```
1
       A
            I work up on Crazy Horse Monument.
  2
            Before that?
 3
            I was in mining most of the time and I had a business
            for six years and I did taxidermy for six years at
 5
            one point.
 6
            In Hill City?
 7
            Yes.
        A
 8
        Q
            Your parents still live around here?
 9
           No, my mother lives in Rapid and my father is dead.
       Α
10
       Q
           Do you have any brothers?
           Yes, one brother and two sisters.
11
       Α
12
       Q
          Do they live around here?
       A
           My brother lives in Hill City and both my sisters
13
14
           live here in Rapid City.
15
       Q
           What does your brother do in Hill City?
16
       A
           He runs Dakota Stone Company, it's a company my
17
           father started quite a few years ago.
18
       Q
          His name is Jim Dean, right?
19
          Yes.
20
       Q
           He's your older brother?
21
           Yes.
       Α
           Have you worked at Dakota Stone at all?
22
       Q
           I did up until six years ago.
23
24
           Worked with your brother?
```

Yeah.

Α

Still get along with your brother? 1 Yeah. 2 A Mr. Dean, would you take a look at the list of names 3 in front of you and see if you recognize any of those 5 names? No. 6 Do you know recognize any? 7 Q 8 No, I don't. You indicated in your questionnaire in answer to a 9 question that you heard about the case on the news or 10 read about it and saw it on TV? 11 12 Yeah. Do you remember what you heard or what you had seen? 13 Q Well, that that gentleman had been killed in that Α 14 donut shop and I guess I really haven't seen much of 15 it. I don't know. 16 Anything stick in your mind? 17 I don't know -- nothing I guess in particular. 18 Α Have you or any member of your immediate family ever 19 been charged with a crime? 20 I have at one time. 21 A. When was that? 22 Q Ten years ago I got a D.W.I. 23 Did you plead guilty or was the case tried? 24 25 Α I pled guilty.

And with the exception of that, nothing else? 1 2 A No. Now, are you aware that the Defendant Mr. Rhines is Q here charged with first degree murder? 5 Uhm-uhm. And the evidence is going to show, Mr. Dean, that Mr. 6 Q Rhines is a homosexual. Does that's have affect how 7 you look at Mr. Rhines? 8 I guess not. Α You said you guess not? 10 Well, no 11 A Have you ever known any homosexuals? 12 Q Yeah. 13 Α Were they friends? 14 Q A Yeah. 15 And even though they have a different lifestyle than 16 yourself, you still regard them as your friends? 17 Yeah. 18 A Now, Mr. Dean, let me try and explain to you and if 19 Q you have any questions understanding what I'm saying, 20 don't be embarrassed about asking me to explain them 21 further. This case is a little bit different than 22 most crimes. This case is divided into two phases 23 because the State has requested the death penalty, do 24

you understand?

Yeah.

23

24

25

The first phase consists of a trial determining whether or not Mr. Rhines is guilty of first degree murder. If the jury determines that the State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Rhines is guilty of first degree murder, then there is a second phase and at the second phase the issue before the jury is whether or not or what the proper or appropriate sentence is and there are only two options at the sentencing phase. If you find him guilty of first degree murder, the two options are life imprisonment without parole or death. You should understand that the death penalty at the sentencing phase is only to be considered as an alternative, if the State proves what we call an aggravating circumstances. That's kind of a thumb nail sketch of whether you can consider the death penalty and what happens at the second phase. I should also emphasize that at no time is the jury required by the Court to impose the death penalty, that's strictly up to the jury, do you understand? Uhm-uhm.

Now, before I ask you another question you should understand that in South Dakota life without parole means what it says. If the jury were to impose that

aggravating circumstance, do you understand that?

Uhm-uhm.

1

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's only after that two-step process can you even consider the application of the death penalty.

consider the death penalty until you had first found

that he was guilty of first degree murder and then

made an additional finding of the existence of an

Right. A

Do you have some circumstances in your mind which would make the death sentence appropriate as far as you are concerned?

I guess to a point I guess, yeah.

-	*	
. 2	A	Well, I don't know. I guess I have seen some
. 3	}	situations where I guess I thought it was
4		appropriate, just things that I have seen on
5		television and stuff.
. 6	Q	What kind of situations have you seen?
7	A	Well, for one thing to me when there is children
8		involved, when someone does something to children and
9.		it's proven they did it, you know, to me that
10		warrants it, I guess.
1.1	Ω	What other circumstance, aside from children?
12	A	I don't know.
13	Ω	Let's assume, take the circumstances that you
14		describe that there were children involved, why would
15		you think the death penalty is appropriate in that
16		circumstance as opposed to life imprisonment without
17		parole?
18	A	I don't know. I don't know if it is appropriate. I
19		don't know, really. I don't really know what would
20		be, I guess, for sure.
21	Q	Would you feel life imprisonment without parole is a
22		very severe sentence in itself?
23	A	Yeah.
24	Q	Have you ever thought about which, in your mind,
25		would be worse?

I don't know what would be right.

	1	Q	Would you give the matter a lot of thought before you
	2		ever imposed the death sentence?
	3	A	Yeah.
	4	Q .	Is your child living with you or your wife at the
	5		present time?
	6	A	My wife. We have joint custody.
	7	Q	She lives in Hill City as well?
	8	A	They live in Rapid.
	9	Q	Does she work?
÷	10	A	Yes, for the Department of Revenue.
	11	MR.	GILBERT: Pass for cause.
1 .	12	THE	COURT: State may inquire.
	13	EXA	MINATION BY MR. GROFF:
	14	Q	Mr. Dean, first thing I need to ask you, is there
	15		anything about that experience ten years ago that
	16	,	would cause you to think you couldn't be fair to the
	17		State?
	18	A	No.
	19	Q	In terms of the way you were treated by the
	20	i	prosecution or the Court?
. =	21	A	No.
5	22	Q	I want to ask you a little bit about mainly the death
٠.	23		penalty today. Did you understand that we have got
	24	·	these two separate phases we might be going through?
:	25	A	Yeah.
•			

