMBER OF THE CONSULTING STAFF IN OB/GYN AT UNIVERSITY HEALTH?
22	A YES.
23	Q SO IT'S NOT ACCURATE TO SAY THEN, IS IT, THAT YOU'RE
24	A MEMBER OF THE COURTESY STAFF, IS IT? LET ME REPHRASE,
25	DOCTOR. YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER YOU HAVE COURTESY PRIVILEGES AT

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 83 of 363

1	LSU HEAL	TH, RIGHT?
2	A	I'M A MEMBER OF THE COURTESY STAFF.
3	Q	I GUESS LOOKING AT THE LETTER, THOUGH, DOCTOR, ISN'T
4	IT TRUE	THAT YOU'RE A MEMBER OF THE CONSULTING STAFF, NOT
5	COURTESY	STAFF?
6	A	IT SAYS CONSULTING STAFF HERE
7	Q	SO IT DOES SAY THAT ON THE LETTER
8	A	YES.
9	Q	CONSULTING STAFF?
10	А	YES. I APOLOGIZE. I PROBABLY USED THAT
11	INTERCHANGEABLY IN MY MIND AND IT MAY NOT BE.	
12	Q	NO, THAT'S OKAY, DOCTOR. SO WHEN YOU SAID COURTESY
13	PRIVILEGES YOU MEANT CONSULTING?	
14	А	FOR UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL, YES.
15	Q	FOR UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL.
16	A	YES.
17	Q	YOU DIDN'T MEAN COURTESY, YOU MEANT CONSULTING?
18	А	CORRECT.
19	Q	LET'S LOOK JUST ONE SECOND, DOCTOR. LET ME GO
20	GET YET ANOTHER BINDER. HOLD ON ONE SECOND. I'D LIKE YOU TO	
21	REFER TO JX 79. THIS IS BINDER TWO. I'LL WAIT FOR YOU TO GET	
22	THERE.	
23		MR. DUNCAN: IS THIS MARKED CONFIDENTIAL? I
24	HONESTLY	DON'T KNOW. IS THIS ONE
25		THE WITNESS: THAT WAS JX 79?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 84 of 364

1.	BY MR. DUNCAN:
2	Q YES SIR, JX 79, BINDER TWO.
3	MR. DUNCAN: ARE WE CONFIDENTIAL ON THIS ONE? SOME
4	ARE AND SOME AREN'T.
5	MR. ADEN: IT'S NOT CONFIDENTIAL.
6	MR. DUNCAN: NOT CONFIDENTIAL? SO THIS ONE CAN GO
7	UP ON THE SCREEN AND I CAN READ FROM IT, RIGHT? OKAY, THANKS.
8	BY MR. DUNCAN:
9	Q DOCTOR, DID YOU FIND JX 79?
10	A YES.
11	Q WOULD YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST PAGE OF THAT, AND WE'VE
12	GOT THAT UP ON THE SCREEN. THAT'S THE MEDICAL STAFF BYLAWS OF
13	UNIVERSITY HEALTH SHREVEPORT. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT DOCUMENT?
14	A BY RECOGNIZE
15	Q LET ME REPHRASE, DOCTOR. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS AS A
16	DOCUMENT THAT CONTAINS THE BYLAWS OF UNIVERSITY HEALTH
17	SHREVEPORT?
18	A YES.
19	Q YOU'VE REVIEWED BYLAWS BEFORE, CORRECT, DOCTOR?
20	A YES.
21	Q GENERALLY FAMILIAR WITH HOW BYLAWS ARE WRITTEN; THE
22	TERMS IN THEM; IS THAT RIGHT?
23	A YES.
24	Q I WANT YOU TO LOOK AT A PAGE IN THAT DOCUMENT. IT'S
25	PAGE 1707 OF THAT DOCUMENT, IF YOU'LL JUST FLIP IN IT, IT'S

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 85 of 365

1.	QUITE A FEW PAGES INTO IT. IT'S MAYBE 50 PAGES INTO IT, 47
2	PAGES INTO IT. I GUESS THE NUMBER ON THE DOCUMENT IS
3	THERE'S A PAGE 48 AND AT THE BOTTOM THERE'S PAGE 1707.
4	A YEAH, I HAVE IT.
5	Q THERE'S ABOUT FOUR DIFFERENT PAGE NUMBERS ON THE
6	DOCUMENT, BUT WE'LL FIND IT. HAVE YOU GOTTEN THERE?
7	A YES.
8	Q OKAY. GOOD. NOW THIS IS A PAGE LOOK AT THE TOP
9	OF THAT PAGE WHERE IT SAYS, ARTICLE FOUR, CATEGORIES OF THE
10	MEDICAL STAFF. DO YOU SEE THAT?
11	A YES.
12	Q SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY THIS ARTICLE OF THE BYLAWS IS
13	DISCUSSING THE DIFFERENT KINDS OF MEDICAL STAFF AT UNIVERSITY
14	HEALTH SHREVEPORT?
15	A YES.
16	Q OKAY, I WANT YOU TO LOOK ON THE NEXT PAGE AT
17	SECTION 4.4 THAT SAYS, COURTESY STAFF. DO YOU SEE THAT?
18	A YES.
19	Q OKAY. UNDER SECTION 4.4.1 IT SAYS, "REQUIREMENTS
20	FOR COURTESY STATUS." COURTESY STATUS, RIGHT? JUST READ
21	ALONG WITH ME THERE. "THE COURTESY STAFF CATEGORY SHALL
22	CONSIST OF PRACTITIONERS, INCLUDING TELEMEDICINE PHYSICIANS
23	AND PRACTITIONERS. AND NPPS DETERMINED BY THE BOARD TO BE
24	ELIGIBLE FOR STAFF MEMBERSHIP WHO ARE NOT ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN

MEDICAL STAFF AFFAIRS, ADMIT LESS THAN 20 PATIENTS ANNUALLY,

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 86 of 366

1.	DO NOT ROUTINELY ADMIT PATIENTS, ATTEND PATIENTS OR PROVIDE
2	OTHER CLINICAL SERVICES FOR PATIENTS IN HOSPITAL AND ARE NOT
3	MAJOR CONTRIBUTORS TO FULFILLMENT OF MEDICAL STAFF FUNCTIONS
4	DUE TO PRACTICING PRIMARILY IN ANOTHER HOSPITAL OR IN AN
5	OFFICE-BASED SPECIALTY OR OTHER REASONS, BUT WISH TO REMAIN
6	AFFILIATED WITH THE HOSPITAL FOR CONSULTATION, CALL COVERAGE,
7	REFERRAL OF PATIENTS OR OTHER PATIENT CARE PURPOSES."
8	NOW, DOCTOR, MY QUESTION IS, GIVEN THAT DESCRIPTION
9	OF COURTESY STAFF, IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT COURTESY STAFF CAN
10	ADMIT PATIENTS TO THE HOSPITAL?
11	A CANNOT?
12	Q CAN, CAN ADMIT PATIENTS.
13	A CAN?
14	Q YES, IS ABLE TO ADMIT PATIENTS.
15	A FROM MY READING OF THAT, YES, IN THIS CASE.
16	Q AND IT LOOKS LIKE THE LIMITATION ON IT HAS TO DO
17	WITH THE NUMBER OF PATIENTS ADMITTED; CORRECT?
18	A FOR THIS HOSPITAL, YES.
19	Q GREAT. AND THIS IS THE HOSPITAL WHERE WE'VE
20	ESTABLISHED YOU ARE A MEMBER OF THE CONSULTING STAFF; CORRECT?
21	A CORRECT.
22	Q LET'S LOOK AT THE NEXT SECTION 4.5, "CONSULTING
23	STAFF." DO YOU SEE THAT?
24	A YES.
25	Q OKAY. SO ON THE NEXT PAGE, 1709, AT THE TOP OF THE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 87 of 367

1	PAGE, READ WITH ME. IT SAYS, "CONSULTING STAFF MEMBERS ARE
2	NOT PERMITTED TO ADMIT PATIENTS, ORDER OUTPATIENT DIAGNOSTIC
3	TESTS, VOTE, HOLD OFFICE OR SERVE ON ANY COMMITTEES WITH THE
4	EXCEPTION OF SPECIAL COMMITTEES." SO THAT'S YOUR STATUS AT
5	UNIVERSITY HEALTH, YOU'RE CONSULTING STAFF?
6	A CORRECT.
7	Q SO YOU CAN'T ADMIT PATIENTS?
8	A CORRECT.
9	Q BUT YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THERE'S ANOTHER CATEGORY
.0	CALLED COURTESY STAFF THAT CAN ADMIT PATIENTS; CORRECT?
1	A CORRECT.
.2	Q SO JUST TO BE CLEAR, YOU ARE NOT A MEMBER OF THE
13	COURTESY STAFF AT UNIVERSITY HEALTH?
L4	A CORRECT.
L5	Q OKAY. THANK YOU. JUST A COUPLE MORE JUST
16	ANOTHER FEW QUESTIONS, DOCTOR. LET ME ASK A FEW QUESTIONS
L7	ABOUT YOUR ABORTION PRACTICE AND I THINK THAT WILL BE IT.
18	JUST TO RE-CAP SOME OF WHAT YOU TESTIFIED ON DIRECT. YOU
19	PROVIDE SURGICAL ABORTIONS AT BOTH BOSSIER CITY MEDICAL SUITE
20	AND CAUSEWAY MEDICAL CENTER; THE FIRST IS IN BOSSIER, THE
21	SECOND IN METAIRIE 'RIGHT?
22	A THAT'S CORRECT.
23	Q AND AT BOSSIER AND CAUSEWAY YOU PROVIDE BOTH FIRST
24	AND SECOND TRIMESTER ABORTIONS?
5	A CORRECT.

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 88 of 368

1	Q AND YOU DO ABORTIONS UP TO 21 WEEKS SIX DAYS LMP?	
2	A CORRECT.	
3	Q AND OVER THE COURSE OF YOUR CAREER YOU'VE PERFORMED	
4	SOMETHING LIKE 30 TO 40,000 ABORTIONS?	
5	A BETWEEN 30 AND 40,000.	
6	Q OKAY. AND AT BOSSIER CLINIC IN 2014, YOU DID	
7	SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 300 AND 400 ABORTIONS; IS THAT RIGHT? OR	
8	MAYBE YOU CAN BE MORE SPECIFIC?	
9	A APPROXIMATELY 550.	
0.	Q OH, I'M SORRY, APPROXIMATELY 550, OKAY. AND ABOUT	
1	MAYBE 80 TO 90 PERCENT OF THOSE ABORTIONS WERE FIRST	
.2	TRIMESTER; IS THAT CORRECT?	
13	A AT LEAST 90 PERCENT, YES.	
4	Q AT LEAST 90 PERCENT, THANKS. NOW, IT'S TRUE ISN'T	
1.5	IT THAT BOSSIER CLINIC DOES A MUCH LESS MUCH LOWER VOLUME	
16	OF ABORTIONS THAN CAUSEWAY; RIGHT?	
L7	A YES.	
18	Q AND AT CAUSEWAY YOU PERSONALLY DO, I THINK YOU	
19	TESTIFIED 450 ABORTIONS A YEAR, APPROXIMATELY?	
20	A IT VARIES FROM YEAR TO YEAR, BUT APPROXIMATELY, YES.	
21	Q OKAY. AND THE MAJORITY OF THOSE ARE FIRST TRIMESTER	1
22	ALSO; RIGHT?	
23	A CORRECT.	
24	Q AND YOUR INCOME DEPENDS ON HOW MANY ABORTIONS YOU	
25	PERFORM; RIGHT?	

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 89 of 369

1	A THAT'S CORRECT.
2	Q A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR FOLLOW-UP PRACTICES
3	WITH PATIENTS, DOCTOR. WHEN PATIENTS HAVE AN ABORTION,
4	THEY'RE ENCOURAGED TO HAVE A POST-ABORTION CHECKUP; RIGHT?
5	A YES.
6	Q AND THOSE CHECKUPS WOULD ORDINARILY BE THREE TO FOUR
7	WEEKS AFTER THE PROCEDURE?
8	A YES.
9	Q NOW THOSE CHECKUPS ARE NOT MANDATORY; RIGHT?
10	A WE STRESS VERY STRONGLY TO THE PATIENTS THAT THEY
11	REALLY IT IS IN THEIR BEST INTEREST TO GET A CHECKUP IN
12	THREE TO FOUR WEEKS.
13	Q WHY DO YOU STRESS THAT SO STRONGLY, DOCTOR?
14	A JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY HAVE NO COMPLICATIONS,
15	TO MAKE SURE, ESPECIALLY WITH THE YOUNGER PATIENTS, HOPEFULLY
16	GET ACCESS TO CONTRACEPTIVE COUNSELING, FOR THOSE SORTS OF
17	REASONS.
18	Q BUT IT'S TRUE, ISN'T IT, THAT IT'S UP TO THE PATIENT
19	WHETHER SHE COMES BACK; RIGHT?
20	A YES.
21	Q NOW IF A PATIENT DOESN'T COME BACK FOR THAT
22	POST-ABORTION CHECKUP, YOU WOULDN'T KNOW WHETHER THE PATIENT
23	HAD A POST-ABORTION COMPLICATION; RIGHT?
24	A CORRECT.
25	Q AND IT'S TRUE, ISN'T IT, THAT MOST ABORTION PATIENTS

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 90 of 309

, L ,	DON'T RETURN FOR THEIR POST-ABORTION CHECKUP?
2	A I COULDN'T GIVE YOU A PERCENTAGE BECAUSE MANY OF
3	THEM RETURN TO THEIR OWN DOCTORS OR USE OTHER FACILITIES,
4	ESPECIALLY OUT OF STATE PATIENTS. WE OFFER A FREE CHECKUP AT
5	OUR CLINIC THAT THEY CAN AVAIL THEMSELVES OF.
6	Q SO IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOU CAN'T GIVE US A
7	SPECIFIC PERCENTAGE ABOUT THE NUMBER OF PATIENTS THAT RETURN
8	FOR POST-ABORTION CHECKUPS?
9	A I CAN'T GIVE YOU AN EXACT PERCENTAGE, NO, SIR.
10	Q OKAY. DO YOU REMEMBER TAKING A DEPOSITION IN THIS
11	CASE, DOCTOR?
12	A YES.
13	Q AND OF COURSE WHEN YOU GAVE THE DEPOSITION YOU WERE
14	UNDER OATH; CORRECT?
15	A CORRECT.
16	Q LET ME NOW I'M GOING TO PUT DR. DOE'S DEPOSITION
17	UP. I HAVEN'T DONE THIS YET IN THIS LITIGATION, SO I'M GOING
18	TO USE THIS THING HERE.
19	THE COURT: THAT'S GREAT. SHE'LL SWITCH TO THE
20	ELMO.
21	BY MR. DUNCAN:
22	Q DOCTOR, I'M GOING TO PUT AS SOON AS
23	MS. DOUFEKIAS: CAN I GET A PAGE AND LINE NUMBER,
24	PLEASE?
25	MR. DUNCAN: YES. YES, I WILL. I'M GOING TO PUT UP

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 91 of 305

1	PAGE 134 OF DR. DOE'S DEPOSITION. LET ME PUT THAT UP SO THAT
2	WE CAN GET IT ON THE SCREEN FIRST. LET ME JUST PUT THE ONE
3	PAGE UP AND SEE.
4	BY MR. DUNCAN:
5	Q PARDON ME, DOCTOR. OKAY. I'D LIKE FOR YOU TO
6	START DO YOU SEE THAT ON THE SCREEN, DOCTOR
7	A YES, I DO.
8	Q THIS PAGE OF YOUR DEPOSITION?
9	A YES, I DO.
10	Q ARE YOU ABLE TO READ THAT?
11	A YES.
12	Q OKAY.
13	THE COURT: HANG ON ONE SECOND. THIS DEPOSITION IS
14	NOT CONFIDENTIAL IS IT?
15	MS. DOUFEKIAS: IT IS, YES.
16	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL THEN JUST KEEP IT OFF THE
17	SCREEN.
18	MR. DUNCAN: JUST TO UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR, THE
19	DEPOSITION IS CONFIDENTIAL, I'M TRYING TO
20	THE COURT: OH, I UNDERSTAND. AND, LOOK, YOU CAN,
21	AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED, I WILL I SEE THE TESTIMONY. I
22	HEARD HIS TESTIMONY. I UNDERSTAND WHERE YOU'RE GOING AND YOU
23	CAN ASK THE QUESTION.
24	MR. DUNCAN: BUT YOU DON'T WANT ME TO READ FROM THE
25	DEPOSITION?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 92 of 3082

1.	THE COURT: THE DOCTOR CAN READ FROM THE TESTIMONY.
2	AND IF YOU NEED AT SOME POINT TO ACTUALLY READ FROM THE
3	TESTIMONY YOU CAN, BUT I THINK THE DOCTOR CAN READ THE SAME
4	THING I'M READING, SO
5	MR. DUNCAN: I UNDERSTAND. THAT'S FINE.
6	BY MR. DUNCAN:
7	Q DOCTOR, I GUESS WOULD YOU READ TO YOURSELF FROM
8	LINES 7 THROUGH 13 ON THAT PAGE. DO YOU SEE THAT?
9	A YES.
10	Q JUST READ IT TO YOURSELF.
11	A OKAY. I'VE READ IT.
12	Q YOU READ THAT?
13	A YES.
14	Q IS IT TRUE THAT YOU TESTIFIED THAT ABOUT 20 TO
15	30 PERCENT AT MOST, RETURN FOR THEIR POST-ABORTION CHECKUP AT
16	BOSSIER CLINIC?
17	A I SAID I COULDN'T GIVE YOU AN ACCURATE PERCENTAGE
18	AND THAT IS A GUESS.
19	Q OKAY.
20	A AND IT MAY BE HIGH OR LOW, I'M ACTUALLY NOT SURE.
21	BUT I CAN'T GIVE YOU AN EXACT PERCENTAGE. I'M SORRY, I
22	THOUGHT YOU WERE ASKING FOR MORE OF AN EXACT PERCENTAGE.
23	Q RIGHT, DOCTOR. THAT'S FINE. THAT'S FINE. I GUESS,
24	DOCTOR, IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT A CLEAR MAJORITY OF ABORTION
25	PATIENTS DO NOT RETURN?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 93 of 303

1.	A I WOULDN'T KNOW BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY SEEK
2	THEIR CHECKUP ELSEWHERE.
3	Q OKAY. THANK YOU. I'M TAKING THAT OFF THE ELMO NOW.
4	NEITHER CLINIC YOU WORK AT, DOCTOR, BOSSIER OR CAUSEWAY,
5	CONTACTS THE PATIENT IF SHE DOESN'T COME BACK FOR HER
6	POST-ABORTION CHECKUP; RIGHT?
7	A CORRECT.
8	Q NOW, YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THE FORM KNOWN AS THE ITOP
9	OR INDUCED TERMINATION OF PREGNANCY FORM; RIGHT?
.0	A YES.
1	Q AND YOU FILL THOSE FORMS OUT, RIGHT, DOCTOR?
.2	A THEY ARE FILLED OUT BY CLINIC PERSONNEL WITH MY
L3	SUPERVISION.
L4	Q OKAY. RIGHT. SO I SEE. THERE'S A PLACE ON THE
L5	ITOP FORM IS THERE NOT
16	THE COURT: MR. DUNCAN, CAN I INTERRUPT JUST A
L7	SECOND? CAN I INTERRUPT FOR A SECOND? WE'VE BEEN GOING
18	CLOSING ON TWO HOURS AND
19	MR. DUNCAN: I'M ABOUT DONE.
20	THE COURT: YOU'RE ABOUT DONE?
21	MR. DUNCAN: ABOUT DONE.
22	THE COURT: OKAY, WE'LL TAKE A BREAK BEFORE
23	REDIRECT.
24	MR. DUNCAN: OKAY, SURE. ABOUT DONE, DOCTOR.
25	BY MR. DUNCAN:

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 94 of 30%

Q NOW, THERE'S A PLACE ON THAT ITOP FORM, DOCTOR, TO
KNOW WHETHER A WOMAN HAS A COMPLICATION FROM HER ABORTION;
RIGHT?
A CORRECT.
Q NOW, IF A WOMAN HAS AN ABORTION AND HAS NO
COMPLICATION AT THE TIME OF THE PROCEDURE, THEN DOESN'T IT
STAND TO REASON THAT THE ITOP FORM WOULD NOT REFLECT ANY
COMPLICATION?
A I'M SORRY, CAN YOU REPEAT THAT?
Q WELL, IF A WOMAN HAS AN ABORTION, AND SHE
EXPERIENCES NO COMPLICATION AT THE TIME OF THE PROCEDURE,
WOULDN'T IT STAND TO REASON THAT THE ITOP FORM REFLECTS NO
COMPLICATION?
A CORRECT.
Q NOW, IF A WOMAN CALLS BACK IN THE DAYS OR WEEKS
AFTER HER ABORTION AND COMPLAINS OF A COMPLICATION, YOU DON'T
AMEND THE ITOP FORM TO REFLECT THAT COMPLICATION, DO YOU?
A WELL, IT'S BEEN SENT IN NO. NO, I DON'T.
MR. DUNCAN: THANK YOU, DOCTOR. NO FURTHER
QUESTIONS.
THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET'S TAKE TEN MINUTES.
(WHEREUPON COURT WAS IN RECESS.)
THE COURT: YOU MAY BE SEATED. YOU MAY PROCEED WITH
REDIRECT. MS. CAUSEY IS GOING TO GET OUR WITNESS.
ALL RIGHT, DOCTOR, YOU MAY BE SEATED AND YOU'RE

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 95 of 305

1	STILL UNDER OATH. ARE YOU READY?
2	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.
3	THE COURT: OKAY, YOU MAY PROCEED.
4	REDIRECT
5	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
6	Q DOCTOR, I JUST HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS FOR YOU ON
7	REDIRECT. MR. DUNCAN ASKED YOU IN CROSS EXAMINATION ABOUT
8	PRIVILEGES THAT DR. DOE NUMBER 3 HAS AT CHRISTUS SCHUMPERT
9	HOSPITAL?
0.	A YES.
1	Q DOES DR. DOE NUMBER 3 HAVE A FULL OB/GYN PRACTICE?
.2	A YES, HE DOES.
13	Q WHEN YOU HAD A FULL OB/GYN PRACTICE, DID YOU ALSO
L4	HAVE PRIVILEGES AT CHRISTUS SCHUMPERT HOSPITAL?
.5	A YES, I DID.
6	Q WHEN DID YOU STOP HAVING THOSE PRIVILEGES?
17	A WHEN I LET'S SEE I DON'T REMEMBER THE EXACT
18	YEAR I TERMINATED THOSE, BUT IT WOULD HAVE BEEN PROBABLY A
19	YEAR OR TWO AFTER CEASING TO HAVE A PRIVATE PRACTICE
20	AFFILIATION.
21	Q DOCTOR, WHY DID YOU APPLY TO THE HOSPITALS THAT YOU
22	APPLIED TO FOR PRIVILEGES TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF HB 388?
23	A WELL, YOU KNOW, IT'S A LONG TEDIOUS AND NOT
24	INEXPENSIVE PROCESS, AND I WANTED TO I WANTED TO APPLY TO
25	HOSPITALS THAT I KNEW GAVE GOOD CARE AND THAT HAD A CLOSE

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 96 of 30%

1.	GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION TO THE CLINIC AND WHERE I KNEW PEOPLE AND
2	MIGHT FEEL MORE COMFORTABLE. WILLIS-KNIGHTON AND BOSSIER,
3	SEVERAL OF MY FORMER RESIDENTS PRACTICED THERE, THAT WAS ONE
4	REASON PLUS I KNOW IT IS A GOOD HOSPITAL AND IT'S VERY CLOSE
5	TO THE CLINIC.
6	OF COURSE LSU UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL NOW I'VE HAD A
7	LONG AFFILIATION WITH. I THINK MINDEN HOSPITAL WAS MENTIONED
8	BUT, YOU KNOW, THAT'S VERY CLOSE TO THE LIMITS. IT'S A
9	SMALLER HOSPITAL. I DON'T REALLY KNOW ANYONE THERE. SO I
10	TRIED TO PICK PLACES THAT I THOUGHT MEANT SOMETHING.
11	Q IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU WERE LOOKING FOR
12	HOSPITALS WHERE YOU THOUGHT YOU'D HAVE THE HIGHEST LIKELIHOOD
13	OF SUCCESS?
14	A YES, THAT ALSO.
15	Q SO IF YOU COULD TAKE A LOOK AT BINDER FOUR OF FOUR,
16	I'D LIKE TO TAKE A LOOK AT JOINT EXHIBIT 178.
17	MS. DOUFEKIAS: THIS IS A CONFIDENTIAL EXHIBIT, IT
18	SHOULD NOT BE ON THE SCREEN.
19	A OKAY.
20	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
21	Q HAVE YOU HAD A CHANCE TO READ THAT EXHIBIT?
22	A YES.
23	Q YOU WERE ASKED A SERIES OF QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR
24	DISCUSSIONS WITH DR. A IN ATTEMPTS TO GET PRIVILEGES AT
25	THE ANE DOES THIS E MATE CHAMMARIZE COME OF THE ADDITIONAL

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 97 of 3097

1	CONCERNS THAT DR. A EXPRESSED ABOUT YOUR ABILITY TO GET
2	PRIVILEGES?
3	A IN MY OPINION, YES.
4	Q DOCTOR, WHAT DOES IT MEAN TO BE LET ME MAKE SURE
5	I HAVE THE PHRASE CORRECTLY. WHAT DOES IT MEAN WHEN A DOCTOR
6	WILL COVER FOR YOU?
7	A WELL, THAT MEANS IF IN A GROUP PRACTICE, YOU
8	KNOW, YOU HAVE — YOU HAVE A ROTATING CALL SCHEDULE SO THAT
9	WHEN EVERYONE ELSE IS OFF YOU HAVE ONE DOCTOR COVERING THE
.0	PRACTICE OR IF YOU'RE OUT OF TOWN, YOU HAVE TO HAVE SOMEBODY
1	COVER YOUR PRACTICE JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT YOUR PATIENTS ARE
.2	TAKEN CARE OF IN THE EVENTUALITY YOU'RE NOT AVAILABLE TO TAKE
L3	CARE OF THEM.
L4	Q SO WHEN A DOCTOR IS COVERING FOR YOU, YOU'RE NOT
.5	PROVIDING CARE; IS THAT CORRECT?
6	A THAT'S CORRECT.
L7	Q IF YOU COULD FLIP A COUPLE OF DOCUMENTS AHEAD IN
18	THAT BINDER TO EXHIBIT 170?
19	A 170?
20	Q YES, 170. JOINT EXHIBIT 170.
21	A IT'S PAGE 3546?
22	Q YES.
23	A YES.
24	Q DOES THIS E-MAIL SUMMARIZE ANOTHER CONCERN THAT
25	DR. A HAD ABOUT YOUR ABILITY TO GET PRIVILEGES?

Case 3:14-cy-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 98 of 30%

A YES.	
Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE JOINT COMMISSION IS?	
A YES.	
Q WHAT IS THE JOINT COMMISSION?	
A THE JOINT COMMISSION BASICALLY IS TASKED I BELIEVE	
WITH MAKING SURE THAT HOSPITALS MEET CERTAIN STANDARDS AND ARE	
DOING A GOOD JOB AND IN TASK WITH EVALUATING THEM TO MAKE SURE	Ξ
THAT THAT IS THE CASE.	
Q AND DOES THE JOINT COMMISSION ESSENTIALLY GOVERN	
HOSPITAL ADMITTING PRIVILEGES PROCESSES ACROSS THE COUNTRY?	
A YES.	
Q YOU WERE ALSO ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR	
UNDERSTANDING OF WHETHER OR NOT SECRETARY KLIEBERT CAN ENFORCE	=
нв 388?	
A YES.	
Q AND YOU WERE ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT WHETHER OR	
NOT YOU'RE AWARE OF ANYONE ELSE WHO CAN ENFORCE HB 388?	
A YES, I WAS ASKED THOSE QUESTIONS.	
Q DOCTOR, DO YOU HAVE A VIEW OF WHAT THE LOUISIANA	
STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS' REACTION WOULD BE IF YOU	
WERE FOUND TO BE IN VIOLATION OF HB 388; WHAT WOULD THEIR	
LIKELY RESPONSE BE AT THE BOARD?	
A I WOULD THINK IT WOULD BE TO REVOKE MY LICENSE.	
Q CAN YOU TAKE A LOOK YOU WERE SHOWN SECRETARY	
KLIEBERT'S DECLARATION AND IF WE COULD SCROLL DOWN TO	
	Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE JOINT COMMISSION IS? A YES. Q WHAT IS THE JOINT COMMISSION? A THE JOINT COMMISSION BASICALLY IS TASKED I BELIEVE WITH MAKING SURE THAT HOSPITALS MEET CERTAIN STANDARDS AND ARE DOING A GOOD JOB AND IN TASK WITH EVALUATING THEM TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT IS THE CASE. Q AND DOES THE JOINT COMMISSION ESSENTIALLY GOVERN HOSPITAL ADMITTING PRIVILEGES PROCESSES ACROSS THE COUNTRY? A YES. Q YOU WERE ALSO ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHETHER OR NOT SECRETARY KLIEBERT CAN ENFORCE HB 388? A YES. Q AND YOU WERE ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT YOU'RE AWARE OF ANYONE ELSE WHO CAN ENFORCE HB 388? A YES, I WAS ASKED THOSE QUESTIONS. Q DOCTOR, DO YOU HAVE A VIEW OF WHAT THE LOUISIANA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS' REACTION WOULD BE IF YOU WERE FOUND TO BE IN VIOLATION OF HB 388; WHAT WOULD THEIR LIKELY RESPONSE BE AT THE BOARD? A I WOULD THINK IT WOULD BE TO REVOKE MY LICENSE. Q CAN YOU TAKE A LOOK YOU WERE SHOWN SECRETARY

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 99 of 3099

1	PARAGRAPH 6, THAT'S THE PARAGRAPH YOU WERE ASKED ABOUT ON
2	CROSS EXAMINATION?
3	A YES.
4	Q DO YOU SEE ANYWHERE IN THIS PARAGRAPH THAT SECRETARY
5	KLIEBERT STATES THAT YOU ARE ALLOWED SPECIFICALLY THAT YOU
6	ARE ALLOWED TO PERFORM DIAGNOSTIC AND SURGICAL PROCEDURES AT
7	TULANE?
8	A NO, I DO NOT.
9	THE COURT: WHAT'S THE EXHIBIT NUMBER AGAIN, I'M
10	SORRY, OF THE DECLARATION? IS IT 191?
11	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YES, YOUR HONOR. IT'S 191.
12	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
13	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
14	Q YOU WERE ASKED ABOUT YOUR RESPONSE TO
15	WILLIS-KNIGHTON TO SOME INQUIRIES FROM WILLIS-KNIGHTON
16	ABOUT DOCUMENTATION. I THINK MR. DUNCAN CHARACTERIZED IT AS
17	INFORMATION THAT WILLIS-KNIGHTON WANTED FROM YOU IN THE
18	CONTEXT OF YOUR APPLICATION FOR PRIVILEGES; DO YOU REMEMBER
19	THOSE QUESTIONS?
20	A YES.
21	Q DOES THE DOCUMENTATION THAT WILLIS-KNIGHTON SEEKS
22	FROM YOU EXISTS?
23	A NO, IT DOES NOT.
24	Q WHY DOESN'T IT EXIST?
25	A BECAUSE I HAVEN'T DONE A HOSPITAL-BASED PRACTICE IN

1	TEN YEARS.
2	Q IS THE KIND OF DOCUMENTATION THAT WILLIS-KNIGHTON
3	SEEKS LIKELY TO EXIST FOR ANY OB/GYN WHO PERFORMS ONLY
4	ABORTION SERVICES?
5	A IF THAT'S ALL THEY DO, NO, THAT WOULD NOT EXIST.
6	Q WHY IS THAT?
7	A BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, OUR JOB IS TO KEEP PATIENTS OUT
8	OF THE HOSPITAL, AND COMPLICATIONS REQUIRING HOSPITALIZATION
9	IN PRACTICE OR IN DOING ELECTIVE TERMINATIONS IS THANKFULLY
10	VERY, VERY UNUSUAL.
11	Q IF YOU COULD TAKE A LOOK I THINK WE'RE STILL ON
12	THE SAME BINDER, FOUR OF FOUR. IF YOU COULD LOOK AT JOINT
13	EXHIBIT 145? YOU WERE ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS ONE.
14	A YES.
15	Q DO YOU SEE THE DATE OF THAT DOCUMENT?
16	A AUGUST 16TH, 2014.
17	Q WHY DID YOU REDUCE THE NUMBER THE SPECIFIC
18	PRIVILEGES THAT YOU WERE SEEKING WITHDRAW. WHY DID YOU
19	REDUCE THE SPECIFIC PROCEDURES THAT YOU WERE SEEKING
20	PRIVILEGES FOR?
21	A WELL, I HAD NOT APPLIED FOR HOSPITAL PRIVILEGES IN
22	MANY, MANY YEARS, YOU KNOW, FROM SCRATCH, SO THINGS HAD
23	CHANGED QUITE A BIT FROM THE OLD DAYS, WHERE YOU BASICALLY

APPLIED FOR, YOU KNOW, BASIC PRIVILEGES THAT ALL OB/GYNS WOULD

BE EXPECTED TO KNOW HOW TO PERFORM, WHETHER YOU WANTED TO

24

25

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 101 of 101

1	PERFORM THEM OR NOT. WHEN IT BECAME APPARENT THAT THEY WERE
2	ASKING FOR PATIENTS THAT I HAD DONE THESE PROCEDURES ON TO
3	JUDGE ME FOR COMPETENCY IN GIVING ME PRIVILEGES, THAT'S WHEN I
4	DECIDED TO MAKE IT A MUCH MORE NARROW FIELD.
5	Q I THINK THAT MR. DUNCAN ASKED YOU SOME QUESTIONS AND
6	YOU TESTIFIED THAT YOU INVITED THEM TO COME LOOK AT THE
7	RECORDS THAT YOU HAD AVAILABLE; IS THAT RIGHT?
8	A THAT'S RIGHT.
9	Q CAN YOU TAKE A LOOK WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO SWITCH
10	BINDERS TO BINDER THREE OF FOUR. IF YOU COULD TAKE A LOOK AT
11	JOINT EXHIBIT 89?
12	A 89?
13	Q THAT'S RIGHT.
14	A THREE OF FOUR. I'VE GOT TWO OF FOUR. OKAY, HERE WE
15	GO. OKAY.
16	Q WHAT'S THE DATE OF THIS DOCUMENT?
17	A THE DATE OF THAT DOCUMENT IS NOVEMBER 19TH, 2014.
18	Q SO THIS IS ONE OF THE LETTERS THAT YOU RECEIVED FROM
19	WILLIS-KNIGHTON; IS THAT RIGHT?
20	A CORRECT.
21	Q AND THIS IS AFTER THE E-MAIL THAT WE JUST LOOKED AT?
22	A CORRECT.
23	Q DOES THIS LETTER IN THIS LETTER IS
24	WILLIS-KNIGHTON RESPONDING TO INFORMATION THAT YOU SUBMITTED?
25	A YES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 102 of 108

1	Q SO YOU DID MORE THAN JUST INVITE THEM TO COME TO THE
2	CLINIC; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
3	A YES.
4	Q YOU WERE SHOWN A PAGE OF YOUR DEPOSITION, AND WE'RE
5	GOING TO PUT THAT UP ON THE SCREEN FOR YOU. YOU WERE ASKED
6	ABOUT THE PORTION ON THIS PAGE AT LINES 10 TO 13. IF YOU
7	COULD LOOK JUST A FEW QUESTIONS ABOVE. WHAT KINDS OF
8	PROCEDURES ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT HERE? WHAT KINDS OF
9	PATIENTS?
10	A POST-ABORTION PATIENTS, BOTH FIRST AND SECOND
11	TRIMESTER.
12	Q ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT SURGICAL PATIENTS OR
13	MEDICATION ABORTION PATIENTS?
14	A ACTUALLY BOTH.
15	Q IS IT YOUR AND YOUR TESTIMONY IS THAT YOU'RE
16	NOT YOU CAN'T BE 100 PERCENT CERTAIN WHAT PERCENTAGE COME
17	BACK; IS THAT RIGHT?
18	A THAT'S CORRECT. THAT 20 TO 30 PERCENT WAS STRICTLY
19	A GUESS. I APOLOGIZE. I THOUGHT HE WAS ASKING FOR AN EXACT
20	PERCENTAGE AND I DON'T HAVE AN EXACT PERCENTAGE, SO IT'S A
21	VERY ESTIMATED GUESS.
22	Q IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU ALSO CANNOT PROVIDE AN
23	EXACT PERCENTAGE FOR THE NUMBER OF PATIENTS WHO DON'T RETURN
24	TO THE CLINIC AND ARE ABSOLUTELY FINE?
25	A THAT'S TRUE.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 103 of 303

1	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
3	DOCTOR, I HAVE JUST A FEW FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS.
4	YOU MENTIONED IN RESPONSE TO SOMEBODY'S QUESTION
5	ABOUT SPECIAL PRIVILEGES AND I HAD, ACTUALLY IN MY PRIVATE
6	PRACTICE, HAD A CASE AND I THINK I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE
7	TALKING ABOUT. CAN YOU DESCRIBE WHAT SPECIAL PRIVILEGES MEAN,
8	THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH THEY'RE GIVEN?
9	THE WITNESS: I BELIEVE YOU'RE REFERRING TO THE
.0	CONTEXT OF IF, SAY, A PATIENT, FOR INSTANCE, THEY HAD IF
1	SHE HAD A FETAL DEMISE AND THEY CALLED ME IN TO DO A D&E
.2	BECAUSE NOTHING ELSE HAD WORKED, AND THAT'S OCCASIONALLY
13	HAPPENED, IT MAY BE AT A HOSPITAL WHERE I DON'T HAVE, YOU
.4	KNOW, PRIVILEGES THAT ALLOW ME TO DO SURGERY. THEY COULD
L5	GRANT ME TEMPORARY, SPECIAL PRIVILEGES TO DO THAT CASE. I
6	BELIEVE THAT'S
.7	THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT I WAS TALKING ABOUT. SO
8	JUST TO MAKE SURE I'VE GOT IT STRAIGHT IN MY HEAD, EVEN IF YOU
L9	DIDN'T HAVE ORDINARY ADMITTING PRIVILEGES, IF YOU HAD A
20	PATIENT THAT YOU FELT IT IMPORTANT TO BE ABLE TO TREAT THAT
21	PATIENT IN A HOSPITAL SETTING, EITHER SURGICALLY OR OTHERWISE,
22	COULD YOU ON AN ADHOC, INDIVIDUALIZED BASIS ASK FOR ADMITTING
23	PRIVILEGES FOR THAT SPECIFIC PURPOSE ONLY?
24	THE WITNESS: YES, YOUR HONOR. I COULD ASK FOR THAT
25	AND IF IT'S A SPECIAL ENOUGH CIRCUMSTANCE, THEY WOULD USUALLY

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 104 of 109

1 | GRANT THAT.

THE COURT: IS THAT UNUSUAL?

THE WITNESS: YES, IT IS UNUSUAL.

THE COURT: NOW, YOU MENTIONED, AND I THINK SEVERAL OTHER WITNESSES HAVE, BUT I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I'M SURE ABOUT THIS. THESE DEFINITIONS THAT I'VE SEEN FOR CONSULTING, FOR ACTIVE, FOR COURTESY, IS THERE ANY -- DO THESE DEFINITIONS VARY HOSPITAL TO HOSPITAL?

THE WITNESS: THEY SEEM TO, YES, SIR.

THE COURT: I TAKE IT FROM YOUR LAST -- THE LAST

SERIES OF QUESTIONS ASKED BY MS. DOUFEKIAS, THAT YOU DID

INDEED PROVIDE DOCUMENTATION TO WILLIS-KNIGHTON REGARDING YOUR

OUTPATIENT PROCEDURES?

THE WITNESS: YES, SIR. I ACTUALLY CALLED MS. -THE LADY THAT HANDLED -- THAT WAS SORT OF MY CONTACT FOR THE
APPLICATION, AND SHE SAID SEND 20 REPRESENTATIVE CASES AND
THAT'S WHAT I DID. BUT THEY DEEMED THAT NOT SUFFICIENT FOR
THEIR PURPOSES.

THE COURT: OKAY. AND THEN I HAD ONE -- I THINK ONE FINAL QUESTION. AND AS WE WERE GOING THROUGH THE DOCUMENTS -- AS I WAS GOING THROUGH THE DOCUMENTS AND FOLLOWING THE LAWYERS AND THEIR QUESTIONING OF YOU, I SAW A DOCUMENT WHICH WAS NOT REFERRED TO EITHER ON DIRECT OR CROSS AND I JUST WANTED TO ASK YOU ABOUT. IF YOU COULD GO TO 178 -- I'M SORRY, IT'S 179. AND 180.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 105 of 105

1	THE WITNESS: I'M GETTING BETTER AT THIS.
2	THE COURT: BY THE TIME YOU'RE PROFICIENT, YOU'LL
3	LEAVE THE WITNESS STAND.
4	THE WITNESS: THAT'S OKAY.
5	THE COURT: TELL ME WHEN YOU HAVE IT.
6	THE WITNESS: THAT WOULD BE PAGE 3556, YOUR HONOR?
7	THE COURT: THAT'S IT. ALL RIGHT. CAN YOU
8	DESCRIBE AND AGAIN, WE DON'T WANT YOU TO I WANT YOU TO
9	READ BOTH 180 AND 181 AND THEN I'M GOING TO ASK YOU A COUPLE
10	OF QUESTIONS ABOUT IT. I DON'T WANT YOU TO READ OUT LOUD
11	ANYTHING SPECIFIC IN EITHER OF THESE DOCUMENTS.
12	THE WITNESS: YES, SIR.
13	THE COURT: OKAY. MY FIRST QUESTION IS, DOES 180 GO
14	WITH 181?
15	THE WITNESS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
16	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND IT APPEARS THIS PERSON
17	WHO WROTE THE LETTER, I'M NOT GOING TO MENTION A NAME, BUT THE
18	TITLE IS CREDENTIALS COORDINATOR?
19	THE WITNESS: CORRECT.
20	THE COURT: AND THEN IN THE COVER NOTE IT SAYS, THAT
21	SHE'S WRITTEN IN THE PRIVILEGE THAT YOU'RE BEING CREDENTIALED
22	FOR?
23	THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. IT WAS
24	NOT SPECIFICALLY LAID OUT IN ALL OF THE PRIVILEGES THAT, YOU
25	KNOW. THAT ARE ON THAT FORM.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 106 of 109

1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THEN IF YOU COULD GO TO 3559
2	AND THERE'S NEXT TO, QUOTE, "OTHER," AND I DON'T WANT YOU TO
3	READ IT INTO THE RECORD, BUT IT'S A HANDWRITTEN ENTRY?
4	THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT, YES, SIR.
5	THE COURT: IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE
6	SPECIFIC PROCEDURES OR WHATEVER THE SPECIFIC THINGS THAT
7	YOU WERE BEING ALLOWED TO DO IN CONNECTION WITH THE PRIVILEGES
8	THAT YOU WERE GIVEN?
9	THE WITNESS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
10	THE COURT: OKAY, THAT'S ALL, THANK YOU.
11	ANY FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS ON MY QUESTIONS?
12	MR. DUNCAN: NO, YOUR HONOR.
13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, MS. DOUFEKIAS?
14	MS. DOUFEKIAS: NO, YOUR HONOR.
15	THE COURT: OKAY.
16	THANK YOU, DOCTOR. YOU MAY STAND DOWN.
17	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I'M TRYING TO
18	LEAVE THIS SO NOBODY WILL TRIP OVER IT.
19	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MAYBE AT
20	THE NOON BREAK WE CAN COME UP WITH SOME CART OR SOMETHING
21	WHERE ALL OF THIS STUFF CAN BE MORE EASILY MANAGED.
22	ALL RIGHT. ARE YOU READY FOR YOUR NEXT WITNESS?
23	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, PLAINTIFFS CALL
24	DR. SHEILA KATZ.
25	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 107 of 107

1	YEAH, WE CAN MOVE THE DRAPE BACK NOW.
2	GOOD MORNING.
3	THE WITNESS: GOOD MORNING.
4	THE COURT: WOULD YOU STAND AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT
5	HAND AND MS. CAUSEY WILL SWEAR YOU IN?
6	(WHEREUPON, SHEILA KATZ, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS
7	FOLLOWS:)
8	DIRECT
9	BY MS. LEVINE:
10	Q GOOD MORNING, DR. KATZ.
11	A GOOD MORNING.
12	Q WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FULL NAME FOR
13	THE RECORD?
14	A SURE. MY NAME IS SHEILA, S-H-E-I-L-A, MARIE,
15	M-A-R-I-E, KATZ, K-A-T-Z.
16	Q DR. KATZ, PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL
17	BACKGROUND.
18	A SO MY EDUCATION, I RECEIVED A BACHELOR'S OF ARTS
19	FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA IN SOCIOLOGY IN WOMEN AND
20	GENDER STUDIES, AND THEN MY MASTER'S DEGREE AND DOCTORATE
21	DEGREE IN SOCIOLOGY FROM VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY.
22	Q AND WHAT IS YOUR AREA OF EXPERTISE?
23	A MY AREA OF EXPERTISE IS SOCIOLOGY OF GENDER AND
24	SOCIOLOGY OF POVERTY.
25	Q CAN YOU TELL THE COURT WHAT THE FIELD OF SOCIOLOGY

ENCOMPASSES?

A YES. SO IN THE FIELD OF SOCIOLOGY WE STUDY PEOPLE IN SOCIETY. SO WE'RE INTERESTED IN HOW PEOPLE INTERACT IN SOCIETY AT THE MICRO LEVEL. SO IN SMALL GROUPS, BETWEEN INDIVIDUALS MAYBE WITHIN A FAMILY, AT THE MEZZO LEVEL IN COMMUNITIES, CITIES, SMALL ORGANIZATIONS, AND THEN ALSO AT THE MACRO LEVEL. SO WITHIN COUNTRIES AND LIKE WHOLE SOCIETIES, SO AMERICAN SOCIETY.

Q WHAT APPLICATIONS DOES SOCIOLOGICAL RESEARCH HAVE?

A SO SOCIOLOGICAL RESEARCH HAS MANY APPLICATIONS. THE AREA OF SOCIOLOGY THAT I DO THE MOST WORK IN HAS SOCIAL POLICY IMPLICATIONS. I'M INTERESTED IN HOW FEDERAL AND STATE POLICIES AFFECT PEOPLE'S LIVED EXPERIENCES AND HOW OUR SOCIAL POLICIES ARE EITHER BASED ON ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT PEOPLE'S EXPERIENCES OR AFFECT THEIR EXPERIENCES.

SOCIOLOGY ALSO HAS OTHER APPLICATIONS. PEOPLE USE
IT IN THE FIELD OF HUMAN RESOURCES. PEOPLE USE IT TO
UNDERSTAND ORGANIZATIONS. PEOPLE USE IT -- RECENTLY THERE'S
BEEN A DEVELOPING AREA OF SOCIOLOGY ABOUT RESPONSE TO NATURAL
DISASTERS, HOW PEOPLE REACT TO NATURAL DISASTERS, RECOVER FROM
NATURAL DISASTERS, THINGS LIKE THAT.

Q AND YOU MENTIONED THAT YOUR SPECIALIZATION IS IN THE SOCIOLOGY OF GENDER AND THE SOCIOLOGY OF POVERTY. CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT IS THE SOCIOLOGY OF GENDER?

A YES. SO THE SOCIOLOGY OF GENDER IS INTERESTED IN

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 109 of 109

STUDYING THE SOCIAL CONSTRUCTION OF GENDER IN SOCIETY. AND SO
HOW WE AS A SOCIETY CONSTRUCT WHAT IT MEANS TO BE A MAN, WHAT
IT MEANS TO A WOMAN, GENDER ROLES, ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT GENDER
ROLES, GENDER IDENTITY ISSUES. SOCIOLOGY OF GENDER LOOKS AT
HOW GENDER ROLES AND GENDER IN SOCIETY PLAY OUT IN DIFFERENT
INSTITUTIONS, SO SOCIOLOGY OF WORK, IN MAYBE THE INSTITUTION
OF EDUCATION, IN THE FAMILY, IN SOCIAL POLICY.

- Q AND CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT IS SOCIOLOGY OF POVERTY?
- A YEAH. SO ANOTHER MAIN AREA OF SOCIOLOGY IS LOOKING

 AT HOW -- IN OUR SOCIETY THERE'S SEVERAL BIG ORGANIZING

 FACTORS. GENDER IS DEFINITELY ONE OF THEM, SOCIAL CLASS IS

 ANOTHER ONE OF THEM. AND SO SOCIAL POLICY LOOKS AT THE

 INEQUALITIES BY DIFFERENT SOCIAL CLASS.

SO WHILE MOST OF OUR SOCIETY LOOKS AT MIDDLE CLASS EXPERIENCE, SOCIOLOGY OF POVERTY IS REALLY INTERESTED IN HOW LOW-INCOME PEOPLE'S EXPERIENCES ARE DIFFERENT THAN OTHER -- OTHER SOCIAL CLASS EXPERIENCES.

Q AND IS THERE ANY OVERLAP BETWEEN THE AREAS OF SOCIOLOGY OF GENDER AND SOCIOLOGY OF POVERTY?

A YES, THERE'S A SIGNIFICANT OVERLAP. I THINK ONE OF
THE BIGGEST AREAS OF BOTH SOCIOLOGY OF GENDER AND SOCIOLOGY OF
POVERTY IS LOOKING AT LOW-INCOME WOMEN'S EXPERIENCES.

- Q AND DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER SPECIALTIES?
- A YES. SO I HAVE SEVERAL OTHER AREAS THAT I WORK IN.

 SOCIOLOGY OF SOCIAL POLICY, SOCIOLOGY OF INEQUALITY, VIOLENCE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 110 of 109

100	
1.	AGAINST WOMEN, SOCIOLOGY OF DRUG AND ALCOHOL ABUSE AND
2	QUALITATIVE METHODOLOGIES.
3	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY EXPERIENCE WITH SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY?
4	A YES. SO IN MY WORK BOTH IN SOCIOLOGY OF GENDER AND
5	IN THE WORK IN SOCIOLOGY OF POVERTY, ONE OF THE THINGS I'M
6	INTERESTED IN, BECAUSE I DO RESEARCH ABOUT LOW-INCOME WOMEN'S
7	LIVED EXPERIENCES IN POVERTY, PART OF THAT RESEARCH IS ABOUT
8	THEM TELLING ME THEIR MOTIVATIONS, THEIR BEHAVIORS, THEIR
9	ACTIONS AND THE STRESSES THAT THEY GO THROUGH. AND SO THAT'S
10	CONSIDERED THE SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY OF POVERTY.
1	Q WHERE ARE YOU CURRENTLY EMPLOYED?
.2	A I'M CURRENTLY EMPLOYED AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON.
13	I JOINED THE FACULTY THERE IN AUGUST OF 2014.
L4	Q AND WHAT IS YOUR POSITION THERE?
.5	A I'M AN ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF SOCIOLOGY.
16	Q AND CAN YOU BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR PREVIOUS
L7	PROFESSIONAL POSITIONS?
18	A SURE. SO FROM 2008 UNTIL TWO THOUSAND MAY OF
19	2014, I WAS AN ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF SOCIOLOGY AT SONOMA
20	STATE UNIVERSITY AND I WENT UP FOR TENURE AND WAS GRANTED BOTH
21	TENURE AND PROMOTION AT SONOMA STATE IN MAY OF 2014. HOWEVER,

Q AND WHAT DOES YOUR WORK AS AN ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF

I LEFT TO TAKE THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON POSITION BECAUSE IT'S

A MORE RESEARCHED FOCUSED POSITION AT A HIGHER RANKED

22

23

24

25

UNIVERSITY.

AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	
SOCIOLOGY	TANKOLICE
SINC HIM THEY	
CTOLOGI	THACKE

A SO MY WORK AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON AS AN ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF SOCIOLOGY INVOLVES SEVERAL THINGS. HALF OF MY TIME IS DEDICATED TO RESEARCH AND TO PUBLISHING MY RESEARCH FINDINGS. I ALSO TEACH TWO CLASSES A SEMESTER AND THEN I'M ALSO RESPONSIBLE FOR CONTRIBUTING SERVICE TO THE UNIVERSITY, MY PROFESSION AND THE COMMUNITY.

Q WHAT KIND OF RESEARCH DO YOU CONDUCT AS A SOCIOLOGIST?

