	B		2	
1	STATE OF SOU	TH DAKOTA)	IN CI	RCUIT COURT
2	COUNTY OF PE	NNINGTON)	SEVENTH J	UDICIAL CIRCUI
3				
4	STATE OF SOU	TH DAKOTA,		
5		Plaintiff,		
6	v.	·	JURY	TRIAL
7	CHARLES RUSSE	ELL RHINES.	9:	3-81
8		Defendant.		JME V
9)		
10	HONORA	The following m	KAMP, Circuit	t Judge at
11		City, South Dakot y, 1993.	a, on the 8th	day of
12				•
2.3	APPEARANCES:	MR. MARK VARGO		LLER, and.
14		State's Attorne Pennington Coun	y's Office ty	
15		Rapid City, Sou	th Dakota	•
16	i	FOR THE STATE		
17	SUPREME COURT	MR. JOSEPH BUTL Attorney at Law	ER	
18	FITE OF SOUTH DAKOTA	PO Box 2670		
19	JUN 0 8 1995	Rapid City, Sou	th Dakota	and
20	10 110 1	MR. WAYNE GILBER Attorney at Law		
21	Clark Chyel	3202 West Main ! Rapid City, Sout	Street	and
				and
22		MR. MICHAEL STOP Public Defender		
23	Pennington Co	understandington Count	y b Dakota	
24	IN T	Eapld City, Sout	n Dakoca	•
25	LPR 23	FUR IRE DEFENDAN		
	Andres	rald, Clerk Deputy	ORI	GINAL
i i				

1 couple different colleges and I ran track in college 2 and when my grade point average from a regular college and junior college -- my major was in 3 sociology. Before you went in the Air Force? Yes. What attracted you to sociology? A . The fact that I liked the study of the behavior of people and trying to figure out what is inside a 10 person maybe, stuff like that. What do you do in the Air Force? 11 Q I'm personnel specialist and I used to work on the 12 A minuteman missles and I have this missing finger that 13 14 happened before I came in the service at a summer job 15 when I went to school and now I work in the personnel 16 office out there. 17 Q What kind of things do you do? Separations. We have had a lot of that lately. 18 With the early-out type? 19 Yes. 20 You have had a lot of activity and it's in the news 21 and are you snowed under at work, is that the kind of 22 thing if you were called upon to sit as a juror in 23 this case that would effect ... 24 Not at all. 25

Ö	That wouldn't be a concern being called away from
	your work a month or so or four or five weeks?
A	Not a problem.
Q	You are also active in the Democratic Party and is
	there a difference between a Texas Democrat and a
	South Dakota Democrat?
A	Texas was a Democratic state and I said, hey, let's
	go cross the board; let's make it a Democratic Party.
Q	Were your parents Democrats?
A	Yes, they were.
Q	In front of you there is a witness list of people who
	might be called as some of the witnesses in this
	case. Would you take look at that and see if there
	are any names you may recognize?
A	Certainly; one for sure and one maybe.
Ω	Who is the for sure?
A	Jerry Hammerquist, he's the Rapid Valley Irrigation
	Supervisor and Harrold Plooster, my wife is from
	eastern South Dakota, and I can only assume that they
	may be related.
Q	Let me ask you about Harrold Plooster first. If
	Harrold Plooster were to testify in this case, would
	there be any reason, based on what you know, that you
	would believe
	Q A Q A Q A

1 just had a passing acquaintance with his son. There 2 was a Plooster assigned and we might have had lunch 3 on a chance meeting, and it was a chance meeting that we started talking one day. But, no... 5 How about Jerry Hammerquist, would you tend to give 6 his testimony more or less weight because of any 7 contacts you have had with him? Not a bit. The evidence, there will be some evidence here that 10 will show that Mr. Rhines is a homosexual, he's gay and one or two of the witnesses who might be called 11 12 in this case are also gay and have had relationship 13 with Mr. Rhines. Knowing that, does that cause you to view Mr. Rhines differently at all? 14 15 Not at all. 16 Do you happen to have any acquaintances or friends or relatives that are gay? 17 Not that I know of. 18 Q If you were to find out today that one of your 19 friends is gay, would it make any difference towards 20 21 you as far as your friendship is concerned? 22 Not really. How do you feel about the proposal to allow 23 homosexuals into the armed services? 24

I feel they have been there for some time.

1 To make it official wouldn't make any difference to you? 3 Not at all. Would you say you are in favor of that proposal? 5 Leaning more toward indifference than favorable. I 6 think if it's a decision of our superiors, well let's 7 just say you'd have to live with it. 8 Q You have never served on a jury before? 9 No, I haven't. 10 Q Have you heard over the years about the presumption 11 of innocence and the burden of proof and reasonable 12 doubt? 13 I have seen enough Perry Mason. 14 One thing about Perry Mason is also a defense lawyer 15 and he always has something to put on as evidence or 16 does something to show his clients are innocent. 17 Now, do you understand that the burden of proof and 18 guilt beyond a reasonable doubt is actually on the 19 State at all stages of the case and the burden never 20 shifts? 21 That's correct. 22 So that the Defendant is not obligated or expected to 23 put on any evidence of any kind at all; he has three 24 lawyers and we can decide not to put on a thing and

you can't hold that against Mr. Rhines; do you agree

1 with that?

A Yes, sir. Absolutely.

- Q Have you had a chance, through your studies or over the years in your life, to give any thought to the death penalty?
- A Yes, I have.
 - Q Have you come to any opinions or conclusion?
 - A I think it should be a case-by-case basis. I can't say that it should be arbitrary for every crime.
 - On the other hand, you are not opposed to it, so it should never be permitted?
 - A You could say this.
 - In South Dakota in a criminal case where the State has decided that they want to ask for the death penalty, there could be two trials. There is the trial which the jury is asked to determine whether they think guilt has been proved beyond a reasonable doubt. Here Mr. Rhines is charged with first degree murder, so it would be the State's obligation to prove at the trial that we are now concerned with that he's guilty of first degree murder beyond a reasonable doubt. If they don't prove first degree murder beyond a reasonable doubt, then the jury's function as far as Mr. Rhines in further proceedings is concerned is over. If they do prove guilt beyond

25

a reasonable doubt, then the jury is asked to consider whether there are certain aggravating circumstances that the Judge will instruct you about and define for you, and if the jury in this second part of the trial finds beyond a reasonable doubt that one or more of these aggravating circumstances are present, then the jury considers whether to impose a death sentence. And we hear in the news about how people are sentenced to death and it goes on for years and years and there are appeals and commutations, but the fact is, the death penalty in South Dakota is carried out. So this is not a thing that the jury could be thinking, if we sentence him to death, something else will happen. And the jury is not required to sentence him to death, even if they find an aggravating circumstance. If the jury finds an aggravating circustance but concludes the death penalty is not appropriate, then there is life imprisonment. In South Dakota that means life without possibility of parole. If Mr. Rhines was sentenced to life, he'd never get out and if the jury finds that there are not aggravating circumstances proved beyond a reasonable doubt, then it would be a life imprisonment situation instead of the death penalty. Now, since you have had a chance to think

about the death penalty over the years, do you think that there are any types of cases that come to mind where it is appropriate?

Yes.

What comes to mind?