1 The first phase, of course, if you found Mr. Rhines not guilty, you'd never get to the second phase and 2 it would be all over. Assuming you found him guilty 3 then you'd move on to that second phase and as Mr. 4 Bulter told you, what I'd be trying to prove to you 5 6 in the second phase is that there was at least one aggravating circumstance that made this case special. 7 In other words, it's not enough to find somebody 8 9 guilty of murder or premeditated murder, there has to be something aggravating or special about it. 10 Uhm-uhm. 11 12 Those are circumstances that our legislature has drafted for us and the Court will instruct you what 13 might be relevant to this case and if the Court 14 instructs you what aggravating circumstances you 15 16 could consider or what would need to be proven before 17 you'd consider the death penalty, do you think you could follow those circumstances, even if you 18 disagreed with them? 19 I think I could as far as I know. 20 In other words, the law tells us what they are and 21 the Judge is going to tell you what the law is and 22 I'm asking you whether or not you think you can 23

follow the law?

Yeah, as far as I know.

24

Ę

۶

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

gentleman and he admitted to killing those kids and

said he would do it again if he got out, and to me

Well, you are here and we are going through it and I

need to take you one step further, if I might, and

I thought it was possible, yeah.

23

24

there is a very real possibility now that you are going to be on the jury and if I may, what I am going to try to attempt to do is, take you there to see what it might be like on the jury. As I do that, I'm not trying to get any commitments or promises from you, I just want you to visualize yourself doing some things on the jury?

A Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you are picked for this jury, and of course, you would deliberate on the first part of the case and I want you to assume that you did so and that you found Mr. Rhines guilty, okay, just an assumption, and I want you to assume that after you had done so you went back and you considered the additional evidence, if any, and you decided whether or not there was an aggravating circumstance. Assume you found one and let's assume then that you, along with your other jurors decided the death penalty was appropriate, just an assumption, you are not committed to that, and also assuming all that, Mr. Dean, if you look over in those chairs over there, if you reach that verdict, sentencing verdict, then at some point in time you would be called into the courtroom after you told the Judge you had a verdict and in front of the Judge and in front of the Defendant, Mr. Rhines, that

б

verdict, that unanimous verdict would be read, a verdict putting Mr. Rhines to death. What I'm trying to find out from you, Mr. Dean is, assuming the facts were there and assuming you were convinced that that was the right thing to do, can you visualize yourself being part of a jury imposing the death penalty upon someone, in this case, Mr. Rhines?

I guess whatever the circumstances ended up being, I don't know, I would do what I felt was right that's all I can say really.

If I can just take it one step further for you. Same thing, after the jury would return its verdict, as you may know, maybe not, in criminal cases everybody has to agree, in other words, an individual's vote has to count and you couldn't have that individual vote. One of the things that happens after a verdict is returned is that the jury may be polled, that is asked, each one individually, whether or not that is their verdict. In other words, during that polling process your name could be called out and they'd ask, Mr. Dean, whether or not that was your verdict and you'd have to respond before the Court and the Defendant whether or not, yes, that is my verdict imposing death upon someone right in front of you and in that case, Mr. Rhines. Can you visualize yourself

4	-11	being able to do that, if that was your verdict!
2	A	If that ended up being a verdict, yes, I guess so.
3 .	Q	Are you uncomfortable at all as you think about it?
4	A	A little bit, yeah.
5	Q	And can you tell me a little more about it. Is it
6		because us don't sit in judgment on someone else?
7	A	It's pretty serious issue to me.
. 8	Q	When you say it is a pretty serious issue to you, I
9		know it's important, but what is the thing that
10] .	really strikes you about it that makes it so sorry
11		serious?
12	A	Well, you are basically making a decision about
13		somebody else's future in life.
14	2	Yeah, whether they're going to live.
15	A	Right.
16	Q	What I'm trying to determine is whether or not you
17		think you can make that kind of life or death
18		decision?
19	A	As far as I know I think I could.
20	Q	Do you have some reservations about it?
21	A	I don't know. It's just pretty serious to me. It's
22		nothing I would take real lightly.
23	Q	Do you think, Mr. Dean, that assuming you found the
24		Defendant guilty of first degree murder and you went
		4.5

to that second stage, do you think as you went into

Well, beyond a reasonable doubt, it's got to be

24

25

Konenkamp?

something that pushes it one way or the other, I guess.

1

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When we deal with the burden of proof, beyond a reasonable doubt, the Judge will instruct you at the end of the case what that means and you'll be able to read the instructions and deliberate. You know that's the same standards we have in a speeding ticket case or a D.W.I. case or a theft case, right down the line, it never changes, it's always proof beyond a reasonable doubt. In other words, it doesn't go up just because the charge is more serious, it's always proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you think that you would keep that burden where it is, that proof beyond a reasonable doubt or just because this is a pretty serious case involving possible capital punishment that you'd raise it to an absolute certainty, which has never been required by our law?

I guess I would just try to follow the way it's supposed to go the way we will be instructed to do it.

Same thing will come up in the second stage when you consider the aggravating circumstances, if any. Once again they'll have to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt, but not to an absolute certainty, are you with

Year and a half.

```
You went to high school together in Hill City?
 1
           Uhm-uhm.
 2
       A
            On the questionnaire and during Mr. Butler's
            questioning you mentioned a little bit about the
           divorce situation. Did you have a lot of anger about
 5
           that divorce?
 6
 7
           Yeah.
           Would you consider yourself to be a very emotional
       Q
 9
           person?
           Not generally. That was a pretty rough time for me.
10
           If I stayed general about it, could we talk about it
       Q
11
           a little bit?
12
13
       A
           Yeah.
           Did it come as a surprise to you when it happened?
14
       Q
15
           Uhm-uhm.
           And I take it you were very close to your daughter?
16
       Q
           Yeah.
17
       A
           You were very close to your child?
18
       Q
           Yeah.
19
           Has it made it difficult in terms of visitation, made
20
           it difficult to see your child since the divorce?
21
           No, that's something we never had any trouble with.
22
           Would it be fair to say that at least in your mind
23
           that it was a marriage that you hoped was going to
24
```

work?