A SO MY RESEARCH FOCUSES ON LOW-INCOME WOMEN'S LIVED EXPERIENCES IN POVERTY. AND SO THE RESEARCH THAT I CONDUCT IS QUALITATIVE RESEARCH WITH LOW-INCOME WOMEN, LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES AND LOW-INCOME FAMILIES ABOUT THEIR EXPERIENCES UNDER VARIOUS SOCIAL POLICIES AND BEING POOR.

Q WHAT KIND OF METHODOLOGY DO YOU USE IN YOUR RESEARCH?

A SO I USE QUALITATIVE METHODOLOGIES. I'M INTERESTED IN IN-DEPTH INTERVIEWING, FOCUS GROUPS, PARTICIPANT

OBSERVATION, UNDERSTANDING PARTICIPANTS' EXPERIENCES IN THEIR OWN WORDS.

Q AND WHAT IS QUALITATIVE RESEARCH?

A QUALITATIVE RESEARCH FOCUSES ON -- WITHIN THE FIELD OF SOCIOLOGY THERE'S TWO MAIN WAYS TO SORT OF DIVIDE SOME OF OUR RESEARCH AND THAT'S THE QUANTITATIVE OR MORE STATISTICAL RESEARCH AND THE QUALITATIVE RESEARCH.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 112 of 108

1	SO QUALITATIVE RESEARCH REALLY FOCUSES ON IN-DEPTH
2	INTERVIEWING, ASKING PEOPLE ABOUT THEIR EXPERIENCES,
3	CONDUCTING FOCUS GROUPS, CONDUCTING FIELD OBSERVATIONS,
4	CONDUCTING PARTICIPANT OBSERVATION.
5	Q AND IS QUALITATIVE RESEARCH AN ACCEPTED METHODOLOGY
6	IN YOUR FIELD?
7	A YES, IT IS.
8	Q WHY IS IT CONSIDERED AN ACCEPTED METHODOLOGY?
9	A THE ROOTS OF SOCIOLOGY GO BACK TO USING QUALITATIVE
.0	METHODOLOGY FROM THE BEGINNING, SO WHILE OUR FIELD
1	GRADUATE STUDENTS ARE TRAINED IN BOTH QUANTITATIVE AND
.2	QUALITATIVE METHODS. MOST PEOPLE USUALLY PICK TO USE ONE OR
13	THE OTHER IN THEIR EXPERTISE. SO THE FIELD RESPECTS IT, JUST
L4	AS WELL AS QUANTITATIVE METHODOLOGY.
L5	Q AND CAN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH BE PEER REVIEWED?
16	A OH, YES, IT'S PUBLISHED IN PEER REVIEWED JOURNALS BY
L7	SCHOLARLY PRESSES, ALONGSIDE ALL OF THE QUANTITATIVE RESEARCH.
18	Q WHAT DOES THE PEER REVIEW PROCESS ENTAIL IN BRIEF?
19	A IT ENTAILS OTHER EXPERTS IN YOUR FIELD READING YOUR
20	WORK. YOU SUBMIT IT TO A JOURNAL. YOU HAVE THE EDITOR OF
21	THE JOURNAL SENDS IT OUT USUALLY IN SOCIOLOGY FOR BLIND REVIEW
22	TO TWO, TO THREE, TO FOUR FACULTY OR OTHER PROFESSIONALS IN
23	THE FIELD. THEY SEND COMMENTS BACK AND THEN THE EDITOR
24	DECIDES TO ACCEPT THE PUBLICATION OR TO REVISE AND RESUBMIT OR

25

TO REJECT IT.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 113 of 308

Q	AND	WHEN	DURING	YOUR	CAREER	HAVE	YOU	ENGAGED	IN
QUALITATI	VE RI	ESEAR	CH METHO	DDS?					

A I'VE ENGAGED IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS FROM
THE BEGINNING OF MY CAREER. SO SINCE I WAS AN UNDERGRADUATE
I'VE BEEN INTERESTED IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH IN SOCIOLOGY OF
GENDER AND POVERTY. SO MY DISSERTATION RESEARCH, THE RESEARCH
I DID IN GRADUATE SCHOOL, THE RESEARCH THAT I'VE DONE SINCE
GRADUATE SCHOOL, I CONTINUE THE SAME PROJECT AND COLLECTED
ADDITIONAL ROUNDS OF DATA WITH THE SAME PARTICIPANTS AND IT'S
BEEN NATIONALLY FUNDED BY RECOGNIZED FUNDING ORGANIZATIONS.
AND I CURRENTLY AM CONDUCTING THREE QUALITATIVE PROJECTS.

Q CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE RESEARCH PROJECTS THAT YOU'RE CURRENTLY INVOLVED IN?

A YES. SO THE PROJECT THAT STARTED WITH MY
DISSERTATION LOOKS AT WOMEN ON WELFARE WHO LIVE IN THE SAN
FRANCISCO BAY AREA, SPECIFICALLY SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY AND
ALAMEDA COUNTY, WHICH IS WHERE OAKLAND IS LOCATED, AND THEY
WERE WOMEN ON WELFARE WHO ARE ENROLLED IN HIGHER EDUCATION
PROGRAMS. SO COMMUNITY COLLEGE PROGRAMS AS THEIR WELFARE TO
WORK ACTIVITY.

I INTERVIEWED THEM FOR THE FIRST TIME IN 2006 AS

PART OF MY DISSERTATION. AFTER I FINISHED MY DISSERTATION AND

STARTED MY POSITION AT SONOMA STATE, I INTERVIEWED THE SAME

PARTICIPANTS AGAIN IN 2008, THE HEIGHT OF THE GREAT RECESSION,

AND THEN INTERVIEWED THEM AGAIN IN 2011 AFTER THE GREAT

1 RECESSION.

BASED ON THAT PROJECT THAT WILL BE UNDER REVIEW IN AN ACADEMIC PRESS VERY SHORTLY. THE OTHER TWO PROJECTS THAT I'M WORKING ON IS, ONE IS ABOUT STUDENT PARENTS ON COLLEGE CAMPUSES, SO STUDENTS WHO HAVE CHILDREN WHO ARE COLLEGE STUDENTS AND IT'S A MULTI-SITE ETHNOGRAPHY, WHICH MEANS THAT WE'RE DOING INTERVIEWS AND FIELD RESEARCH AT ABOUT 15 UNIVERSITIES AND NON-PROFITS ACROSS THE COUNTRY THAT HAVE PROGRAMS THAT SERVE STUDENTS WITH CHILDREN. AND I'M DOING THAT IN COLLABORATION WITH A PROFESSOR AT ENDICOTT COLLEGE, ALSO ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF SOCIOLOGY.

THE THIRD PROJECT IS -- LOOKS AT THE AMERICAN DREAM
IN DIFFERENT CONTEXTS. AND SO THE PIECE OF THAT PROJECT, I'M
THE LEAD CO-EDITOR ON THE BOOK, AND MY SPECIALTY IS THE
AMERICAN DREAM AMONG LOW-INCOME FAMILIES. AND SO MY CHAPTER
WILL BE ABOUT THE HISTORY OF THE AMERICAN DREAM, BUT ALSO WHAT
THE AMERICAN DREAM MEANS TO LOW-INCOME FAMILIES.

- Q AND HAS THE RESEARCH YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY CONDUCTED EVER BEEN PUBLISHED?
- A YES. I HAVE PEER REVIEW JOURNAL ARTICLES. I HAVE POLICY REPORTS. I HAVE PUBLICATIONS BASED ON THESE PROJECTS, IN ADDITION TO THE BOOK MANUSCRIPTS.
- **Q** AND HAVE YOU SHARED THE RESULTS OF YOUR RESEARCH IN ANY OTHER WAYS BESIDES PUBLICATIONS?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 115 of 105

1	A YES. SO I AM VERY ACTIVE PROFESSIONALLY AND I
2	ATTEND PROFESSIONAL CONFERENCES, BOTH AT THE REGIONAL LEVEL
3	WITHIN SOCIOLOGY HERE IN THE SOUTH, BUT ALSO IN THE PACIFIC
4	SOCIOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION. I ATTEND THE AMERICAN SOCIOLOGICAL
5	ASSOCIATION AND PRESENT EVERY YEAR. I ALSO PRESENT IN POLICY
6	FORUMS. I PRESENTED MY RESEARCH ON CAPITAL HILL IN WASHINGTON
7	DC, AT LEAST THREE TIMES. AND I PARTICIPATE IN SEVERAL
8	WORKING GROUPS ON VARIOUS POVERTY AND GENDER ISSUES AND
9	PRESENT MY RESEARCH AS PART OF THE WORKING GROUPS AS WELL.
10	Q WHAT SOCIOLOGICAL RESEARCH METHODS DID YOU EMPLOY IN
11	DEVELOPING YOUR OPINIONS IN THIS CASE?
12	A SO I USED PUBLICLY AVAILABLE DATA SOURCES. I USED
13	INFORMATION THAT I WAS FAMILIAR WITH THROUGH MY OWN RESEARCH,
14	AND THEN I ALSO USED EXISTING RESEARCH IN THE FIELD ON THE
15	TOPICS IN ORDER TO DO THE PROJECT.
16	Q AND ARE THESE ACCEPTED METHODS IN THE FIELD OF
17	SOCIOLOGY?
18	A YES, THEY ARE.
19	Q HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT?
20	A FROM MY TRAINING AND MY BACKGROUND AND MY EXPERIENCE
21	IN THIS FIELD.
22	Q YOU MENTIONED YOUR SPECIALTY IN THE SOCIOLOGY OF
23	GENDER AND POVERTY. CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE RESEARCH AND
24	LITERATURE GENERALLY IN THOSE FIELDS?
25	A YES. SO THE RESEARCH IN BOTH SOCIOLOGY OF GENDER

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 116 of 3:05

AND SOCIOLOGY OF POVERTY, IT OVERLAPS QUITE SIGNIFICANTLY IN
THIS AREA OF LOW-INCOME WOMEN'S EXPERIENCES AND POVERTY. AND
SO THE RESEARCH COVERS A VARIETY OF TOPICS LOOKING AT MAYBE
WHY LOW-INCOME WOMEN ARE POOR, WHY MORE LOW WHY MORE WOMEN
ARE POOR THAN MAN ARE, HOW WOMEN HAVE CARETAKING
RESPONSIBILITIES FOR CHILDREN. AND WOMEN WHO ARE TRYING TO
GET OUT OF POVERTY, MAYBE THROUGH EDUCATION OR THROUGH GETTING
A BETTER JOB.

AND THEY ALSO -- THE LITERATURE LOOKS AT SORT OF
BARRIERS TO ESCAPING POVERTY. THAT LOW-INCOME WOMEN NEED
CHILD CARE AND TRANSPORTATION. LOW-INCOME WOMEN'S ACCESS TO A
VARIETY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, THEIR EXPERIENCES IN
POVERTY.

Q WHAT FORM DOES THIS RESEARCH AND LITERATURE GENERALLY TAKE?

A IT'S USUALLY IN PEER REVIEWED JOURNAL ARTICLES OR SCHOLARLY MANUSCRIPTS. IT MAY ALSO TAKE THE FORM OF POLICY REPORTS. UM...

Q DO YOU STAY ABREAST OF THE RESEARCH AND LITERATURE IN YOUR FIELD?

A YES, AND I DO THAT IN SEVERAL WAYS. ONE OF THEM IS
BY READING VERY WIDELY IN THE FIELD, BOTH JOURNALS AS THEY
COME OUT, THERE'S PROBABLY ABOUT EIGHT TO TEN JOURNALS THAT I
KEEP TRACK OF WHEN THERE'S NEW EDITIONS COMING OUT AND READING
ARTICLES. I'M ALSO ALWAYS LOOKING FOR NEW BOOKS BY SCHOLARLY

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 117 of 108/21/15

PRESSES, ESPECIALLY THE PRESSES THAT I KNOW PUBLISH THE MOST IN THIS AREA.

I ATTEND CONFERENCES AND SESSIONS ON SEEING PEOPLE'S
PRESENTATIONS AND PAPERS IN THIS AREA AND TALKING TO THEM
AFTERWARDS ABOUT THEIR RESEARCH. AND THEN I ALSO PARTICIPATE
IN A COUPLE OF WORKING GROUPS WHERE WE'RE ALWAYS PRESENTING,
YOU KNOW, KIND OF THE RESEARCH THAT'S IN MOTION AND OUR
FINDINGS BEFORE THEY'RE PUBLISHED.

- **Q** AND DOES THIS BODY OF RESEARCH ADDRESS LOW-INCOME WOMEN'S ACCESS TO PARTICULAR HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES?
 - A YES, IT DOES.

Q HAVE YOU TAUGHT ANY COURSES THAT DEAL WITH ISSUES OF GENDER AND POVERTY?

A YES. SO A COUPLE OF MY CLASSES DEAL IN THIS AREA.

SINCE 2002, I'VE BEEN TEACHING A SOCIOLOGY OF GENDER CLASS. I

TAUGHT AT VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY. I TAUGHT AT SONOMA STATE

UNIVERSITY AND NOW I'M TEACHING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON.

I ALSO TEACH A CLASS CALLED SOCIOLOGY OF POVERTY.

THIS PAST SPRING I TAUGHT IT AS A GRADUATE SEMINAR TO THE MASTER'S DEGREE OF SOCIOLOGY STUDENTS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON. AND WHILE I WAS AT SONOMA STATE UNIVERSITY I TAUGHT A CLASS CALLED, WOMEN AND SOCIAL POLICY, THAT WAS ALL ABOUT HOW AMERICAN SOCIAL POLICY IS GENDERED AND WOMEN'S EXPERIENCES UNDER HEALTH CARE POLICY OR UNDER REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH POLICY OR UNDER POVERTY POLICY, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE POLICY, ET CETERA.

Q HAVE YOU TAUGHT ANY COURSES ON QUALITATIVE RESEARCH?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 118 of 108

A YE	S. I HAVE THREE CLASS THAT I TEACH ABOUT
QUALITATIVE	RESEARCH. ONE IS A BASIC FOR SOCIOLOGY AND OTHER
SOCIAL SCIEN	CE MAJORS ON QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS WITHIN
SOCIOLOGY.	AND THEN AT SONOMA STATE UNIVERSITY THEIR PROGRAM
WAS SLIGHTLY	DIFFERENT, SO I TAUGHT A VERY SPECIFIC CLASS
ABOUT FOCUS	GROUPS. SO AS A SUBSET OF QUALITATIVE RESEARCH
METHODS MY S	TUDENTS LEARNED HOW TO CONDUCT FOCUS GROUP
RESEARCH AND	DID THEIR OWN PROJECTS.

Q YOU REFERRED TO THIS BRIEFLY ALREADY, BUT COULD YOU

DESCRIBE THE PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS OR LIST THE

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS THAT YOU'RE A MEMBER OF IN YOUR

FIELD?

A YES. SO I'M A MEMBER OF THE AMERICAN SOCIOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION GOING BACK TO PROBABLY 2004 AND WAS A CHAIR OF A RECENT COMMITTEE FOR THAT ASSOCIATION. I'M ALSO A MEMBER OF THE PACIFIC SOCIOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION AND I CHAIR THEIR CLINICAL AND APPLIED SOCIOLOGY COMMITTEE.

I'M A MEMBER OF THE SOUTHERN SOCIOLOGICAL SOCIETY

AND I'M A MEMBER OF THEIR PERSISTENT POVERTY IN THE SOUTH

PROJECT COMMITTEE. AND I'M ALSO -- THE SOCIETY FOR THE STUDY

OF SOCIAL PROBLEMS, I'M A MEMBER OF THAT PROFESSIONAL

ASSOCIATION AND WILL BE PRESENTING AT THEIR CONFERENCE IN

AUGUST.

Q IN WHAT GEOGRAPHIC AREAS HAVE YOU WORKED?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 119 of 109

1	A SO PRIMARILY MY RESEARCH HAS BEEN HERE IN THE SOUTH,
2	BOTH IN GEORGIA AND TENNESSEE, ALABAMA, TEXAS, LOUISIANA. MY
3	DISSERTATION RESEARCH WAS IN CALIFORNIA. I'VE ALSO DONE
4	PROJECTS WITH GROUPS IN OHIO, IN WASHINGTON STATE AND IN
5	HAWAII.
6	Q WHAT TYPES OF RESEARCH HAVE YOU CONDUCTED IN THOSE
7	STATES?
8	A SO GENERALLY SOCIOLOGICAL QUALITATIVE RESEARCH AND
9	RESEARCH ABOUT WOMEN'S EXPERIENCES IN POVERTY AND RESEARCH
10	ABOUT U.S., SORT OF, SOCIAL POLICIES THAT AFFECT LOW-INCOME
11	WOMEN.
12	Q AND HOW ARE YOU ABLE TO CONDUCT RESEARCH OR WORK ON
13	PROJECTS IN DIFFERENT REGIONS?
14	A SO BECAUSE MY EXPERTISE IS ABOUT WOMEN'S POVERTY IN
15	THE UNITED STATES, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I'M REALLY
16	INTERESTED IN AND IS WHY WOMEN ARE POOR IN THE U.S. SO MY
17	EXPERTISE IS ABOUT WOMEN'S POVERTY IN THE U.S. GENERALLY. AND
18	SO THEN YOU NEED TO KNOW KIND OF SITE-SPECIFIC INFORMATION,
19	BUT UNDERSTANDING THE OVERALL UMBRELLA OF THE ISSUE AND THEN
20	YOU CAN APPLY IT OR UNDERSTAND WHAT MIGHT BE DIFFERENT ABOUT
21	VARIOUS SITES.
22	Q AND DOES YOUR TRAINING AND QUALITATIVE METHODS ALLOW
23	YOU TO CONDUCT RESEARCH THAT'S STATE SPECIFIC?
24	A YES.
25	Q AND HOW IS THAT DONE?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 120 of 120

1	A ONE OF THE THINGS THAT YOU LEARN IN SOCIOLOGY, IS TO
2	TRY TO UNDERSTAND WHAT ARE THE THINGS THAT MIGHT BE COMMON
3	ACROSS THE DIFFERENT SETTINGS AND THEN WHAT ARE THE THINGS TO
4	MAYBE LOOK FOR THAT MIGHT BE SPECIFIC TO THE VARIOUS PLACES.
5	Q AND IS IT COMMON IN YOUR FIELD TO APPLY KNOWLEDGE
6	ABOUT U.S. POVERTY GENERALLY TO A SPECIFIC STATE?
7	A YES. AND SO THIS IS THE WAY THAT WE'RE TRAINED AND
8	THE WAY THAT WE LEARN TO DO RESEARCH, THIS IS A COMMONLY DONE
9	METHOD. FOR EXAMPLE, ONE OF THE BOOKS THAT I ACTUALLY TEACH
10	IN MY SOCIOLOGY OF POVERTY CLASS FOR THE GRADUATE STUDENTS IS
11	CALLED, MAKING ENDS MEET BY EDIN AND LIEN.
12	AND THEIR PROJECT LOOKS AT WOMEN'S POVERTY IN
13	CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA, IN SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS AND IN
14	CHICAGO, ILLINOIS. AND SO MANY OF US ARE INTERESTED IN
15	WOMEN'S POVERTY IN THE U.S. AND SO YOU HAVE TO UNDERSTAND
16	MAYBE WHAT MIGHT BE DIFFERENT FROM SAN ANTONIO, TO CHARLESTON,
17	TO CHICAGO, BUT THEN THE EXPERIENCE OF BEING POOR IN THE U.S.
18	IS QUITE SIMILAR.
19	Q AND DID YOU APPLY THE METHODOLOGY THAT YOU HAVE
20	DESCRIBED TO US IN FORMULATING YOUR OPINIONS IN THIS CASE
21	ABOUT LOW-INCOME WOMEN AND THEIR ACCESS TO ABORTION SERVICES?
22	A YES, I DID.
23	Q HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY HAD OCCASION TO STUDY WOMEN IN
24	POVERTY IN LOUISIANA?

A YES. SO I DID WORK IN 2006, RIGHT IN THE YEAR AFTER

25

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 121 of 105

1	HURRICANE KATRINA, WITH SOME LOW-INCOME WOMEN'S ORGANIZATIONS.
2	THE GROUP THAT I WAS DOING RESEARCH WITH IN OAKLAND WAS
3	PARTNERING WITH ORGANIZATIONS IN HOUSTON, TEXAS, IN NEW
4	ORLEANS AND IN BILOXI, MENTORING THEM ON GRASS ROOTS
5	ORGANIZING AND GRASS ROOTS SORT OF ISSUES AROUND POVERTY. AND
6	SO I WAS PART OF THE TEAM THAT WAS WORKING WITH FROM
7	OAKLAND THAT WAS WORKING WITH THE GROUP HERE WELL, IN NEW
8	ORLEANS.
9	Q AND HOW DID THAT EXPERIENCE CONTRIBUTE TO YOUR
10	METHODOLOGY IN THIS CASE, IF AT ALL?
11	A IT CONTRIBUTED A GENERAL UNDERSTANDING OF OF THE
12	ISSUES IN LOUISIANA. AND SO AS I WAS PREPARING THE REPORT, MY
13	UNDERSTANDING OF THOSE ISSUES AND WHAT I WAS FINDING, IT JUST
14	CONTRIBUTED TO A GENERAL UNDERSTANDING.
15	Q HAVE YOU IN YOUR RESEARCH LOOKED AT THE IMPACT OF
16	DISTANCE OR THE NEED TO TRAVEL ON ACCESS TO SERVICES?
17	A YES, I HAVE. IN MY OWN RESEARCH, ONE OF THE THINGS
18	THAT I WAS INTERESTED IN THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA WAS AROUND
19	WOMEN'S ACCESS TO EDUCATION, JOB SERVICES, AND A VARIETY OF
20	HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND SO I ASKED WOMEN ABOUT THOSE
21	ISSUES.
22	Q AND HAVE YOU SEEN PEER REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS THAT
23	LOOKED AT THE IMPACT OF DISTANCE OR TRAVEL ON ACCESS TO
24	SERVICES?

A YES. WOMEN'S ACCESS TO SERVICES AND THEIR ABILITY

25

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 122 of 108

1.	TO GET TRANSPORTATION TO THEM IS A PART OF THE SOCIOLOGICAL
2	LITERATURE.
3	Q CAN YOU GIVE US AN EXAMPLE OR TWO OF THAT?
4	A YES. AND SO IN THE SOCIOLOGICAL LITERATURE, THERE
5	IS A DISCUSSION AROUND HOW ACCESS TO TRANSPORTATION SERVICES,
6	SO WHETHER IT'S OWNING A CAR, HAVING ACCESS TO A CAR, OR
7	HAVING ACCESS TO PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, IS ONE OF THE KEY
8	FACTORS IN WHETHER OR NOT A WOMAN IS ABLE TO USE THE HEALTH OR
9	HUMAN SERVICE OR IF SHE'S ABLE TO GET TO WORK OR GET TO MAYBE
10	A JOB TRAINING CLASS, GET TO THE WELFARE OFFICE TO COMPLY WITH
11	WELFARE REQUIREMENTS, ABLE TO ACCESS A REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
12	SERVICE, ABLE TO ACCESS ANOTHER WOMEN'S HEALTH SERVICE.
13	Q AND HAVE YOU DONE RESEARCH ON ACCESS TO ABORTION
14	SERVICES?
15	A I HAVE NOT DONE RESEARCH ON THAT ISSUE SPECIFICALLY.
16	IT COMES UP IN MY RESEARCH UNDER THE UMBRELLA OF WOMEN'S
17	ACCESS TO REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SERVICES.
18	Q DOES YOUR METHODOLOGY THAT YOU USED IN THIS CASE
19	REQUIRE KNOWLEDGE ABOUT ABORTION SERVICES OR ABORTION IN
20	LOUISIANA?
21	A IT DOESN'T REQUIRE SPECIFIC KNOWLEDGE, IT REQUIRES A
22	GENERAL UNDERSTANDING, WHICH I HAVE.
23	Q HAVE YOU BEEN RECOGNIZED PREVIOUSLY AS AN EXPERT BY
24	ANY OTHER COURT?
25	A VES IN BLANNED DARENTHOOD VERSES SOUTH - BLANNED

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 123 of 108

1	PARENTHOOD OF THE SOUTHEAST VERSES STRANGE IN THE MIDDLE
2	DISTRICT COURT OF ALABAMA, I WAS RECOGNIZED AS A WITNESS
3	EXPERT WITNESS.
4	Q AND WHAT WERE YOUR ACTIVITIES IN THAT CASE?
5	A THEY WERE SIMILAR TO THE ACTIVITIES IN THIS CASE. I
6	DID RESEARCH ABOUT WOMEN'S POVERTY IN ALABAMA. I WROTE AN
7	EXPERT REPORT AND I HAD A DEPOSITION AND I GAVE LIVE TESTIMONY
8	AT TRIAL.
9	Q AND CAN YOU BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE TESTIMONY THAT YOU
.0	GAVE IN ALABAMA?
1	A SO I DESCRIBED
.2	MR. DUNCAN: OBJECTION. HEARSAY?
13	THE COURT: STRESS THE WORD GENERALLY. I'VE READ
L4	THE OPINION. I'VE READ THE REFERENCE IN THE OPINION TO DR.
L5	KATZ'S TESTIMONY. WE DON'T NEED TO REHASH WHAT HAPPENED IN
16	ALABAMA.
17	MS. LEVINE: OKAY, I'LL MOVE ON.
18	BY MS. LEVINE:
19	Q HOW DOES THE METHODOLOGY THAT YOU USED TO REACH YOUR
20	OPINIONS IN THE ALABAMA CASE COMPARE TO THE METHODOLOGY YOU
21	USED IN THIS CASE?
22	A IT'S QUITE SIMILAR.
23	MS. LEVINE: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME WE WOULD
24	TENDER DR. KATZ AS AN EXPERT IN THE FIELD OF SOCIOLOGY OF
25	GENDER AND POVERTY UNDER RULE 702.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 124 of 104

1 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 2 DO YOU WANT TO CROSS HER ON HER QUALIFICATIONS OR 3 YOU JUST WANT TO MAKE ARGUMENT? 4 MR. DUNCAN: IS IT OKAY IF I COME HERE? 5 THE COURT: YEAH, YOU BET. 6 MR. DUNCAN: YOUR HONOR, WE'RE AWARE THAT YOU'VE 7 ALREADY DENIED A DAUBERT MOTION WITH RESPECT TO THIS WITNESS, 8 SO I'M NOT GOING TO REHASH THAT. I'M NOT GOING TO GO OVER THE 9 QUALIFICATIONS. IT SEEMS TO ME SHE'S QUALIFIED IN THE FIELD 10 OF SOCIOLOGY OF GENDER AND POVERTY. I'D LIKE TO MAKE AN 11 OBJECTION FOR THE RECORD, HOWEVER. 12 I UNDERSTAND YOUR HONOR'S APPROACH TO LET ALL OF THE 13 EVIDENCE IN, I FULLY UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT I JUST WANT TO MAKE 14 AN OBJECTION FOR THE RECORD BASED ON THE COLE CASE, COULD I 15 JUST DO THAT? IT WILL TAKE ME TWO MINUTES. 16 THE COURT: OH, YEAH. SURE. ABSOLUTELY. 17 MR. DUNCAN: JUDGING FROM DR. KATZ'S EXPERT REPORT, 18 QUALIFICATIONS, HER DEPOSITION AND WHAT WE'VE JUST HEARD HERE, 19 THE DEFENDANT BELIEVES THAT THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THIS 20 TESTIMONY IS NOT MATERIAL TO THIS CASE UNDER THE FIFTH 21 CIRCUIT'S COLE DECISION. SPECIFICALLY COLE EXPLAINS, AND THIS 22 IS AT PAGE 20 OF THE OPINION, THAT EVIDENCE OF THE PRACTICAL 23 CONCERN THAT LOW-INCOME WOMEN MAY FACE IN TRAVELING TO OBTAIN 24 ABORTIONS, CONCERNS LIKE COST, AVAILABILITY OF TRANSPORTATION, 25 DIFFICULTY GETTING TIME OFF FROM WORK, AVAILABILITY OF CHILD

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 125 of 105

1	CARE, NO MATTER HOW REAL FOR THOSE WOMEN, CANNOT BE ATTRIBUTED
2	TO THE LAW, TO THE ABORTION REGULATION FOR PURPOSES OF THE
3	CASEY AFFECT'S TEST. AND IN HOLDING THAT, COLE FOLLOWS TWO
4	U.S. SUPREME DECISIONS IN SETTING DOWN THIS PRINCIPLE, HARRIS
5	V. MCRAE AND MAHER V. ROE.
6	SO BECAUSE BINDING FIFTH CIRCUIT PRECEDENT EXCLUDES
7	THIS KIND OF EVIDENCE FROM THE CASEY AFFECT TEST, AND I THINK
8	FROM THE WITNESS WE'VE HEARD A LOT OF QUALIFICATIONS. WE'VE
9	HEARD WHAT SHE'S GOING TO TESTIFY ABOUT. I HEARD HER JUST SAY
.0	SHE'S GOING TO TESTIFY ABOUT THE GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF
1	POVERTY IN LOUISIANA. SO WE BELIEVE THAT UNDER THE COLE
12	DECISION THE COURT SHOULD EXCLUDE THIS TESTIMONY.
L3	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, MR. DUNCAN. AND
L4	I UNDERSTAND THE ARGUMENT AND I HAVE READ THE MOTION FOR
L5	RECONSIDERATION. IT IS UNDER ADVISEMENT AND PER MY EARLIER
16	COMMENTS WE'RE GOING TO LET THIS TESTIMONY IN SUBJECT TO YOUR
L7	EARLIER MOTION.
18	MR. DUNCAN: JUST TO MAKE THE RECORD CLEAR, YOUR
19	HONOR. THIS IS A DIFFERENT OBJECTION FROM THE MOTION TO
20	RECONSIDER. THIS IS BASED PURELY ON
21	THE COURT: ON THE SPECIFIC LANGUAGE OF COLE THAT
22	YOU JUST READ.
23	MR. DUNCAN: THAT'S RIGHT.
24	THE COURT: I'M FAMILIAR WITH THE LANGUAGE AND I'M

FAMILIAR WITH THE ISSUE, SO IT'S UNDER ADVISEMENT WITH

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 126 of 109

EVERYTHING ELSE. SO HEARING NO OBJECTION TO HER
QUALIFICATIONS AS AN EXPERT, I WILL ACCEPT DR. KATZ AS AN
EXPERT IN THE SOCIOLOGY OF GENDER AND SOCIOLOGY OF POVERTY AND
YOU MAY PROCEED.

MS. LEVINE: THANK YOU.

BY MS. LEVINE:

Q DR. KATZ, HOW IS POVERTY DEFINED IN THE UNITED STATES?

A SO POVERTY IS DEFINED IN THE UNITED STATES, MAINLY ACCORDING TO THE DEPARTMENT OF -- U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES. SO EVERY YEAR THEY ISSUE SOMETHING CALLED THE FEDERAL POVERTY GUIDELINE OR THE FEDERAL POVERTY THRESHOLD AND THEY SET A DOLLAR AMOUNT, IT'S AROUND 14,600 THIS YEAR, I THINK, FOR ONE PERSON AND THEY ADD AN AMOUNT THAT'S APPROXIMATELY ABOUT \$4,000 FOR EACH ADDITIONAL PERSON IN THE HOUSEHOLD.

HOWEVER, THE FIELD OF SOCIOLOGY RECOGNIZES, THE FIELD OF SOCIOLOGY, MANY OTHER RESEARCHERS AND EXPERTS IN THIS AREA, RECOGNIZE AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES ITSELF, RECOGNIZES THAT THIS STANDARD IS FAR TOO LOW.

AND SO MANY FEDERAL AND STATE, AND ALSO COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS, BECAUSE THERE ARE PROBLEMS WITH THE FEDERAL POVERTY GUIDELINE, SET AMOUNTS THAT ARE SLIGHTLY HIGHER. SO 125 PERCENT, 150 PERCENT OR 200 PERCENT OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY GUIDELINES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 127 of 108

1	WHEN WE THINK OF WHO IS LOW-INCOME IN THE U.S.
2	THERE'S ONE GUIDELINE THAT'S SORT OF TOO LOW, BUT EVERYONE
3	THAT'S WITHIN ABOUT 200 PERCENT OF THAT FEDERAL POVERTY
4	GUIDELINE IS CONSIDERED LOW-INCOME.
5	Q SO BY WAY OF ILLUSTRATION, COULD YOU GIVE ME AN
6	EXAMPLE, LIKE WHAT 200 PERCENT OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL IS
7	FOR A FAMILY OF THREE?
8	A IF I WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO REFER TO MY REPORT
9	FOR THAT EXACT NUMBER.
.0	THE COURT: LET ME JUST CLARIFY, HER CV IS IN THE
1	RECORD AS A JOINT EXHIBIT, AS IS HER REPORT?
12	MS. LEVINE: THAT'S CORRECT.
13	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
L4	BY MS. LEVINE:
L5	Q DR. KATZ, YOUR REPORT IS JOINT EXHIBIT 124. YOU
16	SHOULD FIND IT IN THE BINDER MARKED THREE OF FOUR?
L7	A OKAY. WHAT NUMBER WAS IT?
18	Q 124.
19	A 124, OKAY. SO IF WE'RE THINKING ABOUT, FOR EXAMPLE,
20	A SINGLE MOM WITH TWO CHILDREN, 200 PERCENT OF THE POVERTY
21	LINE IS APPROXIMATELY \$39,000.
22	Q AND WHAT DO YOU MEAN WHEN YOU USE THE TERM,
23	"LOW-INCOME"?
24	A WHEN I USE THE TERM, "LOW-INCOME," I DON'T
25	SPECIFICALLY JUST MEAN PEOPLE WHO ARE AT OR UNDER THE FEDERAL

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 128 of 128

1	POVERTY GUIDELINE, BUT I ALSO MEAN PEOPLE WHO ARE GENERALLY IN
2	THE AREA OF UNDER 200 PERCENT OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LINE. SO
3	FOR A SINGLE MOTHER AND TWO CHILDREN, IF SHE MAKES LESS THAN
4	\$39,580 A YEAR, SHE WOULD GENERALLY BE CONSIDERED LOW-INCOME.
5	Q AND ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE DATA ON POVERTY RATES
6	IN THE STATE OF LOUISIANA?
7	A YES, I AM. LOUISIANA IS THE THIRD POOREST STATE IN
8	THE U.S. ACCORDING TO OUR MOST RECENT CENSUS NUMBERS.
9	Q IF YOU COULD TURN TO WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS
10	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 167. SO IT WILL BE A DIFFERENT BINDER
11	THAT SAYS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS.
12	A OKAY. I ONLY HAVE BINDERS MARKED JOINT EXHIBITS.
13	OH, HERE WE GO. AND WHAT NUMBER?
14	Q NUMBER 167.
15	A OKAY.
16	Q ARE YOU LOOKING AT THAT DOCUMENT?
17	A YES.
18	Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS DOCUMENT?
19	A YES. IT'S A MAP OF THE UNITED STATES AND IT'S
20	SHOWING HOW POVERTY VARIES BY STATE.
21	Q AND WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF THE DATA FOR THE
22	INFORMATION IN THIS MAP.
23	A THE SOURCE OF THE DATA IS THE U.S. CENSUS BUREAU, A
24	CENSUS FROM 2010.
25	Q AND DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THIS MAP

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 129 of 129

1	DOES NOT ACCURATELY REFLECT THE CENSUS DATA?
2	A NO.
3	THE COURT: I'M SORRY, I MISSED THE EXHIBIT NUMBER.
4	COULD YOU GIVE IT TO ME AGAIN?
5	MS. LEVINE: SURE, IT'S PLAINTIFFS' 167?
6	THE COURT: IS THERE A JOINT EXHIBIT NUMBER?
7	MS. LEVINE: NO.
8	THE COURT: OKAY.
9	MS. LEVINE: AT THIS TIME I WOULD OFFER PLAINTIFFS'
10	EXHIBIT 167 INTO EVIDENCE.
11	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION, SUBJECT TO YOUR EARLIER
12	OBJECTION?
13	MR. DUNCAN: RIGHT. NO OBJECTION. AND I HOPE THIS
14	DOESN'T RAISE THE PROBLEM WE WERE TALKING EARLIER ABOUT.
15	THE COURT: NO. I THINK THE RECORD IS CLEAR AND
16	LET'S MAKE SURE IT IS CLEAR THAT THESE KINDS OF OBJECTIONS ARE
17	GOING TO BE CONTINUING WITHOUT THE NECESSITY FOR MR. DUNCAN TO
18	REURGE THEM AT EVERY TURN.
19	MR. DUNCAN: I UNDERSTAND THAT, YOUR HONOR. I JUST
20	
21	THE COURT: I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY
22	UNDERSTAND AND AGREE TO THAT.
23	IS THAT AGREEABLE?
24	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
25	MR. DUNCAN: I JUST MEANT THE JX, PX STUFF.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 130 of 109

1	THE COURT: OH, SORRY.
2	MR. DUNCAN: WE'LL WORK ALL OF THAT OUT.
3	THE COURT: OKAY. SO IN ANY EVENT, THIS IS
4	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 167.
5	MS. LEVINE: RIGHT.
6	THE COURT: AND IT WILL BE RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE AND
7	THEN WE'LL LATER FIGURE OUT WHETHER WE'RE GOING TO CHANGE THE
8	NUMBER TO A JOINT EXHIBIT.
9	MS. LEVINE: THANK YOU. AND IF WE COULD PUBLISH
10	THAT EXHIBIT?
11	THE COURT: OH, GOOD, BECAUSE MINE IS BLACK AND
12	WHITE.
13	THE WITNESS: IT'S EASIER TO SEE IN COLOR.
14	BY MS. LEVINE:
15	Q COULD YOU SUMMARIZE WHAT YOU CAN EXTRACT FROM THIS
16	MAP
17	A YES. AND SO
18	Q REGARDING POVERTY IN LOUISIANA?
19	A THE THING THAT I THINK IS MOST IMPORTANT FROM THIS
20	MAP IS THAT WHEN WE'RE THINKING ABOUT POVERTY IN LOUISIANA,
21	AND THINKING ABOUT POVERTY IN THE U.S IS THAT LOUISIANA IS ONE
22	OF THE POOREST STATES IN THE UNITED STATES AND IT'S PART OF,
23	YOU KNOW, THE PERSISTENT POVERTY THAT WE SEE THROUGHOUT THE
24	SOUTHERN PART OF THE U.S. BUT THAT THE POVERTY IN LOUISIANA
25	AND MISSISSIPPI SPECIFICALLY IS MUCH MORE SEVERE THAN POVERTY

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 131 of 1801

1	IN OTHER AREAS. AND SO THIS MAP JUST SIMPLY KIND OF SHOWS
2	THAT IN A PICTURE TO HELP US UNDERSTAND THAT THE POVERTY HERE
3	IS DEEPER.
4	Q DO YOU HAVE THE FIGURE OF HOW THE POVERTY RATE IN
5	LOUISIANA COMPARES TO THE NATIONAL POVERTY RATE?
6	A YES. IF YOU DON'T MIND, I'M GOING TO REFER BACK TO
7	MY REPORT JUST FOR THE PERCENTAGE. FOR THE POVERTY RATE IN
8	LOUISIANA, FOR 2010, THE POVERTY RATE HAS BEEN
9	MR. DUNCAN: YOUR HONOR, I'M SORRY, TO INTERRUPT.
10	THIS IS NOT BY THE WAY OF OBJECTION, BUT IT WOULD BE HELPFUL
11	TO ME IF WHEN THE EXPERT IS REFERRING TO HER REPORT THAT I
12	JUST KNOW WHERE SO THAT I COULD FOLLOW ALONG?
13	THE COURT: YEAH, IF YOU COULD JUST TELL US WHERE IN
14	THE REPORT YOU'RE REFERRING TO.
15	THE WITNESS: SURE.
16	THE COURT: BEFORE YOU MOVE, ONE QUESTION, WHO'S
17	WORSE, LOUISIANA OR MISSISSIPPI?
18	THE WITNESS: MISSISSIPPI IS WORSE.
19	THE COURT: WONDERFUL.
20	THE WITNESS: JUST BY A LITTLE BIT.
21	THE COURT: WELL WE'RE NOT LAST IN SOMETHING; RIGHT?
22	THE WITNESS: THEY'RE THE POOREST.
23	THE COURT: THANK YOU. SORRY. A LITTLE LEVITY
24	HERE.
25	THE WITNESS: IT'S POVERTY.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 132 of 108

1	A SO I'M ON PAGE 3 OF MY REPORT ON NUMBER 7. THAT
2	POVERTY IN LOUISIANA IS RIGHT AROUND 19.8 PERCENT IN 2013
3	NUMBERS. THE NATIONAL POVERTY RATE IS RIGHT AROUND
4	15 PERCENT.
5	BY MS. LEVINE:
6	Q AND IF YOU COULD NOW TURN BACK
7	A SURE.
8	Q PLAINTIFFS' BINDER, WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS
9	EXHIBIT 166?
10	A YES.
11	Q WE WON'T PUBLISH THAT JUST YET. IT'S NOT INTO
12	EVIDENCE. ARE YOU THERE, DR. KATZ?
13	A YES, I AM.
14	Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS DOCUMENT?
1.5	A YES, IT'S A MAP FROM THE U.S. CENSUS BUREAU.
16	Q AND WHAT DOES IT SHOW?
17	A IT SHOWS THE STATE OF LOUISIANA AND THE DARKER
18	COLORS OR THE DARKER SHADING THE MAP'S IN BLACK AND WHITE,
19	BUT IT USED TO BE IN COLOR. THE DARKER SHADING IS THE POORER
20	PARISHES WITHIN LOUISIANA AND THE LIGHTER SHADING ARE THE
21	PARISHES WHERE THE POVERTY RATE IS LOWER.
22	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO BELIEVE THIS MAP DOES NOT
23	ACCURATELY REFLECT CENSUS DATA?
24	A NO.
25	MS. LEVINE: AT THIS TIME I WOULD OFFER PLAINTIFES'

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 133 of 108

1	EXHIBIT 166 INTO EVIDENCE.
2	THE COURT: OBJECTIONS OTHER THAN THOSE PREVIOUSLY
3	NOTED?
4	MR. DUNCAN: NO, YOUR HONOR.
5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET IT BE RECEIVED AND
6	PUBLISHED.
7	MS. LEVINE: THANK YOU.
8	BY MS. LEVINE:
9	Q AND COULD YOU EXPLAIN WHAT THIS MAP DEMONSTRATES
10	REGARDING REGIONAL DIFFERENCES IN POVERTY WITHIN THE STATE OF
11	LOUISIANA?
12	A YES. SO IF YOU'RE LOOKING AT THE MAP, IT'S A PARISH
13	BY PARISH MAP OF LOUISIANA, CENSUS DATA IS OFTEN DONE ON A
14	COUNTY BY COUNTY BASIS. SO THE PARISHES THAT ARE THE LIGHTEST
15	PURPLE SHADING ARE THE PARISHES THAT HAVE THE LOWEST POVERTY
16	RATE. SO WE SEE ACROSS MUCH OF THE SOUTHERN PART OF THE
17	STATE.
18	THE PARISHES THAT ARE DARKER PURPLE, SO ORLEANS
19	PARISH, SOME PARISHES IN THE MIDDLE OF THE STATE AND THE
20	PARISHES IN THE NORTH EASTERN CORNER OF THE STATE OR THE
21	DARKEST PURPLE HAVE THE HIGHEST RATES OF POVERTY, UPWARDS OF
22	34 TO 55 PERCENT OF POVERTY. SO THIS IS IT DEPICTS SORT OF
23	HOW POVERTY VARIES ACROSS THE STATE AND WHICH PARISHES ARE
24	POORER AND WHICH GEOGRAPHIC REGIONS OF THE STATE ARE POORER.
25	LOUISIANA IS SO 26 OF LOUISIANA'S PARISHES HAVE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 134 of 1804

BEEN CLASSIFIED AS PERSISTENTLY POOR BY THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
AGRICULTURE WHO ADMINISTERS THE FOOD STAMP PROGRAM, AND SO YOU
CAN SEE THAT THE DARKEST PURPLE PARISHES THIS ISN'T A MAP
OF PERSISTENT POVERTY IN LOUISIANA, BUT I ALSO KNOW THAT THE
PARISHES THAT ARE DARKEST PURPLE ARE SOME OF THE ONES THAT
ALSO QUALIFY AS PERSISTENTLY POOR PLACES. WHICH MEANS THAT
THEY HAVE ABOVE 20 PERCENT POVERTY FOR EVERY CENSUS GOING BACK
то 1980.

Q AND CAN YOU COMMENT ON WHETHER THERE ARE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN URBAN AND RURAL POVERTY IN LOUISIANA?

A YES. SO ESPECIALLY AMONG THIS PERSISTENTLY POOR
MEASURE, THAT DESPITE ONE OF THE PARISHES AROUND THE NEW
ORLEANS AREA, THAT MOST OF THE PERSISTENTLY POOR OR MOST OF
THE PARISHES THAT HAVE THE HIGHEST POVERTY LEVEL IN THE STATE
ARE THE RURAL PARISHES.

Q DO YOU HAVE ANY INFORMATION ABOUT POVERTY IN LOUISIANA'S LARGEST CITIES?

A YES, I DO. SO I'M GOING TO REFER BACK TO MY EXPERT REPORT FOR THOSE FIGURES AND I AM ON PAGE -- I HAVE TO FIND IT. I'M ON PAGE 3 AGAIN IN NUMBER 7, THAT POVERTY IN BATON ROUGE IS APPROXIMATELY 18.7 PERCENT, NEW ORLEANS IS 19.3 PERCENT. HOWEVER, THE POVERTY IN SHREVEPORT IS HIGHER THAN THE STATE AVERAGE AND IT'S AT 20.4 PERCENT, WHICH IF YOU THINK ABOUT THE MAP WOULD GO ALONG WITH WHAT WE'RE SEEING ON THE MAP.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 135 of 205

1	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY INFORMATION ABOUT THE POVERTY RATE
2	SPECIFICALLY AMONG WOMEN IN LOUISIANA?
3	A YES, I DO.
4	Q AND
5	A SO WOMEN WOMEN IN THE U.S. BUT WOMEN IN LOUISIANA
6	SPECIFICALLY ARE POORER THAN MEN ARE, AND THEN IF WE I'M
7	JUST LOOKING OVER TO PAGE 5. SO OF PEOPLE BELOW THE POVERTY
8	LEVEL IN LOUISIANA, 57.4 PERCENT ARE WOMEN, WHEREAS ONLY
9	42.6 PERCENT ARE MEN. AND IF WE LOOK AT WOMEN'S POVERTY RATE,
.0	SO AMONG WOMEN IN LOUISIANA, 21.9 PERCENT ARE POOR.
1	AND IF WE LOOK AT POVERTY AMONG MEN ONLY
.2	17.4 PERCENT ARE POOR. SO POVERTY IS GENDERED AND THIS IS ONE
13	OF THE THINGS THAT I STUDY IS, WHY IS WOMEN'S POVERTY HIGHER
L4	THAN MEN'S POVERTY?
.5	Q AND CAN YOU APPROXIMATE HOW MANY WOMEN OF CHILD
16	BEARING AGE LIVE BELOW THE FEDERAL POVERTY LINE IN LOUISIANA?
L7	A YEAH. SO IT'S APPROXIMATELY 230,000 WOMEN.
.8	Q AND CAN YOU APPROXIMATE ABOUT HOW MANY FAMILIES
19	HEADED BY SINGLE MOTHERS ARE AT OR BELOW 125 PERCENT OF THE
20	POVERTY THRESHOLD IN LOUISIANA?
21	A IT'S AT OR BELOW CAN YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?
22	Q SURE. ABOUT HOW MANY FAMILIES HEADED BY SINGLE
23	MOTHERS ARE AT OR BELOW 125 PERCENT OF THE POVERTY THRESHOLD
24	IN LOUISIANA?
25	A SO THAT'S AT ABOUT HALF. SO ABOUT 50.7 PERCENT OF

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 136 of 1806

1	SINGLE MOTHERED FAMILIES ARE AT OR BELOW 125 PERCENT OF THE
2	POVERTY LINE. AND I BELIEVE THAT NUMBER IS ABOUT 530,000
3	FAMILIES.
4	Q ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE DATA ON POVERTY RATES
5	AMONG ABORTION PATIENTS?
6	A YES, I AM. SO GENERALLY, AND I'M GOING TO JUST FLIP
7	A COUPLE OF PAGES. I'M ON PAGE 8. THAT ABOUT 42 PERCENT OF
8	WOMEN HAVING ABORTIONS ARE AT OR BELOW THE FEDERAL POVERTY
9	LINE AND ANOTHER 27 PERCENT ARE AT OR BELOW THE 200 PERCENT OF
10	THE FEDERAL POVERTY LINE. SO THAT'S UPWARD
11	THE COURT: YOUR FIRST NUMBER WAS 42 PERCENT?
12	THE WITNESS: 42 PERCENT, AND THE SECOND NUMBER IS
13	27 PERCENT. SO THREE-QUARTERS APPROXIMATELY.
14	BY MS. LEVINE:
15	Q AND THESE ARE THE NATIONAL FIGURES?
16	A THESE ARE NATIONAL FIGURES.
17	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY INFORMATION ABOUT THE DEMOGRAPHIC
18	CHARACTERISTICS OF ABORTION PATIENTS IN LOUISIANA
19	SPECIFICALLY?
20	A SO THERE'S BEEN A RECENTLY PUBLISHED ARTICLE BY
21	ROBERTS, ET AL THAT HAS SOME DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION ABOUT
22	POVERTY AMONG NOT POVERTY, BUT DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION
23	AMONG ABORTION PATIENTS.
24	MR. DUNCAN: YOUR HONOR, I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT. I
25	DO HAVE AN OBJECTION TO RELIANCE ON THAT ARTICLE, BECAUSE IT

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 137 of 1806

1	WAS IN A SUPPLEMENT TO THE EXPERT REPORT THAT WAS SENT AFTER
2	THE EXPERT REPORT WAS PRODUCED AND AFTER THE DEPOSITION AND
3	IT'S SPECIFIC INFORMATION WITH RESPECT TO LOUISIANA. SO I DO
4	LODGE AN OBJECTION TO RELIANCE ON THAT PARTICULAR REPORT.
5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
6	MS. LEVINE: WE SUBMITTED THE SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT
7	OUTLINING THAT SHE WAS ALSO RELYING ON THIS ARTICLE FOR HER
8	OPINIONS AND THIS IS THE FIRST WE'RE HEARING AN OBJECTION AND
9	IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT WE WOULD HAVE THE ROBERTS' STUDY
10	AS A JOINT EXHIBIT.
11	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU GUYS TRY TO WORK THIS OUT
12	DURING A BREAK? I'LL RECEIVE IT SUBJECT TO THE OBJECTION.
13	MR. DUNCAN: RIGHT. AND JUST TO BE CLEAR, YOUR
14	HONOR, THE REASON WHY IT'S A JOINT EXHIBIT IS, BECAUSE IF YOU
15	HONOR IS GOING TO LET HER RELY ON IT, WHICH YOU MAY OR NOT,
16	BUT IF SHE'S GOING TO RELY ON IT MY EXPERT NEEDS TO
17	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. DR. SOLANKY, YOU'RE
18	TALKING ABOUT?
19	MR. DUNCAN: CORRECT.
20	THE COURT: YEAH. WELL, I'M GOING TO LET IT IN AND
21	DR. SOLANKY CAN DEAL WITH IT, I'M SURE.
22	MR. DUNCAN: THAT'S FINE, THANK YOU. SUBJECT TO
23	THAT, YOUR HONOR, NO OBJECTION.
24	MS. LEVINE: WE'D JUST LIKE TO NOTE FOR THE RECORD

THAT THAT ROBERTS' STUDY WAS PUBLISHED IN MARCH OF THIS YEAR,

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 138 of 108

1	WHICH WAS SUBSEQUENT TO THE DEPOSITION FOR DR. KATZ.
2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY PROCEED.
3	MS. LEVINE: SO I'D LIKE TO OFFER THE ROBERTS' STUDY
4	AS AN EXHIBIT. IT'S BEEN MARKED I BELIEVE AS DEFENSE 159 AND
5	WE CAN CONTINUE TO REFER TO IT IN THAT WAY OR WE COULD GIVE IT
6	A JOINT NUMBER AT SOME POINT?
7	THE COURT: WELL, OUR NEXT JOINT EXHIBIT IS 192, IF
8	YOU WANT TO DO THAT.
9	RIGHT?
10	MR. DUNCAN: FINE WITH ME, NO OBJECTION.
1	THE WITNESS: WHICH BINDER IS IT IN?
.2	THE COURT: I'M SORRY?
13	MS. LEVINE: I BELIEVE IF I MAY APPROACH THE
L4	WITNESS TO PROVIDE COPIES?
.5	THE COURT: YES, YOU MAY.
16	BY MS. LEVINE:
L7	Q CAN YOU SUMMARIZE WHAT THE ROBERTS' STUDY REVEALS
18	ABOUT THE CHARACTERISTICS OF WOMEN SEEKING ABORTION IN
19	LOUISIANA.
20	A YES. SO THE ROBERTS' STUDY LOOKED AT WOMEN WHO HAD
21	AN ABORTION IN LOUISIANA IN 2013 AND '14 THE END OF '13 AND
22	BEGINNING PART OF '14, AND SOME OF THE FINDINGS THE
23	CHARACTERISTICS THAT WERE INTERESTING TO ME IS THAT OVER HALF
24	HAVE NO EDUCATION BEYOND HIGH SCHOOL AND THAT TWO-THIRDS ARE
25	BLACK, 62 PERCENT, 30 PERCENT ARE WHITE AND THAT THREE-FOURTHS

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 139 of 109

1	
2	MR. DUNCAN: SORRY, TO INTERRUPT, YOUR HONOR. IT
3	WOULD AGAIN HELP IF THE WITNESS WOULD YOU SHOW ME WHERE
4	SHE'S
5	THE COURT: AGREE. AND IT WOULD HELP IF I HAD
6	THE WITNESS: SURE.
7	THE COURT: HOLD ON, IT WOULD HELP IF I HAD A COPY
8	OF THE EXHIBIT. THANK YOU.
9	WHAT PAGE WERE YOU ON, DOCTOR?
10	THE WITNESS: I'M ON THE THIRD PAGE OF THE REPORT OR
11	THE ARTICLE AND IT'S PAGE 370, IT'S UP IN THE UPPER LEFT-HAND
12	CORNER AND I'M IN NUMBER 3 THAT SAYS RESULTS, SAMPLE
13	DESCRIPTION.
14	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
15	A ONE MORE PAGE ON THE SCREEN. YES. THE BOTTOM OF
16	THAT PAGE. THERE YOU GO. OKAY. SO WHAT I WAS SAYING WAS
17	THAT OVER HALF HAVE NO EDUCATION BEYOND HIGH SCHOOL AND THAT
18	TWO-THIRDS OR 62 PERCENT OF PATIENTS WERE BLACK AND ABOUT
19	THREE-FOURTHS HAD HAD A PREVIOUS LIVE BIRTH. AND SO THOSE
20	ALL, BECAUSE OF WHAT I KNOW ABOUT GENDER AND POVERTY, THOSE
21	WERE INTERESTING TO ME.
22	BY MS. LEVINE:
23	Q WHY IS THAT?
24	A BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT FAMILIES HEADED BY AFRICAN
25	AMERICAN WOMEN ARE MUCH MORE LIKELY TO BE POOR. AFRICAN

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 140 of 3:00

1	AMERICAN WOMEN'S POVERTY IS HIGHER THAN WHITE WOMEN'S POVERTY
2	AND IS HIGHER THAN AFRICAN AMERICAN MEN'S POVERTY. WE KNOW
3	THAT THOSE WE KNOW WOMEN WHO DON'T HAVE EDUCATION BEYOND
4	HIGH SCHOOL, THAT YOU CAN'T MAKE LIVING WAGES WITH HIGH SCHOOL
5	EDUCATION SO YOU'RE MORE LIKELY TO BE POOR. AND THAT WE ALSO
6	KNOW THAT IF YOU'VE PREVIOUSLY HAD A CHILD, THAT YOU'RE
7	OFTENTIMES RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT CHILD AND THAT LEADS TO
8	INCREASED WOMEN'S POVERTY AS WELL, WHETHER OR NOT YOU HAVE A
9	CHILD.
LO	THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT JUST ONE SECOND?
1.	DOES THIS ARTICLE COVER ALL WOMEN WHO HAVE ABORTIONS
.2	FOR SOME PERIOD OF TIME?
13	THE WITNESS: NO.
L4	THE COURT: WITHOUT REGARD TO POVERTY
L5	THE WITNESS: IT COVERED ALL WOMEN AT THREE
L6	FACILITIES.
L7	THE COURT: ALL WOMEN AT THREE FACILITIES, OKAY.
L8	AND WHAT PERIOD OF TIME ARE WE TALKING ABOUT?
19	THE WITNESS: IN NUMBER 3, IT SAYS, 3.1. IT WAS
20	SEPTEMBER 1ST, 2013 THROUGH AUGUST 31ST OF 2014.
21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. DOES IT SAY WHAT FACILITIES?
22	THE WITNESS: I BELIEVE IT DOES IN THE FRONT. MAYBE
23	ON PAGE 2. IT MAY NOT SAY SPECIFICALLY, WHICH IT SAYS THREE
24	OF THE FIVE LOUISIANA ABORTION FACILITIES.
25	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 141 of 3:15

MR. DUNCAN: YOUR HONOR, IT'S IN PARAGRAPH 2.1 THERE
ON PAGE 2.
THE WITNESS: OH, YEAH.
THE COURT: YOU WANT TO JUST SAVE ME SOME TIME AND
TELL ME WHAT FACILITIES?
MR. DUNCAN: WE HAVE NO I HAVE NO IDEA.
THE COURT: OH, I'M SORRY
THE WITNESS: IT LIST THREE FACILITIES.
MR. DUNCAN: I'M JUST SAYING IT SAYS 3
THE COURT: I THOUGHT YOU SAID IT SHOWED WHAT
FACILITIES IN PARAGRAPH 2.1.
OKAY. I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT. KEEP GOING.
BY MS. LEVINE:
Q DR. KATZ, WHAT ARE THE MAJOR EXPENSES THAT
LOW-INCOME WOMEN HAVE?
A THE MAJOR EXPENSES THAT LOW-INCOME WOMEN HAVE, THE
FIRST ONE IS HOUSING. LOW-INCOME FAMILIES PAY A MUCH HIGHER
PERCENTAGE OF THEIR INCOME TOWARDS HOUSING THAN MIDDLE INCOME
FAMILIES DO, SO WHILE MIDDLE INCOME FAMILIES PAY MAYBE
30 PERCENT OF OUR INCOMES TOWARDS HOUSES OR OUR MORTGAGES, OUR
LOW-INCOME FAMILIES PAY BETWEEN 40 AND 80 PERCENT OF THEIR
MONTHLY INCOME TOWARDS THEIR RENT. THEY ALSO HAVE UTILITIES,
FOOD AND THEN ALSO CHILD CARE, TRANSPORTATION.
Q AND WHAT DOES IT INVOLVE TO MAKE ENDS MEET WHILE
LIVING AT OR NEAR THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL IN LOUISIANA?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 142 of 3:08

A IT'S VERY DIFFICULT. MOST PEOPLE DO NOT MAKE ENOUGH MONEY WORKING FULL-TIME AT OR NEAR MINIMUM WAGE JOBS IN ORDER TO COVER ALL OF THEIR EXPENSES EVERY MONTH AND SO THERE'S TOUGH CHOICES THAT NEED TO BE MADE. BUT IT'S LIVING VERY PRECARIOUSLY, OFTEN NOT HAVING ENOUGH MONEY AT THE END OF THE MONTH IN ORDER TO EAT OR TO PAY ALL OF YOUR BILLS, AND SO BILLS GO UNPAID.