Well, if it's indeed a heinous, let's say a crime

. 7

Я

23 .

that goes beyond -- I don't know what we'd consider normal, maybe a normal, something that society is more in tune with, something that's so bizarre and outlandish or something that basically that the jury warrants that the death penalty be imposed.

It may be that if the jury should get to the second phase after the trial and you listen to the Court define and list these aggravating circumstances, it may be that some of the aggravating circumstances would be as bad as what you just described. It wouldn't necessarily have to be a bizzare type of thing or something that is just horrible or something that's hard to describe; would you be able to follow the Court's instructions and give serious consideration to an aggravating circumstance that maybe doesn't rise to this horrible...

I guess we would have to wait and see what is presented there.

After you had seen what is presented, would you be

1 able to follow the Court's instructions? 2 Yes. As long as you understood them you'd be able to 3 follow them? 5 Yes. I try to make -- I just interrupted you. Heck, no, don't worry about it. I finished. I just wanted to say, yes, I could make a decision if so instructed. 10 Q And you'd be able to give serious consideration both 11 to the death penalty and the aggravating 12 circumstances that you would be instructed about as 13 well as going the other way and life without parole? 14 Once the evidence is presented. 0 15 Have you got an idea in your mind right now as you 16 think would be the worse sentence to give a person, 17 death or life without parole? 18 In my opinion the worst sentence would be life 19 without parole. Do you hold that view so strongly that you think an 20 execution might be doing a Defendant a favor? 21 22 Not necessarily. It depends on the circumstances, 23 you know. And maybe in your mind if you somehow hypothetically 24 were in a situation you might even want to be 25

executed instead of doing life without parole? 2 Possibly. Q Have you heard anything about this case? Initially some standard stuff, but it just went by the wayside. We had a lot of work come up in the office and worked a lot of nights and I didn't keep up with it in the last few months and to be honest it was a surprise to get called in, a real surprise. 8 9 Ω When you got called in, did the name Charles Rhines 10 mean anything to you at all? 11 Yeah, it did. 12 Q What do you recall hearing about Mr. Rhines before 13 you were called here for jury duty? 14 The stuff that was in the news and stuff like that, 15 bringing him in from Washington State back to be Rapid City. I figured there'd be a trial at some 16 17 point, but as far as the specifics of it, no. 18 Q Any other more specifics or more detailed things you 19 can recall as us sit here today? 20 No, just standard stuff. Again, I remember it when 21 the night back in March it happened because I had to 22 drive to Colorado, and other than that just went into kind of a blur. 23 How about since Monday, have you heard anything or 24 25 read anything?

1 I followed the Judge's instructions when the local 2 news came on, and I went in the other room and I 3 noticed that the newspaper really cut down in today's paper what they had about it and I don't think there was anything at all. I was more interested in the sport's page to be honest with you. 7 Because of anything that you might have read or heard 8 or discussed with friends or family people at work, 9 do you come here today with any ideas one way or the 10 other whether Mr. Rhines is guilty or not guilty of 11 this offense? 12 Not at all. 13 MR. GILBERT: Thank you. That's all the questions I have 14 EXAMINATION BY MR. GROFF: 15 Mr. Bennett, I'm the State's Attorney? 16 Good morning, sir. 17 It's going to be my job during the next couple of 18 weeks to argue the case. I want to ask you just a 19 few questions. I was interested in your sociology degree. Before you pursued that sociology degree, 20 21 did you think that was what you were going to go 22 into? 23 I went there with general studies in mind. I think what you told me, were you interested in the 24

behavior of people and why they do things?

1	A	Yeah, basically, really	interested in maybe like more
2		of the co-dependent	you see a lot of that and my
3	-	wife has a degree in so	ciology and we can get into
4	-	some heated conversation	
5	Q	Co-dependency is a very	interesting concept, very
6		interesting. I want to	talk to you a little bit
7		about the military, and	you have been in the military
. 8		for eight years?	•
9	A	Just went over eight in	November.
10	Ω	Military as you were tal	king before has a lot of
11		rules?	
12	A	Absolutely.	en e
13	Ω	One of the things you ge	t used to doing is following
14		the rules?	
15	A	Without a doubt.	
16	Q	Maybe that's something t	hat ties us in with the Court
17		and the Court has the ru	les which we call
18		instructions and I think	Mr. Gilbert cleared this
19		with you that no matter t	what circumstances you
20		thought might be circums	tances which would justify
21		the imposition of the dea	th penalty, you would follow
,22		the Court's instructions	as to what the aggravating
23	#	circumstances are in Sout	th Dakota, is that right?

As I understand you were down in Texas for how long?

```
1
             I was born there in '60.
                                       I have been in the service
             24 years.
   3
             Twenty-four years?
             Yeah.
  5
             Recalling when you were down in Texas, do you recall
             hearing about death cases?
            Yes.
        Q
            That's not something unusual for you?
            No. sir.
 10
        Q
            Before I go any further, I need to ask you about
 11
            visualizing yourself on the jury, but first, could
 12
            you be a little more specific? You were telling Mr.
13
            Gilbert about matters that came up in your mind which
14
            you thought could justify imposing the death penalty.
            I think you used the word heinous?
15
16
            Well, I believe that first of all I have to look at
17
            maybe, was it a spontaneous type of thing or
18
            premeditated type of thing or what would influence
19
           me.
20
           When it comes to premeditation, can you follow the
21
           Court's instructions, what that means under South
22
           Dakota law?
23
           Well, I can interpret it in my way. I'm not sure
24
           what South Dakota law says, but yeah, I could.
25
           You were explaining, I'm sorry?
```

Again, this is an individual decision that I feel, 1 you know, and together it will come together, if it 2 warranted it by the evidence that we will see, I 3 guess, yeah; just breaking it down. What you are saying is if the evidence warranted 5 imposing death on this Defendant, Mr. Rhines, you could visualize yourself doing that? 7 8 Yes. MR. GROFF: That's all I have. Pass for cause. 9 THE COURT: All right, sir, you remain a prospective 10 juror on this case and we will be in touch with you 11 when we need you to come back, and if you make the 12 final jury penel. In the meantime, it is very 13 important that you continue not to watch, read or 14 listen to any media accounts concerning this case and 15 that you not discuss this case with anyone or allow 16 anyone to discuss it with you or in your presence. 17 Can you promise me you'll not do these things? 18 BENNETT BLAKE: Certainly. 19 THE COURT: If you have not heard from us by Tuesday at 20 noon, I'd ask that you call the Clerk's Office to 21 check in and make sure that we are able to reach you. 22 Thank you, very much. Let's take a ten minute 23

(Recess was taken 9:25 to 9:40.)

recess.