A	v =	a h

- Q Even after these many months, are you completely over it?
- A Not completely.
- Q There is still a lot of pain involved?
- A Yeah, a little.
 - MR. GROFF: Thank you for bearing with me. Pass for cause.
 - THE COURT: Mr. Dean you remain a mprospective juror on this case and it's very important that you not discuss this case with anyone or let anyone talk to you about it and also that you not read or listen to or view any media accounts concerning this case. Do you promise me you'll not do these things?

MARK DEAN: Yeah, I do.

THE COURT: We will contact you when we are ready to have you come back in, but in the unlikely event that we are unable to reach you, I'd ask that you call us tomorrow afternoon and check in with us to make sure that we haven't been trying to reach you. Defense may exercise. The record will show that the defense has exercised its 17th peremptory challenge and the Clerk will call in the next prospective juror. Good morining, Miss Woodson?

WILMA WOODSON: Good morning, Judge.

1. Ali -

**-	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) IN CIRCUIT COURT
2	COUNTY OF PENNINGTON) SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
3	
4	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA.
5	Plaintiff,
6	V. JURY TRIAL
7	CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES, 93-81
8	Defendant. VOLUME VIII
9	
10	PROCEEDINGS: The following matters were had before the HONORABLE JOHN K. KONENKAMP, Circuit Judge at
11	Rapid City, South Dakota, on the 12th and 13th days of January, 1993.
12	
	APPEARANCES: MR. DENNIS GROFF, MR. JAY MILLER, and. MR. MARK VARGO
	State's Attorney's Office
14	Pennington County
	Rapid City, South Dakota
15	
16	FOR THE STATE
17	MR. JOSEPH BUTLER Attorney at Law
18	FO Box 2670
19	Rapid City, South Dakota and
20	MR. WAYNE GILBERT Atterney at Law
21	3202 West Main Street Rapid City, South Dakota and
22	MR. MICHAEL STONEFIELD
23	Public Defender Pennington County
24	Rapid City, South Dakota
	FOR THE DEFENDANT

THE COURT: Good afternoon, sir. You timed it just right. We appreciate your patience in waiting all 3 afternoon for us We previously swore you in, so you remain under oath 5 The defense may inquire. Prospective Juror William Brown, having previously been SWOTH Restation as Equitows: EXAMINATION BY MR. STONEFIELD: 8 You are William Brown? 10 A Yes. 11 I'm Mike Stonefield and I'm one of the attorneys Q . 12 representing Charlie Rhines who's the man seated right here in front of me and this is Joe Bulter 13 14 another attorney and Wayne Gilbert another attorney 15 on his case. You sat through the orientation process 16 last week and you understand generally what it is we 17 are here for today? 18 A Uhm-uhm. 19 You understand that Mr. Rhines is charged with murder 20 in first degree murder? 21 Yes. 22 I'd like to ask you, first of all, and we will be 23 having a number of questions for you, but first of

about this case?

all, if you could tell us what, if anything, you know

24

7	A	Only thing I know is what I heard on the television.
2	Q	I'll ask you to be specific about what you heard on
3		the television as best as you can remember?
4	A	That the boy was killed and that he worked in a donut
5		shop, I think and that's about it.
6	Q	Anything more than that?
. 7	A	At that time it took them awhile to arrest somebody.
8	Q	Have you heard or read anything about the case
9		recently?
10	A	Just what is on television, that they're selecting a
11		jury and I knew that from being here.
12	Q	Would you say that over the months, over the past few
13		months that your knowledge about this case has come
14		from discussing it with other people or hearing about
15		it on television or reading about it in the newspaper
16		or has it been from all those things?
17	A	Just basically television.
18	Q	Do you recall ever reading anything in the newspaper
19	1	about it at all?
20	Ą	I don't get the paper.
21	Q	Do you read it at all regularly or at all?
22	A	No.
23	Q.	Have you ever heard anybody express an opinion about
24		Mr. Rhines?
25	A	No.

. 1	Q	Have you ever heard anybody express an opinion about
2		Mr. Rhines as to whether he's guilty or not guilty of
· 3		these charges?
· 4	A	No.
5	Q	Have you yourself ever formed any kind of an opinion
6		about that?
7 .	A	No.
8	Q	One of the things that was discussed the other day
9		during the crientation process and this is something
10		maybe you are familiar with, was the legal concept of
11		the presumption of innocence; do you remember hearing
12		about that?
13	A	Uhm-uhm.
1.4	Q	Do you feel that you understand what that means?
15	A	Yes, I think so.
16	Q	Any person charged with a crime is presumed to be
17	. 	innocent and that presumption follows him throughout
18		the entire case unless it's overcome by evidence
19		beyond a reasonable doubt?
20	A	Yes.
21	Ω	And do you believe you understand that?
22	A	Yeah.
23	Q	And you feel that that's a good presumption to have?
`24	A	Yes.