SINGLE MOTHERS FROM THE RESEARCH THAT I DO AND THEN ALSO FROM THE EXISTING RESEARCH IN THE FIELD, THEY OFTEN MAKE SURE THAT THEIR KIDS HAVE ENOUGH FOOD AS MUCH AS THEY CAN AND SO THEY GO HUNGRY THEMSELVES, BUT IT'S VERY, VERY DIFFICULT.

Q ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH DATA ON LOW-INCOME WOMEN'S ACCESS TO VEHICLES?

A YES, I AM.

Q AND WHAT DOES THAT RESEARCH AND DATA SHOW?

A THE RESEARCH ABOUT LOW-INCOME WOMEN'S ACCESS TO VEHICLES SHOWS THAT WOMEN HAVE LESS ACCESS TO RELIABLE, PRIVATE VEHICLES THAN MEN DO. SO WOMEN ARE -- I'M GOING TO REFER TO PAGES 9 AND 10 OF MY REPORT. BUT WOMEN ARE MORE LIKELY TO LIVE IN HOUSEHOLDS THAT HAVE EITHER NO VEHICLES OR ONLY ONE VEHICLE FOR, SAY, TWO OR MORE ADULTS THAT LIVE IN THE HOUSEHOLD. AND THAT THEY ALSO ARE MORE LIKELY -- THEY'RE THE LARGEST GROUP OF THE ADULT POPULATION TO RELY ON PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION BECAUSE THEY HAVE NO ACCESS TO VEHICLES.

Q AND WHAT DOES THE RESEARCH SHOW ARE THE GREATEST

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 143 of 108

BARRIERS TO LOW-INCOME WOMEN'S ABILITY TO ACCESS HEALTH SERVICES?

A THERE'S THREE MAIN BARRIERS THAT THE LITERATURE

SHOWS AND THAT'S -- THERE'S FOUR MAIN BARRIERS THAT THE

LITERATURE SHOWS. ONE IS TRANSPORTATION, ONE IS BEING ABLE TO

GET PAID OR UNPAID TIME OFF OF WORK, ONE IS BEING ABLE TO GET

CHILD CARE AND ANOTHER ONE IS SORT OF THE SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGICAL

STRESS FACTOR.

Q AND WHAT RESEARCH GENERALLY SHOWS THESE AS THE PRIMARY BARRIERS?

POVERTY THAT LOOKS AT HOW WOMEN ACCESS HEALTH AND HUMAN
SERVICES WHILE IN POVERTY. AND SO MY OWN RESEARCH DATING
BACK, YOU KNOW, FROM THE EARLY PART OF MY CAREER HAS ALWAYS
BEEN IN THIS AREA. SO MY OWN RESEARCH ASKING WOMEN ABOUT WHAT
THEIR BARRIERS ARE TO ACCESSING THESE SERVICES, WELL-KNOWN,
WELL-PUBLISHED RESEARCH IN OUR FIELD, THE KATHRYN EDIN AND
LAURA LIEN STUDY CALLED, MAKING ENDS MEET, THE SHARON HAYS
STUDY, FLAT BROKE WITH CHILDREN. RECENTLY PUBLISHED IS JUDITH
LEVINE'S WORK CALLED, AIN'T NO TRUST. SO THIS IS A WIDE BODY
OF LITERATURE PUBLISHED BY TOP ACADEMIC PRESSES SHOWING
CONSISTENTLY THESE FOUR BARRIERS.

THE COURT: I'M SORRY, I DIDN'T GET THE FOURTH ONE.

THE WITNESS: IT'S CALLED JUDITH LEVINE. HER BOOK

IS CALLED, AIN'T NO TRUST.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 144 of 1945

1	THE COURT: I'M SORRY, THE FOURTH FACTOR?
2	THE WITNESS: OH, SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTOR SUCH
3	AS ADDITIONAL STRESS.
4	THE COURT: OKAY.
5	BY MS. LEVINE:
6	Q DO THESE BARRIERS YOU'VE DESCRIBED ONLY AFFECT
7	LOW-INCOME WOMEN?
8	A NO, THESE BARRIERS AFFECT THESE BARRIERS CAN
9	AFFECT MIDDLE CLASS WOMEN, THESE BARRIERS CAN AFFECT
10	LOW-INCOME MEN, BUT THESE BARRIERS ARE MOST ACUTE NOT MOST
1	ACUTE. BUT THEIR MOST LOW-INCOME WOMEN'S LIVES ARE SO
12	PRECARIOUS THAT THESE BARRIERS ARE VERY SIGNIFICANT FOR
13	LOW-INCOME WOMEN.
L4	Q CAN YOU CAN DESCRIBE WHAT QUESTIONS YOU LOOKED AT IN
L5	FORMING YOUR OPINIONS IN THIS CASE?
16	A YES. SO FOR THIS REPORT, I LOOKED AT IF THE ACT IS
L7	IMPLEMENTED AND CLINICS CLOSED WHAT ARE HOW WILL LOW-INCOME
18	WOMEN TRY TO ACCESS THESE SERVICES? WHAT ARE THE BURDENS OR
19	HURDLES THAT THEY MY EXPERIENCE TRYING TO ACCESS THESE
20	SERVICES?
21	Q AND YOU MADE CERTAIN ASSUMPTIONS IN FORMING YOUR
22	OPINIONS ABOUT WHICH CLINICS IN LOUISIANA WOULD STAY OPEN AND
23	WHICH WOULD BE CLOSED; RIGHT?
24	A YES. I LOOKED AT VARIOUS SCENARIOS ABOUT WHICH
25	CLINICS MAY STAY OPEN AND WHICH CLINICS MAY CLOSE.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 145 of 165

1	Q AND WHAT WERE THE DIFFERENT SCENARIOS THAT YOU
2	EXAMINED IN TERMS OF CLINICS STAYING OPEN AND CLINICS CLOSING?
3	A YES. SO FOR THE REPORT THE SCENARIOS THAT I
4	ADDRESSED WAS IF THE CLINIC IN BATON ROUGE CLOSED AND THAT
5	WOMEN MAY HAVE TO TRAVEL TO EITHER METAIRIE OR BOSSIER CITY OR
6	IF THE CLINIC IN NEW ORLEANS CLOSED AND WOMEN WOULD HAVE TO
7	TRAVEL FROM THE NEW ORLEANS AREA TO BOSSIER CITY.
8	Q AND WOULD YOUR ANALYSIS ALSO APPLY FOR WOMEN
9	TRAVELING IN THE OTHER DIRECTION, MEANING FROM BOSSIER CITY TO
10	NEW ORLEANS?
11	A YES. I LOOKED AT WOMEN TRAVELING BOTH WAYS.
12	Q AND WHAT RESEARCH OR LITERATURE DID YOU CONSIDER IN
13	THE COURSE OF DEVELOPING YOUR OPINIONS IN THIS CASE?
14	A YES. SO I USED PUBLICLY AVAILABLE DATASETS, SUCH AS
15	THE U.S. CENSUS BUREAU'S DATA. I USED EXISTING DATA THAT IS
16	PRESENT IN THE FIELD. I USED INTERNET SITES, SUCH AS GOOGLE
17	MAPS, AND THEN I ALSO USED THE EXISTING LITERATURE THAT'S IN
18	THE FIELD IN ORDER TO FORM MY OPINIONS IN THE CASE.
19	Q IN YOUR ANALYSIS DID YOU FOCUS ON TRANSPORTATION
20	RELATED BURDENS?
21	A YES, I DID.
22	Q AND WHY DID YOU FOCUS ON THE TRANSPORTATION BURDENS?
23	A BECAUSE TRANSPORTATION IS ONE OF THE OFTEN CITED
24	BARRIERS OR BURDENS THAT LOW-INCOME WOMEN HAVE TO OVERCOME IN
25	OPDER TO ACCESS HEALTH OR HUMAN SERVICE GET TO WORK GET TO

1	CHILD CARE, ET CETERA.
2	Q AND IS TRANSPORTATION GENERALLY A COSTLY IS IT
3	GENERALLY COSTLY FOR LOW-INCOME WOMEN TO ACCESS
4	TRANSPORTATION?
5	A YES. IT'S BOTH AN ISSUE OF COST OF WHETHER OR NOT
6	THEY HAVE THEIR OWN CAR, WHETHER THE CAR IS IN WORKING ORDER,
7	BUT IT'S ALSO COSTLY TO RIDE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AND IT'S
8	ALSO THE ACCESSIBILITY OF THE SERVICE. SO BOTH, DO THEY HAVE
9	ACCESS TO A PRIVATE VEHICLE OR DO THEY HAVE ACCESS TO A PUBLIC
10	TRANSPORTATION NETWORK IN THEIR CITY OR STATE?
11	Q AND ARE TRANSPORTATION BURDENS SOMETHING THAT
12	SOCIOLOGISTS TYPICALLY CONSIDER?
13	A YES, IT'S ONE OF IT'S ONE OF THE AREAS WITHIN
14	SOCIOLOGY OF POVERTY. IT'S A FREQUENT CONVERSATION IN THIS
15	FIELD.
16	Q AND WHAT METHODOLOGY DID YOU USE TO STUDY THE
17	TRANSPORTATION BURDENS FOR THE DIFFERENT SCENARIOS THAT YOU
18	LOOKED AT?
19	A SO, AGAIN, I USED PUBLICLY AVAILABLE DATA, I USED
20	INTERNET MAPPING AND THEN I ALSO USED THE LITERATURE THAT'S
21	AVAILABLE IN THE FIELD ABOUT TRANSPORTATION SERVICES.
22	Q HOW DID YOU DETERMINE WHAT THE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION
23	OPTIONS WERE FOR EACH SCENARIO?
24	A SO I USED GOOGLE AND I USED INTERNET SEARCH ENGINES
25	TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT LOCAL TRANSPORTATION WAS IN THE NEW

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 147 of 1968

	A second of the second
1.	ORLEANS AREA, IN THE SHREVEPORT/BOSSIER CITY AREA, IN ADDITION
2	TO WHAT INTRASTATE BUS SERVICES OR RAIL SERVICE WAS AVAILABLE.
3	Q AND HOW DID YOU ASSESS THE COST OF DRIVING FOR THOSE
4	WHO HAVE ACCESS TO A VEHICLE?
5	A SO I USED THE AMERICAN AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION'S FUEL
6	GAUGE REPORTS ABOUT THE AVERAGE AGE OF CARS AND HOW MUCH
7	LIKE THE MILE PER GALLON FUEL EFFICIENCY THAT CARS WOULD GET
8	AND THEN I USED GOOGLE MAPS TO DETERMINE THE DISTANCES.
9	Q AND ARE THERE ANY OTHER TRANSPORTATION RELATED COSTS
.0	THAT INCREASED DISTANCES WOULD IMPOSE ON LOW-INCOME WOMEN THAT
1	YOU DID NOT SPECIFICALLY ACCOUNT FOR?
.2	A YES. SO THERE'S A COUPLE. I DIDN'T ACCOUNT FOR ANY
L3	OF THE WAITING TIMES. SO IF A WOMEN HAS AN APPOINTMENT IN THE
L4	CITY THAT'S NOT HER OWN AND SHE HAS TO TRAVEL TO THE
.5	APPOINTMENT, THE WAITING TIME BETWEEN WHEN MAYBE THE BUS WOULD
16	ARRIVE AND WHEN HER APPOINTMENT TIME IS, THE APPOINTMENT TIME,
L7	THE RECOVERY TIME AND THEN THE WAITING TIME FOR THE NEXT
.8	AVAILABLE BUS BACK TO HER CITY.
L9	SO SHE MIGHT HAVE AN APPOINTMENT AT SOME POINT IN
20	THE DAY AND THEN NOT BE ABLE TO GET THE LAST BUS BACK TO HER
21	CITY OR MISS THE LAST BUS AND SO SHE MIGHT HAVE TO SPEND THE
22	NIGHT. SO THAT WAITING TIME ISN'T ACCOUNTED.
23	THE OTHER PIECE OF IT THAT I DIDN'T ACCOUNT, IS IF

SHE HAS TO MAKE MULTIPLE TRIPS. THE SCENARIOS ARE FOR ONE

25

TRIP, BUT BECAUSE OF LOUISIANA'S 24-HOUR COUNSELING AND THEN

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 148 of 168

WAITING PERIOD	BEFORE THE PROCEDURE, I DIDN'T AC	COUNT FOR
MULTIPLE TRIPS	OR IF SOMEONE HAS TO ACCOMPANY HE	R TO THE
PROCEDURE.		

- **Q** WHAT OTHER TYPES OF BURDENS DID YOU EVALUATE ASIDE FROM THESE TRANSPORTATION RELATED BURDENS FOR WOMEN IN ACCESSING CARE?
- A SO I ALSO LOOKED AT SEVERAL OTHER BURDENS. ONE WOULD BE IF SHE HAS TO STAY OVERNIGHT, IF SHE NEEDS A HOTEL ROOM, SO THAT COST. THE BURDEN OF NEEDING TIME OFF OF WORK TO TRAVEL IN ORDER TO GET THE PROCEDURE AND THE WAITING TIME, SO ADDITIONAL, A DAY OR TWO OFF OF WORK, PAID OR UNPAID AND CHILD CARE AND THEN ALSO THE SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGICAL STRESS OF TRAVELING.
- Q WE'RE GOING TO TAKE THESE ONE BY ONE. WHY DID YOU INCLUDE THE HOTEL COSTS IN YOUR ANALYSIS?
- A BECAUSE OF THE 24-HOUR WAITING PERIOD IT SEEMS THAT

 IF A WOMAN IS TRAVELING TO A CITY THAT'S NOT HER OWN, SHE MAY

 NEED TO HAVE A HOTEL ROOM TO GET THE COUNSELING ON ONE DAY AND

 THEN THE PROCEDURE 24-HOURS LATER.
 - Q AND DO SOCIOLOGISTS TYPICALLY CONSIDER HOTEL COSTS?
- A THEY MAY NOT TYPICALLY CONSIDER IT, BUT IN A SCENARIO SUCH AS THIS, YES, THEY WOULD NEED TO CONSIDER IT.

 THE FIELD RECOGNIZES THAT WHEN YOU'RE TRAVELING A DISTANCE IN ORDER TO ACCESS A HEALTH SERVICE, THAT OFTENTIMES EITHER PATIENTS OR THEIR FAMILIES NEED TO STAY OVERNIGHT.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 149 of 3:09

AND MANY OF US KNOW THIS, THERE'S OFTEN LIKE
COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS, LIKE THE RONALD MCDONALD HOUSE OR
SOMETHING, OR LOCAL HOTELS DONATE ROOMS SOMETIMES FOR CANCER
PATIENTS. BUT IN THIS INSTANCE, IT SEEMS THAT A WOMAN WOULD
BE PAYING OUT-OF-POCKET FOR IT.

Q AND WHAT METHODOLOGY DID YOU USE TO STUDY HOTEL COSTS?

A SO I USED A COMMON TRAVEL INTERNET WEBSITE EXPEDIA
TO LOOK AT THE HOTEL COSTS. MY CONCERN WITH THAT METHODOLOGY
IS THAT I WAS JUST LOOKING AT AN AVERAGE HOTEL COST. IS THAT
LOW-INCOME WOMEN HAVE LOWER RATES OF ACCESS TO THE INTERNET
THAN OTHER POPULATIONS DO AND ALSO LOW-INCOME WOMEN MAY NOT
HAVE A CREDIT CARD IN ORDER TO BOOK A HOTEL ROOM AHEAD OF
TIME. AND SO I THINK THAT MY HOTEL COSTS MAY BE LOWER THAN
WHAT A WOMAN PAY FOR A HOTEL ROOM GIVEN THAT YOU CAN USUALLY
GET A BETTER RATE ON-LINE AHEAD OF TIME THAN YOU CAN AS A
WALK-UP RATE.

Q AND WHY DID YOU INCLUDE THE COST OF TIME AWAY FROM WORK OR LOST WAGES IN YOUR ANALYSIS?

A SO I INCLUDED TIME AWAY FROM WORK AND LOST WAGES
BECAUSE WE KNOW THAT APPROXIMATELY 40 PERCENT OF LOW-INCOME
WORKING PARENTS DON'T HAVE ANY ACCESS TO PAID TIME OFF OF
WORK. AND SO IF YOU ARE TAKING TIME OFF OF WORK, IT'S NOT
LIKE MANY MIDDLE CLASS JOBS WHERE YOU HAVE PAID SICK LEAVE OR
PAID TIME AWAY, YOU WILL BE TAKING TIME OFF OF WORK AND THAT

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 150 of \$50

1	WILL REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF MONEY YOU'RE ABLE TO BRING HOME AT
2	THE END OF THE WEEK OR AT THE END OF THE MONTH. SO TAKING ONE
3	DAY OR TWO DAYS OFF OF WORK WILL REDUCE HER MONTHLY INCOME.
4	Q AND DOES TAKING TIME OFF OF WORK HAVE ANY
5	RELATIONSHIP TO A LOW-INCOME WOMAN'S ABILITY TO RETAIN THAT
6	POSITION?
7	A YES. LOW-INCOME WOMEN WORK IN JOBS THAT IT IS VERY
8	DIFFICULT TO REQUEST SCHEDULES, AND SO LOW-INCOME WOMEN WORK
9	IN POSITIONS THAT TAKING ANY TIME OFF OF WORK AND REQUESTING A
10	SPECIFIC SCHEDULE WILL RISK THEIR JOB. SO YOU FOR
11	LOW-INCOME WOMEN THEY TALK ABOUT THAT THEY HAVE TO OFTEN
12	DISCLOSE WHY THEY'RE TAKING TIME OFF OF WORK TO THEIR EMPLOYER
13	AND THAT THEY'RE UNABLE TO GET MAYBE TWO DAYS OFF IN A ROW.
14	THE POSITIONS IN THE FIELDS THAT THEY WORK IN ARE
15	NOT OCCUPATIONS OR JOBS THAT YOU CAN PUT TWO DAYS TOGETHER OFF
16	OF WORK. AND SO THAT IF YOU'RE REQUESTING TWO DAYS TOGETHER
17	OFF OF WORK YOU COULD RISK LOSING YOUR JOB.
18	THE COURT: CAN I INTERRUPT JUST ONE SECOND? DO YOU
19	HAVE ANY IDEA OF HOW MUCH LONGER YOUR DIRECT IS GOING TO BE,
20	ROUGHLY?
21	MS. LEVINE: MAYBE 20 TO 30 MINUTES.
22	THE COURT: I THINK THIS IS A GOOD TIME TO TAKE A
0.0	
23	LUNCH BREAK. IT'S 12:15 BY MY CLOCK. LET'S GET BACK TOGETHER
14	H AT 1230

(WHEREUPON COURT WAS IN RECESS.)

25

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 151 of 151

1	(WHEREUPON COURT RESUMED AND ALL PARTIES WERE PRESENT.)
2	THE COURT: BE SEATED. OKAY, LET'S GET OUR WITNESS
3	BACK UP ON THE STAND.
4	ALL RIGHT. DR. KATZ, YOU'RE STILL UNDER OATH.
5	DIRECT
6	BY MS. LEVINE:
7	Q DR. KATZ, YOU WERE JUST SPEAKING ABOUT THE REASONS
8	FOR INCLUDING TIME AWAY FROM WORK AND LOST WAGES IN YOUR
9	ANALYSIS. DO SOCIOLOGISTS TYPICALLY CONSIDER THE COST OF LOST
10	WAGES AND TIME AWAY FROM WORK?
11	A YES. THIS IS A WIDELY RESEARCHED PART OF SOCIOLOGY
12	OF POVERTY AND INEQUALITY BECAUSE OF THE CONDITIONS OF LOW
13	WAGED WORKERS AND HOW THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN MIDDLE INCOME
14	WORKERS, SO THIS IS A CHIEF CONCERN IN THIS AREA OF RESEARCH.
15	Q WHAT METHODOLOGY DID YOU USE TO STUDY THE COST OF
16	TIME AWAY FROM WORK?
17	A SO I LOOKED AT COMMON OCCUPATIONS FOR LOW-INCOME
18	WORKERS AND I DIDN'T USE THE FEDERAL MINIMUM WAGE, INSTEAD I
19	USED A COMMON OCCUPATION. AND THEN I USED THE BUREAU OF LABOR
20	STATISTICS' WAGE DATA FOR THAT OCCUPATION IN LOUISIANA.
21	Q AND WHAT OCCUPATION DID YOU SELECT?
22	A I LOOKED AT A SERVER, BEING LIKE A SERVER IN A
23	RESTAURANT.
24	Q AND WHY DID YOU CHOOSE THAT?
25	A BECAUSE FOR LOW-INCOME WOMEN WORKING IN SERVICE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 152 of \$58

1	JOBS, SUCH AS RETAIL OR SERVING IN RESTAURANTS IS A COMMONLY
2	HELD POSITION.
3	Q AND WHY DID YOU INCLUDE THE COST OF CHILD CARE IN
4	YOUR ANALYSIS?
5	A SO I INCLUDED THE COST OF CHILD CARE IN MY ANALYSIS
6	BECAUSE THIS IS ONE OF THE CHIEF CONCERNS FOR LOW-INCOME
7	WOMEN, IS HAVING SOMEWHERE THAT THEY CAN TAKE THEIR CHILDREN
8	THAT THEY CAN AFFORD, THAT IS ACCESSIBLE TO THEM, AND IS ALSO
9	THAT THEY CAN TRUST. SO IT'S BOTH THE COST, BUT IT'S ALSO
10	FINDING SOMEWHERE THAT IS RELIABLE AND THAT THEY CAN TRUST.
11	Q AND IS THERE ANY DATA WITH REGARD TO THE POPULATION
12	OF WOMEN SEEKING ABORTIONS AND HAVING HAD CHILDREN PREVIOUSLY?
13	A YES. AND CAN I OPEN BACK UP TO MY REPORT?
14	Q LET US KNOW WHERE YOU ARE LOOKING.
15	A IS IT BINDER 3, 124? IS THAT
16	Q THAT'S CORRECT.
17	A LET ME FIND BINDER 3. NOPE. HOLD ON. IT'S THE
18	FOURTH BINDER I PICKED UP OF COURSE. SO CAN YOU REPEAT THE
19	QUESTION, PLEASE?
20	Q SURE. IF THERE IS INFORMATION ABOUT ANY
21	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE POPULATION OF WOMEN WHO ARE SEEKING
22	ABORTIONS AND HAVING PREVIOUSLY HAD A CHILD?
23	A YES. SO NATIONALLY SO NATIONALLY WE KNOW, I'M ON
24	PAGE 19, THAT MORE THAN HALF OF WOMEN WHO OBTAINED ABORTIONS
25	HAD AT LEAST ONE CHILD, AND I KNOW THAT FROM THE ROBERTS'

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 153 of 150

1	STUDY, THAT WITHIN LOUISIANA, THAT THAT NUMBER IS MUCH HIGHER.
2	IT'S MUCH CLOSER TO THREE-QUARTERS.
3	Q AND DO SOCIOLOGISTS TYPICALLY CONSIDER THE COST OF
4	CHILD CARE?
5	A YES. CHILD CARE, AGAIN, JUST LIKE TRANSPORTATION,
6	JUST LIKE TIME AWAY FROM WORK, IS A CHIEF CONCERN. IT'S A
7	PRIMARY AREA OF RESEARCH AMONG SOCIOLOGISTS WHO STUDY GENDER
8	AND POVERTY.
9	Q WHAT METHODOLOGY DID YOU USE TO STUDY CHILD CARE
10	COSTS?
11	A SO FOR CHILD CARE COSTS, THE COSTS VARY WIDELY
12	ACCORDING TO WHAT AGE THE CHILD IS AND SO WHAT I RELIED ON WAS
13	THE PREVIOUS RESEARCH IN THIS FIELD AND MY OWN RESEARCH
14	INSTEAD OF GIVING A NUMERIC VALUE.
15	Q WHY DID YOU INCLUDE SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGICAL HURDLES IN
16	YOUR ANALYSIS?
17	A BECAUSE THIS AREA OF RESEARCH IS A WELL-KNOWN AND
18	ALSO GROWING VERY RAPIDLY AREA OF RESEARCH WITHIN SOCIOLOGY
19	ABOUT THE SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS OF BEING LOW-INCOME,
20	THE ADDITIONAL STRESS THAT IT CAUSES IN PEOPLE'S LIVES.
21	AND ONE OF THE SOURCES THAT I USED, THE JUDITH
22	LEVINE'S, AIN'T NO TRUST BOOK, SHE REALLY LOOKS AT THE SOCIAL
23	PSYCHOLOGY OF POVERTY. SO I THINK THAT GIVEN THIS AREA'S
24	PREVALENCE, ESPECIALLY FOR LOW-INCOME WOMEN, I FELT LIKE IT
25	WAS AN IMPORTANT FACTOR IN THE ANALYSIS.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 154 of 1595

1.	Q WHAT METHODOLOGY DID YOU USE TO STUDY SOCIAL
2	PSYCHOLOGICAL HURDLES?
3	A SO I RELIED ON THE EXISTING RESEARCH IN THE FIELD IN
4	THIS AREA.
5	Q I'D NOW LIKE TO GET INTO SOME DETAIL ABOUT THESE
6	BURDENS THAT YOU STUDIED.
7	A YES.
8	Q IF YOU LOOK IN BINDER 3 AT JOINT EXHIBIT 92, AND
9	THIS IS PUBLIC SO WE CAN PUT IT ON THE SCREEN.
10	A YES.
1	Q SO SPEAKING FIRST ABOUT WOMEN WITH ACCESS TO A CAR.
.2	COULD YOU SUMMARIZE THE PRICE RANGE FOR TRAVEL BETWEEN BATON
13	ROUGE AND METAIRIE?
L4	A FOR WOMEN WITH A CAR?
1.5	Q WITHOUT ACCESS
.6	A WITHOUT ACCESS TO A CAR. SO THE PRICE RANGE TO
L7	TRAVEL FROM BATON ROUGE TO METAIRIE FOR WOMEN WITHOUT A CAR
.8	WOULD RANGE FROM ABOUT \$15 TO ABOUT \$117.
L9	Q AND WHAT ACCOUNTS FOR THAT SPAN OR THAT RANGE?
20	A SURE, SO TWO THINGS PRIMARY THINGS THAT COUNT
21	FOR THAT RANGE. THE FIRST ONE IS THE COST OF THE GREYHOUND
22	BUS TICKET. GREYHOUND BUS TICKETS VARY IN COST PRETTY WIDELY
23	ACCORDING TO IF YOU CAN BUY THE TICKET EITHER THREE DAYS OR
24	SEVEN DAYS IN ADVANCE, AND WHETHER YOU CAN BUY IT ON-LINE WITH
25	A CREDIT CARD OR WHETHER YOU WALK UP TO THE STATION AND BUY

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 155 of \$55

THE TICKET IN-PERSON.	SO FROM THE GRE	YHOUND WEBSITE I WAS	
ABLE TO SEE THAT THE F	PRICE RANGED FROM	\$12 TO ABOUT 55 AND	A
HALF DOLLARS.			

THE OTHER MAIN VARIATION IN THE COST IS WHETHER A WOMAN, ONCE SHE GETS TO THE CITY SHE'S TRAVELING TO, WHICH IS METAIRIE, THE GREYHOUND STATIONS IN NEW ORLEANS, SHE WOULD THEN EITHER TAKE THE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, THE NEW ORLEANS REGIONAL TRANSIT, FROM THE GREYHOUND BUS STATION TO THE CLINIC OR SHE WOULD TAKE A TAXI. SO THE TAXI IS THE MUCH MORE EXPENSIVE OPTION, BUT I'VE INCLUDED BOTH IN THE ANALYSIS.

Q HOW MUCH TIME WOULD IT TAKE TO COMPLETE THIS JOURNEY?

A SO THE JOURNEY WOULD TAKE AT A MINIMUM OF ABOUT FOUR HOURS AND THAT'S IF SHE'S TAKING THE MORE EXPENSIVE TAXI. IF SHE TAKES THE CHEAPER OPTION OF TAKING THE BUS, FROM THE GREYHOUND BUS STATION TO THE CLINIC AND THEN BACK AGAIN, IT'LL TAKE A MINIMUM OF SIX HOURS.

Q AND THESE FIGURES ARE FOR ONE ROUND TRIP?

A ONE ROUND TRIP, BUT NOT INCLUDING ANY WAITING TIME
THAT SHE HAS TO WAIT FOR, SAY, THE NEXT BUS OR FOR HER
APPOINTMENT OR TO MAKE SURE THAT SHE GETS TO THE CLINIC IN
TIME BEFORE HER APPOINTMENT, RECOVERY TIME, ET CETERA. JUST
THE TRANSIT TIME.

Q PLEASE NOW LOOK AT JOINT EXHIBIT 94.

A YES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 156 of \$56

1	Q COULD YOU SUMMARIZE THE PRICE RANGE FOR TRAVEL
2	BETWEEN BOSSIER CITY AND NEW ORLEANS?
3	A IT'S BETWEEN \$95 AND 183 AND A HALF DOLLARS.
4	Q AND DO THE SAME FACTORS THAT YOU JUST MENTIONED
5	ACCOUNT FOR THIS RANGE AS WELL?
6	A YES, THEY DO.
7	Q AND HOW MUCH TIME DO YOU ESTIMATE IT WOULD TAKE TO
8	COMPLETE THIS JOURNEY?
9	A THIS IS A MUCH LONGER JOURNEY. BY BUS IT WOULD TAKE
10	BETWEEN 15 AND A HALF HOURS TO, YOU KNOW, MORE THAN 16 HOURS
11	IF YOU'RE TAKING THE REGIONAL TRANSIT IN SHREVEPORT OR BOSSIER
12	CITY.
13	Q AND FOR WOMEN WHO DO HAVE ACCESS TO A CAR, HOW DID
14	YOU EVALUATE THE TRAVEL BURDENS FOR THOSE DIFFERENT SCENARIOS?
15	A SO I LOOKED AT THE MILEAGE BETWEEN THE CITY THAT THE
16	SERVICE HAD BEEN AVAILABLE IN AND THE SERVICE IN THE CITY
17	THAT THE CLINIC IN MY SCENARIOS WOULD BE IN. SO THE MILEAGE
18	AND THE COST OF GAS.
19	Q IF YOU COULD LOOK AT JOINT EXHIBIT 95, IT'S IN THE
20	SAME BINDER. WHAT WERE THE DRIVING TIMES AND COSTS YOU
21	CALCULATED FOR TRAVEL BETWEEN BATON ROUGE AND METAIRIE?
22	A SO BETWEEN BATON ROUGE AND METAIRIE, IT'S ABOUT
23	153 MILES ROUND TRIP. TWO HOURS AND 30 MINUTES IS MY ESTIMATE
24	FOR TIME AND THE ESTIMATED COST OF CAN GAS IS RIGHT AROUND
25	\$20.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 157 of \$56

1	Q AND GOING DOWN TO THE TRIP BETWEEN BOSSIER CITY AND
2	NEW ORLEANS, WHAT WERE YOUR DRIVING TIMES AND COSTS?
3	A MY ESTIMATE IS ROUND TRIP WOULD BE ABOUT 658 MILES,
4	A TEN HOUR DRIVING JOURNEY AND 85, \$86 IN GAS.
5	Q IN ADDITION TO THE FINANCIAL COSTS OF TRANSPORTATION
6	YOU HAD ALSO IDENTIFIED OTHER COSTS INCLUDING, HOTEL ROOM,
7	LOST WAGES AND CHILD CARE; CORRECT?
8	A YES.
9	Q WHAT DID YOU DETERMINE WAS THE HOTEL COST FOR
10	METAIRIE?
11	A SO I'M FLIPPING BACK TO MY EXPERT REPORT ON PAGE
1.2	MY ESTIMATE FOR HOTEL COSTS IN METAIRIE IS APPROXIMATELY \$130
13	A NIGHT.
14	Q AND WHAT PAGE DID YOU SAY?
15	A OH, SORRY. PAGE 18.
16	Q AND DID YOU CALCULATE THE AMOUNT IN DOLLARS THAT A
17	WOMAN WOULD LOSE IF SHE WERE TO MISS ONE DAY OF WORK UNDER
18	YOUR METHODOLOGY?
19	A YES, I DID. I ESTIMATED THAT IF SHE'S MAKING THE
20	MEAN WAGE FOR SERVERS IN BATON ROUGE OF 8.85 AN HOUR, THAT SHE
21	WOULD LOSE, IF SHE LOST TWO EXTRA DAYS OF WORK, THAT SHE WOULD
22	LOSE ABOUT \$141 IN LOST WAGES.
23	Q SO THAT'S FOR TWO SHIFTS?
24	A TWO, EIGHT HOUR SHIFTS.
25	Q HOW WOULD IT AFFECT YOUR ANALYSIS IF THERE WERE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 158 of \$58

FEWER DOCTORS AVAILABLE IN NEW ORLEANS PROVIDING SERVICES?

A IN TERMS -- I DON'T THINK IT WOULD AFFECT MY

ANALYSIS. I THINK THAT WHAT IS LIKELY TO HAPPEN IS THAT IT

WOULD BE HARDER FOR A WOMAN TO BE ABLE TO GET TIME OFF OF

WORK. IT WOULD BE HARDER FOR HER TO GET AN APPOINTMENT AND

THEN AN APPOINTMENT THAT ALSO WORKS WITH HER WORK SCHEDULE OR

HER CHILD CARE ARRANGEMENTS. AND SO I THINK THAT THEN IT

WOULD MAKE IT EITHER MORE COSTLY OR MORE BURDENSOME IF THERE

WERE FEWER PROVIDERS.

Q WHAT OPTIONS DOES A LOW-INCOME WOMAN HAVE IF SHE CAN NOT AFFORD THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH TRAVELING TO GET AN ABORTION?

A SO A LOW-INCOME WOMAN FROM MY OWN RESEARCH FROM THE EXISTING RESEARCH IN THE FIELD HAS THREE MAIN OPTIONS THAT COMES UP OVER AND OVER AGAIN IF SHE CANNOT AFFORD TO -- FOR AN UNEXPECTED EXPENSE. AND THOSE THREE OPTIONS: ONE, IS TO CUT BACK IN OTHER AREAS IN HER LIFE. AND SO SHE MAY CUT BACK ON A UTILITY, NOT PAY HER ELECTRIC BILL OR HER WATER BILL, MAYBE CUT BACK ON THE AMOUNT OF MONEY SHE'S ABLE TO PAY IN RENT THAT MONTH, OR EVEN NOT BE ABLE TO PAY RENT THAT MONTH, WHICH RISKS EVICTION. LOW-INCOME FAMILIES DON'T LIVE IN HOUSING THAT IF YOU MISS A RENT PAYMENT THAT THEY'RE GOING TO BE VERY LENIENT ABOUT IT.

THE OTHER AREA WOULD BE HER FOOD EXPENSES, THAT THEY WOULD CUT BACK SIGNIFICANTLY ON THE FOOD IN THE HOUSEHOLD OR

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 159 of \$59

GET TO THE END OF THE MONTH BETWEEN HER LOST WAGES AND THE MONEY SHE SPENT ON TRAVEL AND NOT HAVE ENOUGH FOOD FOR HER FAMILY.

ANOTHER MAIN AREA THAT LOW-INCOME WOMEN USE WHEN
THERE'S UNEXPECTED EXPENSES, IS PAYDAY AND PREDATORY LOANS AND
SO A LOT OF US SEE THE CASH EXPRESS AND THINGS LIKE THAT. SO
SHE MAY BORROW A COUPLE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND HAVE TO PAY IT
BACK AT THE END OF THE MONTH, BUT THESE ARE AT INCREDIBLY HIGH
INTEREST RATES AND THAT'S IF SHE CAN PAY IT BACK AT THE END OF
THE MONTH, IF NOT THE INTEREST RATES KEEP BUILDING.

THE THIRD AREA IS MUCH MORE PROBLEMATIC. LOW-INCOME
WOMEN OFTEN TALK ABOUT THAT WHEN THEY CAN'T MEET ALL OF THEIR
BILLS OR WHEN THEY HAVE AN UNEXPECTED EXPENSE THAT THEY TRY TO
LOAN -- THEY TRY TO BORROW THE MONEY FROM EITHER A FAMILY
MEMBER OR A BOYFRIEND OR AN INTIMATE PARTNER OR AN
EX-BOYFRIEND AND THAT'S VERY DANGEROUS GIVEN THE HIGH RATES OF
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AMONG LOW-INCOME WOMEN. AND THAT AREA IS -HAS SHOWN UP OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN IN THE RESEARCH,
THAT LOW-INCOME WOMEN TURN TO BORROWING MONEY FROM PARTNERS OR
EX-BOYFRIENDS, BUT THAT REALLY HAS GREAT RISKS FOR HER SAFETY.

Q CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGICAL BARRIERS
YOU MENTIONED THAT WOMEN FACE IN TRAVELING TO ACCESS ABORTION
SERVICES?

A YES. SO PART OF THE RESEARCH THAT I DO AND PART OF THE RESEARCH IN THE FIELD LOOKS AT THE SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY OF

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 160 of 160

POVERTY, THAT IF YOU'RE POOR HOW DO YOU EXPERIENCE -- HOW IS YOUR EXPERIENCE OF LIFE MAYBE DIFFERENT THAN MIDDLE CLASS FAMILIES AND HOW IS -- I TALKED ABOUT JUDITH LEVINE'S BOOK, AIN'T NO TRUST, A COUPLE OF MINUTES AGO AND SHE EXPLORES HOW TRUST OPERATES DIFFERENTLY FOR LOW-INCOME FAMILIES.

AND SO A LOW-INCOME WOMAN, HOW SHE TRUSTS, IS

DIFFERENT THAN HOW MAYBE A MIDDLE CLASS PERSON TRUSTS. SO

PART OF MY RESEARCH AND PART OF THE RESEARCH IN THE FIELD IS

ABOUT THE SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY OF POVERTY AND ABOUT HOW EVERYDAY

EXPERIENCES, BECAUSE LOW-INCOME WOMEN'S LIVES ARE INCREDIBLY

PRECARIOUS AND VERY STRESS-FILLED ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASIS IN A

WAY THAT MIDDLE CLASS LIVES AND UPPER CLASS LIVES AREN'T, THAT

ADDITIONAL STRESSORS, EVEN AS A MIDDLE CLASS PERSON WE MIGHT

THINK THEM TO BE VERY MINOR, HAVE A BIG IMPACT ON THE SOCIAL

PSYCHOLOGY OF A LOW-INCOME PERSON.

Q AND WHAT KIND OF SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGICAL BARRIERS ARE ASSOCIATED WITH TRAVEL TO ANOTHER PART OF THE STATE?

A SO TRAVEL IS SIMILAR IN THIS WAY THAT MANY MIDDLE
CLASS PEOPLE AND UPPER CLASS PEOPLE TRAVEL MORE IN THEIR
DAY-TO-DAY LIVES OR YOU KNOW, GO ON VACATIONS, TRAVEL FOR
WORK, MAYBE TRAVEL FOR FAMILY REASONS MORE THAN SOME
LOW-INCOME PEOPLE DO. BUT ALSO THE EXPERIENCE OF TRAVEL.
WHILE I MIGHT THINK IT'S EXCITING TO GO ON VACATION TO A NEW
SPOT, THAT THE STRESS ASSOCIATED WITH THAT IS -- CAN BE
DIFFERENT THAN THE STRESS THAT MIGHT BE ASSOCIATED WITH TRAVEL

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 161 of 161

FOR A LOW-	INCOME	WOMAN,	WHO I	MIGHT	NOT HAVE	THE EX	KPERIEN	NCES
TRAVELING	THAT I	MAYBE	DO OR	THAT	ANOTHER	MIDDLE	CLASS	PERSON
DOES.								

- **Q** DR. KATZ, WHAT WAS THE OVERALL CONCLUSION OF YOUR ANALYSIS?
- A SO THE OVERALL CONCLUSION OF MY ANALYSIS IS THAT FOR A LOW-INCOME WOMAN THE ADDITIONAL COSTS AND OTHER BURDENS THAT ARE ASSOCIATED WITH HAVING TO TRAVEL TO SEEK A SERVICE THAT HAS BEEN AVAILABLE IN HER CITY ARE SIGNIFICANT. AND THAT IN MY RESEARCH AND IN THE RESEARCH THAT EXIST IN THE FIELD, THAT WE KNOW THAT WHEN SERVICES ARE FURTHER TO GET TO, THAT THAT COST MORE MONEY THAT MANY LOW-INCOME FAMILIES DON'T HAVE AND THAT IT PRODUCES ADDITIONAL STRESS IN THEIR LIFE THAT MIGHT BE INSURMOUNTABLE.
- **Q** CAN YOU QUANTIFY HOW MANY WOMEN WOULD BE AFFECTED BY THESE BARRIERS?
 - A NO.