24

THE COURT: Defense may exercise. Record will show that 1 2 the defense has exercised its tenth peremptory and the Clerk will summon another juror. Good morning, Mr. Blair. You were previously sworn in and you remain under oath now? WILLIAM BLAIR: Yes. THE COURT: Defense may inquire. (Prospective Juror, WILLIAM BLAIR, having previously been sworn, testified as follows:) EXAIMINATION BY MR. GILBERT: 10 11 Q For the record state your name please. 12 λ William Blair. 13 Mr. Blair, I'm Wayne Gilbert, and I'm one of the 14 lawyers for Charles Rhines and he is the man seated 15 at the middle of the table, and the other lawyers are 16 Mike Stonefield and Jos Butler and the three of us 17 represent Mr. Rhines. The questionnaire you filled 18 out a month ago we've had copies of that and have had 19 a chance to look at it and you have not served on 20 jury duty before? 21 No, I never have. 22 Q. Have you ever been called at all? 23 No. Some of the questions that you will be asked by both 24

sides this morning are probing and may seem kind of

1		STATE OF SOUT	H DAKOTA)	IN CI	CUIT COURT
2		COUNTY OF PEN	ININGTON)	SEVENTH JU	IDICIAL CIRCUÍT
3					. •
4		STATE OF SOUT	H DAKOTA,	•	
5			Plaintiff,	4	
6		٧.		JURY	TRIAL
7		CHARLES RUSSE	LL RHINES,	93	3 -81
8			Defendant.	AOTAR	ie vi
9			The following may	itare ware i	and before the
10	}	HONOR A	BLE JOHN K. KONENK City, South Dakota	AMP, Circuit	: Juage at
11		of Jan	uary, 1993.		
12		APPEARANCES:	MR. DENNIS GROFF	MR. JAY MI	LLER, and.
13		AFFEARANCES:	MR. MARK VARGO State's Attorney		• ,
14			Pennington County Rapid City, South	¥	
15			Mapaa bada, bolo	· — — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
16	`		FOR THE STATE		
17			MR. JOSEPH BUTLES	R. ·	•
18		•	PO Box 2670 Rapid City, Sout	h Dakota	and .
19	STA	SUPREME COURT TE OF SOUTH DAKOTA	MR. WAYNE GILBER!	Contract of the	
20	•	F 1 = 7)	Attorney at Law 3202 West Main S		•
21		JUN 0 8 1995	Rapid City, Sout	h Dakota	and
22	٥	ilai Blugel	MR. MICHAEL STON Public Defender	EFIELD	·*
23	C	erk 🕶 🕖	Pennington Count Rapid City, Sout	y h Dakota	
24			FOR THE DEFENDAN		
25				,	·
	1	Fon:	ingion County, SD.		
	!	INT	FILED HECTOR COURT		
			MAY "3 1993 1147		ORIGINAL
		(/	Alla filestraid, Clerk		

	ľ		
1		juror. Good afternoon, sir. We swore you in last	
2 ·		week so you remain under oath. Defense may inquire	•
3	(Pr	ospetive Juror DARYL ANDERSON, having previously bee	n
4		sworn, testified as follows:)	
5	EXA	MINATION BY MR. MIKE STONEFIELD?	
6	Q	You are Daryl Anderson?	
7	A	Yes.	
8	Ω	I'm Mike Stonefield and I'm one of the attorneys	
9		representing Charles Rhines in this case. Mr. Rhin	es
10		is the man seated in the middle of the table and th	ĺs
11		is Mr. Wayne Gilbert one of the attorneys and Mr. J	0 €
1.2		Bulter. How are you today?	
13	A	Just fine.	
14	Q,	You sat through the orientation process a week ago	\$ (
1.5		you have a general idea why it is that we are up he	re
16		today?	
17	A	Uhm-uhm.	
18	Q	I'd ask you to take a look around the courtroom and	
19		tell us if you know anybody?	
20	A	I recognize Mr. Groff here.	
21	Q	Would tht just be knowing him as the State's	
22		Attorney?	
23	A	Picture in the paper.	
24	Q	Have you ever had any kind of dealings with him in	
25		any type of criminal case?	

•	^	
. 2	Q	Basically you just know who he is?
3	A	· Yes.
4	Q	Do you know anything about Mr. Rhines other than what
5		you might have heard or read in the paper?
6	A	I don't know anything about him.
7	Q	Did you know Donnivan Schaeffer or have any knowledge
8		of any member of his family?
9	A	No.
10	Q	One of the things we need to talk about is what if
11		anything you know about this case in particular, so
12		I'd ask you to tell us from the questionnaire you
13		indicated that you had some knowledge about the case
14		and I'd ask you to tell us as specifically as you
15 ,		can, what if anything you remember having heard or
16		read?
17	A	The thing that I remember is this Schaeffer was a
18		real nice guy. They mentioned that in the paper and
19		just that it was a botched up robbery, turned into a
20		murder.
21	Q	And your knowledge, that knowledge you are talking
22		about something you heard about on the news or in the
23		paper.
24	A	Right.
25	0	Have you ever in the past few months talked about
<u> </u>	11 🗸	· ###보는 골 등록 목부분은 모양 수업 등 :

1		this case with anybody?
2	A	No, just when I was picked for jury duty people would
3		say, hey, you are on this trial, and I said not yet.
4		I don't have any idea but that is about all.
5	Q	You live in New Underwood?
6	A	Just outside.
7	Q	When you say you were picked for the trial, are you
8		talking about when you got this questionnaire a month
9		or so ago?
10	A	Right.
11	Q	Once you realized you were a potential juror on this
12		case, you did talk to some other people about that?
13	A	Just that part, right.
14	Q	Did you ever hear anybody express an opinion about
15		Mr. Rhines as to whether he was guilty or not guilty?
16	A	Well, you hear comments on like, well, you know what
17		I'd do if I was on there, that type of thing.
18	Q ·	Have you yourself ever expressed any kind of opinion?
19	A.	Not really.
20	Q	Would you say that as you sit here today that you
21		have an opinion one way or the other about whether or
22		not Mr. Rhines
23	Α	I would say I wouldn't have an opinion because you
24		can listen to the media and they have pretty much
25		convicted someone already part of the time and that's

	1	
1		not right because there are several cases where
2		things have happened that I know that's not true what
3		they print.
4	Q	You are saying that although you have read or heard
5		some things about the case, you don't necessarily
6		take everything you hear at face value?
7		You never have been a juror before?
8	λ	No.
9	Q.	Have you ever been called up into this kind of a
10		process before to be questioned?
11	A	I was subpoenaed over 20 years ago in an attempted
12		murder-kidnapping thing. We came on to a fellow that
13		had been abducted from Iowa and I never did go before
14		the Court.
15	ō	You were a possible witness?
16	A	Right.
17	Q	Have you ever been, you yourself or anybody in the
18		family ever been involved in the criminal system as a
1.9		witness or having been charged with something?
20	A	No.
21	Q	One of the things that was discussed during that
22		orientation process the other day were several
23		concepts about criminal law, one of them being that
24		any person that's charged with a crime is presumed to
25		be innocent and that presumption follows with the

```
You have one child?
 1
 2
           Yes.
           Who apparently would be out of school now?
 3
           Yes, she's married.
 4
           Still living around here?
           She lives in New Underwood.
 6
           Is she employed anywhere?
 7
           She works at New Underwood School, she's a secretary
 8
9
           there.
           And you have worked for the highway department for ...
10
           For 24 years.
11
           Which would be pretty much since you were out of
12
           school and you worked for them ever since?
13
           Within a couple of months.
14
           And you are in charge of the New Underwood division
15
           out there?
16
           Right.
17
         Probably notice I'm reading off your questionnaire
18
           here and probably would have expected that I would
19
           have access to it.
20
           Right.
21
           You belong to a Catholic church in New Underwood?
22
23
           Yes.
           Would you say you go regularly?
24
25
       A
           No.
```