As you sit there right now, do you have any problem

4	Ш	applying that presumption of innocence to Charles
2		Rhines?
3	A	No.
· 4	Q	None whatsoever? Okay. You understand why we have
5		to ask about this?
6	A	Oh, yeah.
7	Q	I don't mean to seem like I'm prying or anything, I
8		just want to be as clear on a number of things as I
9		can and you understand why we are doing this?
10	A	Uhm-uhm.
11	Q	You have never served on a jury before?
12	A	No.
13	Q	You did mention one thing, Mr. Brown, you had a
14		custody case apparently parental custody of your
15	 .	daughter a few years ago?
16	A	I have custody of my daughter and we've been in Court
17		ten times and it's been up to the Supreme Court and
18	1	there is another hearing coming up. It's been in
19		Court since 1986 and it's still in Court.
20	Q	And it's still unresolved?
21	A	It's been resolved several times.
22	Q	But it keeps being opened up again?
23	A	Right.
24	Q	You have custody now?
25	A	Yes.

```
1
            That's the daughter you had gone to pick up from
  2
            school?
  3
            Ýes.
        Q
            She's eight years old?
        A
  5
            Uhm-uhm.
        Q
            Your employment -- apparently you do a couple of
  7
            different things and right now you are a carpenter?
  8
            Yes.
        Q
            And you are also going to school?
10
            Yes.
11
            At Black Hills?
12
            At the air base.
13
       Q.
            Are you going full-time?
1.4
       A
            Six hours.
15
          You spend six hours a week in class?
16
           This semester would be, Thursday, from four to ten.
          Just one day a week.
17
       Q
18
          Right.
19
           But it's six hours?
       Q
20
       Α
           Yes.
21
       Q
           When does that semester start?
22
           In two weeks.
23
      Q
           Have you registered for the class already?
24
           Uhm-uhm.
25
      Q
           Have you paid any kind of money or anything?
```

-	1	I have a student loan a PEL Grant.
2	Q	If you were to be selected on this case and the case
3		were to take several weeks and you were required to
. 4		be in here every day, would that mean you couldn't
5		take the class?
6	A	No, I could make arrangements.
7	Q	You understand on Thursday you might not necessarily
8		be able to leave in time to get out there?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Do you understand that we really can't tell you what
11		kind of a time schedule you'd be on or anything like
12		that?
13	A	With what?
14	Q	With having to be in Court. We can't tell you that
15		we'd start at a set hour or quit at a set hour every
16		day, that kind of thing?
17	A	I have made arrangements. I have someone that will
18		watch my daughter if I need to stay late a few days.
19	Q	As far as school, do you see any problem with it?
20	A	No.
21	Q	Even if perhaps you had to miss a Thursday or more
22		than one Thursday altogether?
23	A	They're history classes and I can read the book.
24	Q	You don't see a problem with that?
25	A	No.

No.

1	lΩ	You did mention another thing about your daughter.
. 2	- .	You indicated in an answer to the questionnaire here
3	.	that there might be a problem, what you said is, if I
4		was unable to see and spend time with my daughter
5		beyond two to three days, I am a single parent and
6	.	you indicated that as a possible problem?
7	A	Well, I didn't know if during this if you had to stay
8		here overnight the entire time or how you guys run
9		the Court process.
10	Ω	I can't tell you with any kind of certainty now how
11	.	you would work it, but I can tell you that there is
12		at least a possibility that you might be required to
13		be sequestered for a time to where you couldn't go
14		home for a time, whether it would be beyond two or
15		three days, that I can't say. Can you see that as a
16		problem of being able to sit on the case if it came
17		to that?
18	A	I guess I can make arrangements, if I have to.
19	Q	So you are saying that you wouldn't see it as a
20		problem?
21 .	A	No. Well, if I am to sit and it wasn't a month or
22		something.
23	Q	I wouldn't anticipate that it would be a month but
24		you understand that I can't tell you that and nobody

can tell you right now whether it would happen or if

1		it did how long it would be?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	So you are telling us that you don't see it as a
4		problem?
5	A	I can make arrangements.
6	Ω	Is it the kind of thing that might cause you to be
7		concerned to an extent that your attention would be
8		diverted away from the case here?
9	A	All I can say is if it was for a very long time.
10	Q	What do you mean by a very long time?
11	A	A week to me, that would be a little long. She goes
12		to Alaska in the summer, so I don't see her for ten
13		weeks at a time.
14 ,	Ω	So it has a possibility of being a problem, at least?
15	A	Yes.
16	Ω	Mr. Brown, one of the things that was discussed with
17		you the other day when you went through this
18		orientation process was the fact that the death
19		penalty is potentially involved in this case. Do you
20		remember hearing about this?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Were you aware of that before last Monday?
23	A	No.
24	Q	I'll take a little time and explain how things would
25		go in a case like this, but as a general question, do

14

24

25

Q :

you have, as you come into the courtroom here, do you have any opinions one way or another just in general about the appropriateness of the death penalty?

- I believe there are times when it's appropriate.
- Q That's just a general opinion you have?
- A Yeah.
- Can you give us any kind of idea as to what things in Q your mind you think it might be appropriate?
 - On treason, crimes against the government, spying, and so forth, crimes against children.
- Anything else in particular?
- A Exceptionally brutal maybe.
 - Let me take a minute and go through with you the procedure about how this case would work, what would be presented to you if you were to sit. The first part of the case would be just like the first part of any criminal case or the trial of any criminal case where evidence is presented and the jury makes a decision as to whether or not the person charged is guilty and if the person is not proven guilty or the jury doesn't feel that he's been proven guilty that would be the end of the case. There wouldn't be anything further and we wouldn't get into punishment. or anything like that if there was an acquittal. If, however, there was a conviction and the jury found

19.

that Mr. Rhines was guilty of first degree murder, then we would move on to a second part or second trial basically; do you understand this so far?

Uhm-uhm.

The death penalty would come into play if the jury found Mr. Rhines guilty of first degree murder and then we would move to a second trial where there could be new evidence and arguments made and then the jury in this case would be the same jury that heard the evidence in the first trial and they would then have to make a decision as to whether or not, first of all, whether or not there were certain circumstances surrounding the case, what the law calls aggravating circumstances, whether one or more of those things surrounded the case, do you understand that so far?

A Yes.

If the jury found there were these circumstances and these circumstances did exist in this case, then the jury would have a second determinations to make and that second determination would be whether the death penalty was the appropriate penalty. So you understand that this could be a two-trial process basically?

A Uhm-uhm.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yeah.

your mind?

1658

What kind of other things do you think you'd be

interested in hearing about if you have any idea in

education, that you were a sociology student?