- Q AND WHY IS THAT?
- A I THINK THAT TO ME THESE BARRIERS WILL AFFECT SOME
 OR MOST OF THE WOMEN, WHICH WOMEN WILL BE UNABLE TO ACCESS AN
 ABORTION IF THE LAW IS IMPLEMENTED, I DON'T HAVE AN EXACT
 NUMBER, BUT I KNOW FROM MY RESEARCH AND THE EXISTING RESEARCH
 IN THE FIELD THAT MANY LOW-INCOME WOMEN WILL BE GREATLY
 AFFECTED BY THIS.
 - Q AND ARE THE BARRIERS YOU STUDIED FREQUENTLY CITED IN

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 162 of 168

1	YOUR FIELD AS INSURMOUNTABLE OBSTACLES IN ACCESSING CARE?
2	A YES, THEY ARE.
3	Q WHY IS THAT?
4	A BECAUSE IT'S BECAUSE THERE ARE FOUR BARRIERS,
5	AMONG OTHER THINGS, THAT IN THE LIVES OF LOW-INCOME WOMEN,
6	WHICH ARE ALREADY VERY COMPLEX, DELICATE, PRECARIOUS LIVES,
7	AND SO WHILE ONE, OR ONE ASPECT OF ONE MIGHT BE MANAGEABLE,
8	THE LIKE ALL OF THE BARRIERS TOGETHER MAKES THE OVERALL
9	TRIP POSSIBLY INSURMOUNTABLE.
10	MS. LEVINE: THANK YOU, NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
11	THE COURT: CROSS EXAMINATION?
12	MR. DUNCAN: ARE WE READY, YOUR HONOR?
13	THE COURT: WE'RE READY.
14	CROSS EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. DUNCAN:
16	Q GOOD AFTERNOON, DR. KATZ.
17	A GOOD AFTERNOON.
18	Q THANKS FOR BEING WITH US. MY NAME IS KYLE DUNCAN.
19	I AM THE ATTORNEY ONE OF THE ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT IN
20	THIS MATTER, WHO IS KATHY KLIEBERT, THE SECRETARY OF THE
21	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HOSPITALS. I JUST WANT TO ASK YOU A
22	FEW QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR EXPERT REPORT AND YOUR EXPERT
23	TESTIMONY HERE TODAY. CAN YOU HEAR ME OKAY?
24	A YES.
25	Q MY VOICE IS GOING IN AND OUT. DOCTOR, YOU'VE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 163 of 163

1	TESTIFIED	THAT YOUR PH.D IS IN SOCIOLOGY; CORRECT?
2	А	YES.
3	Q	SO YOU ARE NOT HERE OFFERING AN OPINION AS A
4	STATISTIC	IAN, ARE YOU?
5	А	NO.
6	Q	OR AS A DEMOGRAPHER, ARE YOU?
7	A	NO.
8	Q	OR AS A MEDICAL DOCTOR?
9	Α	NO.
10	Q	NOW YOU'VE SUBMITTED AN EXPERT REPORT AND YOU'VE
11	GIVEN AN I	EXPERT OPINION IN THIS LITIGATION AS, AT LEAST
12	ACCORDING	TO YOUR REPORT, AN EXPERT IN ISSUES FACING WOMEN
13	LIVING IN	POVERTY IN THE UNITED STATES; IS THAT CORRECT?
14	A	YES.
15	Q	AND YOU'VE BEEN OFFERED AS AN EXPERT IN, IF I'M NOT
16	MISTAKEN,	THE SOCIOLOGY OF GENDER IN POVERTY?
17	Α	YES.
18	Q	YOU'VE BEEN RETAINED BY THE PLAINTIFFS TO PROVIDE
19	THIS OPIN	ION; CORRECT?
20	A	YES.
21	Q	YOU'VE PROVIDED SIMILAR REPORTS AND OPINIONS IN
22	OTHER LIT	IGATION INVOLVING ADMITTING PRIVILEGES LOST; CORRECT?
23	A	YES.
24	Q	FOR EXAMPLE, YOU MENTIONED THE ALABAMA CASE?
25	Α	YES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 164 of 164

1	Q CAN YOU SPECIFY WHICH OTHER CASES YOU'VE PROVIDED
2	TESTIMONY IN?
3	A I'M SURE MY CV IS PART OF THE EVIDENCE. I'VE ALSO
4	WORKED ON A CASE I SUBMITTED A DECLARATION IN A CASE IN
5	OHIO AND I'VE SUBMITTED A DECLARATION IN A CASE IN FLORIDA.
6	Q AND BOTH OF THOSE INVOLVED ADMITTING PRIVILEGES
7	LOST?
8	A YES. I THINK THEY BOTH DID. I WOULD HAVE TO DOUBLE
9	CHECK.
10	Q OKAY. NOW TO THE EXTENT YOU REMEMBER, THE REPORTS
11	IN OTHER CASES THAT YOU'VE SUBMITTED THAT INVOLVED ADMITTING
12	PRIVILEGES LOST, HAVE THOSE REPORTS ALWAYS BEEN ON BEHALF OF
13	THE PLAINTIFFS IN THOSE CASES?
14	A I BELIEVE SO.
15	Q NOW, YOUR OPINION IN THIS LITIGATION, YOU'VE
16	TESTIFIED, CONCERNS WHETHER THE POVERTY OF WOMEN IN LOUISIANA
17	WILL IMPACT THEIR ABILITY TO TRAVEL TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION; IS
18	THAT ACCURATE?
19	A YES.
20	Q TO BE CLEAR, HOWEVER, YOU'RE NOT OFFERING ANY EXPERT
21	OPINION AS TO WHETHER THE ACT HERE, ACT 620, IS MEDICALLY
22	REASONABLE?
23	A NO.
24	Q AND YOU'RE NOT OFFERING AN OPINION ABOUT WHETHER ANY
25	PARTICULAR ABORTION PROVIDER IN LOUISIANA CAN OBTAIN ADMITTING

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 165 of 165

1	PRIVILEGES CAN YOU ARE YOU?
2	A NO.
3	Q YOU'RE NOT OFFERING AN OPINION ABOUT WHETHER ANY
4	PARTICULAR CLINIC WILL BE FORCED TO CLOSE, ARE YOU?
5	A NO.
6	Q DOCTOR, ARE YOU CURRENTLY AWARE OF WHICH ABORTION
7	PROVIDERS IN LOUISIANA HAVE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES AND WHICH DO
8	NOT?
9	A NO.
10	Q I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR
11	METHODOLOGY. YOU'VE OFFERED A LOT OF TESTIMONY, YOU'VE BEEN
12	ASKED A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS ABOUT THE METHODOLOGY THAT YOU
13	TYPICALLY EMPLOY IN YOUR FIELD; RIGHT? NOW THE FIELDS IN
14	WHICH YOU HAVE CONDUCTED RESEARCH ARE POVERTY, WOMEN'S
15	ECONOMIC STATUS AND SOCIAL POLICIES AT THE STATE AND FEDERAL
16	LEVEL IN THE UNITED STATES, IS THAT CORRECT; ACCORDING TO YOUR
17	DECLARATION?
18	A YES.
19	Q NOW, YOU'VE DESCRIBED THIS RESEARCH THAT YOU DO IN
20	THESE FIELDS AS QUALITATIVE RESEARCH
21	A YES.
22	Q IS THAT RIGHT? AND YOU'VE USED THAT TERM A
23	NUMBER OF TIMES TODAY, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH. THAT'S A FAIR
24	DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS THAT YOU EMPLOY IN YOUR FIELD?
25	A YES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 166 of 166

1	Q QUALITATIVE RESEARCH IS DIFFERENT FROM QUANTITATIVE
2	RESEARCH, IS IT NOT?
3	A YES.
4	Q IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT QUANTITATIVE RESEARCH IS
5	CONCERNED PRIMARILY WITH PRESENTING DATA, LIKE NUMBERS OR
6	MILES OR FIGURES IN GENERAL; IS THAT FAIR?
7	A YES.
8	Q BY CONTRAST, QUALITATIVE RESEARCH USES DATA IN A
9	DIFFERENT WAY; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
10	A YES.
11	Q OKAY. WOULD YOU AGREE THAT QUALITATIVE RESEARCH,
12	THE KIND OF RESEARCH YOU DO, IS INTERESTED IN PEOPLE'S LIVED
13	EXPERIENCES, IN THEIR OWN WORDS, THROUGH IN-DEPTH,
14	INTERVIEWING, FOCUS GROUPS AND PARTICIPANT OBSERVATION?
15	A YES.
16	Q SO WHEN YOU DO QUALITATIVE RESEARCH ON WOMEN'S
17	POVERTY ISSUES, YOUR RESEARCH USES THINGS LIKE FOCUS GROUPS,
18	IN-DEPTH INTERVIEWS, PARTICIPANT OBSERVATION, SO THAT YOU CAN
19	QUALITATIVELY UNDERSTAND THE LIVED EXPERIENCES OF THE WOMEN
20	YOU STUDY; IS THAT FAIR?
21	A YES.
22	Q SO, FOR EXAMPLE, YOU MENTIONED SOME ONGOING RESEARCH
23	PROJECTS
24	A YES.
25	Q EARLIER IN YOUR TESTIMONY? SO LET'S GO THROUGH

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 167 of 167

1	THOSE QUICKLY, OR NOT QUICKLY, LET'S JUST GO THROUGH THEM.
2	THE FIRST ONE YOU MENTIONED WAS WOMEN RESEARCH WITH RESPECT
3	TO LOW-INCOME WOMEN AND WELFARE IN THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA;
4	RIGHT?
5	A YES.
6	Q OKAY. I'M SORRY, FORGIVE ME. I JUST ONE MORE
7	GENERAL QUESTION ABOUT YOUR QUALITATIVE RESEARCH AND THEN
8	WE'LL GO TO THE CALIFORNIA STUDY. ANOTHER TERM FOR THE KIND
9	OF RESEARCH THAT YOU DO IS ETHNOGRAPHIC; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
10	A YES.
11	Q IS ETHNOGRAPHIC AND QUALITATIVE MORE OR LESS SORT OF
12	SIMILAR SYNONYMS?
13	A THEY'RE NOT SYNONYMS EXACTLY. ETHNOGRAPHIC RESEARCH
14	FALLS UNDER QUALITATIVE RESEARCH, BUT QUALITATIVE RESEARCH IS
15	THE BROADER LABEL,
16	Q I SEE, THANK YOU. SO BACK TO THE CALIFORNIA
17	RESEARCH THAT YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT. THAT'S LISTED IN YOUR
18	CV, AM I RIGHT, UNDER I THINK CAL. WORKS, IS THE LISTING ON
19	YOUR CV?
20	A YEAH, CAL. WORKS AND HIGHER EDUCATION STUDY.
21	Q I SEE. AND THAT'S THE CALIFORNIA STUDY WE WERE
22	TALKING ABOUT WITH RESPECT TO LOW-INCOME WOMEN AND WELFARE?
23	A YES.
24	Q IN ORDER TO DO THAT QUALITATIVE RESEARCH IN THE
25	CALIFORNIA STUDY, YOU SPENT TWO YEARS IN THE PARTICULAR AREAS

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 168 of 168

1	THAT YOU WERE STUDYING, DIDN'T YOU?
2	A NO.
3	Q DID YOU SPEND MORE THAN TWO YEARS?
4	A YES.
5	Q HOW MANY YEARS DID YOU SPEND?
6	A 2003 UNTIL 2011, BUT I'M DOING FOLLOW-UP INTERVIEWS
7	WITH THEM NOW, SO 10 YEARS, 11 YEARS.
8	Q OKAY, 10 YEARS. FINE. IN THAT STUDY IS IT TRUE
9	THAT YOU ACTUALLY TRAVELED WITH THE WOMEN YOU WERE STUDYING?
10	A YES.
11	Q AND YOU DID THAT, AM I RIGHT, IN ORDER TO FORMULATE
12	YOUR VIEWS ABOUT THE POTENTIAL BARRIERS THOSE WOMEN MIGHT FACE
13	FROM THEIR ECONOMIC DIFFICULTIES?
14	A YES.
15	Q I THINK YOU MENTIONED IN YOUR EARLIER ANSWERS ON
16	DIRECT THAT YOU DID INTERVIEWS IN 2006, 2008, 2011, YOU WERE
17	TALKING FACE-TO-FACE WITH THE WOMEN?
18	A YES.
19	Q BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT QUALITATIVE ETHNOGRAPHIC
20	RESEARCH IS ALL ABOUT?
21	A IN-PERSON, OVER THE PHONE, YES.
22	Q OVER THE PHONE TOO, RIGHT. BECAUSE YOU WANT TO
23	UNDERSTAND THEIR LIVED EXPERIENCE SO YOU CAN I'M SORRY. SO
24	YOU WANT TO UNDERSTAND THEIR LIVED EXPERIENCES, THAT'S THE
25	POINT?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 169 of 169

1	A YES.
2	Q AND DOING THAT KIND OF ON THE GROUND RESEARCH WAS
3	IMPORTANT IN ORDER TO GET TO KNOW THE FIELD THAT YOU WERE
4	STUDYING; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
5	A YES.
6	Q DO YOU KNOW THE NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS THAT YOU
7	CONDUCTED IN THAT STUDY BY ANY CHANCE?
8	A YES.
9	Q HOW MANY?
.0	A I DID 45 IN 2006, I DID 25 IN 2008 AND I DID 35 IN
1	2011.
.2	Q OKAY. THANK YOU. YOU MENTIONED TWO OTHER AREAS OF
13	ONGOING RESEARCH. I'LL PROBABLY GET THIS WRONG AND YOU CAN
L4	CORRECT ME, BUT ONE OF THEM I BELIEVE INVOLVED STUDENTS AND
.5	PARENTS WITH RESPECT TO COLLEGE EDUCATION?
16	A STUDENTS WHO ARE PARENTS.
17	Q STUDENTS WHO ARE PARENTS, SORRY.
18	A YES.
9	Q IS THAT ALSO AN AREA IN WHICH YOU DID THIS KIND OF
20	QUALITATIVE ETHNOGRAPHIC RESEARCH?
21	A IT'S A CURRENT PROJECT THAT I'M WORKING ON AND IT'S
22	PRIMARILY QUALITATIVE, THERE'S SIGNIFICANT MIXED METHODS IN
23	THIS PROJECT AS WELL.
24	Q I SEE, EXPLAIN TO ME THE DIFFERENCE THERE. YOU'RE
25	DOING SOME QUALITATIVE METHODS BUT THEN YOU'RE DOING SOME

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 170 of \$70

	Case of the control of the person of the control of
1	OTHER KINDS OF METHODS; WHAT ARE THOSE?
2	A THE OTHER METHODS IN THIS PROJECT, AND IT'S A
3	PROJECT THAT WE'RE IN WE'RE DEVELOPING. BUT WE'LL BE
4	LOOKING AT GRADUATION RATES OF STUDENTS WHO ARE PARENTS,
5	PERCENTAGES. AND WE'RE LOOKING AT THE PROGRAMS AT DIFFERENT
6	COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES AND THE COMMUNITY PROGRAMS THAT HELP
7	STUDENTS WHO ARE PARENTS. SO WE'LL BE LOOKING AT SOME OF
8	THEIR INTERNAL DATA AS WELL, SOME OF IT IS QUANTITATIVE.
9	Q OKAY. SO SOME OF IT IN OTHER WORDS, SOME OF IT IS
10	QUANTITATIVE, SOME OF IT IS QUALITATIVE?
11	A YES.
12	Q THAT'S WHAT YOU MEAN BY MIXED METHODS?
13	A YES.
14	Q I GOT YOU. THE LAST ONE YOU MENTIONED WAS THE
15	AMERICAN DREAM PROJECT, IN WHICH YOU'RE WORKING ON A BOOK
16	CHAPTER ON LOW-INCOME FAMILIES?
17	A YES, SO I'M DIRECTING, I'M THE LEAD CO-EDITOR OF THE
18	PROJECT WITH TWO OTHER COLLEAGUES WHO ARE AT UNIVERSITIES IN
19	THE WEST AND WE'RE WRITING WE'RE CO-WRITING THE FIRST
20	CHAPTER AND THE LAST CHAPTER AND I'LL BE WRITING THE CHAPTER
21	ON LOW-INCOME FAMILIES AND THE AMERICAN DREAM.
22	Q I SEE. DOES THAT ALSO INVOLVE QUALITATIVE METHODS
23	OF STUDY?

A THAT CHAPTER WILL BE BOTH QUALITATIVE AND SLIGHTLY MIXED METHODS AS WELL.

24

25

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 171 of 108

1	Q SO IN OTHER WORDS, SOME HARD DATA I'M SORRY, I
2	REMEMBER FROM YOUR DEPOSITION YOU DON'T LIKE THAT TERM.
3	WHAT'S A BETTER TERM THAN, "HARD DATA" TO DIFFERENTIATE THE
4	TWO?
5	A IT'S QUANTITATIVE OR NUMERICAL DATA IN ADDITION TO
6	THE QUALITATIVE INTERVIEW EXCERPTS.
7	Q OKAY. SO IT'S MIXED METHODS, SOME NUMERICAL, SOME
8	QUALITATIVE?
9	A YES.
0.	Q GREAT. SO LET'S TURN TO YOUR OPINION IN THIS
1	LITIGATION AND TALK ABOUT YOUR METHODOLOGY. NOW, YOU'RE
.2	OFFERING AN OPINION BASED ON THE POVERTY OF WOMEN IN
L3	LOUISIANA; CORRECT?
L4	A YES.
.5	Q AND GENERALLY SPEAKING, IS IT FAIR TO SAY YOUR
.6	OPINION CONCERNS WHETHER THE POVERTY OF WOMEN IN LOUISIANA
L7	PRESENTS A BARRIER TO THEIR ABILITY TO TRAVEL TO ACCESS
8.	ABORTION SERVICES?
19	A YES.
20	Q IN ARRIVING AT YOUR OPINION IN THIS CASE, DID YOU
21	CONDUCT FOCUS GROUPS WITH LOUISIANA WOMEN IN ANY PARTICULAR
22	PART OF THE STATE?
23	A NO.
24	Q DID YOU CONDUCT ANY FOCUS GROUPS WITH LOUISIANA
25	WOMEN WHO HAD HAD ABORTIONS?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 172 of 108

1	A NO.
2	Q DID YOU CONDUCT ANY FOCUS GROUPS WITH WOMEN WHO
3	MIGHT BE CONSIDERING HAVING AN ABORTION, BUT WHO WERE
4	CONCERNED ABOUT THE POTENTIAL BARRIERS?
5	A NO.
6	Q SO YOU DID NOT CONDUCT ANY FOCUS GROUPS AT ALL WITH
7	ANY LOUISIANA WOMEN?
8	A NO, NOT IN THIS PROJECT.
9	Q YOU DID MENTION, HOWEVER, THAT YOU DID SOME WORK
10	WITH LOUISIANA WOMEN POST KATRINA?
11	A YES.
12	Q RIGHT. WAS THAT A QUALITATIVE STUDY?
13	A SO THAT WORK WAS IN THE AS PART OF THE WORK THAT
14	I WAS DOING WITH THE COMMUNITY ORGANIZATION THAT I WAS DOING
15	MY DISSERTATION WORK WITH. IT WAS
16	Q I'M SORRY, WHAT COMMUNITY ORGANIZATION WAS THAT?
17	A THE ORGANIZATION IS CALLED, LIFETIME. IT'S
18	LOW-INCOME FAMILIES EMPOWERMENT THROUGH EDUCATION.
19	Q SURE. I'M, SORRY. GO AHEAD.
20	A AND THAT WORK WAS SORT OF THE EARLY PART OF THE
21	WORK. THEY WERE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT THE LIFETIME HAD
22	GOTTEN A GRANT TO WORK WITH SOME YOUNGER NON-PROFIT THEY
23	WEREN'T FORMALLY ORGANIZED INTO NON-PROFIT STATUS YET, SO SOME
24	COMMUNITY GROUPS OF WOMEN IN THREE CITIES ALONG THE GULF
25	COAST, TO UNDERSTAND THEIR EXPERIENCES POST KATRINA, AND TO

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 173 of 108

1	SEE LIKE WHAT THEY NEEDED IN ORDER TO EITHER FORM AN
2	ORGANIZATION OR TO DO RESEARCH. SO IT WAS VERY PRELIMINARY
3	WORK, TRYING TO UNDERSTAND THEIR EXPERIENCES.
4	Q I SEE. THEIR EXPERIENCE IN ORGANIZING, COMMUNITY
5	ORGANIZING?
6	A NO, THEIR EXPERIENCES IN POVERTY, THEIR EXPENSES
7	POST KATRINA AFTER THE STORM, SO THEIR EXPERIENCES IN THEIR
8	COMMUNITY. WHY SOME OF THEM EVACUATED. WHY SOME OF THEM
9	DIDN'T.
10	Q DID IT INVOLVE FOCUS GROUPS WITH THOSE WOMEN?
11	A IT INVOLVED MANY IN-DEPTH CONVERSATIONS ON
12	CONFERENCE CALLS. IT WAS TOO PRELIMINARY TO CALL IT FOCUS
13	GROUPS, BUT THERE WAS A SERIES OF CONFERENCE CALLS OVER ABOUT
14	SIX MONTHS, IN WHICH ALL OF THE ORGANIZATIONS TALKED ABOUT THE
15	ISSUES TOGETHER.
16	Q AND WITH THE WOMEN WHO WERE THE SUBJECT OF THE
17	STUDY
18	A YES.
19	Q I GATHER, ON THE CONFERENCE CALLS?
20	A YES. FROM ALL THREE CITIES AND FROM THE
21	ORGANIZATION THAT I WORKED WITH.
22	Q SO THIS WAS A WAY OF DOING THAT KIND OF, NOT
23	FACE-TO-FACE, BUT THAT KIND OF IN-DEPTH INTERVIEW AND TO
24	UNDERSTAND THE LIVED EXPERIENCE OF THESE WOMEN
25	A YES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 174 of 80%

1	Q RIGHT? OKAY, SO IN FORMULATING LET'S TURN
2	BACK TO THIS CASE. IN FORMULATING YOUR OPINION IN THIS CASE,
3	DID YOU CONDUCT INTERVIEWS WITH LOUISIANA WOMEN IN ANY
4	PARTICULAR PARTS OF THE STATE?
5	A NO.
6	Q DID YOU CONDUCT INTERVIEWS WELL, DID YOU CONDUCT
7	ANY CONFERENCE CALLS WITH LOUISIANA WOMEN?
8	A NO.
9	Q DID YOU CONDUCT ANY INTERVIEWS WITH LOUISIANA WOMEN
.0	WHO HAD HAD ABORTIONS?
1	A NO.
.2	Q SO DID YOU CONDUCT ANY INTERVIEWS WITH LOUISIANA
L3	WOMEN WHO WERE CONSIDERING HAVING AN ABORTION SO YOU COULD
L4	UNDERSTAND THEIR LIVED EXPERIENCES WITH RESPECT TO THE
L5	POTENTIAL BARRIERS, TO ACCESS?
16	A NO, BUT THERE'S ALSO A RESEARCH PROBLEM WITH TRYING
L7	TO FIND THAT POPULATION.
18	Q OKAY. I UNDERSTAND. SO IN FORMULATING YOUR OPINION
19	IN THIS CASE YOU DIDN'T CONDUCT ANY INTERVIEWS WITH ANY
20	LOUISIANA WOMEN AT ALL?
21	A NO.
22	Q NOW, IN YOUR OTHER RESEARCH, IN YOUR QUALITATIVE
23	ETHNOGRAPHIC RESEARCH THAT WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT, I THINK
24	YOU TESTIFIED THAT YOU ACTUALLY SPENT TIME TRAVELING WITH
25	WOMEN, THAT WAS THE CAL. WORKS' STUDY?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 175 of 105

1	A YES.
2	Q WHY DID YOU SPEND TIME TRAVELING WITH THE WOMEN?
3	A IT WAS BOTH PART OF THE RESEARCH, BUT IT WAS ALSO
4	PART OF THE WORK THAT I WAS DOING WITH THE NON-PROFIT. AND SO
5	I TRAVELED WITH THEM, EITHER FROM THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA
6	TO SACRAMENTO, WHICH WAS ABOUT 60 MILES OR TRAVELED WITH THEM
7	TO WASHINGTON DC.
8	Q AND WHY WAS IT IMPORTANT THAT YOU TRAVEL WITH THEM,
9	TO YOUR STUDY?
10	A TO MY STUDY, THE TRAVELING PART WASN'T AS IMPORTANT
11	TO THE STUDY AS WHAT WE WERE GOING TO DO. AND SO I TRAVELED
12	WITH THEM BECAUSE I WAS GOING TO THE SAME PLACE THEY WERE AND
13	I WAS A RESEARCHER WORKING ON A PROJECT. BUT WHAT THE
14	FOCUS OF THE STUDY WAS WHEN WE GOT TO DC OR WHEN WE GOT TO
15	SACRAMENTO.
16	Q I SEE.
17	A BUT ALL OF IT'S PART OF, YOU KNOW
18	Q I SEE. I MISUNDERSTOOD. I THOUGHT THE STUDY HAD TO
19	DO WITH SOME KIND OF TRAVEL BARRIER THAT THESE WOMEN WERE
20	FACING BECAUSE OF THEIR LOW-INCOME STATUS.
21	A OTHER PIECES OF THE STUDY DID, YES.
22	Q TRAVEL BARRIERS TO ACCESS WHAT?
23	A HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, EDUCATION, JOB TRAINING
24	PROGRAMS, WORK
25	Q BUT YOU

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 176 of \$76

1	A BUYING GROCERIES.	
2	Q I'M SORRY. BUT YOU INTERVIEWED THOSE WOMEN?	
3	A YES. INTERVIEWS AND FOCUS GROUPS AND PARTICIPANT	
4	OBSERVATION.	
5	Q OKAY. SO YOU INTERVIEWED THOSE WOMEN, YOU DID FOCUS	
6	GROUPS WITH THOSE WOMEN AND YOU OBSERVED THEM	
7	A YES.	
8	Q SO THAT YOU COULD UNDERSTAND THEIR LIVED	
9	EXPERIENCES?	
.0	A YES.	
1	Q LET'S RETURN TO YOUR OPINION IN THIS CASE. IN	
.2	FORMULATING YOUR OPINION IN THIS CASE, DID YOU SPEND ANY TIME	
13	IN LOUISIANA TRAVELING WITH WOMEN SO THAT YOU COULD UNDERSTAND	
L4	THEIR LIVED EXPERIENCES?	
L5	A NO.	
16	Q DID YOU SPEND ANY TIME TRAVELING WITH WOMEN IN	
L7	LOUISIANA FROM PARTICULAR PARTS OF THE STATE SO THAT YOU COULD	
18	UNDERSTAND WHETHER THEY MIGHT EXPERIENCE ANY PARTICULAR	
19	PROBLEMS?	
20	A NO.	
21	Q NOW IN FORMULATING YOUR OPINION IN THIS CASE DID YOU	
22	RELY ON ANY STUDIES BY OTHER EXPERTS WHO HAD CONDUCTED FOCUS	
23	GROUPS WITH LOUISIANA WOMEN ON THIS TOPIC?	
24	A NO.	
25	Q OKAY. HOW ABOUT, DID YOU RELY ON ANY STUDIES BY	

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 177 of \$05

1	OTHER EXPERTS WHO HAD CONDUCTED INTERVIEWS OR CONFERENCE CALLS
2	WITH ANY LOUISIANA WOMEN ON THIS TOPIC?
3	A NO.
4	Q IN FORMULATING YOUR OPINION IN THIS CASE, DID YOU
5	RELY ON ANY STUDIES BY OTHER EXPERTS WHO HAD, YOU KNOW, GOTTEN
6	ON THE GROUND AND TRAVELED WITH LOUISIANA WOMEN SO THEY COULD
7	UNDERSTAND THEIR LIVED EXPERIENCES OF BARRIERS TO ACCESS?
8	A NO.
9	Q SO, DOCTOR, I UNDERSTAND FROM TALKING WITH YOU THAT
10	QUALITATIVE RESEARCH IS A CORE PART OF YOUR STUDY; IS THAT
11	RIGHT?
12	A YES.
13	Q BUT IN FORMULATING YOUR OPINION IN THIS CASE, IS IT
14	FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU DID NOT PERSONALLY CONDUCT ANY
15	QUALITATIVE OR ETHNOGRAPHIC RESEARCH REGARDING WOMEN AND
16	POVERTY IN THE STATE OF LOUISIANA?
17	A NO.
18	Q OKAY. SO IN FORMULATING YOUR OPINION IN THIS CASE,
19	IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU DID NOT RELY ON ANY QUALITATIVE
20	ETHNOGRAPHIC RESEARCH BY OTHER EXPERTS REGARDING WOMEN AND
21	POVERTY IN THE STATE OF LOUISIANA?
22	A NO.
23	Q IN FORMULATING YOUR OPINION IN THIS CASE, DID YOU
24	RELY ON ANY QUALITATIVE ETHNOGRAPHIC RESEARCH BY OTHER EXPERTS
25	THAT FOCUSED ON THE ISSUE OF WOMEN'S POVERTY AND ABORTION

1 ACCESS?

A SO IN QUALITATIVE RESEARCH, ABORTION IS AN ISSUE
THAT COMES UP IN OTHER STUDIES. I KNOW THAT SHARON HAYS',

FLAT BROKE WITH CHILDREN, BRINGS UP THE ISSUE OF ABORTION AND
ELAINE BELL KAPLAN'S, NOT OUR KIND OF GIRL, BOTH ARE
ETHNOGRAPHIC QUALITATIVE STUDIES THAT BRING UP THE ISSUE OF
ABORTION ACCESS.

Q SO HAVE YOU EVER DONE IN YOUR ENTIRE CAREER -- I
BELIEVE YOU SAID YOU HAVE A 15-YEAR LONG CAREER?

A OF -- YEAH.

Q FIFTEEN YEARS. IN YOUR 15 YEARS OF QUALITATIVE ETHNOGRAPHIC RESEARCH, HAVE YOU EVER DONE ANY SUCH RESEARCH THAT FOCUSES ON THE ISSUE OF ABORTION ACCESS AND POVERTY?

A THE ISSUE OF ACCESS TO ABORTION AND WOMEN'S

REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SERVICES IS UNDER THE RESEARCH -- THE

CALIFORNIA-BASED STUDY THAT I'VE DONE AND WILL BE PART OF SOME

OF THE OTHER PROJECTS MOVING FORWARD BECAUSE -- SO I HAVE

ASKED WOMEN ABOUT REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SERVICES AND ACCESSING

HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES. I HAVE NOT DONE ONE STUDY THAT

SPECIFICALLY ASKED ABOUT ABORTION, BUT IT'S BEEN UNDER AN

UMBRELLA OF ISSUES THAT I'VE ASKED ABOUT IN MY OTHER RESEARCH.

Q I SEE. HAVE YOU PUBLISHED ANY OF THAT RESEARCH?

A SO I'VE PUBLISHED SOME OF THE RESEARCH. MOST OF IT

IS FROM THE CALIFORNIA-BASED STUDY IS BEING PREPARED IN A BOOK

MANUSCRIPT THAT WILL ALL GET PUBLISHED AT ONCE AS A BOOK.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 179 of 109

1	Q SO IT WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE FUTURE?
2	A YES. BUT THERE ARE TWO PIECES OF THAT THAT ARE
3	ALREADY PUBLISHED.
4	Q DO EITHER OF THE PIECES THAT ARE ALREADY PUBLISHED
5	ADDRESS THE ISSUES OF ABORTION IN A QUALITATIVE MANNER?
6	A NO.
7	Q I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU A FEW QUESTIONS ABOUT THE DATA
8	THAT YOU RELIED ON IN FORMULATING YOUR OPINION IN THIS CASE.
9	I THINK YOU'VE BEEN ASKED A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS ABOUT THE DATA
10	ALREADY AND I JUST WANT TO GO BACK OVER SOME OF THAT.
11	A OKAY.
12	Q NOW, EARLIER YOU TESTIFIED THAT YOU RELIED ON
13	NATIONAL STATISTICS ABOUT THE PERCENTAGE OF WOMEN HAVING
14	ABORTIONS IN THE UNITED STATES WHO ARE BELOW YOU KNOW, WHO
15	ARE AT CERTAIN FEDERAL POVERTY LEVELS; CORRECT?
16	A YES.
17	Q YOU WERE, I BELIEVE JUST SO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT
18	I'M GETTING AT, YOU REFERRED TO PAGE 8, PARAGRAPH 14 OF YOUR
19	DECLARATION FOR THOSE. I'LL TURN TO THAT AS WELL.
20	A YES.
21	MR. DUNCAN: YOUR HONOR, DO I NEED TO PUT THAT UP ON
22	THE SCREEN?
23	THE COURT: YOU'RE AT 14?
24	MR. DUNCAN: YES, YOUR HONOR. PAGE 8, PARAGRAPH 14.
25	THE COURT: OKAY. NO, YOU DO NOT NEED TO DO THAT

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 180 of 180

1	FOR ME.
2	MR. DUNCAN: OKAY. THANK YOU.
3	BY MR. DUNCAN:
4	Q NOW, YOU DID NOT RELY ON ANY STATISTICS SHOWING THE
5	INCOME LEVEL OF WOMEN IN LOUISIANA WHO HAD HAD ABORTIONS;
6	RIGHT?
7	A NO.
8	Q AND YOU DID NOT RELY ON ANY STATISTICS SHOWING THE
9	RELATIVE INCOME OF WOMEN IN DIFFERENT GEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS OF
.0	LOUISIANA WHO HAVE HAD ABORTIONS?
1	A I DID NOT.
.2	Q AND YOU ALSO DID NOT RELY ON STATISTICS SHOWING THE
L3	RELATIVE INCOME LEVEL OF WOMEN WHO HAVE HAD ABORTIONS AT THE
L4	FIVE ABORTION CLINICS IN LOUISIANA, DID YOU?
L5	A I DID NOT.
16	Q SO, FOR EXAMPLE, YOU DID NOT RELY ON STATISTICS
L7	SHOWING THE RELATIVE INCOME LEVEL OF WOMEN WHO'VE HAD
18	ABORTIONS AT HOPE MEDICAL CENTER?
19	A I DID NOT.
20	Q OR AT CAUSEWAY?
21	A NO.
22	Q OR AT BOSSIER CITY?
23	A NO.
24	Q OR AT WOMEN'S HEALTH CENTER?
25	A NO.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 181 of 1815

1	Q OR AT DELTA CLINIC?
2	A NO.
3	Q AND YOU ALSO STRIKE THAT. YOU ALSO DIDN'T RELY
4	ON ANY DATA SHOWING THE RELATIVE INCOME LEVEL OF A SUBSET OF
5	WOMEN WHO HAVE HAD TO TRAVEL FROM OUT OF THE TOWN TO OBTAIN
6	ABORTIONS AT ANY OF THOSE CLINICS; RIGHT?
7	A I DID NOT.
8	Q COULD YOU TELL ME WHICH STRIKE THAT. WITH
9	RESPECT TO THE STUDIES THAT YOU RELIED ON IN YOUR REPORT, I
10	KNOW YOU HAVE A LIST OF STUDIES, COULD YOU TELL ME WHICH
11	STUDIES YOU RELIED ON THAT ANALYZE THE EFFECT OF INCREASED
12	TRAVEL DISTANCE ON WOMEN'S ABILITY TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION?
13	A SO I LOOKED AT IN THE REPORT, ONE OF THE THINGS
14	THAT I DID WAS CONSIDERED THE LITERATURE, THE WIDE LITERATURE,
15	ON WOMEN TRAVELING TO ACCESS HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES. AND
16	SO THERE'S A WHOLE LITERATURE ABOUT QUALITATIVE AND
17	QUANTITATIVE, ABOUT WOMEN TRAVELING TO ACCESS SERVICES.
18	SO IN WRITING THIS REPORT I THOUGHT ABOUT THOSE
19	STUDIES AND USED, YOU KNOW, A COUPLE OF EXAMPLES IN THE
20	REPORT. BUT THERE ARE DEFINITELY MANY IN THE FIELD THAT
21	THINKS ABOUT WHAT BARRIERS EXIST AND WHAT BURDENS EXIST FOR
22	LOW-INCOME WOMEN TO TRAVEL TO ACCESS ANY VARIETY OF HEALTH AND
23	HUMAN SERVICES.
24	Q I UNDERSTAND. SO WHICH EXAMPLES DID YOU USE?
25	A IN THE REPORT I USED THE SHELTON ARTICLE AS AN

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 182 of 188

1.	EXAMPLE AND I ALSO MADE REFERENCE TO A DOBIE ARTICLE.
2	Q OKAY.
3	A THESE ARE TWO QUANTITATIVE ARTICLES, BUT THERE IS A
4	WIDE BODY OF QUALITATIVE RESEARCH THAT'S DISCUSSED THROUGHOUT
5	THE ARTICLE THAT ALSO SPEAKS TO THESE ISSUES.
6	Q OKAY. SO JUST TO BE CLEAR, THE <i>SHELTON</i> ARTICLE THA
7	YOU'RE REFERRING TO IS AT PARAGRAPH 15 OF YOUR DECLARATION?
8	A YES.
9	Q THAT'S THE JAMES D. SHELTON, ET AL, ARTICLE?
10	A YES.
11	Q AND THEN THE <i>DOBIE</i> ARTICLE THAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO
12	IS ALSO IN PARAGRAPH 15 ON THE NEXT PAGE, SHARON A. DOBIE, ET
13	AL?
14	A YES.
15	Q AND AS WE TALKED ABOUT ALREADY YOU ALSO RELIED ON
16	THE ROBERTS' REPORT AS WELL?
17	A NOT IN WRITING THIS REPORT BECAUSE THIS REPORT I
18	SUBMITTED IN NOVEMBER/DECEMBER AND THE ROBERTS' ARTICLE CAME
19	OUT IN MAY?
20	Q WELL, LET'S SEE. THE ROBERTS' ARTICLE I'VE GOT IT
21	HERE IN FRONT OF ME. WELL, IT SAYS, ACCEPTED 03,
22	FEBRUARY 2015.
23	A I READ IT IN MARCH. I THINK THE FINAL VERSION CAME
24	OUT IN THE MAY ISSUE OF CONTRACEPTION.
25	Q OKAY. SO IT CAME OUT IN MAY, OKAY. SO YOU RELIED

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 183 of 188

1	ON THAT A	FTERWARDS?
2	A	YES.
3	Q	NOW IS THE SHELTON ARTICLE A QUALITATIVE ARTICLE?
4	A	NO.
5	Q	SO THE SHELTON ARTICLE DOESN'T CONSIDER THE LIVED
6	EXPERIENC	ES OF WOMEN AND HOW THAT REFLECTS THE BARRIERS THEY
7	FACE IN A	CCESSING AN ABORTION?
8	A	IT DOES NOT.
9	Q	IT'S A NUMERICAL ARTICLE, IT'S A QUANTITATIVE
10	ARTICLE?	
11	А	YES.
12	Q	AND THE DOBIE STUDY IS ALSO NOT A QUALITATIVE
13	ARTICLE?	
14	A	I BELIEVE THAT.
15	Q	AND THE ROBERTS' STUDY IS NOT A QUALITATIVE ARTICLE?
16	Α	NO.
17	Q	CAN YOU IDENTIFY BY NAME ANY STUDY YOU RELIED ON
18	THAT IS A	QUALITATIVE STUDY ABOUT WOMEN'S LIVED EXPERIENCES
19	WITH ABOR	TION POVERTY AND ACCESS TRAVEL ACCESS?
20	Α	NO.
21	Q	LET ME ASK YOU SORRY, ONE SECOND. OKAY. I'D
22	LIKE TO A	SK YOU JUST SOME MORE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS ABOUT THE
23	OPINION Y	OU ARE OFFERING IN THIS CASE. NOW, I UNDERSTAND FROM
24	YOUR DECLA	ARATION, I'M SPECIFICALLY LOOKING AT PARAGRAPH 6.
25	l A	CIVE ME JUST ONE MINUTE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 184 of 184

1	Q SURE, SURE, THAT'S FINE.
2	A YES.
3	Q SO AS I UNDERSTAND YOUR OPINION YOU'RE SAYING THAT
4	BECAUSE OF THE DISTANCES THAT ACT 620 WILL REQUIRE LOW-INCOME
5	WOMEN IN LOUISIANA TO TRAVEL TO OBTAIN ABORTION SERVICES, THAT
6	THE ACT WILL PREVENT MANY LOWER INCOME WOMEN IN LOUISIANA FROM
7	OBTAINING ABORTIONS THAT THEY OTHERWISE WOULD HAVE BEEN ABLE
8	TO OBTAIN; ISN'T THAT A FAIR SUMMARY OF YOUR OPINION?
9	A YES.
10	Q TO BE CLEAR, HOWEVER, YOU'RE NOT OFFERING AN OPINION
1	THAT ANY SPECIFIC PERCENTAGE OF LOUISIANA WOMEN WILL BE
12	PREVENTED BY THEIR POVERTY FROM OBTAINING AN ABORTION?
13	A NO.
4	Q IN FACT, YOU'RE ABLE TO SAY ONLY THAT YOU BELIEVE
L5	MANY WOMEN IN LOUISIANA WILL BE PREVENTED BY POVERTY FROM
16	OBTAINING AN ABORTION?
L7	A YES.
18	Q AND YOU CAN'T DEFINE THE TERM, "MANY," IN TERMS OF
9	THE SPECIFIC PERCENTAGES, CAN YOU?
20	A NO.
21	Q AND THAT'S BECAUSE YOU DON'T HAVE ANY DATA TO SHOW
22	HOW MANY WOMEN IN LOUISIANA WILL ACTUALLY BE PREVENTED BY
23	POVERTY FROM TRAVELING TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION?
24	A NO. AND I THINK THAT THAT DATA WOULD BE VERY HARD
25	TO OBTAIN.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 185 of 185

1	Q HAVE YOU THOUGHT ABOUT HOW TO EVEN CONSTRUCT A
2	METHODOLOGY TO GET AT THAT DATA?
3	A YES.
4	Q HAVE YOU COME UP WITH AN ANSWER?
5	A NO. I'VE COME UP WITH MANY ANSWERS, BUT UNTIL I TRY
6	TO DO IT
7	Q OKAY, YOU'VE THOUGHT ABOUT POSSIBILITIES, BUT YOU
8	HAVEN'T DONE ANY?
9	A NO. I HAVE THREE BOOKS IN PROGRESS. I NEED TO
.0	FINISH ONE OF THEM.
1	Q I FEEL YOUR PAIN. OKAY. YOU'D AGREE WITH ME THAT
.2	SOME WOMEN IN LOUISIANA ARE ALREADY HAVING TO TRAVEL TO OBTAIN
13	ABORTION SERVICES?
L4	A YES.
.5	Q BUT YOU CAN'T TELL THE COURT HOW MANY WOMEN WHO ARE
16	SEEKING ABORTIONS ARE ACTUALLY POOR, CAN YOU?
L7	A I CAN'T GIVE YOU AN EXACT NUMBER. I THINK BASED ON
18	THE DATA THAT'S AVAILABLE NATIONALLY AND THE HIGH POVERTY RATE
19	IN LOUISIANA, THAT THE OVERWHELMING MAJORITY OF THE WOMEN WHO
20	ARE SEEKING ABORTIONS IN LOUISIANA ARE VERY POOR.
21	Q OKAY, DOCTOR. YOU JUST SAID IN YOUR OPINION THE
22	OVERWHELMING MAJORITY OF WOMEN IN LOUISIANA?
23	A YES.
24	Q THAT'S YOUR TESTIMONY?
25	A YES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 186 of 186

1	Q YOU RECALL TAKING A DEPOSITION IN THIS CASE?
2	A YES.
3	Q AND IN THAT DEPOSITION YOU WERE UNDER OATH?
4	A YES.
5	Q LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT YOUR DEPOSITION. HERE WE GO
6	WITH THE ELMO PROCESS.
7	MR. DUNCAN: WOULD SOMEONE IS DR. KATZ'S
8	DEPOSITION CONFIDENTIAL?
9	MS. JAROSLOW: IT IS NOT, YOUR HONOR.
10	MR. DUNCAN: THANK YOU.
11	BY MR. DUNCAN:
12	Q WE'RE GOING TO GO THROUGH THIS EXERCISE, DOCTOR, OF
13	LOOKING AT THIS DEPOSITION. HAVE YOU REVIEWED YOUR DEPOSITION
14	TRANSCRIPT?
15	A YES.
16	Q OKAY. I'LL GIVE YOU A PAGE NUMBER IN JUST A SECOND.
17	I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE YOU RECOGNIZE THE FIRST PAGE OF YOUR
18	DEPOSITION. LET'S MAKE SURE YOU CAN SEE THIS AND READ THIS.
19	A YES.
20	Q THIS IS THE FIRST PAGE OF YOUR DEPOSITION; DO YOU
21	RECOGNIZE THAT?
22	A YES.
23	Q OKAY, GREAT, NOW, LET'S GO TO PAGE 84 OF YOUR
24	DEPOSITION. SORRY, BEAR WITH ME FOR A SECOND. 84, LINES 1
25	THROUGH 6. DO YOU SEE THAT?

1	A YES.
2	Q OKAY.
3	MR. DUNCAN: YOUR HONOR, IS IT OKAY IF I READ THE
4	DEPOSITION OR WOULD YOU PREFER THAT THE WITNESS READ IT?
5	THE COURT: I SEE IT. I'M READING ONE THROUGH FIVE
6	AND YOU CAN JUST ASK HER ABOUT IT.
7	MR. DUNCAN: OKAY.
8	BY MR. DUNCAN:
9	Q WHY DON'T YOU READ LINES WHAT DID I SAY, ONE
10	THROUGH SIX?
11	THE COURT: ONE THROUGH SIX.
12	A SO THE QUESTION IS, "DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY WOMEN WHO
13	ARE SEEKING ABORTIONS IN LOUISIANA CURRENTLY ARE POOR?" I
14	SAID, "I DON'T KNOW." THE QUESTION IS, "WOULD YOU HAVE NO WAY
15	OF TO KNOWING THAT, WOULD YOU?" "NO."
16	BY MR. DUNCAN:
17	Q OKAY.
18	A I BELIEVE THE ANSWER THAT I GAVE YOU JUST NOW IS THE
19	SAME ANSWER.
20	Q YOU BELIEVE I'M SORRY, DOCTOR. YOU BELIEVE
21	SAYING THAT THE OVERWHELMING NUMBER OF WOMEN WHO ARE SEEKING
22	ABORTIONS ARE POOR IS THE SAME ANSWER AS NO AND I DON'T KNOW?
23	A NO. I SAY I THINK THAT I DON'T HAVE AN EXACT
24	NUMBER FOR YOU, BUT I THINK THAT GIVEN NATIONAL DATA, WHICH IS
25	WHAT I SAID A MINUTE AGO, AND THE POVERTY RATE, THAT I WOULD

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 188 of 188

1.	THINK THAT THE NUMBER WOULD BE OVERWHELMING MAJORITY. BUT DO
2	I HAVE AN EXACT NUMBER? NO, I DON'T HAVE THAT EXACT NUMBER.
3	Q I UNDERSTAND, DOCTOR. IN YOUR DEPOSITION OF COURSE
4	YOU SAID, I DON'T KNOW.
5	A OKAY.
6	Q THANK YOU. JUST BEAR WITH ME JUST A SECOND. JUST
7	ONE SECOND. DOCTOR, YOU ALSO DON'T HAVE DATA REGARDING THE
8	INCOME STATUS OF THE PATIENT BASE AT ANY PARTICULAR ABORTION
9	CLINIC IN LOUISIANA, DO YOU?
10	A I DO NOT.
11	Q SO, FOR EXAMPLE, YOU DID NOT RELY ON DATA SHOWING
12	WHAT PERCENTAGE OF THE WOMEN WHO OBTAINED ABORTIONS AT HOPE
13	MEDICAL IN THE PAST YEAR ARE BELOW THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL?
14	A I DO NOT.
15	Q OR BELOW 200 PERCENT OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL?
16	A I DO NOT.
17	Q AND YOU DID NOT RELY ON DATA OF THAT NATURE FOR ANY
18	OTHER ABORTION CLINIC IN LOUISIANA; CORRECT?
19	A NO.
20	Q NOW, DOCTOR, YOU ALSO DID NOT RELY ON DATA SHOWING
21	THAT WOMEN SEEKING ABORTIONS AT ANY PARTICULAR CLINIC IN
22	LOUISIANA EXPERIENCED PARTICULAR OBSTACLES IN GETTING TO THOSE
23	CLINICS? AND BY PARTICULAR OBSTACLES, I MEAN OBSTACLES THAT
24	ARE NOT SHARED BY WOMEN IN OTHER PARTS OF THE STATE.
25	A NO.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 189 of 189

1	Q FOR INSTANCE, YOU DIDN'T RELY ON DATA THAT WOMEN WHO
2	LIVE, SAY, IN SOUTHWEST LOUISIANA EXPERIENCED SPECIAL OR
3	PARTICULAR BURDENS IN TRAVELING TO OBTAIN ABORTIONS, BURDENS
4	THAT WOMEN IN OTHER PARTS OF THE STATE DON'T?
5	A NO.
6	Q YOU ALSO DON'T HAVE DATA, DO YOU, FROM ANY
7	PARTICULAR CLINIC DOCUMENTING THE SPECIAL OBSTACLES OBSERVED
8	WITH THEIR PARTICULAR PATIENT BASE IN OBTAINING ABORTIONS?
9	A I DON'T.
10	Q NOW, JUST TO GO BACK TO YOUR GENERAL OPINION. YOUR
11	GENERAL OPINION IS THAT LOW-INCOME STATUS CAN PREVENT WOMEN
12	FROM TRAVELING TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION; IS THAT RIGHT?
13	A YES.
14	Q JUST TO UNDERSTAND YOUR OPINION A LITTLE BETTER, ARE
15	YOU OFFERING AN OPINION THAT THERE'S A PARTICULAR LEVEL OF
16	POVERTY BEYOND WHICH A WOMAN WOULD BE PREVENTED FROM OBTAINING
17	AN ABORTION?
18	A COULD YOU REPHRASE THAT?
19	Q SURE. ARE YOU OFFERING AN OPINION THAT THERE'S A
20	PARTICULAR LEVEL OF POVERTY BEYOND WHICH IS SORT OF THE
21	TIPPING POINT, IT WILL PREVENT A WOMAN AT THAT POINT, LET'S
22	SAY 150 PERCENT OF THE POVERTY LEVEL?
23	A OKAY, I THINK HOW YOU'RE PHRASING IT MAKES IT
24	CONFUSING.
25	O OKAY FATE ENOUGH T'LL JUST MOVE ON DOCTOR AS

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 190 of 199

1	YOU SIT HERE TODAY ARE YOU ABLE TO TELL THE COURT IN YOUR
2	OPINION WHAT SPECIFIC WHAT SPECIFIC PERCENTAGE OF LOUISIANA
3	WOMEN WOULD HAVE THEIR ACCESS TO ABORTION IMPEDED BY ACT 620
4	BECAUSE OF THEIR POVERTY?
5	A NO.
6	MR. DUNCAN: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
7	THE COURT: REDIRECT?
8	MS. LEVINE: NO REDIRECT, YOUR HONOR.
9	THE COURT: OKAY. I ONLY HAVE A COUPLE OF
LO	QUESTIONS, DOCTOR. ONE, ON PARAGRAPH 14 OF YOUR REPORT, PAGE
1	8, WHICH IS PAGE 2480; COULD YOU TURN TO THAT?
.2	THE WITNESS: PARAGRAPH 14, ON PAGE 8?
13	THE COURT: RIGHT.
L4	THE WITNESS: OKAY.
1.5	THE COURT: ARE YOU THERE?
L6	THE WITNESS: YES, I AM.
17	THE COURT: YOU'VE GOT NATIONALLY 2008, 42 PERCENT
18	OF THE WOMEN HAVING ABORTIONS IN THE U.S. HAD INCOMES BELOW
19	THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL AND ANOTHER 27 PERCENT HAD INCOMES
20	BELOW 200 PERCENT OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL. IS THE
21	27 PERCENT INCLUDED IN THE 42 PERCENT?
22	THE WITNESS: NO. IT'S 42 PLUS 27.
23	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO IF YOU TOOK 42 AND 27,
24	WHAT WOULD THE COMBINED NUMBER HOW WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE
5	COMBINED NUMBER?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 191 of 191

1	THE WITNESS: SIXTY-NINE PERCENT.
2	THE COURT: I KNOW, I CAN EVEN DO THAT MATH.
3	THE WITNESS: ALMOST THREE-QUARTERS.
4	THE COURT: ALMOST THREE-QUARTERS EQUALS WHAT? HOW
5	WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THOSE THREE-QUARTERS?
6	THE WITNESS: I WOULD THINK THAT THAT'S THE
7	MAJORITY.
8	THE COURT: OF?
9	THE WITNESS: OF WOMEN WHO ARE SEEKING ARE HAVING
.0	ABORTIONS IN THE UNITED STATES HAVE INCOMES BELOW 200 PERCENT
1	OF THE POVERTY LINE.
.2	THE COURT: WHAT IS IT THAT MAKES YOU BELIEVE THAT
L3	THAT AS YOU SAY, I WOULD EXPECT THE PERCENTAGE TO BE MUCH
L4	HIGHER IN LOUISIANA FIRST OF ALL, THE 69 PERCENT IS OF
L5	WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE? OR WOMEN
.6	THE WITNESS: THE 69 PERCENT IS OF WOMEN WHO HAD
L7	ABORTIONS.
18	THE COURT: WHO HAD ABORTIONS.
19	THE WITNESS: WHO HAD ABORTIONS WERE UNDER THE
20	200 PERCENT OF THE FEDERAL POVERTY LINE. AND THIS IS OF THE
21	UNITED STATES AS A WHOLE.
22	THE COURT: RIGHT.
23	THE WITNESS: SO IF WE THINK ABOUT THE FACT THAT
24	LOUISIANA IS THE THIRD POOREST STATE IN THE COUNTRY AND THAT
5	WE KNOW OVERALL IN THE U.S. THAT 69 PERCENT OF WOMEN WHO ARE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 192 of 192

1	HAVING ABORTIONS HAVE INCOMES BELOW 200 PERCENT OF THE POVERTY
2	LINE. THIS IS WHY I'M SAYING THAT I THINK THAT THE NUMBER FOR
3	LOUISIANA WOULD BE AN OVERWHELMING MAJORITY.
4	WHEN I'VE HAD DATA FROM OTHER STATES, THOSE NUMBERS
5	HAVE BEEN THAT HAVE LOWER POVERTY RATES THAN LOUISIANA, BUT
6	CLOSE, THAT NUMBER HAS BEEN BETWEEN 85 AND 90 PERCENT OF WOMEN
7	WHO HAD ABORTIONS IN THOSE STATES HAD POVERTY HAD AN INCOME
8	BELOW 200 PERCENT OF THE POVERTY LINE. SO LOUISIANA IS EVEN
9	POORER THAN THOSE STATES.
.0	THE COURT: OKAY, AND THAT'S ALL. THANK YOU.
1	THE WITNESS: OKAY, THANK YOU.
.2	THE COURT: ANY FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS?
13	MR. DUNCAN: NO, YOUR HONOR.
L4	THE COURT: THANK YOU, MA'AM. YOU MAY STAND DOWN.
L5	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.
16	THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.
L7	MR. DUNCAN: YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE WE'RE GOING TO
18	CALL THE SECRETARY?
19	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YES.
20	MR. DUNCAN: MAY I JUST GO OUT AND SEE I HAD THE
21	SECRETARY OUTSIDE.
22	THE COURT: ABSOLUTELY.
23	MR. DUNCAN: MR. JOHNSON JUST DIDN'T WANT HER TO
24	COME IN.
25	THE COURT: COME FORWARD, SECRETARY KLIEBERT AND MS.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 193 of 193

1	CAUSEY WILL SWEAR YOU IN.
2	(WHEREUPON, KATHY KLIEBERT, HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN,
3	TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS.)
4	DIRECT
5	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
6	Q GOOD AFTERNOON, SECRETARY KLIEBERT. MY NAME IS
7	DEMME DOUFEKIAS AND I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS FOR YOU THIS
8	AFTERNOON. IF I SPEAK TOO QUICKLY, BECAUSE I DO THAT
9	SOMETIMES, PLEASE FEEL FREE TO ASK ME TO SLOW DOWN AND IF I
10	ASK YOU ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU DON'T UNDERSTAND, PLEASE LET ME
11	KNOW AND I WILL DO MY BEST TO ASK YOU BETTER QUESTIONS.
12	A WILL DO.
13	Q COULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD?
14	A KATHY HAWKINS KLIEBERT.
1.5	Q COULD YOU SPELL YOUR LAST NAME FOR THE COURT
16	REPORTER?
17	A K-L-I-E-B-E-R-T.
18	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASK THAT
19	PLAINTIFFS BE ALLOWED TO EXAMINE SECRETARY KLIEBERT AS AN
20	ADVERSE WITNESS UNDER RULE 611 (C)(2)?
21	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTIONS?
22	MR. DUNCAN: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
23	THE COURT: YOU MAY PROCEED.
24	MR. JOHNSON: I'M JUST NOT SURE WHY SHE SHOULD BE
25	DECLARED AN ADVERSE WITNESS WHEN SHE HASN'T EVEN BECOME YET?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 194 of 194

1	THE COURT: YOU'RE A MUCH BETTER TRIAL LAWYER THAN
2	THAT MR. JOHNSON. YOU'RE A MUCH BETTER TRIAL LAWYER THAN
3	THAT.
4	MR. JOHNSON: I WITHDRAW.
5	THE COURT: SHE'S THE DEFENDANT IN THE CASE.
6	MR. JOHNSON: I WITHDRAW THE OBJECTION.
7	THE COURT: HE WITHDRAWS THE OBJECTION.
8	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
9	Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT ROLE AT
10	DHH?
11	A I'M THE SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT WHICH OVERSEES
12	ALL OF THE PROGRAM POLICIES FOR THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, WHICH
13	IS A MULTIPLE OF DIFFERENT ENTITIES WITHIN THE HEALTH
14	DEPARTMENT.
15	Q YOU GAVE A LITTLE BIT OF A DESCRIPTION, BUT COULD
16	YOU GIVE JUST A LITTLE BIT MORE OF A DESCRIPTION OF WHAT YOUR
17	RESPONSIBILITIES ARE AS THE SECRETARY OF DHH?
18	A CERTAINLY. AGAIN, I OVERSEE ALL OF THE PROGRAMS AND
19	POLICIES. WE HAVE FOUR PROGRAM OFFICES, OFFICE FOR CITIZENS
20	WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES, OFFICE OF AGING AND ADULT
21	SERVICES, OFFICE OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH, OFFICE OF PUBLIC
22	HEALTH. IN ADDITION, WE OVERSEE THE MEDICAID PROGRAM. WE
23	ALSO I ALSO OVERSEE OUR BUREAU OF LEGAL SERVICES, AS WELL
24	AS OTHER BUREAUS, COMMUNICATIONS, LEGAL AFFAIRS WITHIN THE
25	DEPARTMENT AS WELL.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 195 of 195

1.	Q AND SOMEWHERE IN ALL OF THAT IS THE HEALTH STANDARD
2	SECTION, IS THAT RIGHT?
3	A THAT'S CORRECT.
4	Q AND THE HEALTH STANDARD SECTION IS THE SECTION OF
5	DHH THAT HAS ULTIMATE AUTHORITY FOR REGULATING ABORTION
6	CLINICS IN THE STATE OF LOUISIANA; IS THAT RIGHT?
7	A YES. AGAIN, THEY ARE WITHIN OUR DEPARTMENT. THE
8	DEPARTMENT HAS THE ULTIMATE AUTHORITY, BUT THE HEALTH
9	STANDARDS SURVEYS REVIEWS THOSE FACILITIES.
10	Q IS YOUR POSITION AN APPOINTED POSITION?
11	A YES.
12	Q AND WHO APPOINTED YOU TO YOUR POSITION?
13	A IN THE CURRENT POSITION, GOVERNOR JINDAL.
14	Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN SECRETARY OF DHH?
15	A SECRETARY OF DHH, FOR A LITTLE OVER THREE YEARS
16	TWO YEARS, I'M SORRY. TWO YEARS. SINCE 2013.
17	Q AND BEFORE THAT YOU WERE DEPUTY SECRETARY; IS THAT
18	RIGHT?
19	A THAT'S CORRECT.
20	Q AND HOW LONG WERE YOU IN THE DEPUTY SECRETARY
21	POSITION?
22	A A LITTLE OVER TWO YEARS.
23	Q IT'S COMMON FOR THE HEADS OF AGENCIES TO CHANGE IF
24	THE ADMINISTRATION CHANGES; IS THAT RIGHT?
25	A THAT'S CORRECT.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 196 of 1996

1	Q HAS IT BEEN YOUR EXPERIENCE THAT THE HEAD OF DHH HAS
2	CHANGED EVERY FEW YEARS?
3	A IN MY EXPERIENCE, IN MY TIME AT DHH, THE HEAD HAS
4	CHANGED, YES.
5	Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, IF I REFER TO HB 388 WHAT DOES
6	THAT MEAN TO YOU?
7	A YOU'LL HAVE TO REFRESH MY MEMORY, I'M NOT REALLY
8	GOOD ON NUMBERS.
9	Q WOULD IT HELP YOU IF I REFERRED TO ACT 620?
10	A YES, ACT 620, YES.
11	Q SO IF I REPRESENTED TO YOU THAT HB 388 IS ANOTHER
12	WAY OF REFERRING TO ACT 620, WHAT DOES ACT 620 MEAN TO YOU?
13	A ACT 620 IS THE LEGISLATION THAT WAS PASSED LAST YEAR
14	REGARDING ABORTION FACILITIES, CHANGING SOME OF THE
15	REGULATIONS AND STATUTES FOR ABORTION FACILITIES.
16	Q IS ONE OF THOSE REGULATIONS AN ADMITTING PRIVILEGES
17	REQUIREMENT?
18	A YES.
19	Q WHAT'S YOUR UNDERSTANDING AS THE SECRETARY OF DHH OF
20	WHAT THE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES REQUIREMENT REQUIRES OF ABORTION
21	CLINICS AND ABORTION PROVIDERS?
22	A IT REQUIRES THAT A PHYSICIAN THAT OPERATES IN THE
23	ABORTION FACILITIES TO HAVE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES IN A HOSPITAL
24	WITHIN 30 MILES OF THAT OF THE ABORTION CLINIC.
25	Q DOES ACT 620 SAY ANYTHING ABOUT WHAT IT MEANS TO

1 HAVE ACTIVE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES?

A IT INDICATES ADMITTING PRIVILEGES AND I THINK

THERE'S A STATEMENT IN THERE, AND DON'T QUOTE ME EXACTLY, BUT

SOMETHING ABOUT HAVING TO BE ABLE TO PROVIDE DIAGNOSTIC AND

SURGICAL SERVICES.

