

No. 18-1238

---

---

In The  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

---

VEERAMUTHU P. GOUNDER,

*Petitioner,*

vs.

PROGRESSIVE CREDIT UNION  
AND COMMUNICAR INC.,

*Respondents.*

---

---

**On Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari To  
The State Of New York Court Of Appeals**

---

---

**PETITION FOR REHEARING**


---

---

VEERAMUTHU P. GOUNDER  
94-11 Springfield Blvd.  
Queens Village, NY 11428  
Phone Number 347-843-1495

---

---



## **SUBMISSION FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Dear Respected and Honorable Justices of the Supreme court of Washington, DC. My name is Veeramuthu P. Gounder, and I am not a lawyer, but a simple taxi driver who cannot afford a lawyer, and am fighting for Justice, Pro-se.

I refer to the above case, and find no explanation for the denial for certiorari, and wish to resubmit for reconsideration, on the grounds that there is no reason given for the vague dismissal that bears no signature of any Justice of the Supreme court, and just a letter from the Clerk of the court, and even though I am not a lawyer, find it hard to reconcile this arbitrary denial without any facts in opposition to my arguments that have merit, or it would not have reached the Supreme court of Washington, DC, and as a Pro Se taxi driver, who is under financial constraints, and on public assistance.

I have produced 40 booklets, and was assured that my case would be perused by 40 learned Justices of the Supreme court of Washington, DC, after much struggle, and financial difficulties, and I contend that I need an explanation for the grounds of denial, as I have paid the legal court fees for the submission, which was accepted, and as such should be told the reason for the disqualification in my search for Justice.

Is Justice only meted out in The United States of America to rich applicants, who have lawyers, and not for struggling citizens on public assistance?

Facts of the case this case index number CV-030309-13/QU filing date October 30, 2013 is concerning the transfer/sale of 64 share radio certificates between Communicar Inc. and progressive credit union, without a ruling being followed, that a share of transfer fee of dollars 1,000 per sale radio share certificate is to be paid in to the shareholders account, and which was not done. Petitioner, as independent contractor, and shareholder, is losing his share of the profit sharing, and sued for the transfer fee to be paid.

At bench trial, hon. Judge Jodi Orlow stated that this is inadmissible unsigned and unacknowledged, and Res Judicata, and frivolous, but she failed to look at the evidence provided, which included a letter from the president of Communicar Inc. (Pascale FERNI) stating the confirmation that the 64 shares were now under the sole ownership of Progressive Credit Union.

As no discovery was provided by the defendants, in spite of several requests by petitioner since 2011, petitioner sent a court compel discovery to the defendants but they never responded within the 30 day window and the bench trial in spite of the petitioner stating to the judge about the compel discovery, Honorable Judge Jodi Orlow chose to ignore the contempt and dismissed the case.

The case was incomplete, as it had never been to final trial but hon. Judge Jodi Orlow set a date for costs and threw the petitioner out of the court and made a decision for the fee amount which is unethical,

incorrect and without the petitioner being present in the court.

Communicar Inc. stated under oath and perjured themselves several times stating incorrectly that they had never had any sales or purchased of shares between Progressive Credit Union and Communicar Inc.

Progressive Credit Union in 2011, stated under oath that they purchased the shares in March 16, 2011 from Communicar Inc. In December 2012, Progressive Credit Under Stated under oath that they subsequently sold the shares to various shareholders or drivers of Communicar Inc. 71 out of 73 Radios with money for the purchased being paid to Progressive Credit Union on an installment plan, which was an untruth.

On August 5, 2011, the election record shows that Progressive Credit Union is the Sole Owner of the 64 Radio Stock Share Certificate, and also proves that they are the sole owner of the 64 radios which paper where given to the petitioner when he was the officer of the Corporation, as Co-Chairman to Vice President of Rules and Security.

All the Judges of the Civil Court of Queens who sat on this case failed to peruse the evidence submitted, which shows, beyond the shadow of doubt that Progressive Credit Union purchased 64 Radios stock certificates without paying the required total transfer fees of \$64,000.00.

The court then incorrectly consolidated three other cases with these cases which have no common

connection of matter, and bearing individual case numbers, court fees paid and grandfather at different dates and years. How was this Consolidated by a judge of the same ranking when it was earlier denied by other Judges? And Having been for bench trial more than 10 times each and never been for final trial.

Hon. Judge William A. Viscovich ignored the court ordered subpoena Duces Tecum an incomplete EBT dismissed all three cases stated that the petitioner never responded, as dossier was empty as it had been given away to a person who had not even filed for notice of appearance by Hon. Judge Judi Orlow, and who took away all the petitioner submissions, briefs and evidence in a running trial with him out of the court.

These three Index case numbers CV-092419-11/QU, CV-027168-12/QU, and CV-032361-12/QU are not related to this current index number CV-030309-13/QU and were illegally consolidated.

Now it is a fact that the judges of the following New York Courts, Civil Court, Supreme Court, Appellate Term, Supreme Court of the Appellate Division, and the Court of Appeal Albany the highest court in the New York state did not read the evidence provided and overlooked and made incorrect and unfair decision which violated the basic civil rights and was denial of justice.

The Supreme Court of Appeals, Albany, the petitioner out the jurisdiction all though he was a New York state resident and tax payer since the past 40 years that were not reviewed by the 40 legal advisors, or

Justices of the Supreme Court of Washington, DC, and I wish to resubmit for reconsideration, failing which, I request that the case may please be put back on trial calendar, to be judged by a jury of my peers, which is my right as a US Citizen, under the Sixth Amendment.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

VEERAMUTHU P. GOUNDER (Petitioner)

**CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH**

Pursuant to Rule 44.2, I certify that the Petition is restricted to the grounds specified in the Rule with substantial grounds not previously presented. I certify that this Petition is presented in good faith and not for delay.

---

VEERAMUTHU P. GOUNDER