I'm interested -- you indicated here as far as your

24

1	A Major.
2	Q Your degree is in sociology?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Did your studies in sociology ever involve any
5	discussion study of the death penalty?
. 6	A Not any class spent on it.
7	Q Do you recall the subject even coming up in a text or
8 .	in a discussion or anything like that?
9 .	A It has, yes. I don't recall the specifics.
10	Q You heard about the death penalty being involved in
11	this case since last Monday and have you thought at
12	all about your feelings or re-examined them or
13	anything like that?
14	A I would have to be shown that it was extremely
15	appropriate.
16	Q And I believe we talked before about my question
17	about the automatic nature of it and you said in your
18	opinion it would never be automatic.
19	A Right.
20	Q Is that right?
21	A No.
22	Q Am I right in assuming that?
23	A Yes.
24	Q As you said you'd want to hear other things?
25	A Yeah.

Ţ	Ď	You indicated on the questionnaire that you are a
2		member of St. Andrews Episcopal Church here in town.
3		Do you attend regularly?
4	A	On a monthly basis.
5	õ	Does your daughter go with you or more often?
6	A	Nope, that's the only time she goes to church.
7	Q	Again, a question I want to ask, and I don't mean to
.8		seem like I'm prying, but I'll ask, do you consider
9		yourself to be a religious person?
10	A	I would call it more spiritual than religious.
11	Q	You indicated on the questionnaire here that you
12		lived in South Dakota for most of your life. Where
1:3		were you born at?
1.4	A	Columbus, Ohio.
15	Q	Did you live in Ohio all
16	A	We moved here when I was in second grade.
17	Q	Are your parents still living here?
18	A	They're both dead.
19	õ	What did your father do?
20	A	He owned Chris Supply and he serviced music theaters
21		and fixed the sound systems.
22	Q	Do you have brothers and sisters?
23	À	I have a brother and two sisters. My brother runs
24		Chris Supply now and my sisters live in California
I	ı	

and Washington State.

Uhm-uhm.

you think there is anything that we haven't discussed

That amount of time would be okay. I'm talking if it

1		goes a month or something.
2	Q	You understand that if the trial goes two or three
3		weeks or however long it might go, that you'd be
4		required to be here every day during the day to
5		listen to evidence?
6	A	Right.
7	MR.	STONEFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Brown.
8	THE	COURT: State may inquire.
9	EXA	MINATION BY MR. GROFF:
10	Ω	I'm Dennis Groff and I'm the State's Attorney and if
11		you are picked on the jury I am going to have the
12		chance to present the State's evidence and so I need
13		to ask you some questions, is that all right?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	I wanted to mainly go through this procedure on the
16		death penalty and see if you understand it and see in
17.		you can follow the law, is that all right?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	From talking to Mr. Stonefield, did you understand
20		first of all that we might have two stages here?
21	A	Right.
22	Q	The first stage is whether or not Mr. Rhines is
23	-	guilty of first degree murder.
24	A	Right.
1:		

If you find him guilty of first degree murder, you

A Uhm-uhm.

Q

Stonefield?

1

2

5

6

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Now, the first thing I want to ask you is, the Judge is going to instruct you as to what those aggravating circumstances are that you'll have to consider, whether or not they have been proven and what I need to know is, even if you disagree with those, do you think you can follow the Court's instructions?

Now, the next thing is, when you get to that second stage, I'm not sure if you will get more evidence from the State or not. In other words, you may just be asked to consider the evidence you heard in the first part of the trial, only now to consider it for sentencing purposes. If that were to occur, do you think you could follow the Court's instructions on that?

	11	
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	That would look into the how and why as to what
3		happened with respect to the alleged death?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	What I wanted to get to now for a moment is the
6		concept of being on this jury, if that would be okay.
7		Do you remember when you got the questionnaire, Mr.
8		Brown?
. 9	A	Yeah.
10	Ω	When you got that questionnaire and filled it out,
11		did you think you might actually be here in Court
12		answering these type of questions?
13	A	No, I didn't figure it would go this far.
14	Ω	As Mr. Stonefield said, there is a real possibility
15		you'll be on this jury now, okay?
16	A	Okay.
. 17	Q	So, even though you didn't know you'd be here you
18	}. }	actually might be on the jury. What I need to talk
19		to you about is visualizing being on the jury, if
20		that's okay with you. This will require some
21		assumptions when I go through those assumptions I
22		don't want you to necessarily think any of that has
23		happened, I want you to assume it for purposes of

putting you in that position. I want you to assume

for a moment that you are on the jury and that you

24

1. 2 3

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

have gone through that first stage and just assume now that you have found enough evidence and you believe that Mr. Rhines is guilty and you returned that verdict finding him guilty of first degree murder. Are you with me?

Uhm-uhm.

Q

Just an assumption, and I wanted you to assume that you get to the second stage and you hear all the evidence in that second stage and you go back and a later, assuming that the jury finds one aggravating circumstance, do you know what we are talking about there, and assume that the jury, after they hear that and consider all the evidence and the Court's instructions, let's assume that the jury, including you, decides unanimously that the death penalty is appropriate, just an assumption now, if that were the case, do you see those chairs over there, Mr. Brown? If we are in this courtroom those are probably the chairs you'd come back to and sit in when your verdict was read?

Okay.

What I am trying to find out is, based upon our thoughts about the death penalty and knowing about what could be involved, do you think you can visualize yourself, if the facts warrant it, do you

21

22

23

25

think you can visualize yourself coming back with your fellow jurors and having your verdict read, your unanimous verdict read in front of the Court and the Defendant announcing that it was your decision to put him to death. Do you think you could do that if the facts were there?

Yeah, I could. I would have to be convinced.

I understand, sir. Let's assume after that verdict was read this is kind of the same question. After the verdict was read sometimes in these criminal cases because they have to be a unanimous verdict, each juror is asked individually, is that your verdict, and they'd come to you and say, Mr. Brown, is that your verdict and then once again, not with that unanimity anymore one by one you'd have to announce whether it was or not if you reached that verdict and you were convinced, can you visualize yourself facing the Judge and Defendant saying, yes, that is my individual verdict putting you to death? Do you think you could do that if you were convinced? If that is the conclusion I had come to.