Q ACTUALLY, LET'S TAKE A LOOK. SO I'M GOING TO TRY TO DO THIS ELECTRONICALLY. FOR SOME OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT I MAY WANT TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT THAT ARE A FEW MORE PAGES, I MAY ASK YOU TO LOOK IN ONE OF THE BINDERS SORT OF CLUTTERING YOUR SPACE AT THE MOMENT. BUT IF WE COULD PUT UP JOINT EXHIBIT 166? SECRETARY KLIEBERT, I WILL DIRECT YOU TO THE SCREEN IN FRONT OF YOU. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS LANGUAGE?

A YES.

Q AND DO YOU SEE THERE WHERE IT STARTS, FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION ABOUT -- IN SUBSECTION A, ABOUT THREE LINES DOWN?

A YES.

Q IF YOU'LL FOLLOW ALONG WITH ME. "FOR THE PURPOSES
OF THIS SECTION, ACTIVE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES MEANS THAT THE
PHYSICIAN IS A MEMBER IN GOOD STANDING OF THE MEDICAL STAFF OF
A HOSPITAL THAT IS CURRENTLY LICENSED BY THE DEPARTMENT WITH
THE ABILITY TO ADMIT A PATIENT AND TO PROVIDE A DIAGNOSTIC AND
SURGICAL" -- EXCUSE ME, "TO PROVIDE DIAGNOSTIC AND SURGICAL
SERVICES TO SUCH PATIENT CONSISTENT WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF
PARAGRAPH A-1 OF THIS SUBSECTION." IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 198 of 198

1	OF WHAT THE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES REQUIREMENT OF ACT 620
2	REQUIRES OF ABORTION CLINICS AND ABORTION PROVIDERS?
3	A YES.
4	Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, IT'S YOUR CONSIDERED JUDGMENT
5	THAT DHH HAS THE PRIMARY AUTHORITY FOR ENFORCING HB 388; ISN'T
6	THAT RIGHT?
7	A YES, FOR THE MAJORITY OF THE STATUTE, YES.
8	Q BUT DHH IS NOT THE SOLE AUTHORITY ENFORCING HB 388;
9	ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
10	A I'M NOT SURE.
11	Q IF WE COULD TAKE A LOOK AT JOINT EXHIBIT 108.
12	ACTUALLY, IF YOU COULD TAKE A LOOK AT THE FIRST PAGE OF THAT
13	DOCUMENT. WE CAN SCROLL THROUGH IT. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS
14	DOCUMENT?
15	A YES.
16	Q THIS IS A DECLARATION THAT YOU SUBMITTED IN THIS
17	CASE IN AUGUST OF 2014. IF I DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO
18	PARAGRAPH 3, ABOUT FIVE LINES DOWN. DOES IT SAY THERE, "IT IS
19	MY CONSIDERED JUDGMENT THAT THE DEPARTMENT HAS THE PRIMARY
20	AUTHORITY FOR ENFORCING THE ACT"?
21	A YES.
22	Q "INCLUDING THE AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE FINES UNDER THE
23	ACT;" IS THAT WHAT THAT SAYS?
24	A YES.
25	Q BUT IN THIS DECLARATION YOU DID NOT AT ANY POINT

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 199 of 199

1	STATE THAT DHH IS THE ONLY PART OF THE LOUISIANA STATE	
2	GOVERNME	NT THAT IS AUTHORIZED TO ENFORCE ACT 620; IS THAT
3	RIGHT?	
4	A	THAT'S CORRECT.
5	Q	YOU'VE MET WITH YOUR STAFF TO DISCUSS ACT 620; ISN'T
6	THAT RIG	нт?
7	А	YES.
8	Q	AND YOU'VE MET WITH PEOPLE LIKE CECILE CASTELLO; IS
9	THAT RIG	нт?
10	A	THAT'S CORRECT.
11	Q	AND DORA KANE; IS THAT RIGHT?
12	А	YES.
13	Q	AND THEY'RE BOTH IN THE HEALTH STANDARDS SECTION?
14	А	THAT'S CORRECT.
15	Q	YOU'VE ALSO MET WITH STAKEHOLDERS; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
16	А	YES.
17	Q	DO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT I MEAN BY STAKEHOLDERS?
18	А	YEAH. I CONSIDER STAKE AGAIN, I'LL GIVE YOU MY
19	DEFINITI	ON OF STAKEHOLDERS. THEY ARE INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE
20	VESTED I	N A PARTICULAR POLICY PROGRAM OF THE DEPARTMENT, IS
21	WHAT I C	ONSIDER STAKEHOLDERS OF THE DEPARTMENT.
22	Q	AND THE STAKEHOLDERS THAT YOU MET WITH ABOUT H
23	EXCUSE M	E. I APOLOGIZE. IF I SAY HB 388, BECAUSE WE'VE
24	REFERRED	TO IT BOTH WAYS, IF I SAY HB 388, I MEAN ACT 620 AND
25	VICE VER	SA. THE STAKEHOLDERS THAT YOU'VE MET WITH ABOUT ACT

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 200 of 200

1	620 INCLUDE DORINDA BORDLEE; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
2	A THAT'S CORRECT.
3	Q YOU'RE AWARE THAT MS. BORDLEE IN AFFILIATED WITH AN
4	ABORTION OPPOSITION GROUP NAMED, "THE BIOETHICS DEFENSE LINE;"
5	IS THAT RIGHT?
6	A YES.
7	Q MS. BORDLEE HAS NEVER BEEN AN EMPLOYEE OF DHH; IS
8	THAT RIGHT?
9	A NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF.
10	Q AND SHE'S NEVER BEEN A CONSULTANT WITH DHH; ISN'T
11	THAT RIGHT?
12	A NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF.
13	Q BUT YOU WORKED WITH MS. BORDLEE ON MATTERS RELATING
14	TO ACT 620; IS THAT RIGHT?
15	A ALONG WITH OTHER STAKEHOLDERS, YES.
16	Q AND YOU'RE AWARE THAT MS. BORDLEE ACTUALLY DRAFTED
17	ACT 620, AREN'T YOU?
18	A MY UNDERSTANDING IS, AGAIN, THE DRAFTING OF THE LAW
19	IS TYPICALLY DONE BY THE LEGISLATIVE STAFF WITH THE ASSISTANCE
20	OF OUTSIDE PARTIES, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING MS. BORDLEE WAS
21	INVOLVED IN THAT, YES.
22	Q WELL, YOU'RE AWARE THAT SHE'S TAKING CREDIT FOR
23	DRAFTING ACT 620 OF WEBSITES AFFILIATED WITH HER ORGANIZATION?
24	A I WAS NOT AWARE OF THAT.
25	Q ARE YOU AWARE THAT MEMBERS OF YOUR STAFF ROUTINELY

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 201 of 201

1	PROVIDE D	HH DOCUMENTS RELATED TO LOUISIANA ABORTION CLINICS TO
2	MS. BORDL	EE?
3	Α	I'M AWARE THAT MY STAFF PROVIDES DOCUMENTS TO ANY
4	PARTIES T	HAT ASK FOR ANY PUBLICLY AVAILABLE DOCUMENTS AND SHE
5	IS A PART	Y THAT HAS RECEIVED SOME OF THOSE DOCUMENTS, YES.
6	Q	AND YOU'RE AWARE THAT YOUR STAFF HAS PROVIDED TO HER
7	COPIES OF	DHH DEFICIENCY REPORTS AND OTHER INSPECTION
8	DOCUMENTS	OF LOUISIANA ABORTION CLINICS; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
9	Α	YES, AS WE DO TO OTHER PARTIES AS WELL.
0.	Q	BENJAMIN CLAPPER IS ANOTHER STAKEHOLDER THAT YOU'VE
1	WORK WITH	; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
.2	Α	YES.
13	Q	AND YOU'VE MET WITH HIM PROBABLY THREE OR FOUR TIMES
L4	IN THE LA	ST YEAR AND A HALF; IS THAT RIGHT?
.5	A	AT THE MOST, YES.
16	Q	MR. CLAPPER HAS NEVER BEEN AN EMPLOYEE OF DHH; IS
17	THAT CORR	ECT?
18	A	NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF.
19	Q	AND HE'S NEVER BEEN A CONSULTANT WITH DHH?
20	A	NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF.
21	Q	YOU ARE AWARE THAT MR. CLAPPER IS AFFILIATED WITH
22	ANOTHER A	NTI-ABORTION GROUP, THE LOUISIANA RIGHT TO LIFE; IS
23	THAT RIGH	T?
24	Α	YES.
25	Q	AND YOU MET WITH MS. BORDLEE AND MR. CLAPPER AS

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 202 of 208

1	RECENTLY AS FEBRUARY OF LAST YEAR; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
2	A THAT'S CORRECT.
3	Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, YOU DON'T EVER RECALL DISCUSSING
4	EGREGIOUS ISSUES RELATED TO ABORTION FACILITIES IN THE CONTEXT
5	OF DHH MAKING SOME SORT OF A REFERRAL TO ANOTHER AGENCY FOR
6	ACTION; DO YOU?
7	A I RECALL SOME LETTERS THAT WERE SENT TO US, IN THAT
8	WE DID MAKE SOME REFERRALS TO OTHER ENTITIES. BUT, AGAIN,
9	THAT'S REALLY DONE AT THE LEVEL OF MY STAFF, NOT THAT I WOULD
10	BE DIRECTLY INVOLVED IN THOSE REFERRALS.
11	Q AND YOU DON'T REMEMBER ANY SORT OF EGREGIOUS
12	ACTIVITY AT THE CENTER OF THOSE REFERRALS; IS THAT CORRECT?
13	A AGAIN, THAT WOULD BE MY STAFF WOULD KNOW THAT
14	INFORMATION AND WOULD MAKE THOSE REFERRALS. NO, I WOULDN'T BE
15	AWARE OF THOSE.
16	Q I UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT WHAT I'M ASKING YOU,
17	SECRETARY KLIEBERT, IS WHAT YOU RECALL. DO YOU RECALL THAT
18	EVER HAVING HAPPENED?
19	A I RECALL THAT THERE WERE REFERRALS MADE TO OTHER
20	AGENCIES.
21	Q BUT DO YOU YOU DON'T RECALL THE BASIS OF THOSE
22	REFERRALS BEING SOMETHING YOU WOULD CHARACTERIZE AS EGREGIOUS;
23	IS THAT RIGHT?
24	A MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THE BASIS OF THE REFERRALS WERE
25	ALLEGATIONS BY OUTSIDE PARTIES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 203 of 203

1	Q IS THAT A YES OR A NO TO MY ANSWER TO MY
2	QUESTION?
3	A I DON'T RECALL THEM THE SPECIFIC INSTANCE WERE
4	THEY EGREGIOUS OR NOT.
5	Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, YOU'RE NOT AWARE OF WHAT TYPES
6	OF HOSPITAL ADMITTING PRIVILEGES WILL MEET ACT 620'S
7	REQUIREMENTS, ARE YOU?
8	A NO. EVERY HOSPITAL, MY UNDERSTANDING, HAS DIFFERENT
9	ADMITTING PRIVILEGES THAT THEY DECIDE WHAT WOULD MEET THAT
10	ADMITTING PRIVILEGES OR NOT. SO WE DEPEND ON THE HOSPITALS TO
11	TELL US THAT THEY DO OR DO NOT HAVE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES.
12	Q SO YOU'RE NOT WITHDRAW. FOR EXAMPLE, YOU DON'T
13	KNOW IF COURTESY PRIVILEGES WILL MEET THE LAW'S REQUIREMENTS;
14	ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
1.5	A AGAIN, MY UNDERSTANDING IS, IF THE COURTESY
16	PRIVILEGES ALLOW ADMISSIONS, THEN IT WOULD MEET THE
17	REQUIREMENT OF THE LAW. SO IT DEPENDS AGAIN, I THINK
18	DIFFERENT HOSPITALS DEFINE THOSE COURTESY PRIVILEGES
19	DIFFERENTLY. SO WE WOULD DEPEND ON SOMETHING THAT INDICATED
20	THAT THEY DID HAVE THE ABILITY TO ADMIT A PATIENT.
21	Q SO IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT THE ONLY THING THE
22	PRIVILEGES MUST REQUIRE IS THE ADMISSION OF A PATIENT IN ORDER
23	TO MEET OTHER REQUIREMENTS OF ACT 620; IS THAT
24	A THAT IS WHAT WHEN I LOOK AT AND, AGAIN, IT
0.5	DEDENDS ON THE INCOMMATION THAT'S CIVEN TO US THAT IS THE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 204 of 204

	Sacro dell' di docto dillo il commontato i digitato i ago con di coc
1	INFORMATION INDICATES THAT THEY HAVE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES,
2	THAT WAS THE INTENT TO ASSURE HEATH AND SAFETY, THAT WAS THE
3	INTENT OF THE LAW.
4	Q I UNDERSTAND THAT. WHAT I'M ASKING IS, IS IT
5	YOUR IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY I THINK YOU JUST TESTIFIED
6	THAT IF THE PRIVILEGES ALLOW THE DOCTOR TO ADMIT PATIENTS,
7	THAT'S ENOUGH; IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE TESTIFYING?
8	A YES. I'LL QUALIFY THAT BY SAYING, AS LONG AS THEY
9	ALSO CAN ASSURE THAT THERE ARE OTHER SERVICES AVAILABLE. BUT
10	BASICALLY, YES, ADMITTING PRIVILEGES, ONCE I SEE VERIFICATION
11	THAT THEY HAVE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES, I CONSIDER THAT TO MEET
12	THE INTENT OF THE HEALTH AND SAFETY THAT WAS THAT WAS
13	INTENDED BY THE LAW.
14	Q SO WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY OTHER SERVICES IN YOUR
15	ANSWER?
16	A WELL, AGAIN, I THINK IN THE LAW IT TALKS ABOUT THE
17	ABILITY FOR DIAGNOSTIC AND SURGICAL SERVICES AND AS LONG AS
18	THERE IS THAT ABILITY TO HAVE THOSE SERVICES AT THAT HOSPITAL
19	THAT THE DOCTOR CAN ADMIT TO THEN, YES, I WOULD CONSIDER THAT
20	MEETING THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE LAW.
21	Q SO IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT THE DOCTOR DOES NOT
22	NEED TO BE THE PERSON PROVIDING THOSE DIAGNOSTIC AND SURGICAL
23	SERVICES?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

24

25

Q SO AS LONG AS THE DOCTOR CAN ADMIT A PATIENT TO A

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 205 of 205

1	HOSPITAL WHERE THE PATIENT CAN RECEIVE DIAGNOSTIC AND SURGICAL
2	SERVICES, YOUR VIEW IS THAT THAT DOCTOR HAS MET THE
3	REQUIREMENTS OF HB 388; IS THAT RIGHT?
4	A YES. BECAUSE THE INTENT WAS TO ASSURE CONTINUITY OF
5	CARE AND I BELIEVE THAT THAT ASSURES THAT CONTINUITY OF CARE.
6	Q IF WE COULD JUST GO BACK TO EXCUSE ME, JOINT
7	EXHIBIT 166. WE ARE THIS IS ACT 620. SO IS IT YOUR
8	TESTIMONY THAT THE LANGUAGE, "WITH THE ABILITY TO ADMIT A
9	PATIENT AND TO PROVIDE DIAGNOSTIC AND SURGICAL SERVICES TO
10	SUCH PATIENT," THAT THE ABILITY IS THE HOSPITAL'S NOT THE
11	DOCTOR'S; IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE TESTIFYING TO?
12	A FOR THE DIAGNOSTIC AND SURGICAL, YES, THAT IS
13	CORRECT.
14	Q SO ALL THAT ACT 620 REQUIRES IS THAT A DOCTOR ENSURE
15	THAT THE PATIENT IS ADMITTED TO THE HOSPITAL; IS THAT YOUR
16	TESTIMONY?
17	A WELL, AGAIN, I THINK THE ACT HAS A LOT MORE THAN
18	JUST THAT PARTICULAR PART IN TERMS OF OTHER STIPULATIONS OF
19	THE ACT. BUT IN TERMS OF THE PHYSICIAN, MY UNDERSTANDING IS
20	THAT IF THEY CAN HAVE THE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES AND GET THAT
21	INDIVIDUAL ADMITTED A HOSPITAL WITHIN 30 MILES OF THE ABORTION
22	FACILITY, IT WOULD MEET THE ATTEMPT TO PROTECT THE HEALTH AND
23	SAFETY.
24	O SECRETARY KLIEBERT, YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT TYPES OF

HOSPITAL ADMITTING PRIVILEGES ARE OFFERED BY HOSPITALS; IS

1.	THAT RIGHT?
2	A NO, I CAN ONLY ATTEST TO WHAT IS GIVEN TO ME FROM
3	THOSE HOSPITALS.
4	Q AND YOU AREN'T FAMILIAR WITH THE HOSPITAL ADMITTING
5	PRIVILEGES PROCESS AT ALL, ARE YOU?
6	A OTHER THAN, LIKE I SAID, WHAT IS GIVEN TO ME IN
7	TERMS OF THE INFORMATION THEY SUBMIT TO US.
8	Q AND YOU BELIEVE THAT ACT 620 WILL HELP IN TERMS OF
9	MAKING SURE THAT A PATIENT WILL GET APPROPRIATE TREATMENT IF
10	THERE ARE COMPLICATIONS THAT REQUIRE THE PATIENT TO BE
11	TRANSFERRED TO A HOSPITAL; IS THAT RIGHT?
12	A THAT'S CORRECT.
13	Q BUT YOU'RE NOT AWARE OF ANY CASES WHERE A PERSON WHO
14	HAD AN ABORTION IN LOUISIANA HAD TO BE TRANSFERRED IMMEDIATELY
15	TO A HOSPITAL; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
16	A AGAIN, THOSE COMPLICATIONS WOULD NOT COME UP TO ME
17	IN MY POSITION, SO I WOULD NOT BE AWARE OF THOSE.
18	Q IS THE ONLY WAY YOU'D BECOME AWARE OF A CASE WHERE A
19	PATIENT HAD TO BE TRANSFERRED TO A HOSPITAL IN YOUR OFFICIAL
20	POSITION, THERE'S NO OTHER WAY THAT YOU MIGHT BECOME AWARE OF
21	THAT?
22	A NOT TYPICALLY. AGAIN, WE HAVE THOUSAND WE
23	LICENSE THOUSANDS OF, I MEAN, HOSPITALS AND FACILITIES, HEALTH
24	CARE FACILITIES ACROSS THE STATE. SO WHEN INCIDENTS HAPPEN IN
25	THOSE HOSPITALS WHERE I AM CERTAINLY NOT AWARE OF ALL OF

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 207 of 207

1	THE INCIDENTS THAT HAPPEN TO INDIVIDUAL PATIENTS THROUGHOUT
2	THE STATE.
3	Q BUT YOU'RE NOT AWARE OF ANY OF THESE INCIDENTS AT
4	ALL?
5	A NONE THAT HAVE BEEN REPORTED TO ME.
6	Q AND YOU'RE NOT AWARE OF WHAT REGULATIONS EXISTED
7	REGARDING THE TRANSFER OF EMERGENCY PATIENTS TO THE HOSPITAL
8	BEFORE ACT 620 WAS PASSED; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
9	A THAT'S CORRECT.
.0	Q YOU BELIEVE THAT IF THERE ARE COMPLICATIONS HAVING
1	CLOSE ACCESS TO A HOSPITAL WITH A DOCTOR HAVING ADMITTING
1.2	PRIVILEGES IS A SAFETY MECHANISM; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
13	A THAT IS CORRECT.
L4	Q AND THAT SAFETY MECHANISM WOULD ASSIST IN ENSURING
1.5	THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF THE PATIENT; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
16	A THAT'S CORRECT.
17	Q BUT YOU'RE NOT FAMILIAR WITH ANY SITUATION IN WHICH
18	A TRANSFER AGREEMENT WASN'T SUFFICIENT TO MEET THAT GOAL, ARE
19	YOU?
20	A NO.
21	Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, WHEN I SAY, "TRANSFER
22	AGREEMENT," DO YOU KNOW WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT?
23	A I ASSUME YOU MEAN AN AGREEMENT FROM ONE HOSPITAL TO
24	TAKE A TRANSFER OF A PATIENT FROM A DOCTOR THAT DOESN'T HAVE
25	ADMITTING PRIVILEGES TO THAT HOSPITAL.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 208 of 208

1	Q	AND YOU AGREE THAT THERE ARE MECHANISMS OTHER THAN
2	ADMITTING	PRIVILEGES THAT CAN ACCOMPLISH THE GOAL OF A PATIENT
3	BEING ADM:	ITTED TO A HOSPITAL; IS THAT RIGHT?
4	А	NO. I BELIEVE THAT ADMITTING PRIVILEGES IS AN
5	IMPORTANT	PART OF BEING ABLE TO ASSURE THAT CONTINUITY OF
6	CARE. SO	I THINK IT'S PART OF A GROUP OF THINGS THAT CAN
7	ENSURE HEA	ALTH AND SAFETY, BUT I WOULD NOT SAY THAT YOU CAN
8	ACCOMPLISE	H THE SAME THING WITH OTHER MECHANISMS.
9	Q	I ASKED A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT QUESTION.
10	А	OKAY.
1	Q	MY QUESTION WAS THAT YOU AGREE THAT THERE ARE
.2	MECHANISMS	S OTHER THAN ADMITTING PRIVILEGES THAT WILL ENSURE
13	THAT A PA	TIENT IS ADMITTED TO A HOSPITAL IN ORDER TO GET CARE;
4	IS THAT R	IGHT?
.5	A	THAT I'M NOT SURE OF. NO, I'M NOT.
.6	Q	DO YOU REMEMBER TAKING YOUR DEPOSITION IN THIS CASE?
L7	А	YES.
18	Q	AND DO YOU REMEMBER THAT TESTIMONY BEING SWORN?
19	Α	YES.
20	Q	AND DO YOU REMEMBER THE COURT REPORTER WRITING DOWN
21	EVERYTHING	G TAKING DOWN EVERYTHING THAT YOU SAID?
22	A	YES.
23	Q	AND ARE YOU AWARE THAT A TRANSCRIPT OF THAT
24	TESTIMONY	WAS CREATED?
25	A	YES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 209 of 309

	Q	I'D L	KE TO	SHOW	YOU PA	GE 133,	LINES	13 TO	19, OF	-
YOUR	DEPO	SITION	TESTI	MONY.	AND I	F YOU'L	L JUST	FOLLO	W ALONG	1
WITH	ME.	AT LIN	NE 3	I APOL	OGIZE,	THAT'S	WRONG.	IT	SHOULD	ВЕ
20 T	0 25	20 7	O A L	ITTLE	BIT OV	ER ON TI	HE NEXT	PAGE	•	

"ARE THERE OTHER WAYS -- ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY OTHER WAYS TO ACCOMPLISH SAFE EMERGENCY TRANSFER OF PATIENTS FROM AN ABORTION CLINIC TO A HOSPITAL OTHER THAN ADMITTING PRIVILEGES? AGAIN, I THINK HAVING ADMITTING PRIVILEGES IS THE BEST WAY TO ASSURE THAT. AGAIN, I AM AWARE OF OTHERS WAYS TO MAKE SURE THAT PERSON CAN HAVE ACCESS. I THINK THERE ARE POSSIBLE OTHER WAYS. I'M NOT SAYING THERE ARE NOT, BUT, AGAIN, THE LEGISLATURE FELT, YOU KNOW, WE FEEL IN OUR REGULATIONS THIS IS THE BEST WAY TO ASSURE IT." DO YOU REMEMBER TESTIFYING THAT YOU'RE AWARE OF OTHER WAYS THAT PATIENTS CAN BE TRANSFERRED TO THE HOSPITAL WITHOUT ADMITTING PRIVILEGES?

A I THINK I SAID I THINK THERE ARE POSSIBLE OTHER
WAYS, BUT I DON'T THINK I WAS CONFIDENT THERE WERE OTHER WAYS
TO ASSURE THAT SAME LEVEL OF SAFETY, AND I THINK THAT'S WHAT I
SAID IN MY ORIGINAL TESTIMONY.

Q RIGHT. IN YOUR TESTIMONY YOU SAID THAT YOU'RE SURE THERE ARE OTHER WAYS; IS THAT RIGHT?

A NO. IN MY TESTIMONY I SAID, I THINK. I THINK THERE ARE POSSIBLE OTHER WAYS. I DID NOT SAY I WAS SURE OF THAT. I DON'T SEE IN MY TESTIMONY WHERE I DID SAY THAT. I CERTAINLY DON'T SEE ANYTHING IN THERE THAT SAYS THAT.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 210 of 209

1	Q BUT YOU THINK THERE ARE OTHER WAYS?
2	A I SAID I THINK THERE ARE POSSIBLE OTHER WAYS TO
3	ASSURE THAT THE TRANSFER, BUT I WASN'T SURE OF THOSE OTHER
4	WAYS, WHICH IS WHY THE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES WERE WHAT THE
5	LEGISLATURE DECIDED WAS THE APPROPRIATE VEHICLE TO ASSURE THAT
6	HEALTH AND SAFETY.
7	Q DIDN'T YOU JUST DESCRIBE ANOTHER VEHICLE FOR US WHEN
8	I ASKED YOU WHAT A TRANSFER AGREEMENT WAS?
9	A WELL, AGAIN, I'M NOT SURE AS I TESTIFIED EARLIER,
10	THAT TRANSFER AGREEMENTS I DON'T KNOW ALL OF THE
11	STIPULATIONS OF TRANSFER AGREEMENTS. MY UNDERSTANDING OF A
12	TRANSFER AGREEMENT IS WHAT I SAID I THOUGHT IT WAS. I'M NOT
13	SURE THAT THOSE TRANSFER AGREEMENTS I DON'T KNOW IF THOSE
14	TRANSFER AGREEMENTS, HOW EFFECTIVE THEY WERE, HOW ENFORCED
15	THEY WERE. I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE TRANSFER
16	AGREEMENTS THAT WERE IN EFFECT PRIOR TO THIS ACT.
17	Q SO YOU DON'T KNOW HOW A TRANSFER AGREEMENT WORKS; IS
18	THAT RIGHT?
19	A OTHER THAN WHAT I TOLD YOU WAS MY GENERAL
20	UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT A TRANSFER AGREEMENT IS. I DON'T KNOW
21	HOW THEY WERE PUT IN PLACE, HOW THEY WERE IMPLEMENTED PRIOR TO
22	THIS ACT.
23	Q AND THAT INCLUDES YOU NOT KNOWING IF THEY'RE
24	EFFECTIVE; IS THAT CORRECT?
25	A THAT'S CORRECT.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 211 of 2015

1	Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, ARE YOU AWARE THAT THE AMERICAN
2	CONGRESS OF OBSTETRICIANS AND GYNECOLOGISTS AND THE AMERICAN
3	MEDICAL SOCIETY, BOTH OPPOSE STATE LEGISLATION THAT REQUIRES
4	HOSPITAL ADMITTING PRIVILEGES FOR ABORTION PROVIDERS?
5	A ACTUALLY, NO, I'M NOT AWARE OF THAT.
6	Q I'D LIKE TO CHANGE TOPICS FOR A MINUTE. DO YOU
7	RECALL GIVING TESTIMONY BEFORE THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH
8	AND WELFARE IN SUPPORT OF ACT 620?
9	A WE GIVE TESTIMONY FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES AND I
10	GAVE TESTIMONY THAT PROVIDED INFORMATION ABOUT THE ACT AND
11	WHAT THE DEPARTMENT BELIEVED ITS AFFECT WOULD BE ON OUR
12	REGULATIONS AND ON ACCESS FOR INDIVIDUALS.
13	Q DID YOU GIVE SIMILAR TESTIMONY BEFORE THE SENATE?
14	A I DID.
15	Q DORINDA BORDLEE ARRANGED FOR YOU TO GIVE THAT
16	TESTIMONY, DIDN'T SHE?
17	A MY TESTIMONY FOR ANY OF THE LEGISLATION THAT THE
18	DEPARTMENT IS INVOLVED IN IS ARRANGED THROUGH OUR LEGISLATIVE
19	DEPARTMENT. THEY GET WITH EITHER THE AUTHOR THE AUTHOR OR
20	HIS STAFF TYPICALLY ARE THE ONES THAT MAKE THE REQUEST. THEY
21	MAY ALSO THEN INCLUDE OUTSIDE PARTIES, OTHER STAKEHOLDERS, IN
22	DISCUSSING THAT TESTIMONY. BUT THE TESTIMONY THE REQUEST
23	FOR THE TESTIMONY FROM ME COMES TYPICALLY FROM A LEGISLATIVE
24	STAFF. SOMETIMES IT DOES COME FROM OUTSIDE PARTIES AS WELL.
25	O IF WE COULD PUT UP ON THE SCREEN JOINT EXHIBIT 17.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 212 of 208

1	IS THIS AN E-MAIL TO YOU AND OTHER PEOPLE?
2	A I THINK ACTUALLY I DID NOT RECEIVE THAT E-MAIL
3	BECAUSE IT WAS SENT TO MY WRONG ADDRESS.
4	Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, IS YOUR E-MAIL ADDRESS LISTED IN
5	THIS DOCUMENT?
6	A NO. THE NAME IS SPELLED WRONG ON IT. IT'S K-L-E-I
7	ON THE DOCUMENT AND I DON'T BELIEVE I RECEIVED THAT E-MAIL,
8	BUT I'M NOT DENYING THAT THAT E-MAIL YOU KNOW, IT MAY HAVE
9	WORKED ITS WAY THROUGH, BUT I DON'T RECALL GETTING THAT E-MAIL
10	DIRECTLY.
11	Q THIS IS AN E-MAIL FROM MS. BORDLEE TO AT LEAST
12	ATTEMPTED TO YOU, DR. ROBERT MARIER, DR. DAMON CUDIHY AND
13	CINDY COLLINS; IS THAT RIGHT?
14	A THAT'S CORRECT.
1.5	Q DO YOU KNOW WHO CINDY COLLINS IS?
16	A YES.
17	Q WHO IS CINDY COLLINS?
18	A I KNOW HER BECAUSE SHE WORKS SHE'S PART OF
19	ANOTHER COMMITTEE THAT I'VE BEEN LEGISLATIVE MANDATED TO BE A
20	PART OF, A HUMAN TRAFFICKING COMMITTEE, SO I KNOW THAT SHE
21	WORKS IN COUNSELING AND THAT'S ABOUT ALL I KNOW ABOUT HER.
22	SHE DOES COUNSELING. MY UNDERSTANDING IS SHE WORKS FOR AN
23	AGENCY THAT PROVIDES COUNSELING AND HAS PRO-LIFE STANCE.
24	Q ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH DR. ROBERT MARIER?
25	A I HAVE MET HIM BEFORE AND I'M FAMILIAR SOMEWHAT

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 213 of 208

1	FAMILIAR N	WITH HIM, NOT CLOSELY FAMILIAR WITH HIM.
2	Q	ARE YOU AWARE THAT HE'S BEEN IDENTIFIED AS AN EXPERT
3	IN THIS CA	ASE?
4	A	YES.
5	Q	ARE YOU AWARE THAT HE TESTIFIED IN FRONT OF THE
6	LEGISLATU	RE ON ACT 620 AS WELL?
7	A	YES.
8	Q	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH DR. DAMON CUDIHY?
9	A	YEAH. I MET HIM, I BELIEVE, AT THE LEGISLATURE,
.0	THAT'S TH	E FIRST TIME I HAD MET HIM.
1	Q	ARE YOU AWARE THAT HE IS AN EXPERT IN THIS CASE?
.2	A	YES.
13	Q	AND ARE YOU AWARE THAT HE GAVE TESTIMONY AT THE
L4	LEGISLATU	RE AS WELL ON ACT 620?
L5	A	YES.
6	Q	IN THIS E-MAIL IS MS. BORDLEE PROVIDING INFORMATION
L7	ABOUT THE	DATE OF THE HEARING BEFORE THE SENATE?
18	A	YES.
19	Q	AND IF YOU'LL FOLLOW ALONG THE LAST THREE LINES,
20	DOES THIS	E-MAIL SAY, "I WANTED TO GET THE WEDNESDAY,
21	APRIL 30TI	H DATE FOR SCHEDULING PURPOSES FOR THOSE WHO MAY
22	TESTIFY;"	IS THAT WHAT THAT SAYS?
23	A	YES.
24	Q	SO MS. BORDLEE IS PROVIDING INFORMATION FOR PEOPLE
25	WHO ARE GO	DING TO TESTIFY; IS THAT RIGHT?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 214 of 365

1	A I ASSUME SHE'S PROVIDING INFORMATION FOR INDIVIDUALS
2	WHO MAY BE WHO MAY BE ASKED TO TESTIFY. I DON'T KNOW
3	AGAIN, I DON'T RECALL THAT PARTICULAR E-MAIL, NOR THE INTENT,
4	BUT I WOULD ASSUME THAT IS WHAT SHE'S TRYING TO DO, IS GET
5	INFORMATION ABOUT INDIVIDUALS WHO MIGHT BE TESTIFYING.
6	Q WE CAN PUT THAT DOCUMENT ASIDE, CAN WE TAKE A LOOK
7	AT JOINT EXHIBIT 6. IF YOU COULD TAKE A LOOK AT THE SECOND
8	E-MAIL FROM THE TOP, SO THE ONE DATED MAY 6TH OF 2014.
9	A YES.
10	Q WHO IS KIMBERLY HUMBLES?
11	A SHE IS MY GENERAL COUNSEL FOR THE AGENCY.
12	Q AND WHO IS STEVEN RUSSO?
13	A HE'S THE EXECUTIVE COUNSEL FOR THE AGENCY.
14	Q DOES MS. HUMBLES WRITE TO MR. RUSSO, "JUST LET ME
15	KNOW WHEN YOU GET BACK AND WE WILL CALL DORINDA, SEE WHAT HER
16	QUESTIONS ARE. KATHY JUST E-MAILED ME AND SHE WILL BE
17	AVAILABLE TO TESTIFY TOMORROW." IS THAT WHAT THAT SAYS?
18	A YES.
19	Q DID MS. HUMBLES AND MR. RUSSO HELP PREPARE YOU FOR
20	YOUR TESTIMONY?
21	A NOT THAT I RECALL. AGAIN, FROM MY TESTIMONY, IT IS
22	USUALLY PREPARED BY OUR COMMUNICATIONS' DEPARTMENT, WITH THE
23	LEGISLATIVE BUREAU. THEY USUALLY GET WITH THE STAFF THAT ARE
24	THE AUTHOR OF THE BILL AND, YOU KNOW, DISCUSS WHAT POINTS THEY

WOULD LIKE COVERED BASED, AGAIN, ON ITS AFFECT ON OUR

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 215 of 205

1	DEPARTMENT. AND THEN I WILL, ONCE I GET THAT FROM THEM, I'LL
2	REVIEW IT FOR, YOU KNOW, FOR TONE IN TERMS OF I WANT TO
3	MAKE SURE IT'S MY TONE WHEN I TESTIFY AS WELL AS THE ACCURACY
4	OF THE STATEMENTS TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.
5	Q DID MR. RUSSO AND MS. HUMBLES KNOW YOU WERE
6	TESTIFYING
7	A YES.
8	Q ON ACT 620?
9	A I WOULD ASSUME, YES. BASED ON THAT, YES, THEY WOULD
.0	KNOW. THEY WOULD KNOW WHEN I'M TESTIFYING BEFORE ANY
1	LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ANYHOW.
.2	Q AND YOU'RE AWARE THAT MR. RUSSO AND MS. HUMBLES
L3	ROUTINELY SPEAK WITH MEMBERS OF RIGHT TO LIFE GROUPS IN
L4	LOUISIANA?
.5	A AGAIN, YOU WOULD HAVE TO TALK WITH THEM ABOUT HOW
16	ROUTINELY THEY SPEAK WITH THEM. BUT, YES, AGAIN, WE SPEAK
L7	WITH LOTS OF STAKEHOLDERS THROUGHOUT YOU KNOW, ON A DAILY
18	BASIS, SO I'M QUITE SURE THEY HAVE CONVERSATIONS WITH THOSE
.9	INDIVIDUALS AS WELL.
20	Q AND IF WE COULD ALSO JUST ONE MORE ON THIS TOPIC.
21	JOINT EXHIBIT 8. THIS IS AN E-MAIL DATED MARCH 26 OF 2014
22	FROM MS. BORDLEE TO YOU; IS THAT RIGHT?
23	A THAT'S CORRECT.
24	Q IS THAT YOUR E-MAIL ADDRESS IN THE DOCUMENT?

YES, THAT'S CORRECT.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 216 of 209

1	Q AND IF I'LL JUST DIRECT YOU TO THE FIRST SENTENCE		
2	UNDER, DEAR SECRETARY KLIEBERT. "THANK YOU AGAIN FOR YOUR		
3	OUTSTANDING TESTIMONY ON HB 388, BY KATRINA JACKSON." IS THAT		
4	WHAT THAT SAYS?		
5	A YES.		
6	Q SO MS. BORDLEE IS E-MAILING YOU AND THANKING YOU FOR		
7	YOUR TESTIMONY; IS THAT RIGHT?		
8	A THAT'S CORRECT.		
9	Q I APOLOGIZE, I ACTUALLY HAVE ONE MORE. IF WE COULD		
.0	TAKE A LOOK AT JOINT EXHIBIT 9. IF YOU COULD LOOK AT THE		
1	SECOND E-MAIL.		
.2	A YES.		
13	Q AGAIN, THIS IS AN E-MAIL FROM DORINDA BORDLEE TO YOU		
4	ON AUGUST 8TH, 2014. MS. KLIEBERT, WHEN WAS THIS LAWSUIT		
L5	FILED?		
16	A I'M NOT SURE.		
17	Q WAS THE LAWSUIT FILED IN THE SUMMER OF LAST YEAR?		
18	A I HONESTLY DO NOT KNOW THE DATE THAT THE LAWSUIT WAS		
.9	FILED.		
20	Q DOES THAT SOUND ABOUT RIGHT?		
21	A THE SUMMER OR FALL LAST YEAR IS PROBABLY WHAT I		
22	WOULD THINK, YES.		
23	Q AND DO YOU SEE HERE MS. BORDLEE AGAIN WRITES TO YOU		
24	AND TO DR. MARIER AND DR. CUDIHY AND MS. COLLINS? AND IF		
25	YOU'LL FOLLOW ALONG WITH ME. "IN ANTICIPATION OF A COURT		

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 217 of 267

1	CHALLENGE TO LOUISIANA'S ADMITTING PRIVILEGES LAW, WE WOULD
2	LIKE TO ASSIST BY HELPING TO PREPARE THE FIRST DRAFT OF
3	DECLARATIONS THAT CAN BE READY TO FILED WITH THE COURT. THESE
4	DECLARATIONS WOULD BASICALLY ATTEST TO THE INFORMATION THAT
5	EACH OF YOU TESTIFIED TO IN THE HOUSE AND SENATE COMMITTEE,
6	IT WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL IF YOU COULD PLEASE FORWARD YOUR
7	TESTIMONY TO ME IF YOU HAVE IT SAVED ON YOUR COMPUTERS." IS
8	THAT WHAT THAT SAYS?
9	A YES. BUT, AGAIN, I'LL SAY THIS WAS ALSO NOT SENT TO
10	MY CORRECT E-MAIL. I DO NOT RECALL GETTING THAT. I'M NOT
11	SAYING I DIDN'T, BUT IT WAS NOT SENT TO MY CORRECT E-MAIL.
12	Q OKAY. DID YOU SUBMIT A DECLARATION IN THIS LAWSUIT
13	LAST AUGUST?
14	A YES, I ASSUME IT WAS AUGUST. AGAIN, I DON'T
15	REMEMBER THE DATES. BUT I KNOW I SENT IT
16	Q WHEN THE LAWSUIT WAS FILED?
17	A YES.
18	Q OKAY. THANK YOU. SO LET'S TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT
19	THE TESTIMONY THAT YOU GAVE BEFORE THE HOUSE COMMITTEE. DID
20	YOU STATE YOU STATED IN YOUR TESTIMONY THAT ACT 620 WOULD
21	HELP STRENGTHEN THE DEPARTMENT'S ABILITY TO HELP PROTECT
22	MOTHERS AND UNBORN CHILDREN; IS THAT RIGHT?
23	A THAT'S CORRECT.
24	Q SO ONE OF THE GOALS OF PASSING ACT 620

THE COURT: HOLD ON ONE SECOND.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 218 of 268

1	MR. JOHNSON: IT MAY BE HELPFUL FOR ALL OF US IF SHE
2	HAS A TRANSCRIPT OF HER TESTIMONY. WE HAVE THAT AS AN EXHIBIT
3	IN THE CASE IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE IT AND THEN WE CAN
4	ALL LOOK AT IT AND SEE EXACTLY WHAT SHE SAID.
5	MS. DOUFEKIAS: WE'LL GET THERE IN A MINUTE.
6	THE COURT: WELL, HANG ON. I DO THINK IT'S A FAIR
7	POINT. IF YOU'RE GOING TO QUESTION ABOUT THE SPECIFIC
8	TESTIMONY, I THINK IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR HER TO HAVE
9	THAT AVAILABLE.
10	MR. JOHNSON: IT'S DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 119, YOUR
11	HONOR.
1.2	THE COURT: IS THAT IN EVIDENCE?
13	MR. JOHNSON: IT HAS NOT BEEN ENTERED YET.
14	MS. DOUFEKIAS: THAT'S NOT, BUT JOINT EXHIBIT 40 HAS
1.5	BEEN AND THAT'S HER TESTIMONY.
16	THE COURT: OKAY. SO JOINT EXHIBIT 40 DOES
17	CONTAIN
18	SO IF THEY ASK YOU, SECRETARY KLIEBERT, ABOUT
19	SOMETHING THAT YOU NEED TO REFER TO, YOUR ACTUAL TESTIMONY
20	IT'S AVAILABLE AND I'M ASSUMING SOMEBODY WILL MAKE THAT
21	AVAILABLE TO THE SECRETARY TO ASSIST HER IF NECESSARY.
22	ANYTHING ELSE, MR. JOHNSON?
23	MR. JOHNSON: WELL, I WOULD JUST SUGGEST TO THE
24	COURT THAT DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 119 IS THE ENTIRETY OF THE
25	TESTIMONY THAT WAS PRESENTED IN THAT COMMITTEE AND IF WE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 219 of 209

1	WANTED THE FULL CONTEXT IT WOULD PROBABLY BE HELPFUL FOR
2	EVERYONE TO HAVE THAT ALTOGETHER.
3	THE COURT: WELL, SHE HASN'T ASKED REALLY A QUESTION
4	YET.
5	MR. JOHNSON: OKAY. FAIR ENOUGH.
6	THE COURT: SO WE'RE GOING TO TAKE IT QUESTION BY
7	QUESTION.
8	MR. JOHNSON: FAIR ENOUGH.
9	MS. DOUFEKIAS: AND I DON'T ACTUALLY PLAN ON ASKING
LO	HER ABOUT ANYBODY ELSE'S TESTIMONY, I'M JUST GOING TO ASK HER
11,	ABOUT HER'S.
12	THE COURT: OKAY.
13	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
14	Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, IS THIS A COPY OF THE TESTIMONY
15	THAT YOU GAVE ON MARCH 19TH, 2014 IN FRONT OF THE HOUSE
16	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH AND WELFARE?
17	A YEAH. I BELIEVE IT IS, YES.
18	Q AND DO YOU SEE ABOUT THREE LINES I'M SORRY, THE
19	SECOND LINE, IT SAYS THE WHOLE SENTENCE STARTS ON THE FIRST
20	LINE. I APOLOGIZE. "THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO JOIN YOU
21	TODAY TO PROVIDE YOU WITH SOME INFORMATION ABOUT HOUSE BILL
22	388 AND HOW IT WOULD HELP STRENGTHEN THE DEPARTMENT'S ABILITY
23	TO PROTECT MOTHERS AND UNBORN CHILDREN." DO YOU SEE THAT?
24	A YES.
25	O AND THE NEXT SENTENCE SAYS. "OUR STATE HAS A STRONG

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 220 of 209

1	RECORD OF ENACTING STRONG LAWS TO REGULATE ABORTION AND		
2	PROTECT THE LIVES OF MOTHERS AND UNBORN CHILDREN." IS THAT		
3	RIGHT?		
4	А	YES.	
5	Q	SO ONE OF THE GOALS OF HB 388, ACCORDING TO YOUR	
6	TESTIMONY	, IS TO PROTECT UNBORN CHILDREN; IS THAT RIGHT?	
7	A	TO PROTECT BOTH MOTHERS AND UNBORN CHILDREN, YES.	
8	Q	IF YOU CAN LOOK DOWN TO THE FOURTH PARAGRAPH, DO YOU	
9	SEE THERE	WHERE YOU REFER TO THE WORK OF DR. JOHN THORP,	
.0	PROFESSOR	OF OBSTETRICS, OB/GYN AT THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH	
1	CAROLINA,	CHAPEL HILL?	
.2	А	YES.	
13	Q	SCHOOL OF MEDICINE?	
L4	А	YES.	
L5	Q	AND YOU TALK ABOUT FOUR KEY BENEFITS TO ENSURING	
16	ADMITTING	PRIVILEGES THAT DR. THORP HAS IDENTIFIED IN HIS	
L7	WORK; IS	THAT CORRECT?	
18	А	THAT'S CORRECT.	
19	Q	ARE YOU AWARE THAT DR. THORP WAS IDENTIFIED AS AN	
20	EXPERT IN	THIS CASE?	
21	А	I AM NOW, YES.	
22	Q	DR. THORP IS AN OB/GYN WHO PRACTICES IN NORTH	
23	CAROLINA;	ISN'T THAT RIGHT?	
24	А	THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING.	
25	Q	HE DOESN'T PRACTICE IN LOUISIANA; CORRECT?	