1	Q	Does your wife attend regularly?
2	A	No.
3 /	Q	Some of the questions we may ask may seem to be a
4		little prying and they're not meant to a embarrass
5		you and understand the serious nature of this case,
6		but would you describe yourself as a religious
7		person?
8	A	Well, I don't know how I would put that. I believe
9		in God, if that's what you mean.
10	Ω	I know it's kind of a general question. Do you have
11		any particular feelings we will talk about this in
12		some more detail. As you sit here now, would you say
13		you have any particular feelings one way or the other
14		about the death penalty?
15	A	Well, I feel that if a person is guilty I'd say it's
16		a just thing.
17	Q	Would you say again just generally that in your mind
18		you have thought this out quite a bit; is it
19		something you have discussed with other people?
20	A	Not necessarily. No, I wouldn't say I discussed it.
21		Maybe with my wife, we talked about it.
22	Q	You would say that as a general proposition that you
23		are basically in favor of it?
24 '	A	Yes.
25	Q	Did you know what was involved in this case before

this last week?

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A I suspected that it would be, yes.
 - Q Why was that?
 - Well, just the charges that were brought against to me would seem...
 - Let me tell you, procedurally how a case like this goes, and I'll try and explain it and see if you can understand my explanation. The first part of a trial, this is like the first part of any regular criminal trial where evidence is presented and arguments are made and a determination is made by the jury as to whether or not a person charged here, Mr. Rhines, has been proven guilty of what he's charged with, evidence beyond a reasonable doubt and that's, as I said, that's the normal part of any criminal trial. Of course, in a case like this if the jury were to find that he were not guilty of first degree murder, then there'd be no further discussion about penalty or anything like that and the case would just be over. If, however, he were found guilty of first degree murder, which is one of the things he's charged with, then there'd be a second part to the trial and that is one of the things that makes this type of proceeding different than any other criminal trial in this State, in that were he to be found

quilty of first degree murder, the jury would have the opportunity to hear new evidence and arguments as to what the sentence should be, because the jury would actually do the sentencing. You may be familiar with the way most or all other cases in this State are handled is that a person, if they're convicted of something, the Court does the sentencing, but in a capital case, in a first degree murder, death penalty case, the jury does the sentencing. And so you understand it, that is part of the reason why we need to talk about this. Right.

Now, the way that procedure would work, the way that second part of the trial, if we got to it, the way that would work would be that the State would allege that there were one or more what are called aggravating circumstances surrounding the case, one or more circumstances that happened which raises it into the class of cases where the death penalty can be considered and those one or more circumstances would have to be proved just like the elements of the crime have to be proved and proved beyond a reasonable doubt in order for the jury to consider the death penalty. Now, if the jury first of all found Mr. Rhines guilty of first degree murder, and

second of all found one or more of those circumstances to exist, then the jury would have to decide what the appropriate sentence was and there'd be two choices and only two and those would be death by lethal injection, the death penalty or a life sentence in the penitentiary without possibility of parole. Those would be the two possible sentences. Do you feel that you understand at least generally now the procedure?

A Yes.

Q You indicated in your answer to the general question about the death penalty that you do feel that it's an appropriate penalty. Let me ask you this. If you

Q

You indicated in your answer to the general question about the death penalty that you do feel that it's an appropriate penalty. Let me ask you this. If you were to -- I'm asking you to presume some things here, presume you were to sit on the jury and presume you were to find Mr. Rhines guilty of first degree murder, presume you were then going into the second part of the trial the sentencing part and if you were to have found him guilty of first degree murder, would you think the death penalty should automatically follow?

It depends. Like you say the second part of that depending on the evidence that was shown I'd say...

Just as a general question, are you saying that in your mind the death penalty would not automatically

1.		follow.
2	A	Right.
3	Q	You would want to consider other facts?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Do you have any kind of idea in your mind about what
6		other types of things might be appropriate to
7		consider?
8	A	No, I couldn't tell you right now. I can't think of
9		any, but that's a pretty serious matter.
10	Q	Can you, in your mind, can you think of any set of
11	,	circumstances, any type of case that you heard about
12		in the news or anything that pops in your mind where
13		you would think the death penalty would automatically
14		be appropriate?
15	A	I could think you mean like a Ted Bundy type
16		thing? I thought that was appropriate.
17	Q	A lot of people we've talked to mentioned things like
18		that. In a situation like that you would think it
19		would kind of be automatically appropriate for
20	-	someone convicted of
21	A	I would say in his case I think it was the right
22		thing to do.
23	Q	Were you to sit on this kind of a case, do you think
24		you'd want to consider I'm just giving you an
25		evernle here, would one of the things that would be

1		important for you to consider, be the facts involving
2		the earlier life of Mr. Rhines, the person convicted?
3	A	I don't know if that would I hear so much of that
4		about the parents and being an abused child and I
5		think there is too many times that people fall behind
6		that as an excuse.
7	Q	Are you saying that in your mind that that type of
8		evidence would not have any importance?
9	A	Right, it wouldn't have any importance. I think it's
10		used too much as far as some of these cases go.
11		People hide behind their past and use it as an
12		excuse:
1.3	Q	You did say that you would want to probably consider
14		other things. I have given you one example there.
15		Can you think of any other particular things that
16		might be that you might think to be important?
17	A	No, I really can't.
18	Q	I want to talk to you a little bit about the
19		different alternatives of sentencing that we
20		discussed. You understand when I explained that
21		there were, if we were to reach the penalty part that
22	ed.	there'd only be two possibly penalties? Are you
23		willing to accept the fact that in this State that a
24		sentence of death, if it was imposed by the jury,
25		that that would be what would actually happen, that
	1	

Yes.

1	Ω	You mentioned Ted Bundy and that's somebody you have
2		been familiar with in the media and you mentioned
3		that as a possible type of sentence or a possible
4		case where you thought that the death penalty was
5		appropriate. Did you think of any other types of
6		cases where you think it's appropriate?
7	A	Well, that's the one that comes to mind now, but I
8		can't think of any I'm kind of nervous.
9	Q	I understand that. When you hear that kind of story
LO		on television or read about it in the paper, the Ted
.1		Bundy story, is that something that interests you?
L2		Do you have an interest in that at all?
.3	A	Not necessarily an interest, no.
L 4	Q	Is it something that you'll sit and listen to if it
.5		comes on television or something like that?
.6	A	I watched the movie or whatever.
.7	Q	Do you remember hearing about the case in Washington
. 8		State about a week or so ago where a man was
.9		sentenced to death or was actually executed by
0		hanging.
11	A	Right.
12	Q	And you heard or you had some general idea of what he
13		was convicted of doing?
4	A	Really, no. I have been busy lately and I haven't

25

MP_Box-2_008051

1	1	execution, right?
2	Ö	From what knowledge you might have had from that
3		case, did you feel that that was appropriate?
4	A	Well, I don't know.
5	Q	Would you say that you didn't have enough knowledge
6		of it?
7	A	Right.
8	Q	You would characterize yourself as someone that would
9		want to hear more information or as much information
10		as you could before you'd want to make a decision on
11		something like this?
12	A	Right, because it's pretty final.
13	Q	Do you have any general types of feelings, based on
14		what we've talked about, how you would feel if you
15		were called to sit on this type of case?
16	A	I wouldn't say I would be excited about it but I'd
17		sit on the jury.
18	Q	You'd be willing to take on that responsibility?
19	A	Yes.
20	Õ	You live outside of town, 20 miles or so. Can you
21		think of any reason why the distance that you'd have
22		to travel if you had to be here every day for several
23		weeks, why that would present any kind of a problem?
24	A	It would be snow. That wasn't a problem until a

couple days ago.

