



## SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Marilyn E. Matheson

Yvonne E. Chaplin

Docket # 16-1543

**Petitioners** 

V

## AFFIDAVIT OF SUPPORT FOR THE APPLICATIONTO EXTEND THE TIME

Deutsche Bank National Bank Company et al (see attached for additional respondent)

## Respondents

On the Appeal from the United States Court of Appeals, of the Second Circuit of State of New York

Petitioners, Marilyn Matheson and Yvonne Chaplin as Pro-Se Litigants, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. We are the Petitioners in the above entitled action and we are fully familiar with the facts and circumstances contained herein and hereby submit the following to be true and accurate to the best of our knowledge.
- 2. The Petitioners, respectfully requesting for an extension of time, for at least fifty to sixty (50-60) days to file the PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

which will be due on March 12, 2018.

3. The Petitioners, apologize for the delay to file the Petition for a writ of Certiorari, which might seen as we ignored and failed to comply with the RULES of this court. The Petitioners have excellent reason why this court to accept our apologies and grant this application to extend the time.

## The Reason I Am Entitled To The Relief I Seek, Is The Following:

- 4. As a matter of FACT, Petitioner are in receipt of the United States Court of Appeals Order dated December 13, 2017 which Petitioners adamantly opposed.
- 5. Attached hereto as **EXHIBIT "A"** is a copy of the U.S. Court of Appeal order /decision dated December 13, 2017 this is the subject of the instant application.
- 6. Petitioners have called the Clerk office of the Supreme Court of the United States on many Occasions to get information and we have been receiving conflicting information.
- 7. The Petitioners was informed that you will need to have a Judgment to file a petition of writ Certiorari in this court and you would have to go back to the lower court to filed for a Petition for a rehearing in the U.S. Court of Appeal.

- 8. The Petitioners was also informed by different representative in the Clerk office of this court that they would be mailing out a "petition packages" in which they failed to do so, until today March 9, 2018 the Petitioners haven't received the "petition packages from the Supreme Court of the United States.
- 9. Today, March 9, 2018, Petitioner MARILYN MATHESON called the Supreme Court of the United States and spoke to ZACK in the Clerk office which was very informative and helpful after listening to Petitioner plight. Petitioner Matheson informed him that based on the conflicting information that we received from the Clerk office of this court. For Petitioners to prepare the Petition of writ Certiorari at this time, it would not be ready on time, to bind them in a booklet, when the due date for the Petition is on Monday, March 12, 2018.
- 10. Representative ZACK informed Matheson and we Quote Zack "that record does not shows that the "petition package" was ever mailed out. He took our address to mail out the "petition packages" and also informed us that he was going to transferred us to the person that has our case, LISA NESPITT.
- 11. MATHESON informed representative Zack that we had no actual knowledge that we have a special person that is handling our case, but LISA NESPITT is one of the parties that offered to mail the Petition packages and we haven't received it as have yet.

- 12. Although, we didn't receive the petition package on time, Petitioners Marilyn Matheson and Yvonne Chaplin were aware of the filing date March 12, 2018. That's the reason; we kept calling the Supreme Court of the United States Clerk office very often and petitioners had no idea that our case was LISA NESPITT; we were shocked because we haven't filed our petition as have yet. Representative asked Petitioner Matheson name, we didn't know we formed an alliance.
- 13. Anyway, it was this court Clerk representatives THOMAS and ZACK that believes that the Petitioners should file a "APPLICATION TO EXTEND TIME" due to the FACT that the circumstances that occurred is out of the Petitioners control to be timely, to file Petition in this Court and to serve the Respondents.
- 14. ZACK transferred Petitioner Matheson to Ms. NESPITT to assist further in this process.
- 15. Attached hereto as **EXHIBIT "B"** is a copy of the certificate of service dated March9, 2018.
- 16. Petitioners intentions is to finance this case ourselves as pro Se litigants all fees will be paid by us.

17. We hope that this court accept our apology and grant us this application to extend the time. We are sincerely grateful, thank you very kindly for your consideration.

WHEREFORE, Petitioners respectfully request that this Court grant

our motion and for any just and further relief it deems just and appropriate.

Dated: March 9, 2018

GUALIFIED IN QUEENS COUNTY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MARCH 26, 2016

3/9/2018

Respectfully yours

Yvonne E. Chaphin, Pro Se

Matheson, Pro Se

8 Brady Lane

Pawling, NY 12564

(212) 260-3324