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 221 of 2015

1	A THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING.
2	Q AND YOU IN YOUR TESTIMONY, YOU TRUSTED DR. THORP'S
3	OPINION WHEN YOU CITED IT IN YOUR TESTIMONY; IS THAT RIGHT?
4	A THAT'S CORRECT.
5	Q ARE YOU AWARE THAT A FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
6	CONSIDERING AN ADMITTING PRIVILEGES REQUIREMENT SIMILAR TO THE
7	ONE SIMILAR TO ACT 620 FOUND THAT DR. THORP'S TESTIMONY
8	ABOUT WHAT IS NECESSARY TO CARE FOR COMPLICATIONS OF ABORTION
9	WAS NOT CREDIBLE?
0.	A I'M NOT AWARE OF THAT.
1	Q ARE YOU AWARE THAT DR. THORP TESTIFIED IN A
1.2	DEPOSITION IN THIS CASE THAT HE HAS NO KNOWLEDGE OF PHYSICIAN
13	CREDENTIALING IN THE STATE OF LOUISIANA?
L4	A AGAIN, I'M NOT AWARE OF THAT.
L5	Q THERE WERE ALSO TALKING POINTS THERE WERE TALKING
16	POINTS PREPARED FOR YOU BY REPRESENTATIVE KATRINA JACKSON'S
L7	OFFICE; IS THAT RIGHT?
18	A AGAIN, TALKING POINTS AND YOU'RE SAYING THEY WERE
19	PREPARED BY KATRINA JACKSON'S OFFICE, IT WAS PROBABLY DONE IN
20	CONJUNCTION WITH MY OFFICE AS WELL AND OTHER ENTITIES. THAT'S
21	TYPICALLY HOW WE DO IT, YES.
22	Q I APPRECIATE THAT SHE MAY NOT HAVE JUST SENT IT ON
23	OVER AND YOU READ IT WITHOUT LOOKING AT IT AND HAVING SOME
24	PEOPLE IN YOUR OFFICE LOOK AT IT. BUT REPRESENTATIVE
25	JACKSON'S OFFICE WAS INVOLVED IN THE TALKING POINTS?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 222 of 206

1	A TYPICALLY, AGAIN, IF A LEGISLATURE WHENEVER A
2	LEGISLATOR A PARTICULAR LEGISLATOR IS PROPOSING A LAW, WE
3	WILL PROVIDE INFORMATION TO THEM, THEY WILL PROVIDE
4	INFORMATION TO US. IT'S A BACK AND FORTH IN TERMS OF WHAT
5	THEY'RE LOOKING FOR IN TERMS OF PRESENTATION AND INFORMATION.
6	AGAIN, WE PROVIDE INFORMATION, THEY ASK US FOR THE TYPE OF
7	INFORMATION THEY WOULD LIKE US TO PRESENT.
8	Q AND JUST TO MAKE CLEAR FOR THE RECORD,
9	REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON SPONSORED ACT 620; IS THAT RIGHT?
10	A THAT'S CORRECT.
11	Q IF WE COULD PUT UP JOINT EXHIBIT 24. AND IF WE
12	COULD IF YOU COULD SCROLL DOWN TO THE THIRD E-MAIL. DO YOU
13	SEE WHERE THE TEXT OF THE E-MAIL SAYS, "PLEASE SEE THE TALKERS
14	FOR KATHY BELOW?"
15	A YES.
16	Q ARE YOU KATHY?
17	A I AM KATHY.
18	Q AND TALKERS IS TALKING POINTS; IS THAT RIGHT?
19	A TALKING POINTS, THAT'S CORRECT.
20	Q IF WE COULD FLIP TO THE NEXT PAGE OF THE DOCUMENT,
21	THE LAST BULLET. THE LAST SOLID BULLET. AGAIN, THERE WE SEE
22	ACCORDING TO DR. THORP. IS THAT THE SAME DR. THORP THAT YOU
23	RELIED ON IN YOUR TESTIMONY?
24	A YES.
25	O AND THEN IF YOU COULD SCROLL DOWN AND THIS IS

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 223 of 203

1.	GOING TO GO ACROSS TWO PAGES. IF WE COULD SCROLL DOWN TO THE
2	BOTTOM OF THE SECOND PAGE. THAT BULLET STARTS AT THE BOTTOM
3	OF THIS PAGE AND GOES TO THE NEXT PAGE. IT READS, "WE ARE
4	FIRMLY COMMITTED TO WORKING WITH REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON AND
5	THE LEGISLATURE TO CONTINUE TO WORK TO PROTECT THE SAFETY AND
6	WELLBEING OF LOUISIANA AND THE MOST VULNERABLE AMONG US,
7	UNBORN CHILDREN." IS THAT RIGHT?
8	A THAT'S CORRECT.
9	Q THE VIEWS IDENTIFIED IN YOUR TESTIMONY, IN YOUR
10	TALKING POINTS, ARE CONSISTENT WITH GOVERNOR JINDAL'S
11	STATEMENTS ON ACT 620; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
12	A AGAIN, YOU'D HAVE TO ASK GOVERNOR JINDAL AND HIS
13	STAFF. BUT, YES, I THINK THAT THEY'RE PRETTY SIMILAR IN TERMS
14	OF THE INTENT OF THE LEGISLATION.
15	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE AN EXHIBIT,
16	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 174, AND PLEASE DON'T PUT THIS UP BECAUSE
17	IT'S NOT IN EVIDENCE YET. I HAVE HARD COPIES AS WELL, IF
18	ANYBODY WOULD LIKE TO LOOK AT THEM. SO IF WE COULD PUT THIS
19	ON THE SCREEN JUST FOR THE LAWYERS AND THE JUDGE AND THE
20	WITNESS THAT WOULD BE GREAT.
21	MR. JOHNSON: I'M SORRY, YOUR HONOR. WHICH EXHIBIT
22	IS IT; WHAT NUMBER?
23	MS. DOUFEKIAS: PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 174. I'VE GOT A
24	HARD CORV TE VOIL WANT TO SEE IT MIKE TE IT'S EASTER

MR. JOHNSON: I MIGHT DO THAT.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 224 of 20\$

1	MS. DOUFEKIAS: SURE.
2	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
3	Q AGAIN, IF YOU COULD TAKE A LOOK AT THE TOP OF THIS
4	DOCUMENT. IS THIS AN E-MAIL THAT YOU RECEIVED?
5	A YES, I WOULD ASSUME I DID, YES. MY E-MAIL IS ON
6	THERE, YES.
7	Q WHO IS CECILE CASTELLO?
8	A CECILE CASTELLO IS OVER OUR LICENSING HEATH
9	STANDARDS DIVISION.
10	Q STEVEN RUSSO WE MENTIONED IS IN THE GENERAL
11	COUNSEL'S OFFICE AT DHH?
12	A THAT'S CORRECT. EXECUTIVE COUNSEL.
13	Q I APOLOGIZE.
14	A THERE'S A SLIGHT DIFFERENCE, THAT'S FINE.
15	Q WHO IS CHRISTINA STEVENS?
16	A SHE WAS PREVIOUSLY OUR COMMUNICATIONS' DIRECTER.
17	Q AND AS COMMUNICATIONS' DIRECTOR WAS IT HER JOB TO
18	FORWARD PRESS RELEASES FROM THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICES?
19	A SHE FORWARDS PRESS RELEASES FROM ALL OVER, YES.
20	Q SO IS RECEIVING A PRESS RELEASE FROM CHRISTINA
21	STEVENS ROUTINE?
22	A PRETTY TYPICAL, YES.
23	Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS AS A PRESS RELEASE THAT WAS
24	SENT FROM GOVERNOR JINDAL'S OFFICE?
25	A CERTAINLY, YES, IT LOOKS LIKE A PRESS RELEASE FROM

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 225 of 205

1	GOVERNOR JINDAL'S OFFICE.		
2	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, I'D LIKE TO MOVE		
3	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 174 INTO EVIDENCE.		
4	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTIONS?		
5	MR. JOHNSON: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.		
6	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, LET IT BE RECEIVED.		
7	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:		
8	Q IF WE COULD TAKE A LOOK AT THE TITLE FIRST OF		
9	ALL, THE DATE OF THIS PRESS RELEASE IS MARCH 7TH, 2014; IS		
.0	THAT RIGHT?		
1	A THAT'S CORRECT.		
.2	Q AND THE TITLE OF THIS PRESS RELEASE IS, GOVERNOR		
13	JINDAL ANNOUNCES LEGISLATION TO FURTHER PROTECT LIFE IN		
L4	LOUISIANA; IS THAT RIGHT?		
.5	A THAT'S CORRECT.		
.6	Q STARTING AT THE TOP AND I APOLOGIZE, AT THE TOP,		
L7	AS MOST PRESS RELEASES DO, IT SAYS, "FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE"?		
8	A YES.		
.9	Q SO BEGINNING AT THE TOP, THE PRESS RELEASE READS,		
20	"TODAY GOVERNOR JINDAL ANNOUNCED LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS AIMED		
21	AT CONTINUING TO PROTECT LIFE IN LOUISIANA. THESE REFORMS		
22	WILL BUILD UPON THE WORK GOVERNOR JINDAL HAS DONE TO MAKE		
23	LOUISIANA THE MOST PRO-LIFE STATE IN THE NATION. GOVERNOR		
24	JINDAL SAID, 'PROMOTING A CULTURE OF LIFE IN LOUISIANA HAS		
25	BEEN AN IMPORTANT PRIORITY OF MINE SINCE TAKING OFFICE AND I		

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 226 of 206

1	AM PROUD TO SUPPORT THESE BILLS THIS LEGISLATIVE SESSION. IN		
2	THIS STATE WE UPHOLD THE CULTURE OF LIFE THAT VALUES HUMAN		
3	BEINGS AS UNIQUE CREATURES WHO WERE MADE BY OUR CREATOR.		
4	THESE BILLS WILL BUILD UPON ALL WE HAVE DONE IN THE PAST SIX		
5	YEARS TO PROTECT" UNBORN "TO PROTECT THE UNBORN," EXCUSE		
6	ME. IS THAT RIGHT?		
7	A THAT'S CORRECT.		
8	Q AND THEN IN THE NEXT PARAGRAPH UP, REPRESENTATIVE		
9	KATRINA JACKSON IS QUOTED; IS THAT RIGHT?		
10	A YES.		
11	Q IF YOU SEE THERE THE SECOND LINE WHERE IT SAYS, "THE		
12	UNSAFE ABORTION PROTECTION ACT," IS THAT ANOTHER NAME FOR ACT		
13	620?		
14	A YES.		
1.5	Q AND IN THE LAST LINE OF THAT PARAGRAPH DOES IT SAY,		
16	THAT THE" UNBORN "THE UNSAFE ABORTION PROTECTION ACT WILL		
17	BUILD ON OUR PAST WORK TO PROTECT LIFE IN OUR STATE;" IS THAT		
18	WHAT THAT SAYS?		
19	A YES.		
20	Q AND THAT'S A QUOTE FROM REPRESENTATIVE JACKSON; IS		
21	THAT CORRECT?		
22	A YES.		
23	Q AND, FINALLY, AT THE END OF THE PAGE, IT SAYS,		
24	"SINCE GOVERNOR JINDAL HAS TAKEN OFFICE HE HAS SIGNED OVER A		
25	DOZEN PIECES OF LEGISLATION AIMED AT PROTECTING THE CULTURE OF		

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 227 of 206

1	LIFE IN LOUISIANA;" IS THAT RIGHT?		
2	A THAT'S CORRECT.		
3	Q IF YOU'LL FLIP TO THE SECOND PAGE, YOU'LL S	SEE THAT	
4	THERE ARE TWO HOUSE BILLS ON THE SECOND PAGE, UNDER 1	HE TITLE	
5	PROPOSALS TO FURTHER PROTECT LIFE IN LOUISIANA?		
6	A YES.		
7	Q AND ONE OF THOSE IS HB 388; IS THAT RIGHT?		
8	A THAT'S CORRECT.		
9	Q IF WE COULD TAKE A LOOK AT JOINT EXHIBIT 15		
10	SECRETARY KLIEBERT, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE CONCEPT THAT AG		
11	620 WAS MODELED AFTER A SIMILAR ADMITTING PRIVILEGES LAW THAT		
12	WAS PASSED IN TEXAS?		
13	A I HAVE SEEN INDICATION THAT IT WAS.		
14	Q AND IS THIS AN E-MAIL FROM DORINDA BORDLEE	то	
15	HERSELF AND TO KATRINA JACKSON IN MAY OF 2014?		
16	A YES.		
17	Q AND DOES IT SAY AT THE TOP, "LA HB 388 FOLL	OWS THIS	
18	MODEL"?		
19	A YES. BUT I WOULD LIKE TO CLARIFY THAT WHIL	E I HAVE	
20	SEEN INDICATION OF THAT, CERTAINLY, AT THE TIME OF LE	GISLATION	
21	I WAS NOT AWARE AT ALL THAT IT MODELED ANOTHER STATE'S		
22	LEGISLATION.		
23	Q SO WHEN YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT THE BILL YOU	WEREN'T	
24	AWARE THAT IT MODELED AN ALMOST IDENTICAL STATUTE IN	TEXAS?	
25	A NO, I DID NOT KNOW THAT.		

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 228 of 208

	Q	SO	WHEN	YOU	WERE	TES	STIFY	ING	ABOU	THE	BILL	YOU I	VERE
NOT	FAMIL	IAR	WITH	THE	FACT	THA	AT PR	O-LI	FE OI	RGANI	ZATIO	NS IN	
LOU	ISIANA	WER	RE TRY	/ING	TO M	IODE	THE	BIL	L AS	CLOS	ELY TO	O THE	
TEX	AS BIL	L, W	HICH	WAS	ALRE	ADY	BEIN	G TE	STED	IN T	HE CO	URTS;	YOU
WER	EN'T A	WARE	OF T	THAT?	?								

A AGAIN, I DID NOT KNOW IT WAS MODELING EXACTLY THOSE.

LEGISLATION TYPICALLY DOES GET -- DOES MODEL OTHER STATES, I

JUST WAS NOT SPECIFICALLY AWARE OF THAT.

Q AND SO YOU WERE NOT AWARE, FOR EXAMPLE, IN THE THIRD PARAGRAPH HERE, WHERE IT SAYS, "THE LAW HAS ALREADY HAD --"
AND THEY'RE REFERRING TO THE TEXAS LAW. "THE LAW HAS ALREADY HAD TREMENDOUS SUCCESS IN CLOSING ABORTION CLINICS AND RESTRICTING ABORTION ACCESS IN TEXAS." YOU WERE NOT AWARE OF THAT FACT?

A AGAIN, NOT THAT I RECALL. AND UNDERSTAND, MY ROLE
IN THESE LEGISLATION -- I MEAN I DON'T -- WE'RE INVOLVED IN
NUMEROUS PIECES OF LEGISLATION THROUGHOUT THE SESSION AND SO
FOR EVERY PIECE OF LEGISLATION, I DON'T KNOW ALL OF THE
DETAILS ABOUT EVERY PIECE OF LEGISLATION THAT I'M ASKED FOR
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON.

Q AND YOU WEREN'T AWARE, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT THE TEXAS LAW WAS SHUTTING DOWN CLINICS AND AS IT SAYS HERE, "THOSE SUCCESSES APPEAR ALL BUT CERTAIN TO STICK WITH OR WITHOUT SUPREME COURT'S APPROVAL OF THE LAW THAT CREATED THEM;" THAT WASN'T SOMETHING THAT YOU WERE AWARE OF?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 229 of 209

A AGAIN, IF I WERE AWARE OF IT, IT WAS ONLY SOMETHING
I PROBABLY WOULD HAVE READ IN THE PAPER SOMEWHERE.
Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, LAST FRIDAY, SO THE LAST
BUSINESS DAY BEFORE THIS TRIAL STARTED, YOU FILED ANOTHER
AFFIDAVIT IN THIS CASE; IS THAT RIGHT?
A THAT'S CORRECT.
Q AND THAT AFFIDAVIT CONCERNS WHETHER OR NOT TWO OF
THE DOCTORS WHO PERFORM ABORTIONS IN LOUISIANA HAVE PRIVILEGES
THAT SATISFY ACT 620; IS THAT RIGHT?
A THAT'S CORRECT. AND WE FILED THE AFFIDAVIT BECAUSE
WE JUST RECEIVED I JUST RECEIVED THE INFORMATION THE DAY
BEFORE.
Q OKAY. ARE YOU AWARE THAT YOUR COUNSEL SERVED A
SUBPOENA ON TULANE MEDICAL CENTER, WHICH IS ONE OF THE
HOSPITALS WHERE THESE PRIVILEGES ARE IT'S ONE OF THE
HOSPITALS IN THE PRIVILEGES WE'RE GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT,
SERVED A SUBPOENA ON TULANE; ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THAT?
A NO.
Q SO YOU'RE NOT AWARE THAT YOUR COUNSEL GOT A COPY
EVEN BEFORE I COULD SEND A COPY OF THOSE DOCUMENTS, YOUR
COUNSEL GOT A COPY, YOU KNOW, SHORTLY AFTER SERVING THAT
SUBPOENA?
A AGAIN, NO. I JUST KNOW WHEN I RECEIVED THE
DOCUMENTS.
Q OKAY. SO YOU GOT A BUNCH OF DOCUMENTS AND YOU

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 230 of 200

1.	LOOKED AT THEM AND BASED ON THOSE DOCUMENTS YOU FILED A
2	DECLARATION. WE CAN TAKE A LOOK AT IT. IT'S JOINT
3	EXHIBIT 191. WE CAN SCROLL THROUGH IT OR YOU
4	A I'M FAMILIAR WITH IT, YES.
5	Q AND IF YOU TAKE A LOOK AT PARAGRAPH 6 THIS IS THE
6	PARAGRAPH THAT CONCERNS DR. JOHN DOE'S JOHN DOE 2'S
7	PRIVILEGES; IS THAT RIGHT?
8	A THAT'S CORRECT.
9	Q AND JOHN DOE 2 HAS SOME PRIVILEGES AT TULANE MEDICAL
10	CENTER; IS THAT RIGHT?
11	A THAT'S CORRECT.
12	Q AND IN THIS PARAGRAPH IF WE COULD GO DOWN TO
13	SUBPARAGRAPH D; DO YOU SEE WHAT THAT SAYS?
14	A YES.
15	Q "THE CLINICAL PRIVILEGES GRANTED ALLOW DR. JOHN DOE
16	NUMBER 2 TO ADMIT HIS ABORTION PATIENTS TO A HOSPITAL WHERE
17	DIAGNOSTIC AND SURGICAL CARE CAN BE PROVIDED TO SUCH
18	PATIENTS." IS THAT CORRECT?
19	A THAT'S CORRECT.
20	Q SO YOUR AFFIDAVIT, ALONG WITH YOUR PRIOR TESTIMONY
21	THAT YOU JUST GAVE, SUPPORTS THE VIEW THAT THE DOCTOR WHO IS
22	TRYING TO GET THE PRIVILEGES OR HAS THE PRIVILEGES DOESN'T
23	ACTUALLY HAVE TO BE ABLE TO PERFORM THE SURGICAL OR DIAGNOSTIC
24	PROCEDURES ON THE PATIENT THAT'S BEING ADMITTED; IS THAT
25	RIGHT?

1	A THAT IS CORRECT.
2	Q AND IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING BASED ON YOUR REVIEW OF
3	THE DOCUMENTS THAT WE WERE JUST TALKING ABOUT, THAT THOSE
4	DOCUMENTS DON'T THE RESULT OF THOSE DOCUMENTS IS THAT
5	DR. JOHN DOE NUMBER 2 DOESN'T HAVE THE ABILITY TO PERFORM
6	DIAGNOSTIC AND SURGICAL SERVICES AT THE HOSPITAL?
7	A AND, AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW THAT HE DOESN'T. I JUST
8	DON'T THINK IT WAS SPECIFICALLY GRANTED IN THE DOCUMENTATION.
9	SO I DON'T THINK IT WAS I DON'T KNOW THAT IT DENIES HIM
10	FROM DOING THAT. I JUST KNOW IT WASN'T SPECIFICALLY GRANTED
11	IN THE SPECIFIC IN THE INFORMATION THAT WE RECEIVED.
12	Q OKAY. BUT WHETHER HE HAS IT OR NOT IT DOESN'T
13	MATTER?
14	A IT DOESN'T MATTER, CORRECT.
15	Q OKAY. ARE YOU ALSO AWARE ON THE SAME DAY THAT YOU
16	FILED THIS AFFIDAVIT YOU TOOK ACTION TO RESCIND WHAT I'LL
17	REFER TO AS FNR REGULATIONS FOR ABORTION FACILITIES?
18	A THAT IS CORRECT.
19	Q WHAT ARE FNR REGULATIONS?
20	A FACILITY NEED REVIEW REGULATIONS. IT'S RULES THAT
21	WE HAVE REGARDING FACILITY NEED REVIEW. THESE PARTICULAR
22	REGULATIONS WERE PUT INTO EFFECT IN 2012.
23	Q AND WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF OR I GUESS WHAT WAS
24	THE PURPOSE OF THE FNR REGULATIONS?
25	A FACILITY NEED REVIEW, WE HAVE IT FOR SEVERAL

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 232 of 308

I	FACILITIES UNDER OUR PURVIEW. WE DO IT FOR PEDIATRIC DAY
	HEALTH CENTERS, WE DO IT FOR HOME AND COMMUNITY-BASED SERVICE
	PROVIDERS. SO TYPICALLY FACILITY NEED REVIEW PROCESSES ARE
	PUT IN PLACE TO DETERMINE IF THERE IS A VERY SPECIFIC NEED FOR
	A TYPE OF A VERY SPECIFIC SERVICE, WHETHER THAT'S, YOU KNOW, A
	PERSONAL CARE ATTENDANT SERVICE OR AND IS EVER IN THE CASE
	OF THE PEDIATRIC DAY HEALTH CENTERS, PEDIATRIC DAY HEALTH
	CENTER SERVICES. SO IT BASICALLY DETERMINES WHETHER OR NOT
	THERE IS A NEED FOR THOSE SERVICES. THE APPLICANT HAS TO SHOW
	THAT WITHOUT THOSE SERVICES THERE WOULD BE ADVERSE
ı	CONSEQUENCES FOR THE PATIENTS.

- **Q** AND SO IN 2012 ABORTION CLINICS WERE ADDED TO THE LIST OF FACILITIES THAT ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE A FACILITY NEED REVIEW BEFORE A NEW FACILITY THAT OFFERS THOSE SERVICES CAN BE OPENED; IS THAT RIGHT?
 - A THAT IS CORRECT.

- **Q** AND THAT WAS DONE, THE ADDITION OF ABORTION CLINICS
 TO THAT LIST WAS DONE AS AN EMERGENCY RULE; IS THAT RIGHT?
- A AGAIN, I WAS NOT THE SECRETARY AT THAT TIME, BUT THAT IS WHAT I RECALL, YES.
- **Q** I'D LIKE TO PUT ON THE MONITOR, BUT PLEASE DON'T PUBLISH IT BECAUSE IT'S NOT IN EVIDENCE YET, I'D LIKE TO PUT ON THE MONITOR WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 175. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH A BHSF RULE MAKING JUSTIFICATION FORM?
 - A YES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 233 of 208

	Q	WHAT IS A BHSF RULE MAKING JUSTIFICATION FORM?
a l	A	BASICALLY IT GIVES JUSTIFICATION FOR A RULE FOR THE
DEPA	RTMEN	г.
	Q	I THOUGHT SO, BUT I DIDN'T WANT TO ASSUME.
	A	YES.
e e	Q	AND THIS ONE IS DATED MARCH 13TH, 2012?
	Α	YES.
	Q	HAVE YOU SEEN THIS KIND OF RULE FOR, YOU KNOW, OTHER
EMER	RGENCY	RESUMES OR OTHER OR NONEMERGENCY RULES I SUPPOSE
THAT	HAVE	BEEN ISSUED OR PROMULGATED BY DHH?
*	A	I'M SORRY, HAVE I SEEN
	Q	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THIS KIND OF FORM?
	Α	YES.
	Q	AND THE PURPOSE OF THIS KIND OF FORM?
	Α	YES.
	Q	AND IN THE COURSE OF YOUR WORK AT DHH AS, YOU KNOW,
вотн	THE S	SECRETARY AND THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY HAVE YOU ARE
YOU	FAMIL	IAR WITH HOW THESE FORMS ARE PREPARED?
	Α	YES. I MEAN, AGAIN, NOT TYPICALLY DIRECTLY, BUT
YES.		
4	Q	OKAY.
		MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, I'D LIKE TO OFFER
PLA1	NTIFF	S' EXHIBIT 175?
		THE COURT: ANY OBJECTIONS?
		MR. JOHNSON: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 234 of 204

1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET IT BE RECEIVED.
2	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
3	Q SO IF WE COULD TAKE A LOOK AT THE TOP. THE SECTION
4	NAME HERE IS, HEALTH STANDARDS. THAT'S THE SECTION THAT HAS
5	SORT OF IMMEDIATE OVERSIGHT OF ABORTION CLINICS; IS THAT
6	RIGHT?
7	A THAT'S CORRECT.
8	Q AGAIN, THE DATE IS MARCH 13TH, 2012?
9	A YES.
10	Q OKAY. THEN IF YOU COULD TAKE A LOOK I GUESS
11	QUESTION NUMBER 1 WHICH IS, "BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE THE PROPOSED
12	RULE." SO IF YOU LOOK IN HERE, THE PROPOSED RULE THAT THEY'RE
13	TALKING ABOUT HERE IS THE RULE THAT WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING,
14	WHICH IS JUST ADDING ABORTION CLINICS TO THAT LIST OF
15	FACILITIES THAT NEED TO GO THROUGH A FACILITY NEED REVIEW
16	BEFORE NEW FACILITIES CAN OPEN; IS THAT RIGHT?
17	A THAT'S CORRECT.
18	Q AND IF WE CAN SCROLL TO THE SECOND PAGE TO THE BOX
19	MARKED NUMBER 7 WHICH ASKS, "IF THIS IS AN EMERGENCY RULE WHY
20	IS IT AN EMERGENCY?" AND IT SAYS THAT, "THIS IS AN EMERGENCY
21	RULE. THE RULE IS NECESSARY TO AVOID EMINENT PERIL TO THE
22	PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY OR WELFARE. THE SECRETARY HAS
23	DETERMINED THAT PATIENT HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE WILL BE
24	PROTECTED BY A PROCESS WHICH DETERMINES WHETHER ADDITIONAL
25	ABORTION CLINICS ARE NECESSARY IN EACH ADMINISTRATIVE REGION

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 235 of 205

	And the state of t
1	OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA BEFORE THEY ARE ALLOWED TO BE
2	LICENSED WITHIN THE STATE." IS THAT RIGHT?
3	A YES.
4	Q NOW I APPRECIATE THAT YOU WERE NOT SECRETARY AT THIS
5	TIME. YOU WERE ASSISTANT SECRETARY?
6	A AT THAT TIME I WAS DEPUTY SECRETARY, YES.
7	Q I APOLOGIZE. IS ESSENTIALLY THE REASON THAT'S BEING
8	GIVEN FOR THIS BEING PASSED AS AN EMERGENCY RULE, THAT IT IS
9	NECESSARY TO LOOK AT EVERY FACILITY AND WHERE IT'S BEING
10	OPENED AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE REGION OF THE STATE IN ORDER TO
1	DETERMINE IF THERE IS ACTUALLY A NEED FOR IT IN ORDER TO AVOID
1.2	EMINENT PERIL TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH?
13	A SO IF YOU'RE ASKING ME IF THAT'S I MEAN, AGAIN, I
L4	DON'T KNOW WHAT THE SECRETARY WAS THINKING AT THAT POINT IN
.5	TERMS OF THE NECESSITY FOR THIS RULE, SO I DON'T KNOW THAT I
16	CAN ANSWER HIS INTENT.
L7	Q SO IF AN ACTION IS TAKEN LIKE THIS TO EITHER
18	PROMULGATE A RULE OR CHANGE A RULE, IF SOME SORT OF RULE
9	MAKING LIKE THIS IS UNDERTAKEN, CAN THE SECRETARY ACT ALONE?
20	A TYPICALLY IT IS NOT. I ASSUME THE SECRETARY CAN
21	MAKE SOME UNILATERAL DECISIONS BUT TYPICALLY DOES NOT.
22	Q SO IT'S NOT IT'S NOT NECESSARILY THE SECRETARY'S
23	INTENT THAT'S REFLECTS HERE, IS IT? IT'S WHAT THE FORM IS
24	SAYING THE PURPOSE OF THE RULE MAKING IS; IS THAT RIGHT?
	I Date of the Control

THAT'S CORRECT.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 236 of 206

1	Q AND IF YOU LOOK AT BOX 8 IT SAYS THAT, "THE
2	DEPARTMENT HAS NOT CONSULTED OTHER PARTIES IN ORDER TO
3	EXPEDITE THIS RULE." WHAT IS DHH'S DISCRETION TO PROMULGATE
4	RULES OR TO ENGAGE IN RULE MAKING WITHOUT CONSULTING OTHER
5	PARTIES?
6	A AGAIN, IF IT MEETS ONE OF THOSE QUALIFICATIONS THEN
7	IT CAN BE PUBLISHED AS AN EMERGENCY RULE. IT THEN HAS TO GO
8	THROUGH THE REGULAR RULE MAKING PROCESS THOUGH WHICH DOES HAVE
9	PUBLIC INPUT AND INVOLVEMENT FROM STAKEHOLDERS.
10	Q BUT AT LEAST INITIALLY DHH
11	A INITIALLY AN EMERGENCY RULE BASED AGAIN, I THINK
12	THEY LIST THEM EARLIER, THE REASONS THAT YOU CAN MOVE FORWARD
13	WITH AN EMERGENCY RULE, AND IF THE SECRETARY BELIEVES IT MEETS
14	ONE OF THOSE REQUIREMENTS, THEN THEY CAN MOVE FORWARD WITH
15	INITIAL PUBLICATION OF THE RULE AND THEN CAN AND THEN WOULD
16	MOVE FORWARD WITH FULL RULE MAKING WHICH WOULD REQUIRE THE
17	PUBLIC INPUT.
18	Q ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY FACILITIES THAT WERE PART OF A
19	FACILITY NEEDS REVIEW APPLICATION; ANY ABORTION CLINICS?
20	A THROUGHOUT THIS THROUGHOUT THIS TIME PERIOD THE
21	ONLY ONE THAT I'M AWARE OF IS PLANNED PARENTHOOD IN NEW
22	ORLEANS.
23	Q AND YOU'RE AWARE THAT PLANNED PARENTHOOD'S
24	APPLICATION WAS REJECTED IN JANUARY OF THIS YEAR?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 237 of 2075

1	Q IF WE COULD PUT UP WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS PLAINTIFFS'
2	EXHIBIT 176, BUT PLEASE DON'T PUBLISH IT BECAUSE IT'S NOT IN
3	EVIDENCE YET. SECRETARY KLIEBERT, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS
4	DOCUMENT?
5	A YES.
6	Q IF YOU COULD SCROLL TO THE BOTTOM. IS THAT YOUR
7	SIGNATURE AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS DOCUMENT?
8	A YES.
9	Q IS CECILE CASTELLO ALSO A CC ON THIS DOCUMENT?
10	A YES.
11	Q IS DORA KANE ALSO A CC ON THIS DOCUMENT?
12	A YES.
13	Q WHO IS DORA KANE?
14	A SHE WORKS IN THE HEALTH STANDARDS SECTION.
1.5	Q OKAY.
16	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, I'D LIKE TO MOVE THE
17	ADMISSION OF TO MOVE PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT, WHAT DID I SAY;
18	176? YES, 176 INTO EVIDENCE.
19	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
20	MR. JOHNSON: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET IT BE RECEIVED.
22	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
23	Q IS THIS THE LETTER THAT DHH SENT TO PLANNED
24	PARENTHOOD REJECTING THEIR INITIAL FACILITY'S NEEDS REVIEW
25	APPLICATION?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 238 of 208

1	A YES.
2	Q WHERE IS THE FACILITY THAT PLANNED PARENTHOOD WAS
3	ASKING TO HAVE REVIEWED GOING TO BE LOCATED?
4	A IN NEW ORLEANS.
5	Q AND IS IT A LARGE FACILITY?
6	A YES.
7	Q AND
8	A AGAIN, LARGE DEPENDING ON I DON'T KNOW WHAT
9	YOU'RE COMPARISON IS. I DON'T KNOW. BUT, YEAH, I WOULD
10	CONSIDER IT A LARGE FACILITY.
11	Q DO YOU KNOW APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY SQUARE FEET IT
12	IS?
13	A I DO NOT.
14	Q DOES IT SOUND FAMILIAR IF I TOLD YOU ABOUT 6,000
15	SQUARE FEET?
16	A I REALLY WOULDN'T KNOW. I'M SORRY.
17	Q OKAY. SO WHAT WAS THE PROCESS OF REVIEWING THE
18	APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY PLANNED PARENTHOOD BEFORE THE
19	APPLICATION WAS REJECTED ON JANUARY 8TH?
20	A ALL OF OUR FACILITY NEED REVIEW PROCESSES FOR ANY OF
21	OUR FACILITY NEEDS REVIEW WE APPOINT A COMMITTEE, TYPICALLY
22	THREE INDIVIDUALS, THAT HAS SOME EXPERTISE IN THE AREA OF THE
23	FACILITY THAT IS BEING THE APPLICANT, THE TYPES OF
24	FACILITIES THAT WHOEVER THE APPLICANT IS.
25	SO ONCE WE APPOINT THAT COMMITTEE THEY REVIEW ANY

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 239 of 209

1	INFORMATION THAT'S BEEN SUBMITTED. IT'S ALL INFORMATION
2	SUBMITTED BY THE APPLICANT THAT IS REVIEWED IN TERMS OF
3	DETERMINING A NEED. ONCE THEY REVIEW THAT INFORMATION THEY
4	MAKE A DECISION WHETHER OR NOT THEY BELIEVE IT MEETS THE
5	FACILITY NEED REVIEW REQUIREMENTS. AT THIS POINT THEY DID
6	NOT.
7	THEY MADE THEY GAVE ME A DECISION THAT THEY DID
8	NOT THINK IT DID. I MAKE AT THAT POINT WILL REVIEW AND
9	MAKE THE FINAL DECISION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT IT MEETS FACILITY
10	NEED REQUIREMENT BASED ON THEIR RECOMMENDATION. I TOOK THE
11	RECOMMENDATION AND DENIED THE FACILITY NEED REVIEW.
12	Q SO ONE OF THE FORTUNATE OR UNFORTUNATE ASPECTS OF
13	YOUR JOB IS YOU GET TO MAKE THE FINAL DECISION WHETHER IT'S
14	DENIED OR ACCEPTED?
15	A IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE. NOT IN EVERY CASE, BUT
16	YES. IN THIS CASE, YES.
17	Q WHO WAS ON THE COMMITTEE THAT REVIEWED PLANNED
18	PARENTHOOD'S APPLICATION?
19	A THE FIRST THE FIRST TIME, BECAUSE WE HAD A CHANGE
20	IN A POSITION, THE FIRST COMMITTEE WAS COURTNEY PHILLIPS,
21	WHO'S THE DEPUTY SECRETARY, DR. REBECCA GEE, WHO IS THE OB/GYN
22	OR MEDICAID DIRECTOR AND DR. TAKEISHA DAVIS, WHO IS OUR PUBLIC
23	HEALTH MEDICAL DIRECTOR.
24	Q AND WHAT WAS THE CHANGE THAT YOU JUST MENTIONED?

- IN THE SECOND COMMITTEE COURTNEY -- COURTNEY

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 240 of 240

1	PHILLIPS WAS SHE TOOK ANOTHER JOB OUT OF STATE, SO HER
2	POSITION, WHICH WAS THE DEPUTY SECRETARY POSITION, WAS
3	REPLACED BY SOMEBODY NAMED HUGH ELEY. HE THEN TOOK THE I
4	APPOINTED HIM TO THE COMMITTEE THAT REVIEWED THE SECOND
5	APPLICATION OR THE ADDITIONAL MATERIAL. IT WASN'T REALLY A
6	SECOND APPLICATION.
7	Q SO PLANNED PARENTHOOD SUBMITTED AN INITIAL
8	APPLICATION AND THREE PEOPLE REVIEWED IT, THE FIRST THREE
9	PEOPLE YOU MENTIONED; IS THAT RIGHT?
.0	A THAT'S CORRECT.
1	Q AND THEN PLANNED PARENTHOOD SUBMITTED ADDITIONAL
.2	INFORMATION AND THE SECOND THREE PEOPLE REVIEWED THAT?
13	A YEAH. AND, AGAIN, IT WAS THE SAME TWO, DR. DAVIS,
L4	DR. GEE AND HUGH ELEY, WAS THE ONLY DIFFERENT PERSON.
1.5	THE COURT: MS. DOUFEKIAS, LET ME INTERRUPT FOR A
L6	SECOND. WE'RE AT 3:30. WE'VE BEEN GOING TWO HOURS. HOW MUCH
L7	LONGER DO YOU HAVE?
L8	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I MIGHT BE 15 MINUTES, I'LL SAY 20
19	JUST TO BE
20	THE COURT: LET'S TAKE TEN MINUTES.
21	(WHEREUPON COURT WAS IN RECESS.)
22	(COURT RESUMED.)
23	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY BE SEATED.
24	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
25	Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, WE'RE ALMOST DONE. THIS IS THE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 241 of 265

1	LAST TOPIC THAT I HAVE ACTUALLY. OKAY. SO WE WERE TALKING
2	ABOUT PLANNED PARENTHOOD'S FACILITY'S NEED REVIEW APPLICATION?
3	A YES.
4	Q AND YOU, I BELIEVE, HAD JUST TESTIFIED THAT THERE
5	WERE TWO REVIEWS BECAUSE THERE WERE, I'LL CALL THEM TWO
6	APPLICATIONS, BUT IT MIGHT ALSO JUST BE A SUPPLEMENT? DOES
7	THAT
8	A YEAH, IT WAS A SUPPLEMENT. THEY HAD GONE TO THE
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AND THEY ASKED THE ADMINISTRATIVE
10	LAW JUDGE ASKED THAT THEY BE ALLOWED TO SUBMIT SOME
11	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION TO US, WHICH IS WHAT THEY DID.
12	Q WAS THERE ANY OTHER REQUESTS TO PLANNED PARENTHOOD
13	FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION?
14	A YES. WE MADE AN ADDITIONAL REQUEST AT THE BEGINNING
15	OF LAST WEEK FOR SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT SOURCES OF
16	FUNDING.
17	Q SO ABOUT MONDAY, TUESDAY
18	A IT WAS MONDAY OR TUESDAY, YES.
19	Q DHH CALLED OF LAST WEEK?
20	A RIGHT.
21	Q WAS ADDITIONAL INFORMATION PROVIDED?
22	A IT WAS.
23	Q SO I THINK
24	MS. DOUFEKIAS: AND I BELIEVE I HAD MOVED
25	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 176 INTO EVIDENCE. HAVE I DONE THAT?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 242 of 368

1 THE COURT: I DON'T THINK SO. 2 MS. DOUFEKIAS: MY APOLOGIES. 3 THE COURT: YEAH, YOU DID. I'M SORRY. 4 AND THERE WAS NO OBJECTION IF I RECALL CORRECT. 5 MR. JOHNSON? 6 MR. JOHNSON: THAT'S CORRECT. YES, SIR. 7 THE COURT: OKAY. 8 BY MS. DOUFEKIAS: 9 SO IF WE COULD TAKE A LOOK AT PLAINTIFFS' Q 10 EXHIBIT 176. I THINK WE HAD SPOKEN A LITTLE BIT BEFORE THE 11 BREAK, BUT THIS IS THE LETTER THAT YOU SIGNED INFORMING 12 PLANNED PARENTHOOD THAT THEIR FACILITY'S NEED REVIEW 13 APPLICATION HAD BEEN DENIED; IS THAT RIGHT? THAT'S CORRECT. THAT'S CORRECT. 14 15 AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE FIRST PARAGRAPH IT SAYS, 16 "THAT THE DEPARTMENT," AND I ASSUME THAT MEANS THAT DHH, "HAS 17 REVIEWED YOUR APPLICATION FOR FACILITY NEED REVIEW APPROVAL TO 18 APPLY FOR LICENSURE AS AN OUTPATIENT ABORTION FACILITY AND HAS 19 REVIEWED YOUR APPLICATION TO DETERMINE IF THERE IS A NEED FOR 20 AN ADDITIONAL OUTPATIENT ABORTION FACILITY IN THE SERVICE 21 AREA." WHERE IS THE SERVICE AREA FOR THIS FACILITY? 22 REGION 1. WE USE OUR DEPARTMENTAL REGIONS. SO 23 REGION 1 IS CONSIDERED THE SERVICE AREA, WHICH IS NEW ORLEANS 24 AND -- IT'S ACTUALLY ORLEANS, PLAQUEMINES -- PLAQUEMINES, ST. 25 BERNARD IS THE PARISHES IN THAT CATCHMENT AREA, IN THE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 243 of 263

REGIONAL	AREA.	AND A	CTUALLY	JEFFERSON :	IS INCLUD	ED AS	WELL.
FOR DIFF	ERENT S	ERVICE	S WE HAV	E DIFFEREN	r REGIONS	FOR	THOSE
SERVICES	AND IT	ALS0	INCLUDES	JEFFERSON	PARISH.	I'M	PRETTY
POSITIVE	ON THA	т.					

Q IF YOU LOOK AT THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. THE SECOND
PARAGRAPH DESCRIBES THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH THE
DEPARTMENT WILL GRANT A FACILITY NEED REVIEW APPROVAL. AND IT
SAYS, "THAT IT WILL DO THAT ONLY IF THE DATA CONTAINED IN THE
APPLICATION AND THE OTHER EVIDENCE EFFECTIVELY ESTABLISHES THE
PROBABILITY OF SERIOUS ADVERSE CONSEQUENCES TO" INDIVIDUAL -"INDIVIDUALS' ABILITY TO ACCESS OUTPATIENT ABORTION FACILITY
SERVICES IF THE PROVIDER IS NOT ALLOWED TO BE LICENSED."

SO IN EFFECT THE LETTER IS SAYING THAT ONLY IF THERE ARE SERIOUS ADVERSE CONSEQUENCES WILL A FACILITY NEED REVIEW APPLICATION BE APPROVED; IS THAT CORRECT?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q AND THE LETTER GOES ON TO SAY THAT THE APPLICATION

FAILED TO ESTABLISH THAT THIS WAS THE CASE FOR AN OUTPATIENT

ABORTION FACILITY IN THAT REGION. AND IF YOU LOOK DOWN TO THE

THIRD PARAGRAPH THE LETTER SAYS, "ADDITIONALLY, IN REVIEWING

YOUR APPLICATION THE DEPARTMENT CONSIDERED THE NUMBER OF OTHER

OUTPATIENT ABORTION FACILITIES IN THE SAME GEOGRAPHIC"

REGION -- EXCUSE ME, "SAME GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION, REGION AND

SERVICE AREA SERVICING THE SAME POPULATION." DO YOU SEE THAT?

A YES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 244 of 265

1	Q WHAT WAS DHH'S ANALYSIS OF OTHER ABORTION
2	OUTPATIENT ABORTION FACILITIES IN THAT REGION?
3	A AGAIN, WE DON'T REALLY DO AN ANALYSIS. WE LOOK AT
4	THE OTHER FACILITIES. THERE ARE TWO OTHER FACILITIES. WE
5	DON'T DO ANY ANALYSIS. WE FOR THE FACILITY NEED REVIEW IT
6	IS COMPLETELY DEPENDENT ON WHAT THE APPLICANT SUBMITS TO US
7	OTHER THAN GENERALLY AVAILABLE INFORMATION; LIKE THERE ARE TWO
8	OTHER FACILITIES IN THAT REGION.
9	Q SO DHH DIDN'T DO ANYTHING ON ITS OWN TO DETERMINE
10	WHETHER OR NOT THERE WAS ACTUALLY A NEED FOR OUTPATIENT
11	ABORTION FACILITIES A NEW FACILITY IN THE NEW ORLEANS
12	REGION?
13	A THAT'S CORRECT.
14	Q AND
15	A THAT'S BASED ON OUR RULE, WHICH IS THAT THE
16	APPLICANT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR SUBMITTING ANY INFORMATION TO
17	DETERMINE NEED.
18	Q AND DHH DID NOT CONSIDER, FOR EXAMPLE, WHETHER OR
19	NOT DOCTORS AT THOSE CURRENT FACILITIES WERE IN A POSITION TO
20	HAVE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES THAT WOULD MEET HB EXCUSE ME, ACT
21	620; IS THAT RIGHT?
22	A AGAIN, WHAT WE CONSIDER ARE THE INFORMATION
23	SUBMITTED TO US BY THE APPLICANT.
24	Q SO EVEN IF DHH HAS INFORMATION THAT IT IS AWARE OF

25 AS A RESULT OF THIS LAWSUIT ABOUT THE STATE OF APPLICATIONS,

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 245 of 265

1	DHH JUST LOOKED AT WELL, THERE'S THREE FACILITIES AND
2	A WELL, AGAIN, CERTAINLY FOR DHH, EVEN IN THAT
3	PARTICULAR CASE, WE WOULDN'T HAVE MADE A DETERMINATION THAT
4	THAT WOULD HAVE LIMITED ACCESS AT THIS POINT BECAUSE WE DON'T
5	KNOW THAT. BUT, AGAIN, WE BASE I'LL GO BACK TO WHAT WE DO,
6	WHICH IS WE BASE THE INFORMATION ON WHAT IS SUBMITTED TO US.
7	Q OKAY, WE'LL GET BACK TO THAT, ALL RIGHT. SO IN
8	JANUARY OF 2015, WHETHER OR NOT DOCTORS HAD OBTAINED ADMITTING
9	PRIVILEGES THAT WOULD SATISFY ACT 620 HAD NO BEARING
10	WHATSOEVER ON THIS DECISION; IS THAT RIGHT?
11	A THAT'S CORRECT.
12	Q YOU SAID THAT AFTER THE APPLICATION WAS DENIED
13	PLANNED PARENTHOOD FILED AN APPEAL; IS THAT RIGHT?
14	A THAT'S CORRECT.
15	Q AND SUBMITTED ADDITIONAL INFORMATION; IS THAT RIGHT?
16	A THAT'S CORRECT.
17	Q IF WE COULD PUT UP ON THE SCREEN ACTUALLY THIS
18	IS A RELATIVELY BIG DOCUMENT SO MY QUESTION TO YOU, SECRETARY
19	KLIEBERT, IS WOULD YOU RATHER LOOK AT IT ON THE SCREEN OR HAVE
20	A COPY IN YOUR HAND? YOU COULD DO IT EITHER WAY.
21	A PROBABLY HAVE A COPY IN MY HAND.
22	Q I THOUGHT THAT MIGHT BE MORE QUICK.
23	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, MAY I APPROACH?
24	THE COURT: YES, YOU MAY.
25	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, WOULD YOU LIKE A HARD

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 246 of 246

1	COPY?
2	THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE GREAT IF YOU'VE GOT ONE.
3	MS. DOUFEKIAS: ABSOLUTELY.
4	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
5	Q DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS DOCUMENT? AND, PLEASE, DON'T
6	PUBLISH IT BECAUSE IT HASN'T BEEN IT DOESN'T MATTER IF WE
7	PUBLISH IT BECAUSE WE'RE LOOKING AT HARD COPIES. DO YOU
8	RECOGNIZE THIS DOCUMENT?
9	A YES.
10	Q WHAT IS THIS DOCUMENT?
11	A THIS DOCUMENT IS THE APPLICATION PROVIDED BY PLANNED
12	PARENTHOOD. AND, AGAIN, WHAT I'M NOT SURE IS IF THIS IS THE
13	DOCUMENTATION WITH THE SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION OR JUST THE
14	ORIGINAL ONE. I'M NOT POSITIVE ON THAT.
1.5	Q LET ME HELP YOU WITH THAT A LITTLE BIT. IF YOU CAN
16	SCROLL
17	MR. JOHNSON: YOUR HONOR, AS SHE'S SCROLLING I JUST
18	WANT TO STATE A GENERAL OBJECTION TO THIS LINE OF QUESTIONING
19	AND THESE DOCUMENTS, NOT SPECIFICALLY BECAUSE WE'RE I DON'T
20	KNOW WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO ASK ABOUT IT. BUT THE FACILITY'S
21	NEEDS REVIEW IS NOT DIRECTLY RELEVANT TO THE ISSUE IN THIS
22	CASE WOULD BE OUR POSITION.
23	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.
24	MR. JOHNSON: IT'S A RELEVANCY OBJECTION.
25	THE COURT: MS. DOUFEKIAS?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 247 of 267

1	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, DHH IN ITS REJECTION				
2	LETTER SPECIFICALLY SAID THAT IT LOOKED AT LET ME GET THIS				
3	RIGHT. THAT IT CONSIDERED THE NUMBER OF OTHER OUTPATIENT				
4	ABORTION FACILITIES IN THE SAME REGION. SO I THINK IT HAS				
5	BEARING ON THE CAPACITY ISSUES THAT ARE VERY CENTRAL TO THIS				
6	CASE AND WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN TO ACCESS TO ABORTION IF				
7	DOCTORS AT NEW ORLEANS FACILITIES DON'T GET ADMITTING				
8	PRIVILEGES.				
9	THE COURT: OKAY. IT'S OVERRULED.				
10	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:				
11	Q SO, SECRETARY KLIEBERT, IF YOU COULD LOOK AT THE				
12	BOTTOM OF THE FIRST PAGE OF THE DOCUMENT I JUST HANDED YOU,				
13	THERE'S A PAGE NUMBER. IT SAYS PAGE 226?				
14	A YES.				
15	Q IF YOU COULD FLIP TO PAGE 304?				
16	A OKAY.				
17	Q I BELIEVE THAT IS				
18	A THAT'S THE SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION				
19	Q THAT'S THE SUPPLEMENTAL?				
20	A WHERE IT BEGINS, RIGHT.				
21	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I WOULD MOVE THE ADMISSION OF				
22	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 178.				
23	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION, OTHER THAN THE ONE YOU				
24	VOICED?				
25	MR. JOHNSON: WELL, OTHER THAN THE ONE WE VOICED,				

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 248 of 268

1	I'M NOT SURE THERE'S BEEN A PROPER FOUNDATION FOR IT. WE'RE
2	NOT SURE OF THE CONTENT OF IT OR THE VERACITY OF THE CONTENT.
3	THAT WOULD BE OUR OBJECTION.
4	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
5	MS. DOUFEKIAS?
6	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I CAN ASK A FEW MORE QUESTIONS IF
7	YOU'D LIKE?
8	THE COURT: YES, WHY DON'T YOU DO THAT.
9	MS. DOUFEKIAS: SURE.
10	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
11	Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, IS THIS THE MATERIAL THAT WAS
12	SUBMITTED TO DHH BY PLANNED PARENTHOOD INITIALLY FOR THE
13	FACILITY NEED REVIEW APPLICATION AND ALSO THE SUPPLEMENTAL
14	AFTER THE INITIAL PACKAGE WAS DENIED?
15	A AGAIN, I BELIEVE IT IS. I MEAN BASED ON I DON'T
16	REMEMBER EVERY PAGE THAT WAS INCLUDED IN ALL OF THESE IN
17	THESE DOCUMENTS, BUT I WOULD ASSUME THAT YOU HAVE THEM ALL
18	INCLUDED. I DON'T KNOW THAT FOR SURE, BUT IT'S BASICALLY
19	YES.
20	Q IF YOU COULD TAKE A LOOK AT THE BOTTOM OF THE
21	RIGHT-HAND CORNER THERE'S ANOTHER NUMBER. THERE'S LOTS OF
22	NUMBERS ON THESE DOCUMENTS, WHICH IS DHH S-U-P-P-L LOTS OF
23	ZEROS AND THEN A ONE. IF I REPRESENT TO YOU THAT DHH PRODUCED
24	THIS DOCUMENT TO US DOES THAT GIVE YOU MORE COMFORT THAT THIS

IS THE ENTIRE DOCUMENT YOU RECEIVED?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 249 of 269

1	A YES.
2	Q AND IF YOU'LL LOOK AT THE FRONT PAGE THERE'S A
3	STAMP. IT SAYS "RECEIVED OCTOBER 20TH, 2014 HEALTH
4	STANDARDS"?
5	A YES.
6	Q DOES THAT INDICATE TO YOU THAT THIS IS THE PACKAGE
7	THAT WAS RECEIVED BY HEALTH STANDARDS?
8	A YES.
9	Q IF YOU FLIP TO PAGE 304 THERE'S A SIMILAR STAMP THAT
10	SAYS, "RECEIVED APRIL 23RD, 2015 HEALTH STANDARDS." DOES THAT
1	ALSO INDICATE TO YOU THAT THIS IS THE PACKET OF MATERIALS THAT
.2	WAS RECEIVED ON THAT DAY
13	A YES.
L4	Q BY DHH?
L5	A YES.
16	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, I'D MOVE THAT
17	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 178 BE ACCEPTED INTO EVIDENCE.
18	MR. JOHNSON: YOUR HONOR, I'D MAKE A HEARSAY
19	OBJECTION TO THE MATTERS CONTAINED HERE, BECAUSE IT WAS
20	PRESENTED BY A THIRD PARTY, WHO'S NOT HERE AND IT'S THEIR
21	OBVIOUSLY, IT'S THE INTERPRETATION OF PLANNED PARENTHOOD ABOUT
22	WHATEVER THE MATTERS ARE THAT ARE ADDRESSED. THEY'RE NOT HERE
23	TO SUBSTANTIATE THAT, SO IT'S A GENERAL HEARSAY OBJECTION.
24	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOUR HONOR, I'M NOT OFFERING IT FOR
) [THE TRUTH I'M OFFERING IT FOR WHAT DIN LOOKED AT AND

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 250 of 250

1	REJECTED IN THE FACILITY NEEDS REVIEW APPLICATION.
2	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
3	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
4	Q SO IF WE COULD TAKE A LOOK AGAIN, I JUST WANT TO
5	MAKE SURE I UNDERSTAND. I BELIEVE YOUR TESTIMONY IS THAT
6	PLANNED PARENTHOOD PROVIDED THIS INFORMATION AND THIS IS THE
7	INFORMATION THAT THE COMMITTEE OF THREE LOOKED AT AND SAID, WE
8	DON'T THINK THERE'S A NEED, AND YOU ULTIMATELY ACCEPTED
9	THAT THAT RECOMMENDATION; IS THAT RIGHT?
10	A YES, THE FIRST PART. NOT ALL OF THIS. THE FIRST
11	PART OF THIS, YES.
12	Q RIGHT, THE FIRST PART?
13	A YES.
14	Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, WHAT WAS THE BASIS FOR DHH'S
15	DETERMINATION THAT THERE WASN'T A NEED?
16	A AGAIN, OUR FACILITY NEED REVIEW PROCESS IS PRETTY
17	SPECIFIC ABOUT US LOOKING FOR VERY SPECIFIC INFORMATION TO
18	DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THERE ARE ADVERSE CONSEQUENCES,
19	SPECIFICALLY IN THE REGION.
20	I THINK ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT CAME UP IN THE FIRST
21	APPLICATION WAS THAT IT WAS PRIMARILY REFERRING TO THE
22	CATCHMENT AREA, WHICH IS NOT WHAT WE USE AS PART OF THE RULE
23	IN TERMS OF DETERMINATION OF NEED.
24	ALSO, THAT THE INFORMATION IS PRIMARILY
25	THEORETICALLY BASED ON DATA AND POTENTIAL NUMBER OF ABORTIONS,

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 251 of 254

WHICH IS, AGAIN, A VERY DIFFERENT TYPE OF DATA THAT WE LOOK THAN WHAT WE TYPICALLY GET IN TERMS OF OUR FACILITY NEED REVIEW PROCESS. OUR FACILITY NEED REVIEW PROCESS IS REALLY TRYING TO GET DATA LIKE PROVIDERS SAYING, HEY, I DON'T I CAN'T FIND
REVIEW PROCESS. OUR FACILITY NEED REVIEW PROCESS IS REALLY TRYING TO
OUR FACILITY NEED REVIEW PROCESS IS REALLY TRYING TO
GET DATA LIKE PROVIDERS SAYING, HEY, I DON'T I CAN'T FIND
PROVIDERS TO DO THIS. AND INDIVIDUALS SAYING, I CAN'T RECEIVE
THOSE SERVICES. THAT'S NOT THE TYPE OF DATA WE RECEIVED.
Q WHERE ARE THESE GUIDELINES AND REQUIREMENTS YOU'RE
REFERRING TO LAID OUT FOR APPLICANTS?
A FOR THEY'RE IN THE FACILITY NEED REVIEW RULE.
THAT'S AND, AGAIN, THEY'RE NOT YOU KNOW, IT'S BASED ON,
I MEAN, A LOT OF WHAT YOU SAW IN THE LETTER IN TERMS OF A VERY
GENERAL STATEMENT ABOUT WHAT WE LOOK FOR IN TERMS OF NEED, IN
TERMS OF BEING ABLE TO SHOW, AGAIN, THAT THERE ARE THERE IS
A GAP IN SERVICES IN THAT PARTICULAR AREA.
Q SO WHEN DHH SAYS THAT IT CONSIDERED THE NUMBER OF
THEIR OUTPATIENT ABORTION FACILITIES IN THE SAME GEOGRAPHIC
AREA WHAT DOES DHH MEAN BY THAT?
A AGAIN, THE GEOGRAPHIC AREA IS THE REGION THAT
THEY'RE APPLYING IN. SO IT WOULD BE REGION 1.
Q SO DID DHH SIMPLY LOOK AT REGION 1 AND SAY, WELL
THERE'S TWO OTHER FACILITIES WHO DON'T
A NO. AGAIN, WE LOOK AT THE DATA THAT THEY SUBMITTED.