- I hope I'm not offending you, I just want to see if you can face that moment if it happens?
- 24 It sounds frightening.
 - Next thing I want to talk to you about a little bit

is about the concept of getting hassled after the 2 trial is over. Do you know anyone that is opposed to 3 the death penalty real strongly? No. 5 So you can't think of anyone that necessarily would 6 give you a hard time if you rendered that verdict and 7 left the courthouse; can you think of anybody that 8 would give you a hard time for rendering that 9 verdict? 10 I don't think so. 11 When we are dealing with the proof in the first part 12 on guilt or innocence or whether we are dealing with 13 the proof on the second part on aggravating 1.4 circumstances, it's always proof beyond a reasonable 15 doubt. Did you hear the Judge talk about that the 16 other day? 17 Uhm-uhm. 18 And to tell you about that again, you know if you get 19 on the case, but one thing it isn't proof to an 20 absolute certainty? 21 Yes. And no matter what the cases are, whether it's a 22 speeding ticket or D.W.I. right down the line any 23 24 case in our Court system that deals with the criminal

1

25

or traffic laws has the same standard of proof, in

MR. GROFF: Thank you, sir. Pass for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Brown, you do now remain a prospective 3 2 juror on this case and I should tell you that we 3 probably will know tomorrow whether you'll be on the final jury panel. In the meantime, it's very important that you not discuss this case with anyone 5 or let anyone discuss it with you and you should not 7 allow someone to discuss it in your presence even. 8 Also, you should not listen to or watch or read any 9 news media accounts concerning this case. Can you 10 promise me you'll not do these things? 11 WILLIAM BROWN: Yes. 12 THE COURT: If you have not heard from us by tomorrow 13 afternoon, would you please call the Clerk's Office 14 to check in? 15 WILLIAM BROWN: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You are excused for now. 17 Could I see counsel for a minute? Who was the 18 prospective juror who inverted the Golden Rule, do 19 unto to others as they'll do... 20 MR. BUTLER: Frazey. I don't know if he... THE COURT: I'm having some questions in my mind about 21 22 this gentleman. I know there was a challenge. 23 MR. BUTLER: We were going to make a record that 24 requested an additional peremptory. THE COURT: Well, I'm reconsidering it, so if you want to 25

	11	
••	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)	IN CIRCUIT COURT
2	COUNTY OF PENNINGTON)	SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
3	-	
. 4	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA,	
5	Plaintiff,	
6	v .	JURY TRIAL
7	CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES,	93-81
8	Defendant.	VOLUME VIII
9		
10	PROCEEDINGS: The following mat HONORABLE JOHN K. KONENKA	MP. Circuit Judge at
1.7	Rapid City, South Dakota, days of January, 1993.	on the 12th and 13th
12		
	APPEARANCES: MR. DENNIS GROFF, MR. MARK VARGO	MR. JAY MILLER, and.
	State's Attorney'	s Office
14	Pennington County	
15	Rapid City, South	
16	FOR THE STATE	
1.7	MR. JOSEPH BUTLER	
18	Attorney at Law PO Box 2670	
19	Rapid City, South	Dakota and
20	MR. WAYNE GILBERT Atterney at Law	
	3202 West Main Sta	
21	Rapid City, South	Dakota and
22	MR. MICHAEL STONE	FIELD
23	Public Defender	September 1
	Pennington County Rapid City, South	Dakota
24	FOR THE DEFENDANT	Danved .
	assw was refittely t	

(Prospective Juror JUDY SHAFER, having previously been sworn, testified as follows:) 3 EXAMINATION BY MR. GILBERT Q Your name is Judy shafer? 4 5. A Uhm-uhm. 6 Q Mrs. Shafer, I'm Wayne Gilbert and to your far left 7 is Joe Bulter and Mike Stonefield and the three of us represent Charles Rhines, he's the gentleman seated 9 here in the middle of the table with a gray coat on, 10 the Defendant in this case. Could you look over that 11 list of names that's there in front of you and see if 12 any of them are familiar to you? 13 No. 14. The questionnaire you filled out awhile back, copies 15 of that have been made available to us and obviously 16 not so we can pry into your private affairs, but 17 because of the process that we are going through in 18 making sure that a fair and open minded jury can be 19 · seated in this case. I just have a couple of 20 questions about some of the matters on the 21 questionnaire. You are a single parent of two 22 . children? 23 A Yes. 24 Q And you live in Hill City some distance away?

1

25

Yes.

1 If you were seated as a juror in this case and had to sit and come into Rapid City every day for three or 2 four weeks, would that present any hardships or problems for you as far as your family is concerned in taking care of your kids? Their dad lives a block away. He has custody. 6 7 How about as far as your work is concerned, would it Q 8 be a problem? 9 Not this time of year. 10 Q Before you came here a week ago yesterday for the first time had you heard anything about this case? 11 12 Very little to be honest with you. I knew that a 13 young man was killed in a donut shop. I didn't know 14 if he was stabbed or shot. The other day I seen it 15 on the news, that the man that had done it was, I 16 thought they said he was arrested in a nearby state 17 like Colorado or something. Other than that I don't 18 know too much about it. 19 Based on what you have heard, do you come here today 20 with any ideas that Mr. Rhines is probably guilty of 21 this charge? 22 No. You were called as a juror approximately eight years 23 24 ago, you were called in, but didn't actually serve?

25

That's right.