1	Ω	It might become a problem again from the sounds of
2		it.
3	A	Other than that, I can't see any problem.
4 .	Q	No kind of work problems?
5	A	I can have people cover for me. I have people that
6		can cover for me everywhere.
7	Q	Do you know of anyone, Mr. Anderson, that works in
8		law enforcement?
9	Α	Slim McNaughton, he works for the sheriff's
10	,	department in New Underwood.
11	Q	Would you say he's a friend of yours?
12	A	I know him to say hi. I don't go out to supper with
13		him or anything like that.
14	Q	I'd ask you if you would right now take a look at
15 .		this list of names and tell us if you recognize any
16		of them?
17	A	I recognize Jerry Hammerquist, Don Bahr, I think he
18		works for the sheriff's department.
19	Q	Are you friends with Jerry Hammerquist?
20	A	Just an acquaintance. He's a rancher by Caputa.
21	Q	The list of names there, the reason we went over
22		those, those are people that could possibly be
23		witnesses in this case and if Mr. Hammerquist was
24		called as a witness, your knowledge of him, do you
25		think you might tend to favor his testimony or give

25

Once you become convinced that you are right about

something, would you...

A Sure.

2

3

7

`9 10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Knowing what this case is about and knowing a little bit more of what we've discussed here, can you think of any particular reason that we should know about why you would say that you couldn't be a fair or impartial juror on this case?
- A I think I could be.
- Q Nothing else that you can think of that we should know about?
- A Nothing.
- MR. STONEFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Anderson. We will pass..
- 13 THE COURT: State may inquire.

EXAMINATION BY MR. GROFF:

I am going to talk to you a little bit about the death penalty if is that's okay. Did you understand from Mr. Stonefield that if you get to that second stage, assuming Mr. Rhines is found guilty of first degree murder and then you are going to be basically either considering the evidence you have already heard or considering arguments from me about whether or not that evidence rises to the level of an aggravating circumstance and makes this murder special; do you understand?

A Yes.

The Judge is going to instruct you as to what these 1 aggravating circumstances are and my question to you 2 is this, very simply put, will you follow the Court's 3 instructions as to what our aggravating circumstances are in South Dakota, even if you disagree with them ... Yes, I'd follow the instructions. Now, I want to talk to you a little bit about the questionnaire you got in the mail. When you filled that out and mailed it in, did you ever think you'd be here answering these kind of questions? Yes. And, of course, we've got you here now and I want to talk to you about something else that could happen and see how you feel about it? Ókay.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There is a very real possibility that you'll be picked on this jury and if you are picked on this jury, of course, you may have to make one of the more important decisions in your life on this case. I need to have you work with me and dealing with an assumption here, but I just want to see how you'd feel in this situation. Let's assume you were picked for the jury and you went through that first stage and were convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr.

18

19

22

23

24

25

1

Rhines was guilty of first degree murder and you brought back that verdict and you went back and considered the evidence that you heard and arguments, other evidence you heard in the second stage and went back and deliberated, and once again you found an aggravating circumstance beyond a reasonable doubt and decided the death penalty was appropriate. Not trying to get you to commit to that or anything, but let's assume you had done all that and the next thing that would happen to you is you'd come back in this courtroom and you'd be in one of those chairs and the unanimous verdict would be read of all 12 of you and assuming you thought that was the appropriate thing to do, can you visualize your being in Court and facing the Defendant, Mr. Rhines, and telling him that your verdict is to put him to death?

- A Yeah.
- Q Pretty deep breath. Tell me about it; how do you feel?
- 20 A Like I said, it's a serious thing. You are going to have to have overwhelming evidence for me.
 - Q I'm not sure if the standard of proof is overwhelming.
 - A I know what you mean.
 - Q I understand how you feel. What I'm trying to figure

out is no matter your personal feelings, I want to 1 figure out whether or not first of all in that 2 initial stage whether you find him guilty or 3 innocent, you know, I have to present evidence to you that is going to convince you beyond a reasonable 5 doubt if he is guilty, and I think if you listened to Judge Konenkamp the other day he was very explicit 7 that that doesn't mean an absolute certainty. Same thing when we get to that second phase. Before you can even consider the death penalty, you'll have to 10 be convinced that there is an aggravating 11 circumstance that one has been proven, not to an 12 absolute certainty, do you understand? 13 14 Right. And I realize you want to be convinced in your mind, 15 but do you think you can fairly consider the Court's 16 instructions? 17 Oh, yes. 18 Is there anyone you know, who is so opposed to the 19 death penalty that if you actually rendered that 20 verdict in your case, if you left the building they'd 21 be on your case about, how could you do such a thing? 22 Well, my wife. 23 Tell me about that. 24 Q

1346

She's opposed to the death penalty.

1	Ç	You'll have some instruction to follow and evidence
2		to consider and you'll have your wife to consider,
3		which would you consider first?
4	A	I'd consider the evidence.
5	ō	This wouldn't cause a divorce or anything?
6	A	No.
7	Q	If you thought it was the right thing to do and you
8		did it, do you think you could carry on your life and
9		not have that be a big issue with your wife?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Has your wife been telling you what to do if you are
12		a juror?
,13	A	She thinks it's great I'm here though.
14	Q	I don't know if that says much for you, sir.
15	A	She's been a juror before and she says she thinks it
16		would be a good learning process.
17	Q	Now, you were talking with Mr. Stonefield about Ted
18		Bundy and he's sometimes called a serial killer. Do
1,9		you think you'd have any difficulty sitting on a case
20	ļ	where you basically have one Defendant charged, and
21		one alleged victim killed and we are not talking
22		about serial killing here?
23	A	I don't think I have a problem with that.
24	Ω	Explain that to me if you can.