AND WHAT THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS FELT -- AND, AGAIN, UNDERSTAND

I'M LISTENING TO MY COMMITTEE MEMBERS WHO LOOK AT THIS AND WHO

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 252 of 258

HAVE DONE THIS WITH OTHER FACILITIES, THEY HAVE DONE IT IN PEDIATRIC DAY HEALTH CENTER REVIEWS AND OTHER FACILITY REVIEWS.

SO THEY LOOK AT IT TO DETERMINE IF THERE IS INDEED A
QUANTIFIABLE NEED BASED ON EITHER TESTIMONY OF PROVIDERS OR
INDIVIDUALS WHO CAN'T GET THOSE SERVICES. AGAIN, THAT'S
TYPICALLY WHAT WE LOOK FOR.

THE DIFFICULTY IN THIS CASE IS THAT THAT'S NOT THE

TYPE OF INFORMATION THAT WE GOT FROM PLANNED PARENTHOOD, WHICH

ACTUALLY ULTIMATELY LED TO MY DECISION THAT THIS RULE WAS NOT

ADMINISTRABLE BECAUSE THE TYPE OF INFORMATION THAT WE WERE

ASKING FOR COULD NOT REALLY BE PROVIDED IN THE SAME MANNER

THAT WE PROVIDED IT IN OUR OTHER FACILITY NEED REVIEW

PROCESSES. WHICH, AGAIN, MADE IT TO ME A RULE THAT REALLY

WASN'T ABLE TO BE ADMINISTERED. SO BASED ON THAT THAT WAS

WERE THE DECISION WAS MADE TO RESCIND THE RULE.

Q SO IF I UNDERSTAND YOUR TESTIMONY, YOU'RE SAYING THAT YOU RESCINDED THE RULE BECAUSE THERE'S NO WAY TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THERE'S AN UNMET NEED SPECIFICALLY FOR ABORTION FACILITIES?

A WE FELT LIKE IT WAS EXTREMELY DIFFICULT TO SUBMIT

THE TYPE OF INFORMATION THAT WE -- OUR FACILITY NEED REVIEW

PROCESS IS REALLY AT -- PART OF IT IS GETTING AT COST

CONTAINMENT IN AN AREA, IT'S LOOKING AT MAKING SURE THERE ARE

NOT TOO MANY PROVIDERS IN A PARTICULAR AREA.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 253 of 253

FOR THE ABORTION FACILITIES IT JUST DOESN'T FIT.
IT'S A SENSITIVE SUBJECT. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE PARENTS
THAT ARE GOING TO, YOU KNOW, TESTIFY, HEY, I WANTED AN
ABORTION AND I COULDN'T GET ONE. WHERE AS IN PEDIATRIC DAY
HEALTH CENTER THAT'S WHAT WE GET. WE GET INDIVIDUALS WHO SAY,
YOU KNOW, I CAN'T GET SERVICES IN THIS AREA. I REALLY NEEDED
THESE SERVICES. OR YOU GET PROVIDERS WHO SAY, YOU KNOW, WE
HAVE A NEED FOR PERSONAL CARE ATTENDANTS AND THEY'RE NOT
AVATLABLE.

IN THIS PARTICULAR INCIDENT -- ISSUE WITH ABORTION

FACILITIES, IT'S A SENSITIVE SUBJECT. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET

THE PROVIDERS. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET FAMILY MEMBERS. SO

WHAT YOU GET IS THEORETICAL EVIDENCE ABOUT WHAT MAY BE THE

POTENTIAL NEED AND NOT WHAT WE TYPICALLY USE.

SO, AGAIN, BASED ON THAT IT SEEMED VERY CLEAR TO ME
THAT IT WAS NOT A RULE THAT WE COULD ENFORCE. AND, THEREFORE,
THE RIGHT COURSE OF ACTION WAS TO LET PLANNED PARENTHOOD MOVE
FORWARD WITH THEIR APPLICATION PROCESS FOR THEIR FACILITY.

Q OKAY. SO YOU'VE REFERRED TWICE NOW TO THE KIND OF INFORMATION THAT YOU TYPICALLY WANT TO SEE -- ONE OF THE THINGS YOU'RE USED TO SEEING IS PROVIDERS SORT OF TALKING ABOUT AN INABILITY TO PROVIDE THE SERVICES?

A CORRECT.

- Q IS THAT RIGHT?
- A CORRECT.

	Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 254 of 254
1	Q SO YOU HAVE THE INDIVIDUALS WHO NEED THE SERVICES
2	WHO ARE SAYING WE CAN'T GET THE SERVICES AND THE PROVIDER
3	SAYING THAT WE CAN'T ACTUALLY GIVE PROVIDE THE SERVICES.
4	SO IF YOU COULD PLEASE TURN TO PAGE 279 OF THE
5	EXHIBIT? AND THIS IS PART OF AN AFFIDAVIT THAT WAS PROVIDED
6	BY DR. LORI FREEDMAN AN ASSISTANT PROFESSOR IN THE DEPARTMENT
7	OF OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY AND REPRODUCTIVE SERVICES AT UCSF
8	IN CALIFORNIA, WHO I BELIEVE SPOKE WITH A NUMBER OF PROVIDERS
9	IN THE COMMUNITY.
10	A BUT THE PROVIDERS THEY SPOKE WITH WERE NOT IN THE
11	NEW ORLEANS COMMUNITY. THEY'RE IN MIDWEST COMMUNITIES,
12	PRIVATE PRACTICES IN DIFFERENT AREAS. THESE WEREN'T PROVIDERS
13	FROM THAT PARTICULAR AREA.
14	MR. JOHNSON: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE TO MAKE A
15	HEARSAY OBJECTION TO THIS. WHOMEVER THIS WHOEVER PREPARED

MR. JOHNSON: AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE TO MAKE A HEARSAY OBJECTION TO THIS. WHOMEVER THIS -- WHOEVER PREPARED THIS AFFIDAVIT IS NOT HERE. THEY'RE NOT PRESENT. WE DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF IT; WHAT HIS BASIS WAS, WHO HE SPOKE TO AND NO ONE HERE TO TESTIFY TO THAT.

THE COURT: HERE'S WHAT I UNDERSTOOD MS. DOUFEKIAS

TO SAY. THAT THIS IS NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH OF THE

CONTENTS. THEREFORE, WHETHER HE'S HERE OR NOT HERE IS NOT THE

ISSUE. IT'S NOT HEARSAY IF IT'S NOT BEING PRESENTED FOR THE

TRUTH OF THE MATTER.

MR. JOHNSON: I JUST WANTED HER TO VERIFY.

THE COURT: IS THAT RIGHT? AM I CORRECT?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 255 of 255

	MS.	DOUFEKIAS:	THAT'S	WHAT	Ι	SAID	ON	THE	RECORD,
YOUR	HONOR.								

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. JOHNSON: THANK YOU.

A SO, AGAIN, IF YOU LOOK AT THE -- I MEAN HIS -- THE INFORMATION THAT HE SUBMITTED, IT'S INTERVIEWS WITH PHYSICIANS IN MIDWESTERN TOWNS. WHEN I LOOKED -- AGAIN, WHAT WE TYPICALLY GET ARE ACTUAL PROVIDERS IN THOSE REGIONS GIVING US INFORMATION ABOUT THE LACK OF PROVIDERS IN THOSE REGIONS.

BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:

Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, WOULD IT SURPRISE YOU IF I TOLD YOU THERE'S BEEN A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF TESTIMONY IN THE LAST TWO DAYS BY DOCTORS IN LOUISIANA ABOUT THE FACT THAT THEY FACE INTIMIDATION AND OTHER THINGS THAT MAKE IT VERY DIFFICULT FOR THEM TO PERFORM THESE KINDS OF SERVICES IN ALL PARTS OF THE STATE; WOULD YOU BE SURPRISED BY THAT?

A AGAIN, I DIDN'T HEAR THE TESTIMONY SO WOULD I BE SURPRISED? I DON'T KNOW. AGAIN, NOT -- IT'S NOT TESTIMONY THAT'S BEING GIVEN DIRECTLY TO ME.

Q OKAY, BUT AT THE SAME TIME, ACCORDING TO DHH'S REJECTION LETTER, DHH CONSIDERED FACILITIES IN THE AREA. SO DHH -- THE REJECTION LETTER DOESN'T SAY, WE ONLY LOOKED AT WHAT YOU GAVE US AND WE DON'T THINK IT'S GOOD ENOUGH. THAT'S NOT WHAT THEIR REJECTION LETTER SAYS.

A AGAIN, IF YOU LOOK AT OUR FACILITY NEED REVIEW RULE

THAT IS WHAT OUR RULE STATES AND THAT IS WHAT WE DO AND WE DO
THAT FOR ALL OF OUR FACILITY NEED REVIEW PROCESSES.

Q BUT ACCORDING TO THE LETTER THAT YOU SIGNED AND SENT TO PLANNED PARENTHOOD, YOU SAID ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THE DEPARTMENT CONSIDERED IS THE NUMBER OF OUTPATIENT ABORTION FACILITIES IN THE SAME GEOGRAPHIC REGION. AND ALSO, B, ALLEGATIONS INVOLVING ISSUES OF ACCESS TO OUTPATIENT ABORTION SERVICES. SO ACCORDING TO YOUR LETTER DHH CONSIDERED ALLEGATIONS THAT PEOPLE DO NOT HAVE ACCESS TO THESE SERVICES IN THIS REGION?

A THAT'S NOT -- THOSE -- THOSE PARTICULAR THINGS THAT
YOU'RE READING ARE DIRECTLY FROM OUR RULE. THOSE ARE THE
THINGS THAT WE CONSIDER. THEY'RE NOT SPECIFIC TO THIS CASE.
MEANING THAT THOSE ARE NOT THINGS WE SAW IN THIS CASE AND
LOOKED AT.

AGAIN, ALL I CAN TELL YOU IS WHAT OUR PROCESS IS.
WHAT WE ACTUALLY DO IN TERMS OF FACILITY NEED REVIEW IS WE
REVIEW THE INFORMATION THAT IS SUBMITTED TO US. AND THAT'S
DONE IN EVERY CASE IN ALL OF THE FACILITY NEED REVIEW
PROCESSES THAT WE DO. SO WHAT WAS QUOTED IN THE LETTER IS
SIMPLY A REITERATION OF WHAT IS ALREADY IN OUR RULE.

Q SO THE RULE SAYS THAT WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT FACILITY

NEED REVIEW WE'RE GOING TO CONSIDER ALLEGATIONS OF ACCESS,

THAT'S WHAT THE RULE SAYS. THE RULE SAYS, WE'RE GOING TO LOOK

AT THESE THINGS WHEN WE MAKE OUR DETERMINATION. BUT YOU'RE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 257 of 256

TELL	ING ME, N	WE DIDN'T	LOOK AT	ANYTH:	ING.	WE JUS	T LOOKED	AT
WHAT	PLANNED	PARENTHOO	D TOLD	US AND	THOSE	ARE T	WO DIFFE	RENT
THING	GS?							

- A AGAIN, WHAT WE ASK OF A PROVIDER -- THE PROVIDER HAS
 THESE RULES. THEY KNOW WHAT THEY NEED TO SUBMIT. WE ASK THAT
 THE PROVIDER GIVE US INFORMATION THAT WILL MEET THE
 REQUIREMENTS OF THAT RULE, WHICH INCLUDES THOSE TWO THINGS YOU
 JUST READ. SO THAT'S WHAT WE LOOK FOR BASED ON WHAT THE
 PROVIDERS -- ONLY BASED ON WHAT THE PROVIDER SUBMITS, NO MORE
 THAN THAT.
- Q SO EVEN THOUGH IN THIS LETTER IT DOES NOT SAY, WE CONSIDERED THE EVIDENCE OF THE NUMBER OF OUTPATIENT ABORTION FACILITIES THAT YOU PROVIDED AND THE EVIDENCE OF ALLEGATIONS INVOLVING ISSUES OF ACCESS THAT YOU PROVIDED, THAT'S WHAT YOU MEAN EVEN THOUGH THAT'S NOT WHAT YOU SAY?
- A WELL, AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW THAT IT'S NOT WHAT WE SAY.

 WE QUOTED OUR RULE, THAT'S WHAT WE DO. AND, AGAIN, WE'VE DONE

 IT CONSISTENTLY. THIS IS NOT AN INCONSISTENT PRACTICE. WE'VE

 DONE IT CONSISTENTLY IN ALL OF OUR FACILITY NEED REVIEW

 PROCESSES.
- Q LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT -- ACTUALLY, I'M SORRY, ONE
 MORE QUESTION. SO THE FACT THAT THERE ARE PROVIDERS IN
 LOUISIANA WHO FEEL THAT THERE IS AN ACCESS PROBLEM IN
 LOUISIANA IS NOT SOMETHING THAT DHH PAYS ATTENTION TO -- OR
 NOT SOMETHING THAT DHH PAYS ATTENTION TO IN THIS INSTANCE?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 258 of 258

A AGAIN, AS I MENTIONED BEFORE, PART OF THE DIFFICULTY IN THIS SITUATION IS OUR RECOGNITION AS A DEPARTMENT THAT WE WERE ASKING FOR INFORMATION THAT IS NOT TYPICALLY FOUND FOR THIS TYPE OF PROVIDER. THIS IS THE VERY FIRST TIME WE'VE IMPLEMENTED THIS RULE. IT'S NOT THAT WE'VE IMPLEMENTED IT PREVIOUSLY. WE'VE NOT HAD PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS.

THIS WAS OUR FIRST TIME IN DOING THIS. AND THEN

CLEARLY FOR US THE RECOGNITION WAS -- AND CERTAINLY FOR ME,

THAT THIS RULE WAS NOT ADMINISTRABLE BECAUSE OF THE FACT THEY

WERE ASKING FOR INFORMATION THAT THOSE -- THAT THAT TYPE OF

PROVIDER WOULD HAVE IT VERY DIFFICULT TO PROVIDE IN THE

TYPICAL FORMAT AND MAKING IT A VERY DIFFICULT DECISION THEN,

THEREFORE, FOR US TO DETERMINE.

SO OUR DECISION WAS WE WILL -- WE WILL RESCIND THE RULE -- AND, ACTUALLY, WE'RE REPEALING THE RULE. IT'S A LITTLE DIFFERENT IN TERMS OF RESCINDING AND REPEALING. WE'RE REPEALING THE RULE AND BECAUSE OF THAT THEY CAN MOVE FORWARD WITH THEIR APPLICATION. THEY WILL HAVE TO MEET THE LICENSURE REQUIREMENTS, BUT THEY CAN MOVE FORWARD WITH THE APPLICATION.

WE FELT THAT THAT WAS A MUCH BETTER DECISION BASED
ON THE DIFFICULTY THAT WE FOUND OURSELVES IN, IN TERMS OF
BEING ABLE TO ADMINISTER A RULE THAT WE THOUGHT JUST WAS
IMPOSSIBLE TO DO.

Q OKAY. SO IT'S YOUR TESTIMONY THAT DHH'S POSITION IS THAT IT'S ESSENTIALLY IMPOSSIBLE, I THINK IS THE WORD YOU JUST

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 259 of 359

USED, TO DEMONSTRATE HOW MUCH NEED THERE IS FOR ABORTION SERVICES IN LOUISIANA?

A I THINK, AGAIN, BASED ON WHAT WE HAVE TO LOOK AT IN TERMS OF -- WHAT WE LOOK AT IN TERMS OF DEMONSTRATING NEED,
YES, I THINK IT'S IMPOSSIBLE FOR THEM TO BE ABLE TO PROVIDE THAT TYPE OF INFORMATION.

Q AND THIS IS A DECISION THAT YOU MADE ON THE SAME DAY

THAT YOU FILED A DECLARATION IN THIS CASE SAYING THAT ONE OF

THE DOCTORS -- TWO OF THE DOCTORS HAVE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES IN

THE EXACT REGION THAT THIS APPLICATION IS GEARED TOWARDS?

A AGAIN, IN TERMS OF THE TIMING OF THIS -- THE TIMING OF IT, CERTAINLY WE WOULD HAVE MADE A DECISION EARLIER ON THE ADMITTING PRIVILEGE HAD WE HAD THAT INFORMATION EARLIER. I JUST GOT IT THE DAY BEFORE. THE TIMING IN TERMS OF THE FACILITY NEED REVIEW PROCESS WE HAD ASKED -- WE WERE PLANNING TO GIVE A DECISION ON MONDAY. WE LOOKED FOR SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AS WE CONSIDERED THIS DECISION AND, AGAIN, WHEN ASKING FOR THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WE TOLD PLANNED PARENTHOOD THAT WE WOULD HAVE A DECISION BY THE END OF THE WEEK AND THAT'S WHAT WE DID.

Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, ARE YOU AWARE OF THE FACT THAT
IN THIS CASE DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY DHH IN THIS CASE
DEMONSTRATE THAT PEOPLE LIKE CECILE CASTELLO AND I BELIEVE
JENNIFER STEVENS REACHED OUT TO HOSPITALS AND ASKED FOR
DOCUMENTS AND GOT THEM IN LESS THAN A WEEK; ARE YOU AWARE OF

	Case 3.14-cv-00525-3WD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 280 01 949
1.	THAT?
2	A AGAIN, I'M NOT SURE WHAT YOU'RE ASKING.
3	Q ARE YOU AWARE THAT CECILE CASTELLO IN A NUMBER OF
4	INSTANCES E-MAILED HOSPITALS FOR COPIES OF THEIR BYLAWS AND
5	OTHER ADMITTING PRIVILEGES RELATED DOCUMENTS AND GOT THEM
6	WITHIN THREE DAYS; ARE YOU AWARE OF THAT?
7	A NO.
8	Q SO IF DHH WANTED TO KNOW THE STATUS OF PEOPLE'S
9	APPLICATIONS WAS THERE ANYTHING STOPPING DHH FROM REACHING OUT
10	TO THE HOSPITALS AND ASKING?
11	A AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW. I DO KNOW THAT THESE DOCUMENTS
12	WERE BROUGHT TO ME ON THURSDAY. I WAS NOT ASKED FOR ANY
13	DISCUSSION OR DECISION MAKING PRIOR TO THEM AND THAT'S WHEN I
14	RECEIVED THE DOCUMENTS AND MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE
15	DEPARTMENT RECEIVED THE DOCUMENTS.
16	Q OKAY. ALL RIGHT. SO I JUST WANT TO TAKE A QUICK
17	LOOK AT THE KINDS OF INFORMATION THAT YOU'VE TESTIFIED YOU
18	FELT WAS NOT ADEQUATE TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THERE WAS A NEED IN
19	THE APPLICATION THAT YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU. OKAY?
20	A AND, AGAIN, I'D LIKE TO CLARIFY BY SAYING THAT IT
21	WAS NOT WHAT WE TYPICALLY RECEIVE IN TERMS OF A NEED REVIEW
22	PROCESS.
23	Q I UNDERSTAND THAT.
24	A OKAY.

BUT I ALSO UNDERSTAND FROM YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOU

25

Q

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 261 of 261

1	EVALUATED WHAT WAS IN FRONT OF YOU? SO EVEN IF
2	A THAT'S CORRECT.
3	Q IT LOOKED DIFFERENT THAN WHAT YOU WERE USED TO,
4	YOU LOOKED AT WHAT WAS IN FRONT OF YOU AND SAID IT'S
5	IMPOSSIBLE BASED ON THIS INFORMATION FOR US TO DETERMINE
6	WHETHER OR NOT THERE'S A NEED; IS THAT CORRECT?
7	A WHETHER OR NOT THE DECISION THAT WAS MADE WAS
8	DETERMINED THAT OUR RULE WAS NOT ADMINISTRABLE. NOT
9	NECESSARILY THAT THERE WASN'T AND, AGAIN, I'LL AGREE WITH
10	WHAT YOU SAID IN TERMS OF THE FACT THAT WE DID NOT HAVE
11	INFORMATION THAT WE FELT MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE FACILITY
12	NEED REVIEW PROCESS.
13	Q SO I JUST IT'S NOT WHAT I SAID, RATHER IT'S YOUR
14	TESTIMONY IS THE IMPORTANT THING HERE AND I JUST WANT TO MAKE
15	SURE THAT I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOUR TESTIMONY IS. MY
16	UNDERSTANDING OF YOUR TESTIMONY IS THAT DHH RECEIVED AN
17	APPLICATION FROM PLANNED PARENTHOOD, CONFINED ITS ANALYSIS TO
18	THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PLANNED PARENTHOOD. AFTER
19	REVIEWING IS THAT CORRECT SO FAR?
20	A YES. YES.
21	Q AFTER REVIEWING THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PLANNED
22	PARENTHOOD DHH'S DETERMINATION WAS THAT IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE
23	TO GET THE KIND OF INFORMATION THAT DHH WOULD EXPECT IN ORDER
24	TO QUANTIFY NEED IN AN AREA FOR ABORTION SERVICES?
25	A THAT'S CORRECT.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 262 of 263

- Q AND THIS WAS SPECIFIC TO ABORTION; IS THAT RIGHT?
- A THAT'S CORRECT.

- Q AND THAT WHATEVER IS IN THIS STACK OF PAPERS WE'RE
 GOING TO LOOK AT, SUPPORTED THINKING AT DHH, THAT IT'S NOT
 LIKELY THAT ANYONE WILL EVER BE ABLE TO PROVIDE INFORMATION
 THAT WILL TELL DHH WHETHER OR NOT THERE'S A NEED FOR SERVICES
 IN A PARTICULAR AREA WHEN YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT ABORTION; IS
 THAT RIGHT?
- A AGAIN, I THINK IT'S PROBABLY WHEN YOU'RE TALKING
 ABOUT ANY TYPE OF ELECTIVE SERVICE. I THINK THAT'S PART OF
 THE ISSUE. I MEAN THE OTHER SERVICES THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT
 IN FACILITY NEED REVIEW ARE NOT ELECTIVE SERVICES. THESE ARE
 SERVICES THAT ARE CLEARLY NEEDED BY THE INDIVIDUAL THROUGH
 MEDICAL NECESSITY. SO, AGAIN, I THINK AN ELECTIVE SERVICE IS
 VERY HARD TO QUANTIFY NEED.
- Q SO, SECRETARY KLIEBERT, THIS DETERMINATION THAT HAPPENED THREE DAYS AGO; RIGHT? IS VASTLY DIFFERENT THAN THE DETERMINATION NEED THREE YEARS AGO, THAT ON AN EMERGENCY BASIS THERE WAS -- IT WAS NECESSARY TO PUT ABORTION CLINICS IN THIS CATEGORY IN ORDER TO AVOID EMINENT PERIL TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY OR WELFARE?
- A AND, AGAIN, I CAN'T REALLY ATTEST TO THE THINKING OF THE SECRETARY AT THAT TIME.
- Q RIGHT. BUT -- I'M NOT ASKING YOU TO ATTEST TO WHAT HE'S THINKING, BUT IT'S CLEAR FROM THE DOCUMENTS THAT THERE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 263 of 263

	Annual designation of the second seco
1	WAS THINKING THAT IT WAS AN EMINENT PERIL TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH
2	IF ABORTION CLINICS WERE NOT BEING LOOKED AT THIS WAY; IS THAT
3	RIGHT?
4	A YES. AND, AGAIN, I THINK FOR ANY RULES THAT WE PUT
5	IN PLACE THAT SOMETIMES IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO TELL UNTIL YOU
6	HAVE TO ACTUALLY IMPLEMENT THOSE RULES AND THIS WAS OUR FIRST
7	OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT.
8	Q WHAT HAPPENED ON FRIDAY IS A COMPLETE TURNAROUND
9	FROM WHAT WAS GOING ON IN 2012; IS THAT RIGHT?
10	A AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW THE THINKING. I'M JUST SAYING
11	THAT I THINK ONCE YOU TRY TO ADMINISTER RULES IT BECOMES VERY
12	DIFFERENT IN TERMS OF THE THINKING THAT MAY HAVE GONE INTO THE
13	INITIAL PROMULGATION OF THE RULE.
14	Q DO YOU THINK THAT BY RESCINDING THIS RULE YOU ARE
15	EXPOSING THE PUBLIC TO EMINENT PERIL TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH,
16	SAFETY OF WELFARE?
17	A CERTAINLY, I DON'T. I'M THE HEALTH SECRETARY AND I
18	WOULD NOT RESCIND A RULE THAT I THOUGHT WOULD EXPOSE THE
19	PUBLIC TO EMINENT DANGER.
20	Q SO IT'S FAIR TO SAY THAT YOUR THINKING TODAY IS VERY
21	DIFFERENT THAN THE THINKING
22	A AGAIN, I THINK THAT'S VERY HARD FOR ME TO ANSWER.
23	YOU KNOW, DIFFERENT YOU KNOW, IT'S VERY HARD TO GO BACK IN
24	TIME AND DETERMINE WHAT WAS GOING ON, WHAT PEOPLE WERE

25 THINKING OR WHAT OTHER EVENTS WERE OCCURRING THAT MAY HAVE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 264 of 264

1	INFLUENCED THE DECISION AT THAT TIME. ALL OF US HAVE, AT
2	DIFFERENT POINTS IN TIME, MAY CONSIDER SOMETHING DANGEROUS AT
3	ONE POINT AND THEN CHANGE THAT PERCEPTION ONCE THOSE EVENTS
4	CHANGE.
5	Q BUT WE KNOW FROM THE FORM THAT AT ONE POINT IN TIME
6	IT WAS CONSIDERED DANGEROUS; IS THAT RIGHT?
7	A AGAIN, BASED ON THE FORM.
8	Q ALL RIGHT. SO IF WE COULD TAKE A LOOK AT PAGE 229.
9	IS THERE A REQUIREMENT THAT ABORTIONS BE REPORTED TO DHH IN
10	THE STATE OF LOUISIANA?
11	A YES.
12	Q SO DHH PUBLISHES NUMBERS OF ABORTIONS THAT OCCUR
13	EVERY YEAR; IS THAT RIGHT?
14	A YES.
15	Q SO WOULD YOU AGREE WITH ME THAT PLANNED PARENTHOOD
16	USED NUMBERS PUBLISHED BY DHH WHEN THEY TALKED ABOUT THE
17	NUMBER OF ABORTIONS THAT ACTUALLY OCCURRED IN THE STATE?
18	A I MEAN IT SAYS THAT THEY ACCORDING TO DATA BY DHH
19	SO, YES.
20	Q SO ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THEY RELIED ON IN
21	DISCUSSING NEED WAS THE NUMBERS THAT DHH PUBLISHES?
22	A YES.
23	Q AND THEN IF YOU TAKE A LOOK, FOR EXAMPLE, AT THE
24	FIRST FULL PARAGRAPH ON THE TOP OF PAGE 229 IT SAYS, "ALTHOUGH
25	THIS DATA INDICATES THAT IN 2010, 3,598 WOMEN RESIDING IN DHH

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 265 of 265

REGION 1 WOULD BE EXPECTED TO ACCESS ABORTION CARE SERVICES IN
THAT YEAR, THE DATA PROVIDED BY DHH SHOWS THAT A MUCH SMALLER
NUMBER OF WOMEN RESIDING IN DHH REGION 1 WERE ABLE TO ACCESS
ABORTION SERVICES IN THAT YEAR; IS THAT RIGHT?

A THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS, YES.

- Q SO ESSENTIALLY WHAT THEY'RE SAYING IS, IS THAT IF
 YOU LOOK AT NATIONAL AVERAGES YOU WOULD EXPECT IN AN AREA OF
 THIS SIZE WITH THIS MANY PEOPLE THAT A CERTAIN NUMBER OF WOMEN
 WOULD SEEK ABORTIONS. BUT BASED ON DHH'S NUMBERS FAR FEWER
 ARE -- ARE ACTUALLY HAVING ABORTIONS?
- A AND THAT'S PART OF THE DIFFICULTY WITH THE DATA BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, IT'S THEORETICAL. YOU DON'T KNOW IN A PARTICULAR AREA WHAT RELIGIOUS BELIEFS OR CONSERVATIVE BELIEFS MIGHT MAKE THOSE NUMBERS BE DIFFERENT. SO, AGAIN, PART OF THE ISSUE THAT WE HAD WHEN YOU USE THAT THEORETICAL DATA, HOW DO YOU REALLY KNOW IN THAT AREA THAT THIS IS THE NEED THAT EXISTS? AGAIN, I'LL GO BACK TO WHY WE RESCINDED THE RULE, BECAUSE IT'S VERY, VERY DIFFICULT FOR PROVIDERS AND INDIVIDUALS TO ACTUALLY SAY, I NEEDED THIS IN THIS AREA.
- **Q** SO YOU ASSUMED THAT THERE WOULD BE RELIGIOUS VIEWS OR OTHER VIEWS THAT WOULD MAKE THAT ANALYSIS INCORRECT; IS THAT RIGHT?
- A I DON'T ASSUME THAT. I JUST -- AGAIN, IT'S FACTORS

 THAT YOU NEED TO LOOK AT AND WHAT MAKES THEORETICAL DATA HARD

 TO MAKE IT AS CLEAR AS, HEY, I'VE GOT THIS MANY PEOPLE IN THIS

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 266 of 269

1	REGION THAT NEEDED THIS SERVICE AND I DON'T HAVE ENOUGH
2	PROVIDERS TO PROVIDE IT. THAT'S WHAT WE LOOK FOR.
3	Q OKAY. I'M ASKING ABOUT A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT
4	STATISTIC THOUGH. I'M ASKING ABOUT BASED ON NATIONAL AVERAGES
5	THE NUMBER OF WOMEN WHO LIKELY NEED THE SERVICE.
6	A AGAIN
7	Q I UNDERSTAND. I'M ASKING ABOUT SO IT'S YOUR
8	POSITION THAT NATIONAL AVERAGES OF WOMEN WHO RECEIVE ABORTIONS
9	IN THE UNITED STATES CAN NOT RELIABLY BE APPLIED TO THE STATE
.0	OF LOUISIANA; IS THAT RIGHT?
1	A AGAIN, NO. THAT'S NOT CORRECT. I'M SAYING THAT
.2	IT'S DATA THAT, YOU KNOW, WOULD HAVE TO HAVE LOTS OF A LOT
13	OF DIFFERENT QUALIFIERS IN IT BEFORE YOU COULD INDICATE THAT
L4	THAT DATA WAS TOTALLY ACCURATE FOR LOUISIANA.
L5	Q BUT YOU'RE JUST REJECTING IT OUT OF HAND. YOU'RE
16	NOT EVEN CONSIDERING THAT IT
17	A AGAIN, I'M NOT REJECTING IT. THE DECISION WAS MADE
18	TO RESCIND THE RULE BECAUSE OF THAT VERY ISSUE. I MEAN THAT'S
9	WHY WE MADE THE DECISION TO RESCIND THE RULE.
20	Q SO YOUR VIEW IS THAT ALL OF THOSE FACTORS THAT YOU
21	WOULD WANT TO CONSIDER, THOSE DON'T EFFECT WOMEN ELSEWHERE IN
22	THE UNITED STATES AND THOSE FACTORS AREN'T REPRESENTED IN THE
23	NATIONAL AVERAGE IN OTHER WORDS?
24	MR. JOHNSON: OBJECTION. THIS HAS BEEN ASKED AND

25

ANSWERED --

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 267 of 267

1	THE COURT: THIS HORSE IS NOT ONLY DEAD, IT IS
2	BLOODY AND DEAD. THIS IS ENOUGH NOMOS.
3	MS. DOUFEKIAS: ALL RIGHT, YOUR HONOR. I'LL MOVE
4	ON.
5	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
6	Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, DO YOU NEED DID YOU SEND A
7	LETTER TO PLANNED PARENTHOOD INFORMING THEM THAT THE RULE WAS
8	BEING RESCINDED?
9	A YES.
10	Q CAN YOU PUT UP PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 179? DO YOU
11	RECOGNIZE THIS DOCUMENT?
12	A YES.
13	Q IS THIS THE LETTER YOU SENT TO PLANNED PARENTHOOD?
14	A YES.
15	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I'D MOVE PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 179
16	INTO EVIDENCE.
17	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
18	MR. JOHNSON: NO OBJECTION.
19	THE COURT: OKAY. LET IT BE RECEIVED.
20	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
21	Q SO IT SAYS, AS WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING, THAT DHH HAS
22	DECIDED TO RESCIND THE FACILITY NEED REVIEW REQUIREMENTS
23	EFFECTIVELY IMMEDIATELY?
24	A THAT'S CORRECT.
25	Q CAN YOU MAKE AS SECRETARY OF DHH, CAN YOU MAKE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 268 of 268

1	THAT DECISION UNILATERALLY?
2	A YES, I CAN MAKE A DECISION TO DO THAT. BUT, AGAIN,
3	I DON'T MAKE IT UNILATERALLY. I TALK TO COUNSEL AND OTHERS
4	BEFORE MAKING ANY DECISIONS.
5	Q RIGHT. BUT ULTIMATELY IT'S YOUR DECISION?
6	A YES.
7	Q AND I APPRECIATE THAT YOU SPOKE TO COMMITTEE
8	MEMBERS, BUT ULTIMATELY YOU DECIDED TO RESCIND THE
9	REGULATIONS; IS THAT RIGHT?
.0	A THAT'S CORRECT.
1	Q AND THAT'S SOMETHING THAT YOU CAN DO BECAUSE YOU'RE
12	THE SECRETARY OF DHH?
13	A YES.
L4	Q AND THERE ARE OTHER KINDS OF DECISIONS THAT YOU CAN
L5	UNILATERALLY CHANGE AFTER DISCUSSING WITH YOUR COUNSELORS
16	BECAUSE YOU ARE SECRETARY OF DHH; IS THAT RIGHT?
L7	A THAT IS CORRECT.
18	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
19	THE COURT: DIRECT?
20	MR. JOHNSON: READY, YOUR HONOR?
21	THE COURT: YES.
22	DIRECT
23	BY MR. JOHNSON:
24	Q MADAM SECRETARY, IT'S BEEN A LONG DAY. THIS IS THE
25	END OF IT. I'LL TRY TO BE EFFICIENT AND AS BRIEF AS I CAN.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 269 of 269

1	BUT THERE ARE A FEW THINGS THAT WE WANTED TO GO OVER WITH YOU,
2	THIS IS A DIRECT EXAMINATION BECAUSE, OF COURSE, WE ARE YOUR
3	COUNSEL. BUT A LOT OF FOUNDATION WAS LAID, A LOT OF IMPORTANT
4	INFORMATION WAS SET FORTH, WE MIGHT JUST NEED TO SORT OF
5	CLARIFY A FEW OF THOSE THINGS SO I'LL BE ASKING YOU SOME
6	QUESTIONS IN THAT REGARD.
7	A LITTLE BIT ABOUT YOUR BACKGROUND. YOU SAID A
8	LITTLE IN THE BEGINNING BUT WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERGRADUATE DEGREE
9	IN?
10	A SOCIAL WORK SOCIOLOGY, I'M SORRY, MY GRADUATE
11	DEGREE WAS SOCIAL WORK.
12	Q THAT WAS AT LSU?
13	A LSU.
14	Q AND YOU'RE A BOARD CERTIFIED SOCIAL WORKER; IS THAT
15	RIGHT?
16	A YES.
17	Q HOW MANY YEARS HAVE YOU SPENT AT DHH?
18	A TWENTY-SEVEN.
19	Q AND IN THAT TIME YOU SERVED IN A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT
20	CAPACITIES; IS THAT RIGHT?
21	A YES. STARTED OUT AS A SOCIAL WORKER, THEN DID
22	QUALITY ASSURANCE, WAS A FACILITY ADMINISTRATOR, WORKED IN THE
23	COMMUNITY WITH THE DIVERSIFICATION PROGRAM FOR PEOPLE WITH
24	DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES, WORKED AS ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR
25	THE OFFICE FOR CITIZENS FOR DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES AND

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 270 of 209

1	THEN ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR OFFICE OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH, THEN
2	DEPUTY SECRETARY, THEN SECRETARY.
3	Q SO IS IT ACCURATE TO SAY THAT YOUR PRIMARY
4	BACKGROUND IS IN AREAS LIKE BEHAVIORAL HEALTH AND
5	DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES?
6	A YES. THE MAJORITY OF MY CAREER I'VE SPENT IN
7	DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH.
8	Q SO YOU TESTIFIED EARLIER YOU'VE BEEN THE SECRETARY
9	FOR ABOUT TWO YEARS; IS THAT RIGHT?
.0	A YES, SIR.
1	Q AND YOU'VE WORKED UNDER AT DHH AND DURING YOUR
1.2	TENURE BOTH AS A DEPUTY SECRETARY AND ASSISTANT SECRETARY, YOU
13	WORKED UNDER DEMOCRATIC GOVERNORS AND A REPUBLICAN GOVERNOR AS
L4	WELL?
.5	A THAT'S CORRECT. I WAS INITIALLY APPOINTED AS
.6	ASSISTANT SECRETARY UNDER GOVERNOR BLANCO.
L7	Q IN YOUR ESTIMATION IS DHH A PARTICULARLY POLITICAL
18	DIVISION OF STATE GOVERNMENT?
.9	A NO. DHH HAS THE RESPONSIBILITY, JUST LIKE ANY OTHER
20	STATE AGENCY, THE RESPONSIBILITY OF ENFORCING RULES AND
21	REGULATIONS THAT ARE EITHER LEGISLATIVE OR DETERMINED BASED ON
22	GOOD POLICY TO PROTECT THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF INDIVIDUALS.
23	Q SO DO YOU REGARD YOUR POSITION AS SECRETARY TO
24	ADVANCE POLITICAL POLICY OR JUST AS YOU'VE SAID?
25	A TO ABSOLUTELY. JUST TO ASSURE THAT THE RULES AND

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 271 of 20\$

1	REGULATIONS ARE FOLLOWED AND THAT WE DO WHAT WE CAN TO MEET
2	OUR MISSION OF IMPROVING THE HEALTH OUTCOMES FOR INDIVIDUALS
3	IN LOUISIANA.
4	Q AND IN YOUR BACKGROUND OF YOUR 27 YEARS AT DHH DID
5	YOU EVER WORK IN THE HEALTH STANDARDS' SECTION?
6	A NO.
7	Q DID YOU HAVE ANY PARTICULAR BACKGROUND IN THE
8	REGULATION OF ABORTION PROVIDERS?
9	A NO.
10	Q DESCRIBE YOUR ROLE AS SECRETARY, JUST GENERALLY WHAT
11	YOUR DUTIES ARE.
12	A AGAIN, PRIMARILY JUST OVERSEEING POLICY AND PROGRAM
13	DIRECTION, MAKING SURE THAT, YOU KNOW, DECISIONS THAT WE MAKE
14	ARE THE RIGHT DECISIONS TO PROMOTE HEALTH AND SAFETY, TO
15	PROMOTE HEALTH OUTCOMES, ASSURING THAT WE'RE FOLLOWING RULES
16	AND REGULATIONS THAT WE HAVE EITHER PROMULGATED OR STATUTES
17	THAT HAVE BEEN GIVEN TO US BY THE LEGISLATURE TO ENFORCE.
18	Q IS DHH THE LARGEST STATE AGENCY?
19	A IT IS.
20	Q HOW MANY EMPLOYEES DO YOU HAVE APPROXIMATELY?
21	A A LITTLE OVER 6,000 EMPLOYEES.
22	Q YOU CAN'T POSSIBLY KNOW THEM ALL; CORRECT?
23	A NO, I DON'T.
24	Q AND DHH HANDLES A BROAD SCOPE OF RESPONSIBILITIES
25	FOR THE STATE?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 272 of 208

A	LARGE	SCOPE.	ANYTHING	FROM P	UBLIC HE	ALTH WA	ATER
INSPECT	IONS, RES	STAURANT	INSPECTI	ONS, HO	SPITAL I	NSPECT:	IONS
DEVELOP	MENTAL D	ISABILIT	IES, AGIN	G AND A	DULT SER	RVICES,	NURSING
HOMES, H	HOSPITALS	S MEDICA	ID SERVIC	ES, A V	ERY, VER	Y I	T'S ONE
OF THE	AGENCIES	NATIONA	LLY THAT	IS CONS	IDERED A	MEGA A	AGENCY
BECAUSE	OF ALL	OF THE D	IFFERENT	DIFF	ERENT TY	PES OF	SERVICES
THAT WE	PROVIDE						

- Q THAT MAKES YOU A MEGA SECRETARY?
- A I DON'T KNOW ABOUT THAT.
- **Q** COULD ANY SECRETARY, BY THE NATURE OF THE BROAD SCOPE OF WHAT DHH DOES, HAVE A PARTICULAR EXPERTISE IN EVERY AREA UNDER ITS UMBRELLA?
 - A ABSOLUTELY NOT.

- **Q** SO IF THAT'S TRUE DO YOU RELY HEAVILY UPON YOUR DIVISION HEADS AND THE VARIOUS EXPERTS IN EACH OF THOSE ARENAS?
- A YEAH. AND I'M A SECRETARY THAT HAS ALWAYS ASKED FOR INPUT FROM ALL OF OUR DIFFERENT AREAS. AGAIN, THERE'S NO WAY I COULD POSSIBLY KNOW ALL I NEED TO KNOW ABOUT WATER SAFETY, ALL I NEED TO KNOW ABOUT, YOU KNOW, RESTAURANT INSPECTIONS OR ABORTION.
- **Q** RIGHT. SO WITH REGARD TO THAT, THE PROCESS OF ABORTION CLINIC LICENSING IN LOUISIANA AND THE SURVEYS THAT ARE DONE WITH REGARD TO THAT, YOU DON'T HAVE ANY DIRECT OR PERSONAL INVOLVEMENT IN THAT; DO YOU?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 273 of 208

1	A	NO, I DO NOT.				
2	Q	DO YOU HAVE ANY DIRECT INVOLVEMENT IN WHO IS				
3	APPOINTED	TO INSPECT THE CLINICS AND SERVE AS A SURVEYOR?				
4	A	NO.				
5	Q	DO YOU HAVE ANY PARTICULAR INVOLVEMENT IN THE EARLY				
6	PROMULGAT	ION OR DRAFTING OF THE DETAILS OF SPECIFIC				
7	ADMINISTR	ATIVE RULES WITH REGARD TO THE ABORTION PRACTICE IN				
8	LOUISIANA?					
9	A	NO.				
.0	Q	AND FOR THAT MATTER, FOR ANY OF THE PARTICULAR DHH?				
1	Α	ANY OF THE RULES. AGAIN, I WOULD ONLY BE INVOLVED				
.2	AT A LATE	R STAGE IF THERE'S ANY GRAY AREAS OR AREAS WHERE				
L3	THERE'S S	OME, YOU KNOW, DISCUSSION THAT THERE CAN'T BE A				
L4	CONSENSUS					
.5	Q	WHO IS CECILE CASTELLO?				
16	A	SHE IS THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH STANDARDS FOR THE				
L7	DEPARTMEN	т,				
8.	Q	WOULD SHE BE ONE OF THOSE DIVISION HEADS THAT YOU				
9	WOULD REL	Y HEAVILY UPON FOR HER EXPERTISE?				
20	A	ABSOLUTELY.				
21	Q	AND SHE'S BEEN AT DHH A NUMBER OF YEARS?				
22	А	I THINK 19 YEARS SHE'S BEEN AT DHH.				
23	Q	ON AVERAGE HOW OFTEN DO YOU MEET WITH CECILE				
24	CASTELLO	BEING ONE OF THESE DIVISION HEADS?				
25	A	IT REALLY DEPENDS. IF THERE ARE ISSUES GOING ON				

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 274 of 305

- THAT, AGAIN, THAT ARE GRAY AREAS OR THAT -- A FACILITY THAT IS HAVING PROBLEMS THAT WE NEED TO CORRECT, YOU KNOW, WE MIGHT MEET, YOU KNOW, ONCE A WEEK. BUT MORE OFTEN IT'S MORE LIKE ONCE A MONTH. IT COULD BE, AGAIN, ONCE A WEEK OR MORE OFTEN. SOMETIMES IT MIGHT BE THREE TIMES A WEEK IF WE'RE DEALING WITH A PARTICULAR ISSUE FOR A FACILITY.
 - Q BUT IN AN AVERAGE --

- A AVERAGE ABOUT ONCE A MONTH.
- Q -- MONTH ONCE OR TWICE? OKAY.
- A YEAH, ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH.
- **Q** AND WOULD THAT BE TRUE OF ALL OF YOUR DIVISION
 HEADS? IN OTHER WORDS, YOU HAVE SO MUCH TO MANAGE YOU CAN
 ONLY MEET WITH THEM SO OFTEN; CORRECT?
 - A THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q NOW, WITH REGARD TO THE PROMULGATION OF SPECIFIC ADMINISTRATIVE RULES UNDER DHH, YOU MENTIONED EARLIER YOUR EMPHASIS UPON THE IMPORTANCE OF STAKEHOLDERS. AND JUST VERY BRIEFLY EXPLAIN AGAIN WHO IS A STAKEHOLDER; WHO DOES THAT REFER TO?
- A A STAKEHOLDER, AGAIN, IS ANYBODY THAT'S INVESTED IN
 A PARTICULAR RURAL REGULATION OR PROGRAM. WE, AGAIN, AT DHH
 BECAUSE WE HAVE SUCH A LARGE SCOPE OF DUTIES, WE HAVE A LOT OF
 ADVOCATES, SELF-ADVOCATES, FAMILY MEMBERS, INDIVIDUALS WHO
 HAVE A CERTAIN POSITION ON ONE ISSUE OR ANOTHER, THAT ASK TO
 BE INVOLVED IN THE PROCESS AND I'M A SECRETARY THAT HAS ALWAYS

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 275 of 205

1	INVOLVED INDIVIDUALS IN THE PROCESS, WHETHER THEY'RE OPPOSED
2	TO A POLICY OR THEY'RE IN AGREEMENT WITH THE POLICY. I
3	LEARNED A LONG TIME AGO IN DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES THAT YOU
4	NEED TO GET ALL OF THE PARTIES TO THE TABLE BEFORE YOU MAKE A
5	DECISION; A PROGRAM AND A POLICY DECISION.
6	Q AND IN AN AGENCY AS LARGE AS DHH THERE ARE A NUMBER
7	OF CONTROVERSIAL ISSUES THAT DHH MIGHT ENCOUNTER; IS THAT
8	RIGHT?
9	A THAT IS CORRECT.
10	Q I MEAN YOU MENTIONED, FOR EXAMPLE, THE REGULATION OF
11	WATER. EVEN THE REGULATION OF WATER COULD BE A VERY
12	CONTROVERSIAL
13	A IT IS A VERY CONTROVERSIAL ISSUE. THERE'S ALWAYS
14	DIFFERENT WAYS TO HANDLE THINGS AND, YOU KNOW, DIFFERENT
15	ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY THAT PEOPLE THINK WE SHOULD
16	CONSIDER AND OTHERS THAT THINK IT'S NOT A GOOD IDEA, SO IT IS.
17	IT'S A VERY, VERY CONTROVERSIAL SUBJECT.
18	Q SO, FOR EXAMPLE, IN THAT ARENA YOU WOULD HAVE AN
19	OPEN DOOR POLICY TO ADVOCATES ON ALL SIDES OF AN ISSUE;
20	CORRECT?
21	A THAT'S CORRECT. AS A MATTER OF FACT, I JUST
22	RECENTLY MET WITH A BUNCH OF ADVOCATES ON WATER ISSUES JUST
23	LAST WEEK.
24	Q THAT'S IRONIC.

