

No. 18-1068

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Raja Mittal,
Petitioner,

v

County Of Clark, et al.,
Respondent.


CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE AND
SERVICE

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 33(h),
Petitioner's Reply to Opposition to Petition for Writ
of Certiorari complies with the Supreme Court's
word and typeface requirements:

1. This reply to Opposition to Writ of Certiorari complies with the word limits defined in Supreme Court Rule 33(1)(g): The reply contains 2,991 words, including any footnotes and excluding the parts exempted by Supreme Court Rule 33(1)(d).
2. This reply brief complies with the typeface requirements of Supreme Court Rule 33(1)(b): The reply has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 2016 for windows 10, in 12-point Century Schoolbook (footnotes in 10-point type) with additional 2-point leading.

3. It is hereby certified that all parties required to be served have been served with copies of the 'Reply to Opposition to petition for Writ Of Certiorari', via first-class mail, postage prepaid, this __28__ day of March, 2019.

[See Attached Service List]

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Raja Mittal', is written over a horizontal line.

Raja Mittal
278 Lenape Heights Ave
Las Vegas, NV 89148.
(702) 448-8788
trident.mit@gmail.com
PETITIONER

Service List

1) Walter R. Cannon Esq.

Felicia Galati Esq.

Olson, Cannon, Gormley, Angulo & Stoberski

9950 West Cheyenne Avenue

Las Vegas, NV 89129

2) Robert C. McBride Esq.

Sean M. Kelly Esq

Carroll, Kelly, Trotter, Franzen, McKenna & Peabody

8329 W Sunset Road

Las Vegas, NV 89113