25

The charge here is he's charged with murder, the same

1		as Mr. Bundy it's just that he multiplied it a few
2		times.
3	Ω	You'd consider the facts and circumstances of this
4	1	case, the how and why, to determine whether or not it
5		was appropriate, is that correct?
6	A	Yes.
7	Ω	Can you tell me, Mr. Anderson, one or two questions,
8		can you tell me what are the more important decisions
9		you have made in your life?
10	A	Well, getting married. That's about the most
11		important decision that I have made.
12	Q	And you have one child and your child is 20?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Boy or girl?
1.5	λ	Girl.
16	Q	Was that a big decision, having a child?
17	A	I wouldn't say. It was an accident, but I'm glad it
18		happened, yes. We actually had two children, the
19		second one was a planned thing.
20	Q	Something happened?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	We don't have to go into that. Once you make a
23		decision, whether it's marriage or other important
24		decisions in your life, do you tend to second-guess
25		yourself?

peremptory has been entered and the Clerk will call

•	, · · ·
1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) IN CIRCUIT COURT
2.	COUNTY OF PENNINGTON) SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
3	
4	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA,
5	Plaintiff,
6	JURY TRIAL
7	CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES, 93-81
. 8	Defendant. VOLUME II OF
9	
10	PROCEEDINGS: The following matters were had before the HONORABLE JOHN K. KONENKAMP, Circuit Judge at
11	Rapid City, South Dakota, on the 5th day of January, 1993.
12	APPEARANCES: MR. DENNIS GROFF, MR. JAY MILLER, and.
13	MR. MARK VARGO State's Attorney's Office
14	Pennington County Rapid City, South Dakota
15	FOR THE STATE
16 STAT	SUPREME COURT E OF SOUTH DAKOTA
17	UN 0 8 1995 MR. JOSEPH BUTLER
18	PO Box 2670
19 😅	land folgel Rapid City, South Dakota and
20	MR. WAYNE GILBERT Attorney at Law
21	3202 West Main Street Rapid City, South Dakota and
22	MR. MICHAEL STONEFIELD
23	Pennington County, Sprennington County
24	IN THE CHROUT COURS
25	MAR 8 1993 FOR THE DEFENDANT
ŀ	Papplie Fitzgenfild, Clark
B	ORIGINAL' ORIGINAL
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1.4

25

defense counsel and based upon the statutes, the State would challenge for cause the disqualification because of his current circumstance of being under the felony conviction and currently on probation.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. STONEFIELD: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Miessner, we will excuse you on this case.

You were previously sworn and you are still under oath. Defense may inquire.

(Prospective Juror HARRY KEENEY, having previously been sworn, testified as follows:)

EXAMINATION BY MR. GILBERT:

- Q State your name please?
- A Harry Keeney.
- Q Mr. Keeney, I'm Wayne Gilbert and along with me here is Joe Butler and Mike Stonefield. The three of us are the defense attorneys for Charles Rhines. As you look around the courtroom here, both in front of the bar and behind it, do you see anyone you recognize or know?
- A No. sir.
- Q Mr. Keeney, we have, both sides have had access to the questionnaire you filled out approximately a month ago and I notice in that questionnaire that you

point, do you feel that the death penalty would be

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in which you favor the death penalty?

Would you say that there are certain types of cases

A Yes.

1

5

6.

7

8

9

10

11

12

, 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 Q Have you had a chance to think about what types of cases those are?
 - A I would say anyone that premeditated a murder, planned it out, I would say definitely would say they should be put to death. As far as accidents or something like that I wouldn't say that, but really premeditated murder would be a cause for me to think of a person that would deserve that penalty.
 - Now, let me take a couple of minutes to tell you about the process that's involved in a case like this. Mr. Rhines has been charged with first degree murder and we are now selecting a jury that would sit and decide this case. Now, when a person is charged with first degree murder and when the prosecutor has decided to seek the death penalty, there is a trial at which the guilt or innocence of the Defendant is determined. In other words, if you were selected to sit on the jury you would hear evidence as to whether or not the crime of first degree murder was committed and as to whether or not Charles Rhines was the person who committed the crime. And if you were satisfied as a jury unanimously, beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Rhines were guilty of first degree murder then there'd be a second trial. Now, if on

the other hand, the jury was not satisfied that the case had been proved beyond a reasonable doubt and returned a verdict of not guilty, then it would be over as far as the sentencing and the jury's involvement and the case would be concerned. Going back to if there is a conviction, if there is a conviction then the same jury would reconvene and hear evidence on what they call aggravating circumstances. The State of South Dakota would be obligated to attempt to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that there are one or more aggravating circumstances. And in this case the Court would instruct you in detail about those aggravating circumstances, and if you as a jury were to find beyond a reasonable doubt that one or more of these aggravating circumstances exist, then you could impose the death penalty. You would not be obligated to, but you could, and that would be the jury's decision. And I should tell you, if the jury's decision is to impose the death penalty, the death penalty would be imposed and there is no chance that there'd be a commutation or somebody would step in at the last minute. You'd have to assume that it would be carried out if the death penalty were not imposed. In South Dakota life imprisonment does not have a

15

24

possibility of parole, did you know that?

2 A I guess I didn't know.

22.

- That is in South Dakota, life imprisonment means just that. Knowing about this procedure and getting back to, you said that in a case of planned out premeditated murder, the death penalty would be appropriate or would be justified. If at the end of the first trial you were satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that there had been a premeditated murder, would you go into the second phase of the trial leaning toward the death penalty?
 - I would say I'd have to weigh a lot of circumstances and see what the evidence really was, I mean, you know. It's hard for me to give you a correct answer on that, sir, because I would think there'd be a lot of variations on that and I want to give you an honest answer, so I at this time I'll be honest with you, I couldn't give you a good honest answer because I don't know. It would depend on the evidence and things that was, you know, presented to me at that time. Would I need to go in with an open mind, is that what you are saying?
- Q Yes, that's what I'm getting at.
- A Well, I guess I'd have to see what the evidence was.
- Q When you say that, do you have in mind the process

1

- 5 6
- 7
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25

- A I think -- it's all new to me. Yeah. Like I say, the differential between the two things isn't real clear, to be honest with you.
- Well, let me put it this way. If at the end of the first trial, if you in your mind, and the jury was unanimous, that Mr. Rhines was guilty of premeditated murder, and if at that point, no further evidence was offered on aggravating circumstances, would you consider the death penalty at that point?
- I would think so. I mean, you know, if everything pointed that way and -- I would say I would, yes.
- If you were instructed that you had to find beyond a reasonable doubt that there was an aggravating circumstance over and above any evidence that was presented at stage one of the trial, in other words, more evidence on an aggravating circumstance, if you were instructed that you had to find this aggravating circumstance beyond a reasonable doubt, and no additional evidence....
- MR. GROFF: Objection. May we approach the bench? (Side bar discussion was had.)
- THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection to the form of the question.
- If at the close of the first stage of the trial you

concluded beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Rhines was guilty of premeditated murder, and you were instructed that there was an additional aggravating circumstance that had to be found beyond a reasonable doubt before you could consider the death penalty, and in that event would you consider the death penalty, based solely on the premeditated finding that you had made?

б

ш

- Well, if I was instructed I had to find, been presented with enough evidence to convince me that it was premediated, I would say that I would have to be convinced that there was, like you say...
- Q If you were convinced that it was premeditated, would that be alone enough in your mind to justify the death penalty?
- A Well, if I was instructed at this second trial I had to be convinced that it was premeditated, I guess I don't know how to answer you really.
- I'll try and simplify it a little. Do you think that
 the fact that you would find a murder was
 premeditated, that fact in and of itself alone would
 cause you to consider imposing the death penalty?

 If it was well planned out and premeditated I would
- say, yes. If he said he planned it out and everything else and that was his desire and his aim

I'd say, yes, and he carried it out.

Do you know the aggravating circumstances that we have talked about, I haven't identified them for you as to specifically what they are, but would you be able to follow the Court's instructions in that regard as long as you understand them, in other words, more specifically, if the Court provided you with definitions of the aggravating circumstances and they did not include something like planned out as you have described it, would you still lean toward the death penalty, even if that was not included as an aggravating circumstance in the Court's instructions?