25

YES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 276 of 209

1	Q WITH REGARD TO HB 388 WHICH BECAME ACT 620, THE
2	ABORTION REGULATIONS, WAS THAT SAME GENERAL POLICY OF YOURS IN
3	PLAY HERE? IN OTHER WORDS, DID YOU HEAR FROM A NUMBER OF
4	STAKEHOLDERS FROM ALL SIDES OF THE ISSUE?
5	A YES, WE DID. ON BOTH SIDES OF THE ISSUE.
6	Q AND YOU ACTUALLY MET IN-PERSON WITH BOTH SIDES ON
7	THIS ONE, DIDN'T YOU?
8	A WE DID. WE MET IN-PERSON WITH BOTH PRO-ABORTION AND
9	PRO-LIFE INDIVIDUALS.
.0	Q AND YOU RECEIVED DOCUMENTS THAT WERE SUBMITTED FROM
1	THE BOTH SIDES OF THE ISSUE; CORRECT?
.2	A CORRECT. WE SENT THE RULES OUT TO BOTH SIDES, ASKED
13	THEM TO COMMENT AND GIVE US FEEDBACK ON THOSE DOCUMENTS.
L4	Q NOW, WHY DID YOU FEEL IT WAS IMPORTANT FOR YOU
L5	PERSONALLY AS THE SECRETARY, THE TOP OF THE MOUNTAIN SO TO
16	SPEAK AT DHH, WHY WAS IT IMPORTANT FOR YOU TO MEET WITH BOTH
L7	SIDES AND HEAR ALL OF THIS INPUT?
18	A AGAIN, YOU KNOW, WHEN WE HAVE ISSUES THAT ARE AS
.9	I MENTIONED EARLIER, I LEARNED A LONG TIME AGO, IF YOU WANT TO
20	HAVE REALLY GOOD RULES AND REGULATIONS THE BEST WAY TO DO IT
21	IS TO HEAR FROM BOTH SIDES AND TO GET FEEDBACK FROM THOSE
22	ENTITIES SO YOU CAN MAKE THE RIGHT DECISION AND PROCEED IN A
23	WAY THAT EVERYBODY CAN, MAYBE THEY MIGHT NOT ALL AGREE WITH

IT, THEY CAN AT LEAST LIVE WITH THE DECISIONS THAT YOU'VE

25

MADE.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 277 of 206

1	Q NOW, YOU'RE HEAVILY INVOLVED IN THE RULE MAKING
2	PROCESS WHEN YOU WALK INTO THE ROOM AND YOU ACTUALLY HEAR FROM
3	STAKEHOLDERS, WHICH I WOULD ASSUME OVERALL IS A RARE USE OF
4	YOUR TIME; RIGHT?
5	A YEAH. MOST OF THE TIME I'M NOT THAT INVOLVED, BUT
6	THERE ARE TIMES, AND THERE HAVE BEEN QUITE A FEW TIMES, THAT I
7	HAVE TO BE THAT INVOLVED.
8	Q THIS PARTICULAR SET OF RULES WAS ENABLED BY THE
9	LEGISLATION THAT WAS HB 388; IS THAT RIGHT?
.0	A THAT'S CORRECT.
1	Q NOW, IN TERMS OF THE LEGISLATION ITSELF, THE
.2	ENABLING LEGISLATION, WERE YOU INVOLVED IN THE CRAFTING OF
13	THAT LEGISLATION AT ALL?
L4	A NO, I WAS NOT.
L5	Q DID YOU HAVE ANY INVOLVEMENT WHATSOEVER IN THE
16	DRAFTING OF HB 388 OR EVEN THE IDEA OF IT?
17	A NO, I DID NOT.
18	Q DID YOU OR YOUR DEPARTMENT ENGAGE IN LOBBYING FOR OR
19	AGAINST THIS PIECE OF LEGISLATION?
20	A NO. AGAIN, AS A DEPARTMENT WE ARE VERY, VERY
21	CAREFUL IN TERMS OF THAT OUR ROLE IS TO PROVIDE INFORMATION
22	WHEN REQUESTED AND TO GIVE OBJECTIVE, NEUTRAL INFORMATION.
23	Q AND YOU DID THAT IN THIS CASE; CORRECT?
24	A YES.
25	Q SO WHEN YOU WENT TO TESTIFY TO THE COMMITTEE YOU

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 278 of 208

1	WENT FIRST TO THE HOUSE; IS THAT RIGHT?
2	A THAT'S CORRECT.
3	Q WAS THAT THE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH
4	A HEALTH AND WELFARE.
5	Q HEALTH AND WELFARE. SO YOU TESTIFIED IN THE HOUSE
6	COMMITTEE; CORRECT?
7	A YES.
8	Q AND THEN LATER TESTIFIED ON THE SENATE SIDE AS WELL;
9	IS THAT RIGHT?
10	A THAT'S CORRECT.
11	Q NOW, IN EACH OF THOSE CASES DID YOU SUBMIT WHAT'S
12	KNOWN AS A GREEN CARD, WHICH WOULD BE FOR LEGISLATION, A RED
13	CARD, WHICH WOULD BE AGAINST, OR A WHITE CARD, WHICH IS FOR
14	INFORMATION ONLY IN THOSE COMMITTEE HEARINGS?
15	MS. DOUFEKIAS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
16	THE COURT: WHAT'S YOUR OBJECTION?
17	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I'M GOING TO OBJECT TO THE FORM. I
18	REALIZE WE'VE ALL BEEN LENIENT, BUT I DON'T THINK HE'S ASKED A
19	SINGLE QUESTION THAT'S NOT LEADING.
20	THE COURT: WELL, THAT ONE WAS NOT LEADING, SO
21	OVERRULED.
22	MR. JOHNSON: I'M JUST TRYING TO BE EFFICIENT, YOUR
23	HONOR.
24	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. I DID NOT CONSIDER THAT.
25	VOIL GAVE HER THREE CHOTCES OKAY? SO VOIL DIDN'T LEAD HER TO

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 279 of 209

1	THE WATER, BUT IN ANY EVENT GO FORWARD.
2	OVERRULED.
3	A WHITE CARD.
4	BY MR. JOHNSON:
5	Q BY WHITE CARD YOU MEAN
6	A WHITE CARD IS FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY.
7	Q AND THAT'S THE STANDARD PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE IN
8	THE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE?
9	A FOR OUR DEPARTMENT AND WE ALWAYS SUBMIT ONLY WHITE
10	CARDS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.
11	Q AND THE WHITE CARD REPRESENTS NEUTRALITY?
12	A THAT'S CORRECT.
13	Q WITH REGARD TO YOUR TESTIMONY, YOU WERE ASKED SOME
14	QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT YOU PRESENTED EARLIER WHEN COUNSEL WAS
1.5	ASKING YOU THE QUESTIONS ORIGINALLY; YOU RECALL THAT?
16	A YES.
17	Q WE DO HAVE
18	MR. JOHNSON: I WOULD LIKE TO OFFER THIS INTO
19	EVIDENCE AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR, IF WE COULD. WE HAVE
20	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 119 WHICH IS THE FULL TRANSCRIPT OF THOSE
21	COMMITTEE HEARINGS BECAUSE I NEED TO ASK THE WITNESS ABOUT
22	SOME SPECIFIC THINGS THAT WERE SAID?
23	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTIONS?
24	MS. DOUFEKIAS: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
25	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET IT BE RECEIVED.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 280 of 289

1	AND THIS IS JOINT EXHIBIT NO. THIS IS DEFENSE
2	EXHIBIT 119?
3	MR. JOHNSON: DEFENSE EXHIBIT 119, THAT'S RIGHT.
4	BY MR. JOHNSON:
5	Q AND, MADAM SECRETARY, I THINK IT'S THE NOTEBOOK
6	RIGHT THERE UP ON THE TOP OF THE SHELF THERE.
7	A THIS ONE?
8	Q THAT ONE, YES, MA'AM. I THINK THERE'S A TAB THAT
9	SAYS 119. WHILE YOU'RE TURNING THERE I'LL TELL YOU THAT THIS
.0	IS A TRANSCRIPT OF ALL OF THE HEARINGS, THE LEGISLATIVE
1	HISTORY OF ACT 620. AND AS YOU MAY KNOW, AND FOR INFORMATION
1.2	OF THE COURT, JUST FOR THE SAKE OF EFFICIENCY, THERE ARE NO
13	TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES PROVIDED IN THE LEGISLATURE; IS THAT
L4	RIGHT, MADAM SECRETARY? NO ONE IS STANDING THERE TYPING
L5	EVERYTHING YOU SAY?
16	A NO, IT'S VIDEOED.
L7	Q IT'S ALL BY VIDEO.
18	A VIDEO.
19	Q SO THERE'S A PERMANENT RECORD OF LEGISLATIVE
20	HEARINGS AVAILABLE ON THE LEGISLATURE'S WEBSITE; IS THAT
21	RIGHT, MADAM SECRETARY?
22	A THAT'S CORRECT.
23	Q SO THIS IS A TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE THAT LITERALLY
24	WATCHED THAT VIDEO AND TYPED IT VERBATIM, WORD FOR WORD, SO I
5	WANTED YOU TO LOOK AT THIS. TAKE A MOMENT AND LOOK AT YOUR

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 281 of 35

TESTIMONY,	WHIC	H BE	GINS	ON PA	AGE 2	OF	THA	AT I	DOCUMENT.	JUST
BRIEFLY REV	VIEW	THAT	AND	MAKE	SURE	IT	IS	AN	ACCURATE	REFLECTION
OF EXACTLY	WHAT	YOU	'VE	SAID.						

- A YES, THAT LOOKS ACCURATE.
- **Q** AND WAS THAT THE SUB -- I'M SORRY. WAS THAT THE FULL SUBSTANCE OF WHAT YOU PRESENTED TO THE HOUSE COMMITTEE THEN; DO YOU RECALL THAT?
 - A I BELIEVE SO, YES.

- **Q** AND YOUR TESTIMONY THERE, EFFECTIVELY, WE WON'T GO
 THROUGH IT LINE BY LINE FOR THE SAKE OF TIME, BUT IT'S IN THE
 RECORD NOW, BUT JUST BRIEFLY PARAPHRASE FOR ME, WHAT WERE THE
 MAIN REASONS THAT YOU SUGGESTED WOULD BE THE EFFECT OF THIS
 LAW WERE IT ENACTED?
- A I THINK THE BIGGEST -- THE REASON THAT WE FELT LIKE

 -- I MEAN THE BIGGEST EFFECT THAT WE THOUGHT IT WOULD HAVE IS
 ON THE CONTINUITY OF CARE FOR INDIVIDUALS, SO THAT IT WOULD
 PROVIDE AN INDIVIDUAL, IF THERE WAS A COMPLICATION, THE
 ABILITY TO HAVE FOLLOW-UP CARE WITH THAT PHYSICIAN AT AN
 ADMITTING HOSPITAL, AS WELL AS THERE WERE OTHER PROVISIONS IN
 THE LAW THAT ASKED FOR 24-HOUR -- MAKING SURE THERE WAS A
 24-HOUR NUMBER THAT PEOPLE COULD REACH, AGAIN, TO ALSO ASSURE
 THAT CONTINUITY OF CARE.
- **Q** AND HOUSE BILL 388 HAD SOME PROVISIONS WITH REGARD TO THE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES OF COURSE, BUT IT HAD A FEW OTHER BELLS AND WHISTLES AS WELL; IS THAT RIGHT?

1	A THAT'S CORRECT.
2	Q SO WERE THERE OTHER PROVISIONS OF HOUSE BILL 388
3	THAT YOU THOUGHT WOULD BE USEFUL TO, I'M QUOTING YOU HERE,
4	"STRENGTHEN THE DEPARTMENT'S ABILITY TO PROTECT MOTHERS AND
5	CHILDREN"?
6	A AND, AGAIN, THE ONE THAT I REMEMBER MOST STRONGLY IS
7	ALSO HAVING THE ABILITY FOR SOMEBODY TO BE 24-HOUR AVAILABLE
8	FOR THAT INDIVIDUAL AFTER THE PROCEDURE SO THAT IF THERE WAS
9	AN ISSUE, A QUESTION, THAT THEY HAD THE ABILITY TO MAKE THAT
10	CONTACT.
11	Q ALL RIGHT. DURING THE TESTIMONY PERIOD THERE WERE
12	OTHERS WHO CAME TO THE TABLE AND SPOKE IN FAVOR OF THE
13	LEGISLATION AS WELL; IS THAT RIGHT?
14	A THAT'S CORRECT.
15	Q NOW, WOULD SOME OF THOSE OTHERS HAVE PLACED GREEN
16	CARDS OR WERE THEY ONLY WHITE?
17	A THEY PROBABLY WOULD HAVE BEEN AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW
18	SPECIFICALLY. THEY PROBABLY WOULD HAVE PLACED GREEN CARDS IF
19	THEY WERE NOT A STATE AGENCY.
20	Q SO, FOR EXAMPLE, WE SEE ON PAGE 2 THERE WELL,
21	YEAH, ON PAGE 2 DR. MARIER'S NAME IS MENTIONED; DO YOU SEE
22	THAT AT THE BOTTOM
23	A YES.
24	Q RIGHT CORNER?
25	A YES.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 283 of 283

1.	Q AND HE PROVIDED LENGTHY TESTIMONY TO THE COMMITTEE;
2	IS THAT RIGHT?
3	A THAT'S CORRECT.
4	Q AND YOU FLIP OVER THERE'S A DR. CUDIHY; RIGHT?
5	A YES.
6	Q SO THE SUBSTANCE OF THOSE EXPERTS' TESTIMONY WAS
7	THAT THEY FELT IN ESSENCE THE LEGISLATION WOULD BE IMPORTANT
8	TO PROTECT HEALTH AND SAFETY; IS THAT AN ACCURATE SUMMATION
9	A YES.
10	Q FOR THE SAKE OF TIME? NOW, THERE WAS SOME
11	DISCUSSION ABOUT LET ME SAY, I FELT THERE WAS SOME
12	IMPLICATION THAT YOU HAD UNDUE INFLUENCE IN THE CREATION OF
13	THIS LAW. IS THAT HOW YOU REGARDED SOME OF THE QUESTIONS THAT
14	YOU WERE ASKED EARLIER?
15	MS. DOUFEKIAS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
16	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
17	BY MR. JOHNSON:
18	Q CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE LEGISLATIVE PROCESS, JUST
19	BASICALLY HOW IT WORKS? IN OTHER WORDS, MORE SPECIFICALLY, IF
20	A LAW IS SUGGESTED TO LAWMAKERS OR TO A DIVISION OF STATE
21	GOVERNMENT, WHO IS TYPICALLY THE PARTY WHO BRINGS THE
22	SUGGESTION?
23	A IT TYPICALLY IS, AGAIN, ONE OF THOSE STAKEHOLDER
24	GROUPS AND ADVOCACY GROUPS, SOMETHING ELSE, WHETHER IT BRINGS
25	IT TO US AS A DEPARTMENT, BRINGS IT TO ANOTHER YOU KNOW,

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 284 of 364

ANOTHER AGENCY OR BRINGS IT STRAIGHT TO THE AUTHOR, THE
LEGISLATIVE AUTHOR. IT IS TYPICALLY ONE OF THOSE STAKEHOLDER
GROUPS THAT HAS A VESTED INTEREST IN A PROGRAM, POLICY OR RUL
OR REGULATION AND THEY WANT TO SEE A CHANGE OR THEY WANT TO
SEE A NEW POLICY OR RULE IN PLACE OR STATUTE IN PLACE.

SO IT'S TYPICALLY THOSE INDIVIDUALS THAT GO TO, LIKE I SAID, EITHER THE DEPARTMENT OR ANOTHER AGENCY OR STRAIGHT TO THE LEGISLATIVE AUTHOR, AND AT THAT POINT THEY'LL -- THEY WILL TYPICALLY THEN, YOU KNOW, DRAFT THE LEGISLATION USUALLY THROUGH A COMBINATION OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE INVOLVED AT THAT POINT. ONCE THAT LEGISLATION IS DRAFTED IT GOES THROUGH A NUMBER OF LEGAL REVIEWS AND OTHER REVIEWS.

AGAIN, WHEN IT COMES TO US IF IT'S NOT A

DEPARTMENTAL INITIATED LEGISLATION AND IT COMES TO US IT'S AN

ALREADY DRAFTED DOCUMENT THAT WE RESPOND TO.

Q AND IF AN ADVOCACY GROUP -- LET'S NOT TALK ABOUT
ABORTION. LET'S SAY IN WATER REGULATION SINCE IT'S COME UP.

IF A GROUP BROUGHT A SUGGESTED PIECE OF LEGISLATION TO DHH AND
SAID, WOW, THIS WOULD REALLY BE AN IMPORTANT PROVISION FOR THE
SAFETY OF WATER REGULATION; IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU ALL
WOULD DULY CONSIDER?

A ABSOLUTELY.

- **Q** AND YOU WOULD LISTEN TO ALL STAKEHOLDER GROUPS WHO MIGHT HAVE AN INTEREST IN THAT; CORRECT?
 - A THAT'S CORRECT.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 285 of 285

1	Q IF THEY FOUND A SPONSORING LEGISLATOR AND IT BECAME
2	A BILL THAT WAS PRESENTED IN THE LEGISLATURE, MIGHT YOU BE
3	CALLED TO TESTIFY ON THAT?
4	A I WOULD TYPICALLY DEFINITELY BE CALLED TO TESTIFY ON
5	IT.
6	Q AND IF YOU DID YOU WOULD PRESENT A WHITE CARD?
7	A A WHITE CARD, YES.
8	Q WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE IF THE STAKEHOLDER GROUP WHO
9	INITIALLY CAME UP WITH THE IDEA WAS, IN ESSENCE, SHEPHERDING
.0	IT THROUGH THE LEGISLATIVE PROCESS, THEY WOULD HAVE AN
1	INTEREST IN IT, WOULD THEY NOT?
.2	A YEAH. AGAIN, THOSE STAKEHOLDER GROUPS ARE TYPICALLY
L3	WHO DO SHEPHERD IT THROUGH THE ENTIRE PROCESS.
L4	Q SO THEY WOULD BE WATCHING VERY CLOSELY FOR WHEN
L5	THEIR BILL WOULD BE SCHEDULED IN, I DON'T KNOW, A HOUSE
16	COMMITTEE, FOR EXAMPLE; RIGHT?
L7	A YES. THEY WOULD KNOW WHEN IT WAS SCHEDULED AND THEY
18	WOULD BE WORKING TO GET PEOPLE THERE THAT WERE SUPPORTIVE OR
19	TO GIVE INFORMATION ON THEIR BILL.
20	Q AND HAVE THERE BEEN OCCASIONS IN A SCENARIO LIKE
21	THAT WHERE THOSE INTEREST GROUPS WOULD HAVE BEEN SENDING
22	E-MAILS TO DHH
23	A YES.
24	Q AND MAYBE EVEN TO YOUR TOP GENERALS TO SAY, HEY,
25	THE HEARING IS COMING UP ON A CERTAIN DATE AND TIME?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 286 of 286

1	A CERTAINLY. WHEN IN WORKING IN DEVELOPMENTAL
2	DISABILITIES I WORKED WITH THE DD COUNSEL, THEY HAD
3	LEGISLATION. THEY WANTED US TO BE THERE TO GIVE INFORMATION
4	ON OR TO EXPLAIN ITS EFFECTS AND CERTAINLY THEY WOULD E-MAIL
5	ME DIRECTLY ON THOSE IN THOSE INSTANCES, ESPECIALLY SINCE
6	IT WAS SOMETHING THAT I WAS CLOSELY INVOLVED IN.
7	Q SO IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU'RE IN A POSITION WHERE YOU
8	RECEIVE A HIGH VOLUME OF E-MAILS FROM A LOT OF INTEREST GROUPS
9	ON A LOT OF SUBJECTS; IS THAT ACCURATE TO SAY?
10	A THAT IS CORRECT.
11	Q SPECIFICALLY, YOU WERE ASKED ABOUT A COUPLE OF
12	INDIVIDUALS, NAMELY, DORINDA BORDLEE AND BENJAMIN CLAPPER; DO
13	YOU RECALL THOSE QUESTIONS?
14	A YES.
15	Q YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU KNOW WHO THEY ARE AND WHAT
16	ORGANIZATIONS THEY WORK FOR; IS THAT RIGHT?
17	A THAT'S CORRECT.
18	Q BEYOND THIS, IN THIS CONTEXT, HOW MUCH DO YOU KNOW
19	PERSONALLY ABOUT THOSE INDIVIDUALS AND THEIR ORGANIZATIONS?
20	A VERY LITTLE.
21	Q HAVE YOU SPENT TIME WITH THEM PERSONALLY OUTSIDE
22	YOUR ROLE AS SECRETARY?
23	A NO.
24	Q HAVE YOU EVER WORKED WITH THEM ON MATTERS RELATING
25	TO ABORTION REGULATION? I MEAN OUTSIDE OF THIS BILL.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 287 of 287

1	A NO. OTHER THAN I WITH DORINDA SHE IS ON A
2	COMMITTEE SIMILAR, AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, WITH CINDY COLLINS
3	ON A HUMAN TRAFFICKING COMMITTEE THAT I'M LEGISLATIVELY
4	MANDATED TO BE A PART OF.
5	Q SO YOU WOULD ASSOCIATE WITH HER AT THOSE COMMITTEE
6	MEETINGS?
7	A AT THOSE COMMITTEE BUT NOT OUTSIDE OF THAT.
8	Q YOU ALSO RECEIVED QUITE A BIT OF INPUT WITH REGARD
9	TO HOUSE BILL 388, SPEAKING OF STAKEHOLDER GROUPS, FROM THE,
10	YOU MENTIONED EARLIER, THE PRO-ABORTION SIDE OF THE EQUATION;
11	CORRECT?
12	A THAT'S CORRECT.
13	Q DOES THE NAME ELLIE SCHILLING RING A BELL TO YOU?
14	A CORRECT.
1.5	Q WHO IS ELLIE SCHILLING?
16	A SHE'S PART OF THE PRO-ABORTION. I DON'T KNOW THE
17	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION THAT SHE WORKS WITH.
18	Q BUT SHE SUBMITTED INFORMATION TO YOU WITH REGARD TO
19	THIS BILL?
20	A THAT'S CORRECT. SHE SUBMITTED AND WE MET WITH HER
21	AS WELL.
22	Q AND SHE WAS OPPOSED TO THE BILL?
23	A YES.
24	Q AND ARE YOU AWARE THAT SHE WAS INITIALLY COUNSEL IN
25	THIS CASE?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 288 of 288

1	A I DID HEAR THAT.
2	Q I'LL MOVE ON. ON GENERAL LEGAL MATTERS, GIVEN THAT
3	YOUR BACKGROUND IS SOCIAL WORK, BEHAVIORAL HEALTH AND
4	DISABILITIES AND THE LIKE, WHO DO YOU TYPICALLY RELY UPON FOR
5	YOUR LEGAL COUNSEL IN YOUR OFFICE?
6	A MY EXECUTIVE COUNSEL AND MY GENERAL COUNSEL.
7	Q AND THE GENERAL COUNSEL IS STEVE RUSSO?
8	A MY EXECUTIVE COUNSEL IS STEVE RUSSO. GENERAL
9	COUNSEL IS KIMBERLY HUMBLES.
.0	Q AND BOTH OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS HAVE BEEN WITH DHH FOR
1	A NUMBER OF YEARS; CORRECT?
12	A YES.
13	Q ALMOST 20 YEARS EACH?
L4	A YES, I THINK 19.
L5	Q SO YOU REASONABLY RELY UPON THEIR COUNSEL ON A LOT
16	OF THESE MATTERS; IS THAT RIGHT?
17	A YES, I DO.
18	Q AND ON INTERPRETING AND ADMINISTERING VARIOUS DHH
19	REGULATIONS YOU RELY, AS YOU SAID EARLIER, ON YOUR VARIOUS
20	DIVISION HEADS WHO HAVE SPECIALTIES IN THOSE AREAS; IS THAT
21	RIGHT?
22	A THAT IS CORRECT.
23	Q AND IF THERE IS A GRAY AREA IN TERMS OF
24	INTERPRETATION, THAT IS WHAT WOULD ULTIMATELY FALL TO THE
25	DECISION OF THE SECRETARY; IS THAT RIGHT?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 289 of 289

1	A THAT'S RIGHT. AND LOTS OF, YOU KNOW, EVERYDAY
2	DECISIONS ARE MADE BY THE DEPARTMENTAL HEADS OR INDIVIDUAL
3	LICENSING THE HEAD OF LICENSING. BUT IF THERE'S A GRAY
4	AREA, THINGS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO INTERPRETATION, THEN THAT
5	WOULD BE BROUGHT TO ME FOR DISCUSSION WITH MY COUNSEL.
6	Q ALL RIGHT. JOINT EXHIBIT 191 IS YOUR DECLARATION
7	THAT WE WERE ALL LOOKING AT A FEW MOMENTS AGO. IF YOU WOULD
8	PUT THAT IN FRONT OF YOU AGAIN. THAT'S THE DECLARATION WE
9	BROUGHT HERE LAST WEEK.
10	THE COURT: Y'ALL ARE GOING TO PUT IT ON THE SCREEN?
11	MR. JOHNSON: THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL. IT'S NOT
12	THE WITNESS: IT'S NOT IN THIS BOOK.
13	MR. JOHNSON: OH, IT'S NOT?
14	THE WITNESS: I ONLY GO UP TO 150
15	MR. JOHNSON: IF WE COULD PUT IT ON THE SCREEN IT'S
16	NOT A CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT.
17	BY MR. JOHNSON:
18	Q HERE WE GO. DO YOU SEE THAT, MADAM SECRETARY?
19	A YES.
20	Q IF YOU WOULD IF WE COULD SCROLL DOWN TO PAGE 2 OF
21	THAT DOCUMENT, PARAGRAPH 4. NOW, YOUR DECLARATION STATES THAT
22	YOU'RE AWARE THAT OVER THE PAST WEEK IT SAYS, PLAINTIFFS
23	PRODUCED DOCUMENTS RELEVANT TO THE ADMITTING PRIVILEGES
24	GRANTED ON FEBRUARY 24TH, 2015, TO DR. JOHN DOE NUMBER 2 BY A
25	HOSPITAL IN NEW ORLEANS; IS THAT RIGHT?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 290 of 299

1	A THAT'S CORRECT.
2	Q "THE OVER THE PAST WEEK," LANGUAGE IS WHAT I WANTED
3	TO ASK YOU ABOUT. ARE YOU AWARE THAT YOUR COUNSEL HAD TO
4	SUBPOENA THE HOSPITAL THERE TO OBTAIN THOSE DOCUMENTS?
5	A NO, I WASN'T UNTIL TODAY.
6	Q OKAY. ARE YOU AWARE THAT COUNSEL HAD REQUESTED
7	THOSE DOCUMENTS A NUMBER OF TIMES GOING BACK SEVERAL MONTHS?
8	A I UNDERSTOOD THAT, YES.
9	Q AND YOU WERE AS YOU SAID EARLIER, YOU WERE JUST
10	GIVEN THOSE DOCUMENTS LITERALLY THE DAY BEFORE YOUR
11	DECLARATION WAS MADE; IS THAT RIGHT?
12	A THAT'S CORRECT.
13	Q AND IT SAYS HERE IN YOUR STATEMENT THAT YOU BASED
14	YOUR ANALYSIS AND YOUR ULTIMATE DECISION ON THESE TWO
15	INDIVIDUALS, DR. DOE NUMBER 2 AND DOE NUMBER 5, BASED UPON
16	THESE DOCUMENTS THAT YOU RECEIVED AND THEN DULY CONSIDERED; IS
17	THAT RIGHT?
18	A THAT IS CORRECT.
19	Q AND IT GOES HERE AND SPECIFIES STEP BY STEP EXACTLY
20	WHAT YOUR FINDINGS WERE ON PAGE 3, PARAGRAPH 6, WHICH YOU WERE
21	ASKED ABOUT EARLIER. YOU HAVE IT IN FRONT OF YOU, AND I DON'T
22	NEED YOU TO READ THROUGH IT, BUT GENERALLY IT LOOKS LIKE
23	SUBPARTS A, B, C, D, E, THERE WERE FIVE INDEPENDENT
24	EVALUATIONS THAT YOU MADE BASED UPON THESE DOCUMENTS; IS THAT
25	RIGHT?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 291 of 2015

1	A THAT IS CORRECT.
2	Q AND BASED UPON YOUR OWN DISCRETION AND YOUR
3	UNDERSTANDING OF THE LAW, THE INTERPRETATION OF THE STATUTE,
4	HAVING CHECKED OFF THOSE FIVE BOXES YOU DETERMINED THAT
5	DR. JOHN DOE NUMBER 2 DOES SUFFICIENTLY COMPLY WITH THE ACT;
6	IS THAT RIGHT?
7	A THAT IS CORRECT.
8	Q AND YOU MADE THE SAME DETERMINATION OVER ON PAGE 4,
9	PARAGRAPH 8 WITH REGARD TO DR. JOHN DOE NUMBER 5; IS THAT
.0	RIGHT?
1	A THAT'S CORRECT.
.2	Q NOW, THIS WAS AN IMPORTANT QUESTION TO CLARIFY
.3	SOMETHING THAT WAS SAID EARLIER. YOU MENTIONED THAT EVERY
4	HOSPITAL HAS ITS OWN STANDARDS; IS THAT RIGHT?
.5	A YES. THEIR OWN
.6	Q WITH REGARD
.7	A DEFINITIONS OF WHAT ADMITTING PRIVILEGES AND
8.	OTHER PRIVILEGES ARE.
.9	Q RIGHT. SO THE TERM, "COURTESY," WAS DISCUSSED
0.0	EARLIER. THE PHRASE OR THE TERM, "COURTESY PRIVILEGE," IS A
1	SUBJECTIVE TERM; IS THAT RIGHT?
2	A THAT'S THE WAY I UNDERSTAND IT, YES.
3	Q SO EACH HOSPITAL MIGHT SUBJECTIVELY DEFINE THAT TERM
4	DIFFERENTLY AND SPELL IT OUT AS SUCH IN THEIR BYLAWS; IS THAT
25	RIGHT?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 292 of 298

1	A THAT'S CORRECT.
2	Q SO THERE'S NOT THERE'S NOT A PARTICULAR TERM OF
3	ART THAT WOULD BE UNIVERSALLY UNDERSTOOD ACROSS THE HEALTH
4	CARE INDUSTRY WITH REGARD TO THAT TERM?
5	A THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING, YES.
6	Q SO IT, BY NECESSITY, HAS TO BE INTERPRETED
7	INDIVIDUALLY IN EACH PARTICULAR CASE; IS THAT RIGHT?
8	A THAT'S CORRECT.
9	Q SO IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY, BECAUSE I THINK THIS IS THE
10	SUBSTANCE OF YOUR DECLARATION, CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, BUT
11	YOU MADE AN INDIVIDUAL DETERMINATION BASED UPON THE SPECIFIC
12	DOCUMENTS PRESENTED TO YOU IN THE CASES OF EACH OF THESE
13	DOCTORS IN THIS CASE?
14	A THAT'S RIGHT.
15	MS. DOUFEKIAS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. HE CAN ASK
16	ABOUT THE DECLARATION, BUT HE'S JUST CHARACTERIZING IT.
17	THE COURT: NOW YOUR LEADING OBJECTION IS IN FACT
18	SUSTAINED.
19	MS. DOUFEKIAS: THANK YOU.
20	MR. JOHNSON: I'M JUST GETTING IN A HURRY, JUDGE.
21	I'M TRYING TO GET US OUT OF HERE. SORRY.
22	THE COURT: WELL, I'M IN A HURRY TOO, BUT DON'T
23	MR. JOHNSON: I'LL DO IT THE RIGHT WAY.
24	BY MR. JOHNSON:
25	Q WHY DON'T YOU CHARACTERIZE FOR ME I THINK DOES

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 293 of 293

1	THE DECLARATION SAY THAT YOU MADE AN INDIVIDUAL, SPECIFIC
2	DETERMINATION IN EACH OF THESE CASES?
3	A YES. WHAT I DID WAS TAKE THE DOCUMENTATION THAT WAS
4	GIVEN TO ME LOOK AT IT, LOOK AT THE STATUTE, REVIEW EACH OF
5	WHAT WAS SPECIFICALLY IN THE DOCUMENTS, COMPARE IT WITH WHAT
6	WAS IN THE STATUTE, MADE THE DECISION, AND, AGAIN, IN
7	CONSULTATION ALSO WITH MY COUNSEL, BUT MADE A VERY INDEPENDENT
8	DECISION THAT I FELT IT MET THE REQUIREMENTS THAT WERE IN THE
9	STATUTE.
10	Q AND IF ONE OR MORE OF THE DOCUMENTS PRESENTED TO YOU
1	HAD DIFFERED IN TERMS OF A DEFINITION OR A SPECIFIC TERM THE
.2	OUTCOME MIGHT HAVE CHANGED?
13	A YES. I MEAN DEPENDING ON WHAT THOSE WHAT WAS IN
4	THERE, YES.
.5	Q SO YOU HAVE A GENERAL UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE LAW
16	PROVIDES ACT 620; CORRECT?
L7	A CORRECT.
18	Q AND THEN YOU UNDERSTAND THAT IT'S YOUR ROLE AS
19	SECRETARY TO INTERPRET THAT SPECIFICALLY IN EACH INDIVIDUAL
20	CASE; IS THAT RIGHT?
21	A THAT'S CORRECT.
22	Q AND SO DEPENDING UPON ALL OF THE VARIOUS ENUMERABLE
23	FACTORS YOUR OUTCOME OR YOUR DECISION MIGHT BE DIFFERENT BASED
24	UPON ONE CHANGE AND A LITTLE FACT; IS THAT RIGHT?
) [A THAT IS CORRECT

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 294 of 294

MS. DOUFEKIAS: OBJECTION, YOU'RE HONOR. EVERY
QUESTION HAS BEEN LEADING SINCE MY LAST ONE.
THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
MR. JOHNSON: APOLOGIES. I'LL MOVE ON.
BY MR. JOHNSON:
Q WE'RE ALMOST FINISHED. THERE WERE SOME QUESTIONS
ABOUT THE REPEAL OF THE FNR PROCESS, THE DECISION YOU MADE AT
THE END OF LAST WEEK, AND I THOUGHT YOU EXPLAINED YOUR
DECISION WELL. BUT ONE THING I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY, YOU
DIDN'T OR DID YOU MAKE A DETERMINATION ABOUT THE TRUTH,
ACCURACY OR VERACITY OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT WERE PRESENTED BY
PLANNED PARENTHOOD, THE EXHIBIT THAT WE ALL REVIEWED HERE,
WHICH WOULD BE PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 178?
A NO.
MS. DOUFEKIAS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. IT'S LEADING
AND COUNSEL DOESN'T NEED TO COMMENT ON WHAT HE THOUGHT OF THE
ANSWER.
THE COURT: I DO THINK IT'S INAPPROPRIATE TO COMMENT
ABOUT WHAT HER TESTIMONY WAS, WELL OR NOT WELL OR GOOD OR BAD
OR INDIFFERENT, THAT'S NOT YOUR ROLE, MR. JOHNSON, BUT
MR. JOHNSON: SORRY, YOUR HONOR. YOU'RE RIGHT.
BY MR. JOHNSON:
Q THE QUESTION I JUST ASKED, DID YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT I
WAS ASKING? DID YOU FOR PURPOSES OF CLARIFICATION, DID YOU
MAKE A DETERMINATION ABOUT THE TRUTH, ACCURACY OR VERACITY OF

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 295 of 295

1	THE INFORMATION OR THE DOCUMENTS THAT WERE PRESENTED BY
2	PLANNED PARENTHOOD?
3	A NO.
4	MS. DOUFEKIAS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
5	THE COURT: IT'S BEEN ASKED AND ANSWERED. IT'S
6	GOING TO COME SOONER OR LATER BY HOOK OR BY CROOK,
7	MR. JOHNSON: OKAY.
8	THE COURT: SO OVERRULED.
9	BY MR. JOHNSON:
.0	Q MADAM SECRETARY, IS REPEALING AN ADMINISTRATIVE RULE
1.	UNCOMMON?
.2	A NO. WE'VE DONE IT WE'VE DONE IT I MEAN THAT'S
13	NOT THE MOST COMMON THING THAT HAPPENS ALL THAT OFTEN, BUT
L4	ABSOLUTELY IT'S NOT UNCOMMON. WE HAVE TO DO IT WHEN THINGS
1.5	CHANGE, WHEN PROGRAMS CHANGE, WE HAVE TO REPEAL OR RESCIND.
L6	SOMETIMES WE PUT OUT EMERGENCY RULES, RECOGNIZE THAT THEY'RE
L7	NOT THE RULES THAT YOU KNOW, AFTER WE GET PUBLIC COMMENT
18	THEY'RE NOT WHAT WE WANTED AND RESCIND THOSE RULES, SO IT IS
19	DEFINITELY NOT UNCOMMON.
20	Q IN GENERAL AND IN CLOSING, HOW DO YOU REGARD YOUR
21	ROLE AS THE LEADER OF DHH? IN TERMS OF THE POLITICS THAT GET
22	INVOLVED IN BATON ROUGE?
23	A AGAIN
24	MS. DOUFEKIAS: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
25	THE COURT: WHAT'S THE OBJECTION?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 296 of 296

1	MS. DOUFEKIAS: IT'S LEADING. IT WAS GOOD UNTIL THE
2	IN TERMS OF POLITICS.
3	THE COURT: OKAY.
4	MR. JOHNSON: I'M TIRED, YOUR HONOR.
5	BY MR. JOHNSON:
6	Q IS NEUTRALITY AN IMPORTANT PRINCIPLE TO YOU.
7	A ABSOLUTELY.
8	Q CAN YOU EXPLAIN THAT SO THAT I'M NOT LEADING?
9	A CERTAINLY. EVERYTHING THAT EVERY DECISION I MAKE
10	IS NOT BASED ON MY PERSONAL BELIEFS OR ON, YOU KNOW, THE
11	BELIEFS OF ANY ONE GROUP OR ANOTHER. IT'S BASED ON WHAT I
12	BELIEVE IS THE RIGHT THING TO DO FOR THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF
13	INDIVIDUALS IN LOUISIANA. I DO HEAR LISTEN TO MY STAFF,
14	WHAT THEY'RE WITH THEIR EXPERTISE AND THEIR KNOWLEDGE AND
15	THEN MAKE DECISIONS THAT ARE VERY OBJECTIVE AS A NEUTRAL PARTY
16	TO MAKE SURE I'M ENFORCING THE RULES AND REGULATIONS THAT I
17	WAS GIVEN THE RESPONSIBILITY TO ENFORCE.
18	Q ARE YOUR STAFF AND CHIEF ADVISERS, IS THAT A DIVERSE
19	GROUP OF PEOPLE?
20	A YES, ABSOLUTELY.
21	Q DID THEY ALL STRIKE THAT. DO YOU HAVE ANY
22	PARTICULAR INVOLVEMENT IN ANY POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS?
23	A NO.
24	Q ANY ADVOCACY GROUPS OF ANY KIND?
25	A NO.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 297 of 2975

1	MR. JOHNSON: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
2	THE COURT: RECROSS?
3	RECROSS
4	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
5	Q SECRETARY KLIEBERT, I PROMISE I HAVE VERY FEW
6	QUESTIONS. YOU TESTIFIED ON YOUR DIRECT ABOUT WHAT YOU
7	THOUGHT WAS THE MOST IMPORTANT PART OF HB 388 WAS THAT DOCTORS
8	WERE AVAILABLE 24 HOURS A DAY.
9	A I DIDN'T SAY THAT WAS THE MOST IMPORTANT PART. I
10	SAID THAT WAS ANOTHER PORTION ANOTHER PART OF THAT
11	LEGISLATION THAT ADDED TO HEALTH AND SAFETY.
12	Q I APOLOGIZE. IS THAT A PART OF THE STATUTE THAT'S
13	IMPORTANT TO YOU?
14	A YES.
15	Q ARE YOU AWARE THAT DOCTORS IN THIS CASE HAVE
16	TESTIFIED THAT THEY ARE AVAILABLE TO THEIR PATIENTS 24 HOURS A
17	DAY?
18	A I AM NOT AWARE OF THAT, BUT I WOULD ASSUME THAT
19	SINCE IT'S REGULATION THAT THAT'S BEING FOLLOWED, BUT I WASN'T
20	AWARE OF THE TESTIMONY IN THE TRIAL.
21	Q AND ACCORDING TO YOUR EARLIER TESTIMONY A DOCTOR
22	UNDER THE PRIVILEGES THAT YOU'VE DESCRIBED AS MEETING THE ACT
23	WOULDN'T BE AVAILABLE 24/7 BECAUSE THAT DOCTOR ISN'T PROVIDING
24	DIAGNOSTIC AND SURGICAL CARE; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
25	A AGAIN, THE STATUTE REFERS TO THE CLINIC HAVING A

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 298 of 298

1	HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONAL AVAILABLE 24/7. NOW, I DON'T BELIEVE
2	IT'S REFERRING TO THE PHYSICIAN THAT PHYSICIAN BEING
3	AVAILABLE. AGAIN, I'M NOT REALLY SURE WHAT YOU'RE ASKING,
4	BECAUSE ONCE THEY GO TO THE ADMITTING HOSPITAL THAT HOSPITAL
5	HAS RESPONSIBILITY FOR CARE.
6	Q SO AS LONG AS THE PATIENT CAN SEE SOMEONE AT A
7	HOSPITAL AND GET THAT CARE 24 HOURS A DAY THAT'S THAT'S
8	WHAT'S IMPORTANT TO YOU?
9	A AGAIN, I'M NOT REAL SURE WHAT YOU'RE ASKING.
10	THERE'S NUMEROUS THINGS THAT ARE IMPORTANT TO ME ABOUT THE
11	HEALTH CARE OF ANYBODY THAT IS RECEIVING SERVICES, SO SAYING
12	THAT'S WHAT YOU KNOW, THAT PARTICULAR THING IS MOST
13	IMPORTANT TO ME, I CAN'T SAY THAT.
14	Q THAT IS AN IMPORTANT PART OF HB 388 TO YOU?
15	A RIGHT, IT IS AN IMPORTANT PART.
16	Q THAT THE PATIENT CAN GO TO THE HOSPITAL AND GET
17	THOSE SERVICES 24 HOURS A DAY?
18	A YES.
19	Q YOU WERE ASKED I THINK AT ONE POINT COUNSEL
20	CHARACTERIZED THAT YOU GET LOTS OF E-MAILS FROM LOTS OF
21	DIFFERENT ADVOCACY GROUPS?
22	A THAT'S CORRECT.
23	Q AND WE WENT THROUGH A BUNCH OF E-MAILS WHERE PEOPLE
24	IN YOUR OFFICE WERE PROVIDING DIFFERENT INFORMATION BACK AND

FORTH WITH CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE INVOLVED IN ADVOCACY

GROUPS; DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?

A YES.

Q WOULD IT SURPRISE YOU THAT DHH HAS NOT PRODUCED A SINGLE E-MAIL IN THIS CASE OF THAT NATURE WITH DHH PROVIDING INFORMATION LIKE THAT TO SOMEONE IN A PRO-CHOICE GROUP ABOUT HB 388?

A THAT WOULD SURPRISE ME BECAUSE I HAVE -- THERE ARE E-MAILS THAT HAVE THAT. THAT HAVE -- THAT THERE WAS QUESTIONS AND FEEDBACK PROVIDED BY THOSE ANTI -- BY THOSE PRO-ABORTION GROUPS. SO I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE DISCOVERY ASKS FOR OR THAT -- BUT, AGAIN, YES, THAT WOULD VERY MUCH SURPRISE ME BECAUSE I KNOW THERE ARE E-MAILS THAT EXIST THAT SUPPORT THOSE CONVERSATIONS.

Q OKAY.

A AGAIN, I WANT TO VERIFY -- I MEAN I WANT TO CLARIFY
THAT E-MAILS -- I KNOW THE CONVERSATIONS OCCURRED AND I'M
PRETTY POSITIVE THERE ARE E-MAILS THAT VERIFY IT, BUT I DON'T
WANT TO SAY THAT WITH NO UNCERTAINTY. I KNOW THE
CONVERSATIONS OCCURRED AND I KNOW WE RECEIVED WRITTEN FEEDBACK
FROM THOSE GROUPS AND CERTAINLY WE CAN VERIFY THAT.

Q HOW MANY TIMES HAVE YOU RESCINDED A RULE IN THE PAST YEAR?

A WE RESCINDED A RULE -- RESCIND AND REPEAL, BECAUSE
THEY ARE TWO DIFFERENT THINGS. WE'VE PROBABLY, IN THE PAST
YEAR, PROBABLY TWO REPEALS, ONE RECENT -- ONE RESCINDED. A

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 300 of 309

1	LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT IN TERMS OF THE RULE IS NOT
2	ACTUALLY IF IT'S AN EMERGENCY RULE IT'S NOT ACTUALLY A
3	RULE, YOU RESCIND. IF IT'S ACTUALLY A RULE YOU REPEAL.
4	Q I JUST
5	A SO THREE ADDITIONAL TIMES IN THE LAST YEAR, YEAR AND
6	A HALF.
7	Q OKAY, AND YOU WERE YOU SAID A COUPLE OF TIMES ON
8	YOUR DIRECT THAT DHH IT'S IMPORTANT THAT DHH SHOULD BE
9	NEUTRAL
.0	A CORRECT.
1	Q AND THERE WERE A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS BACK AND
.2	FORTH ABOUT THAT?
13	A YES.
L4	Q AND THEN IN THE TESTIMONY THAT WE LOOKED AT ON YOUR
.5	CROSS YOU TESTIFIED THAT THE HB 388 PROTECTS THE UNBORN;
6	ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
L7	A YES.
18	Q JUST ONE LAST QUESTION. IF WE COULD PUT UP JOINT
.9	EXHIBIT CAN WE PUT UP JOINT EXHIBIT 77? IF YOU COULD TAKE
20	A LOOK FIRST AT PAGE, IF YOU LOOK AT THE PAGE NUMBERS AT THE
21	BOTTOM, 1521. AT THE VERY BOTTOM IT SAYS, THERE'S PAGE
22	A IT'S NOT UP.
23	THE COURT: IT'S NOT ON MY SCREEN. IT IS NOW.
24	MS. DOUFEKIAS: YOU KNOW WHAT, IT'S ACTUALLY SUBJECT
25	TO THE PROTECTIVE ORDER, SO IT SHOULDN'T BE ON YOUR SCREEN.

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 301 of 301

1	BY MS. DOUFEKIAS:
2	Q IT CAN BE ON YOUR SCREEN, SECRETARY KLIEBERT, IT
3	JUST CAN'T BE ON THE GALLEY SCREEN.
4	A OKAY.
5	Q DO YOU SEE THAT THIS IS A FAX TO CECILE CASTELLO?
6	A YES.
7	Q AND IF WE COULD SCROLL DOWN TO THE NEXT PAGE. DO
8	YOU SEE THERE'S A COVER LETTER FROM ONE OF THE HOSPITALS
9	A YES.
10	Q THAT WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING?
11	A YES.
12	Q AND IF YOU CAN LOOK IT ASKS FOR CERTAIN DOCUMENTS?
13	A WHERE
14	Q I APOLOGIZE. LET ME REPHRASE THAT QUESTION. IF YOU
15	LOOK AT THE COVER LETTER SOMEONE FROM THAT HOSPITAL IS
16	PROVIDING CERTAIN DOCUMENTS TO MS, CASTELLO; IS THAT RIGHT?
17	A YES. THAT'S WHAT IT APPEARS, YES.
18	Q AND IF YOU COULD TAKE A LOOK AT THE DATE AT THE TOP
19	OF THAT DOCUMENT?
20	A YES.
21	Q IF YOU COULD SCROLL DOWN TO THE NEXT DOCUMENT. AND
22	I APOLOGIZE, BECAUSE THIS IS A CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT I CAN'T
23	TESTIFY ABOUT ITS CONTENTS, SO IF YOU'LL BEAR WITH ME A LITTLE
24	BIT. DO YOU SEE THAT THIS NEXT PAGE IS THE ORIGINAL LETTER
25	REQUESTING THOSE DOCUMENTS WHERE MS. CASTELLO REQUESTED

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 302 of 308

1	THOSE DOCUMENTS FROM THE HOSPITAL?
2	A YES.
3	Q AND DO YOU SEE IN THE SECOND TO LAST PARAGRAPH OF
4	THAT LETTER SHE ASKS TO RECEIVE THOSE DOCUMENTS BY THE CLOSE
5	OF BUSINESS ON A CERTAIN DAY?
6	A YES.
7	Q AND IF YOU WILL LOOK AT THE DATE OF THIS PAGE IT'S
8	THREE DAYS BEFORE SHE GOT SHE ASKED FOR THE DOCUMENTS.
9	I'LL REPRESENT TO YOU THAT THE YEAR
10	A IS WRONG.
11	Q IS LIKELY A TYPO.
12	A YES.
13	Q SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT MS. CASTELLO WANTED
14	DOCUMENTS FROM A HOSPITAL AND SHE WROTE TO THAT HOSPITAL AND
1.5	ASKED THAT HOSPITAL FOR DOCUMENTS AND SHE GOT THEM IN THREE
16	DAYS?
17	A AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW WHEN SHE GOT THEM. I KNOW THAT
18	SHE REQUESTED THEM BY THEN. DOES IT SHOW THAT SHE GOT THEM
19	Q CAN WE LOOK BACK IF YOU LOOK BACK
20	A SORRY.
21	Q AT THE PAGE BEFORE? YES, SOMETIMES PAPER IS
22	ACTUALLY EASIER. DO YOU SEE THE DATE ON THAT SECOND LETTER
23	ON THAT FIRST LETTER?
24	A YES.
25	Q THE COVER LETTER?

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 303 of 303

1	A YES.
2	Q IS THAT THE DATE SHE WANTED THE DOCUMENTS BY?
3	A YES.
4	Q SO SHE ASKED FOR DOCUMENTS FROM THE HOSPITAL AND SHE
5	GOT THEM WITHIN THREE DAYS; IS THAT RIGHT?
6	A THAT'S CORRECT.
7	MS. DOUFEKIAS: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
8	THE COURT: THANK YOU, MA'AM. YOU MAY STAND DOWN.
9	THE WITNESS: I'M DONE?
10	THE COURT: YOU'RE DONE.
11	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.
12	THE COURT: WELL, IT'S 4:59 EXACTLY SO VERY NICE
13	TIMING.
14	WHAT'S THE LINEUP FOR TOMORROW?
15	MS. DOUFEKIAS: THE LINEUP FOR TOMORROW IS DR. DOE 1
16	AND THEN WE HAVE THEN I BELIEVE WE ARE DONE, YOUR HONOR,
17	AND IT IS MR. DUNCAN AND MR. JOHNSON'S TURN.
18	THE COURT: OKAY.
19	MR. DUNCAN: SO, JUDGE, HERE'S WHERE WE ARE. AND,
20	AGAIN, WE'VE MADE EVERY EFFORT TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE'S NOT
21	ANY DEAD SPACE, BUT I THINK IT'S INEVITABLE THAT THERE'S GOING
22	TO BE, SO HERE'S WHAT WE CAN DO. WE CAN START OUT WITH CECILE
23	CASTELLO, WHICH IS OUT OF ORDER FOR US, BUT WE'LL PUT HER ON
24	TO GET SOMETHING DONE.
25	I JUST DON'T THINK IT'S GOING TO WORK FOR DR. MARIER

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 304 of 304

1	TO TRY TO START AT SOME POINT TOMORROW, BECAUSE HE MAY TESTIFY
2	FOR A LONG TIME AND IT WOULD BE MUCH BETTER I THINK IT'S
3	DIFFICULT FOR HIM TO BE HERE.
4	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.
5	MR. DUNCAN: AND IT WOULD BE MUCH EASIER FOR HIM TO
6	START EARLY THURSDAY AND WE COULD KNOCK OUT HIM. WE COULD
7	KNOCK OUT, AND SOMEBODY CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, DR. SOLANKY
8	AND THEN WE COULD FINISH UP WITH DR. CUDIHY ON FRIDAY AND
9	HOPEFULLY GET DONE FAIRLY EARLY ON FRIDAY. NOW, I WISH DR. C
10	COULD COME ON FRIDAY AND THEN WE WOULD TRULY BE DONE.
11	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND WE HAVE AT LEAST, I SAY AT
12	LEAST, MY UNDERSTANDING IS WE HAVE TWO DOCTORS, ONE FOR THE
13	PLAINTIFFS PLAINTIFFS, ONE FOR THE DEFENDANT THAT HAVE TO
14	GO ON MONDAY JUST BECAUSE OF SCHEDULING ISSUES; RIGHT?
15	MR. DUNCAN: THAT'S RIGHT. SO THAT'S WHY I SAY IT'S
16	INEVITABLE THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE SOME DEAD TIME.
17	THE COURT: RIGHT.
18	MR. DUNCAN: I MEAN, I THINK IF IT'S GOING TO BE
19	MAYBE SOME TOMORROW THEN INSTEAD OF AND THEN GET THE
20	TESTIMONY DONE ON THURSDAY AND FRIDAY.
21	THE COURT: YEAH, THAT'S NO PROBLEM. IT SOUNDS LIKE
22	WE'LL BE ABLE TO GET SOME GOOD WORK DONE TOMORROW AND WE'LL
23	ROLL ALONG.
24	MR. DUNCAN: AND IT'LL ALSO ALLOW US TO DO SOME
25	HOUSEKEEPING STUFF LIKE GETTING THE EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO THE

Case 3:14-cv-00525-JWD-RLB Document 191 08/21/15 Page 305 of 305

1	DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS AND WHATEVER ELSE IS STACKED UP TO DO.
2	THE COURT: TERRIFIC. THAT SOUNDS GREAT. OKAY.
3	ANYTHING FURTHER?
4	MS. DOUFEKIAS: JUST ONE THING. MR. DUNCAN AND I
5	SPOKE DURING THE BREAK AND WE CAME TO AN AGREEMENT THAT BOTH
6	PARTIES ARE WILLING TO WAIVE CLOSINGS.
7	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL THAT SOUNDS GOOD NEWS TO
8	THE COURT.
9	SO THAT'S GOOD FOR TODAY. WE'LL SEE YOU GUYS
10	TOMORROW AT 8:30 IN THE MORNING.
11	(WHEREUPON COURT WAS IN RECESS.)
12	CERTIFICATE
13	I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT
14	FROM THE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED
15	NUMBERED MATTER.
16	S/ GINA DELATTE-RICHARD
17	GINA DELATTE-RICHARD, CCR
18	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	