1	Ω	Were you at the point where you were questioned or
2		part of the group of people that were questioned?
3	A	No.
4	Ω	Other than that, you haven't served on a jury before?
5	A	No.
6	Q	Have you or any member of your family or close
7		friends ever been touched in some way by the criminal
8		justice systems, maybe subpoenaed as a witness or
. 9		been a victim of a crime or a Defendant?
10	. A	No.
11	Q	Had you heard of the presumption of innocence before
12	.	the Court's instructions that were read to you a
L 3		little over a week ago yesterday?
L 4	A	Yes.
.5	Q	Generally, are you in agreement with that idea?
6	A	Yea.
.7	Q	So, would you agree that the State has the burden of
.8		proof beyond a reasonable doubt and that the
9		Defendant is not obligated or shouldn't be expected
0		to put on any evidence at all?
1	A	Yes.
2	Ω	If I were to ask you to assume right now that just
3		assume that the defense in this case doesn't plan on
4		putting on any evidence at all, would that change how

you look at the case at all or would you still

1	benefit Mr. Rhines with the presumption of innocence?
2	A Yes, I would benefit him.
3	O There is going to be evidence in this case that Mr.
4	Anines is a homosexual and one or two of the
5	witnesses in the case are also homosexpais who might
6	be called that you beard that before
7	
8	Q Knowing that does that change either your view of
9	Mr. Rhives as to how he ought to be treated of what
10	kind of proof ought to be required in a case like
11	
12	A MOST TRANSPORTED THE TRANSPORTED TO
13	Q And knowing that that doesn't change your friendship
14	or relationship with thems
15	4. 16. 3
16	Q Had you heard or did you know before you came here to
17	Court a week ago yesterday that the State was
18	requesting the death penalty in this case?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Do you have any general views or opinions about the
21	death penalty?
22	A I don't know. I guess I feel if you intentionally
23	take a life you maybe should be prepared to give your
24	own. It would be real hard to do that, though, if
25	that was up to you.

- Well, before I get into some of the details about the process involved, you said it would be difficult to make a decision, but do you think, given your beliefs about the death penalty, that you could be part of a jury that would make a decision one way or the other on the death penalty?
- A Yes.

- Q Knowing it would be difficult, but you could still do it if you were called on?
- A Yes.
 - When the State requests and asks that the Defendant be executed if he's found guilty, there is a trial here and once the jury is seated where the State presents the proof that it has and asks the jury to find the Defendant guilty of first degree murder.

 Now, if the jury is convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the State has proved it and that the Defendant is guilty of first degree murder, then there is a second phase, a second stage maybe even like a second trial and at that second trial the Judge would give you more instructions and would define for you what is called aggravating

25

circumstances. These are situations which make a bad thing worse, because if you get to the second phase that would mean that the jury has unanimously found the Defendant guilty of first degree premeditated murder. At the second stage then the Judge would define these things for you called aggravating circumstances and you would be asked to make another decision, another two decisions, actually. The first decision would be if you find that the aggravating circumstances, that one or more of them have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, if you are convinced of that unanimously, then you make a second decision and that second decision is, should the Defendant receive life imprisonment or should he be executed. I want to tell you right now that, in South Dakota life imprisonment means life without possibility of parole. If the jury's final decision in this case is that Mr. Rhines should receive a life imprisonment term, he'll never get out. It would be the rest of his life in prison. If the jury's final decision in this case is the death penalty, that will be carried out. In South Dakota there won't be any stay of execution or something to happen to save his life. So, it is the jury's decision and that doesn't happen in any other kind of criminal case. In all

25

other kinds of criminal cases in South Dakota the judge makes the sentence, but because the death penalty is involved here the jury makes the sentence. It doesn't have to be the death penalty. There is no situation where the jury would ever have to. Even if you find an aggravating circumstance, even then you make that decision should it be death or life without parole.

When I asked you a few minutes ago if there were circumstances which you could think about that the death penalty would be appropriate, and you said something like, and I may get this wrong, where a person intentionally takes someone else's life then he should be prepared maybe to pay with his own. I think you said something like that. Now, knowing that there is this process you have to go through and there are two stages and that the aggravating circumstances have to be proved beyond a reasonable doubt and even then you are not required to impose the death penalty, do you think that if at the end of the first stage you are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant has been proved guilty of first degree, premeditated murder, that you'd want right then and there to impose the death penalty or would you want to know more or think about it more?

1 I think I would probably want to think about it more. So there wouldn't be any automatic decision on your Q part as far as the death penalty is concerned? 3 Α No. 5 Would you say that you are a religious person? Q A ' Not a lot, no. 7 Q Do you believe in God? 8 A Yes. 9 0 Is there anything about the beliefs that you have 10 about religion and God that affect how you view a 11 request for a death penalty in a case? 12 No. 13 Q Would you say that you place a high value on human 14 life? 15 Yes. 16 Is that why you said that it would be a difficult 17 decision to have to make? 18 Yes. 19 Do you think that if you were on a jury that 20 unanimously found guilty of first degree, premeditated murder, beyond a reasonable doubt, at 21 22 that point you'd be leaning one way or the other? 23 Would you be kind of leaning towards the death penalty or leaning away from the life imprisonment?

I don't know if I could say either way.

24

Yes.

1	Ω	And do you know from what Mr. Gilbert told you that
2		it's not the Judge that does that, 12 people that we
່ 3		select here?
4	A ·	Yes.
5	Q	Can you understand how important this process is?
6	A	Yes.
7	Ω	As Mr. Gilbert was telling you, assuming you find Mr.
ġ		Rhines guilty of first degree murder, then there'd be
9		this second stage and I think you told Mr. Gilbert
10		that you have high regard for human life?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Just based upon that alone, do you think that somehow
13		you can't consider the death penalty?
14	A	No.
15	õ	I need to let you take a moment here, because we are
16		interested in your thoughts and views, everyone in
17		this room, and can you think of not automatically at
18		all, but any circumstances at all where you think the
19		death penalty might be appropriate. Just take a
20		moment?
21	A	Yes, I think there are.
22	Q	Now that you have thought about something that might
23		be there. I realize it is not automatic and you know
24		that too but some cases where it might be

appropriate, can you tell us what you just thought of

please?

3

A I think if you intentionally go to take someone's

Q Not automatic, but it could be?