- A I guess I don't see where you are headed there. I guess, am I correct in saying that you are saying if the instructions were not towards the premeditated side and he hadn't planned it out, would I still aim towards the death penalty and I would say that it would depend on other circumstances and other evidence.
- 21 Q And the Court's instructions?
 - A Right.

- Q Have you ever served as a juror before in any other type of case?
- A No, sir.

- Q Had you ever heard the concept of presumption of innocence before yesterday?
 - A Well, that was what I thought, everybody in the United States, that everybody is innocent until they're proven guilty.
- 6 Q So you heard about it before?
 - A Sure.

я

٠ 9

- As we sit here today, since I have asked you a lot of questions about the death penalty and you know that the State has decided to seek the death penalty, does that make you think that maybe Mr. Rhines is guilty since we are so concerned about the death penalty in this case?
- A Not necessarily, because I don't have any idea of the circumstances. I mean, I guess I'd have to hear all the evidence and all the circumstances and make up my own mind because I don't know anything about Mr.

 Rhines or anything involved in the case at all. I don't have any idea what's going on or what happened and I'd have to hear everything and weigh everything out in my own mind and go from there.
- If you had to vote right now without hearing any evidence, if you had to vote right now as to whether Mr. Rhines was guilty or not guilty, how would you vote?

- A Right now I don't know anything about it. I mean I couldn't vote intelligently right now because I don't know. I want to know more about it.
 - Q Would you expect -- do you understand that the defense does not have to offer any evidence of any kind or nature, that it has no burden of proof or persuasion, that it can rely on and argue that the state has not met its burden of proof, that the defense is not obligated at all to bring any evidence forward?
 - A I didn't realize that, I guess, no.
 - Q Would you expect the defense to bring some evidence forward in a criminal case?
 - A I would expect they'd try to prove the gentleman was innocent and what he was charged with and everything wasn't true.
 - Q If the defense didn't try to prove that, would you take that into account and hold that against the defense?
 - Well, I think it would be leaving -- I'd be honest with you, I think it would be failing.
 - Q It would be what?

б

. 15

A I would think that the lawyers that he had would be doing a poor job, to be real honest with you, you know.

Q And if you thought that, would you take that into consideration and in how you viewed the evidence at the close of the case?

- A That's a hard question. There's too many circumstances involved there to answer a question like that as far as I'm concerned. You know, there could be so many variances in there, I couldn't give you an honest answer on it, you know. I don't know.
- Q Would you expect Mr. Rhines himself to take the witness stand?
- A I would say that's up to him and the lawyer as far as -- you know -- I don't know that much about this system to make a decision on that.
- Q If Mr. Rhines didn't take the witness stand, would you think from that fact in and of itself that he must be trying to hide something important, must be guilty or he would have taken the stand?
- A I wouldn't say that would be necessary, you know. A person -- lot of people handle pressure in different ways. Some people can handle pressure and some people can't. There could be a lot of variance there too.
- Q There is going to be some evidence in this case that Mr. Rhines is a homosexual and one or two of the witnesses that may be called are also homosexuals.

18 18 18

1 Do you have any opinions about homosexuals as to 2 whether that's sinful or a wrong lifestyle or course 3 of conduct? I guess a man or lady has to live their own lives the 5 way they see fit and the way they are directed and 6 the way they live it is entirely up to them and so, 7 you know, I don't see where that would have any 8 variance on this case as far as I'm concerned. 9 Were you ever in the military? 10 Yes. What branch? 11 12 Air Force. 13 Q How long? 14 Four years. 15 Were you stationed overseas? 16 A No, sir. 17 Q So you didn't see any combat duty or anything like 18 that? 19 A No, sir. How do you feel about president-elect Clinton's plan 20 Q to allow homosexuals into the armed services? 21 Well, he's the Commander In Chief, you know, and I 22 guess to be real honest with you, I don't know that 23 much about homosexuals one way or the other. I 24

17

25

really don't.

1	Q	So you don't have any strong feelings?
2	A	No. Like I say, I don't know what they believe or
3 ·		what they do or how they do it or whatever, I just
4		don't know.
- 5	Ω	You have four children?
6	A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	They live in the Rapid City area?
8.	A	One daughter does.
9	Q	The others have moved to other parts of the country?
10	A	Yes, sir.
11	Q	You keep in close contact with all four of them?
12	A	Yes, sir.
13	Q	You get together when you can on holidays and that
14		sort of thing?
15	A	Yes, sir.
16	Q	In front of you on the witness stand there is a paper
17		that has a list of names of people who might be
18		called as witnesses in this case. Could you take a
19		minute and look that over and see if any of the names
20		are familiar to you. Have you had a chance to look
21		at that?
22	A	Yes, sir. No names that I recognize.
23	MR.	GILBERT: Thank you. I appreciate your honesty in
24		answering the questions.
25	EXA	MINATION BY MR. GROFF:

A Yes, sir.

- And really the burden is on us to produce all the evidence to convince you of that and the Defendant doesn't have to produce any evidence and he can rely on our inability to prove our case; it's his choice whether or not he wants to testify and if he doesn't testify that can't be used against him and that's his right?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Can you follow instructions on all those areas from the Court, the jury instructions?
- A Yeah, I can.
- In South Dakota here it is not enough to just have a first degree murder in terms of imposing the death penalty, not even enough to have a premeditated murder we have what are called aggravating circumstances that have to be proven in that second stage. Do you think you can wait and consider all the evidence in the second stage, should you decide Mr. Rhines is guilty of first degree murder; can you

wait until the second stage and consider all the evidence then and determine whether or not an aggravating circumstance has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt and whether or not, secondly, whether the death penalty is appropriate? Do you think you can wait and make that decision then?

- A I would think so, you know.
- Once again, would you follow the Court's instructions and consider all that evidence?
- A Yes.
- MR. GROFF: That's all I have today. Thank you. Pass for cause.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Keeney we will be in touch with you. If you don't hear from us by next Tuesday at noon, I would appreciate you calling the Clerk's Office to check on the status of the case and see if you are still on the final jury list. And it's very important now that you are still a prospective juror here that you not talk to anybody about this case or allow anyone to talk to you about it or not read or listen to any media accounts about it. Can you promise that you'll do that?

HARRY REENEY: Yes, sir. I should call in to check if I need to check in on any other jury duty or does this take preference?