5

A

Q

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

- life, then the death penalty could be appropriate.
- Yes.
 - In this case when you get to that second stage, the Judge is going to tell you what aggravating circumstances you may consider, to see if the State's proven them beyond a reasonable doubt, and it's going to be my job, the State's job in that second case to convince you that at least one of these aggravating circumstances is present in this case and it takes it out of this general catagory of first degree murder and takes it up one more step to murder that you can consider the death penalty on; do you understand? Yes.
- Do you believe that you can follow the Court's instructions as to what those aggravating circumstances are in South Dakota?
- Yes.
- And even if you were to disagree with those instructions or never even thought about them, do you think you could still follow them?
- Yes.
- Now, you said to Mr. Gilbert, and I made a note of it Q

here, that you would be able to keep an open mind as you went into that second stage as to whether or not it would be life or death, and then you also told him that you thought in that second stage you might want to know more, is that right?

- Uhm-uhm.
- What is the more that you were talking about, what more would you want to know in the second stage? Maybe explaining a little bit more about the extra circumstances.
- Let me ask you this then. I understand what you are saying and I'll get back to it, but you realize that just because you have heard this evidence in the first part of the case where you are going to decide guilt or innocence, that same evidence would likely be considered as to whether or not on the how and why of it happening, whether or not circumstances were met that made it special, do you understand?
- Yes.

20

21

22 23

24

25

- You don't have to say we made that decision let's leave that behind. You would take that into that second stage with you, do you understand?
- Yes.
 - You were just telling me that in the second stage you would look at the evidence and you would do more

that piece of paper at home, being at home and

23.

that's what I want to take a step further. Without holding anything against me, could you make some assumptions? I'm not trying to get you to commit to any decision, I am just going to try to get you into that jury box, even though you are not there, and I want you to assume for a moment that you are picked on this jury, because there is a very real possibility you will be. Assume you are on that jury and you listened to the first part of the case and you weighed the evidence carefully and you considered the Court's instructions and you decided beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Rhines is guilty of first degree murder, okay?

Uhm-uhm.

The verdict has been brought back and then we can get to the second stage and let's assume we get to the second stage and you consider the evidence and you carefully consider those aggravating circumstances and you consider everything you hear in that second stage and you go back and deliberate and let's assume that you, as a jury, find that one of those aggravating circumstances is present. Now you don't have to at that point in time come to a conclusion that the death penalty is appropriate, but you may. Do you see what I'm saying?

A Uhm-uhm.

1

2

3

5

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's assume for the moment that you come to that conclusion based on the facts you heard and instructions of the Court and you believe the death penalty is the appropriate verdict, then you would come back and you would sit in one of these chairs if we were in this courtroom, and the foreman of the jury would read or pass to the Judge the verdict and that verdict would be read in front of everybody in the courtroom, the Judge, the Defendant, with all of you sitting there facing the Defendant announcing your verdict, which in that case would be putting Mr. Rhines to death. As you think about your views, and I realize you don't have any facts to work with, but as you think about your views, if you were convinced that was the right thing to do, do you think you could visualize yourself being on a jury doing just that?

Yes.

Q

Now, something else I need to warn you about, and that is, if you are on that jury and it was a unanimous decision, after the verdict was read something else could happen. What could happen is that each individual juror could be polled right out there in front of everybody; is this your verdict

1 putting Mr. Rhines to death, and they'd come to you, 2 ma'am, and ask you and after they asked you then you'd have to respond, yes or no. Can you visualize 3 yourself saying, if you were convinced, it was the 5 right verdict, yes, that's my verdict putting Mr. Rhines to death; can you visualize yourself doing that? Yes. Do you have any friends or relatives or acquaintances Q · who you know are just adamantly opposed to the death penalty?

A No.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Can you think of anyone out there, if you were to go Q ahead and make a decision like this, I realize a difficult and important decision, but if you made it and you came back in the courtroom and gave a death verdict, can you think of anyone that would give you a hard time, once you left the courtroom and got back with your regular life?

Ga Sagar

No.

The last thing I want to talk to you about is, just the burden of proof. Do you remember Judge Konenkamp explained the burden of proof a week ago Monday?

Uhm-uhm.

That is the same burden that runs throughout our

Court system, whether it is a traffic ticket, a 1 D.W.I. or theft case, all the way to a murder case, 2 do you understand? A Yes. 5 Even though the cases may seem to get more serious, the standards of proof always stay the same. It doesn't go up just because this is a serious case. 8 Do you think you would some how make the State prove 9 its case beyond what the law requires, that is beyond 10 their level of beyond a reasonable doubt? Are you 11 with me so far? 12 Yes. 13 Up to a level of absolute certainty, which is not 14 required. Do you think you'd make the State proof 15 its case to an absolute certainty and not what the 16 law requires? 17 I'm not sure. I think I'd want to be real, real 18 sure. 19 But if the Court told you that didn't mean you had to 20 be sure to a perfection or an absolute certainty, do 21 you think you could follow that law? 22 Yes. 23 Same thing with the aggravating circumstance in the second part, if the Court told you that had to be 24

proven to a reasonable doubt, do you think you could

bit on the statement you made that an individual who

б

1.4

intentionally takes someone's life should be prepared to give his own. Do you mean by that that you think and believe in an eye for an eye type of thing?

No, I believe that there is always a possibility that that could happen, and if they did there is always that possibility, not for sure, but the possibility. You didn't mean like a retribution type of thing?

MR. GILBERT: That's all.

No. No.

THE COURT: As I was saying, you are a prospective juror in the case. We should know by tomorrow afternoon whether or not you are on the final jury panel. If you haven't heard from us by tomorrow afternoon at 3:00, call the Clerk's Office to check in with us. Now, it's very important that you not talk to anyone about this case or let anyone talk to you about it or talk in your presence about it and you should not listen to or read or watch any news media accounts of this case. Can you promise me you'll not do those things?

JUDY SHAFER: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you. I'm going to adjourn for today.

I'd like to reconvene at a quarter to nine tomorrow morning so we can hear the motion for the additional peremptory challenges, and after that we will proceed

then to either decide on the issue of the peremptory challenges and then the question of how many and under what method we will select our alternate jurors. I welcome your suggestions on that tomorrow morning as well.

THE COURT: Hearing is adjourned for today.

(At which time the proceedings ended for the day.)