1.	THE COURT: This takes preference. Just check in next
2	Tuesday. Could I speak with counsel?
3	(Side bar discussion was had.)
4 '	THE COURT: Mr. Meier, you were previously sworn and you
5	are still under oath now. Defense may inquire.
6	{Prospective Juror JACK MEIER, having previously been
7	sworn, testified as follows:)
8	EXAMINATION BY MR. GILBERT:
9	Q State your name so we have a record.
10	A Jack Meier.
11	Q Mr. Meier, you filled out a questionnaire a month ago
12	and we have had a chance to look at it. You finished
13	high school in Falkton?
1.4	A Yes.
15	Q When did you move to this area?
16	A September, 1972.
1.7	Q Just shortly after you finished high school?
18	A Yeah, two years.
19	Q You have lived here ever since?
20	A I lived in Kearney, Nebraska for a while.
21	Q Between '72 and now?
22	A Yeah, for a year.
23	Q When was that?
24	A '80, I think.
25	Q Since you filled out the questionnaire, have you

	· II
1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) IN CIRCUIT COURT
2	COUNTY OF PENNINGTON) SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
3	
4	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA,
5 -	Plaintiff,
6	V. JURY TRIAL
7	CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES, 93-81
8	Defendant. VOLUME IV
9	
10	PROCEEDINGS: The following matters were had before the
11	HONORABLE JOHN K. KONENKAMP, Circuit Judge at Rapid City, South Dakota, on the 7th day of
12	January, 1993. APPEARANCES: MR. DENNIS GROFF, MR. JAY MILLER, and.
3.3	MR. MARK VARGO State's Attorney's Office
14	Pennington County Rapid City, South Dakota
15	FOR THE STATE
16	
17	MR. JOSEPH BUTLER
18	Attorney at Law PO Box 2670
19	Rapid City, South Dakota and
20	MR. WAYNE GILBERT Attorney at Law
21	3202 West Main Street Rapid City, South Dakota and
22	MR. MICHABL STONEFIELD
23	Public Defender Pennington County
24	Rapid City, South Dakota
25	FOR THE DEFENDANT

.1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q As you sit here?
3	A That's the first thing when they arrest somebody for
4	it you know the first impression I get is that he did
5	it.
6	Q Do you still believe that as you sit here today?
7	A Yes.
8	Q In other words, you think he's guilty, is that right?
9	A Yeah. I'm not very open-minded about it.
10	MR. BUTLER: Challenge for cause.
11	MR. GROFF: No objection.
12	THE COURT: All right. We will excuse you on this case.
13	State will call another prospective juror.
14	Ma'am, you were previously sworn and you do remain
15	under oath now.
16	FRANCES CERSOSING. Tunderstand
17	THE COURT: Defense may inquire.
18	MR. BUTLER: Thank you.
19	(Prospective Juror FRANCES CERSOSIMO, having previously
20	been sworn, testified as follows:)
21	EXAMINATION BY MR. BUTLER:
22	Q Let's see if I can pronounce your name.
23	A Cersosimo.
24	Q Your name is Frances Cersosimo?

Yes.

Miss Cersosimo, I have had the opportunity of 1 reviewing the questionnaire that you returned to the 2 Court and those have been made available to us so we can hopefully maybe shorten these examinations up. 5 Right. Α I noticed in the last question with respect to 6 Q whether or not you thought you could serve as a juror, you said that you would rather explain it in person than write it out? 9 Yes. 10 I am going to give you that opportunity, okay? 11 Q I felt at the time I needed to think about it. When 12 I was younger I was always fascinated by shows on TV 13 like Perry Mason and I thought the courtroom scenes 1.4 were very interesting and -- I can't believe how 15 nervous I am. 16 Just take your time. I know that these are strange Q surroundings for you and I understand your nervousness, believe me, there is no reason to be. 19 Anyway I was intrigued by the law but then I had an Α opportunity -- not an opportunity, but my brother-in-law was charged with involuntary manslaughter with my husband's death, so at that time

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

I was involved in a courtroom scene for real and it

was kind of a hard experience and yet interesting,

and at the time I felt sorry for some of the people on the jury because it seemed to be so hard on them and at that time I thought to myself, this is something I hope I never have to do. But I put that to the back of my mind all these years because it didn't seem like it was going to be a reality that I would be called and when I got the summons at first I was really surprised and nervous about it but I have had time to think about it and I think this is something that I can do.

- All right. I have read your entire questionnaire so
 I think I can the your explanation together. Let me
 visit with you about some of the questions I am going
 to have to ask. I hope you understand that I have
 got to ask you some questions and some of them might
 be a little bit probing, but I have got to do it. If
 you think I'm asking them just to be nosy, that isn't
 the case. Okay?
- A I understand.
- Q I noticed you are a painter?
- A Yes.

11 :

- Q A house painter?
- A Yes, and I do wallpapering.
 - Q Have I met you before?
 - A I don't believe so.

1	Q No personal acquaintancesnip?
2	A No.
3	Q Nothing about any of the names you recognize in this
4	case that you think would impact on your ability to
5	be a fair juror in this case?
6	A No.
7	Now, the evidence is going to show in this case that
8	the Defendant Charles Rhines is a homesexual and lik
9	is going to also show that several of the State
10	withesses new also be homosexuals. You never knew
11	Char parore did You?
12	No. I Colonic
13	Q When I just mentioned that, did that have any impact
14	on your perception on Mr. Rhinee?
15	A None whatsoever.
16	Q Do you know, have you got any acquaintances who are
17	homosexual?
18	A No. not personal friends or anything. My daughter
19	recently got married and it had come out that his
20	cousin is a homosexual. I had known this for a long
21	time, just my own observation of him. And my husband
22	had him in class at North and we both agreed he
23	probably was, and knowing my son-in-law's family
24	background and their thoughts on it, I knew it was
25	going to be hard for him.

- Q Do you consider that that lifestyle is a sinful or immoral lifestyle?

 A No.

 Q To each his own?
 - A Well, I don't think that we understand completely. I have come to think that it's probably a genetic thing that they have no control over and they have a right to a life.
 - Q I want to explain to you a little bit about the procedure here, and it is something different than the procedure experienced before. Mr. Rhines is charged with first degree murder in this case and the State has elected to request the consideration of the death penalty. Are you aware of that?
 - A Yes.

- Q Before I go any further, what do you know about the case?
- I know that a young man that worked at Dig 'Em Donuts was stabbed and as a result of the stabbing he died and there was a lot of publicity as far as he was a very nice young man and loved by his family and a lot of things like that were in the paper and then I recall reading some months later that they had extradited someone and charged him.
- Q On the basis of the information that you have

acquired through the news media, have you formed any judgments?

A None whatsoever.

1

3 .

5

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2:3

24

- Q Let me go back to where I was. He's been charged with first degree murder and the proceedings are this, if you are selected as a juror in this case you will, there could possibly be two phases involved. The first phase would be the determination of guilt and if you were to determine that Mr. Rhines was guilty of first degree murder, there would be a second phase at which the jury would determine the appropriate sentence; do you follow?
- A I follow.
- And at that second phase there are two options in South Dakota for first degree murder. One option is life imprisonment without parole, and unlike some other states, in South Dakota that means what it says, you serve the rest of your life in prison, or the second option is the imposition of the death penalty; do you follow?
- A I follow.
- Q I'd like to ask your views of the death penalty?
- A I have always believed in the death penalty.
 - Can you elaborate on that for me? Is that in every case, every first degree murder?

6

. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to say this is new for me and I haven't thought about

it, the death penalty seriously other than certain

circumstances came up, and I thought well, it's right or I trusted that the jury made the right decision.

But I found in my lifetime and in my experiences what you think you are going to do in a given circumstance doesn't always happen. So until you get there, you think you are going to do it.

- Q I'm sure if you are selected as a juror that will be on your mind all the time. You seem to be a thoughtful person. Do you feel that you could be a fair and impartial juror?
- A Yes, I do.
- Let's assume that you were to, after hearing all the evidence, that you concluded after the guilt phase, assuming you found the Defendant guilty of premeditated murder but concluded that a life sentence would be appropriate, would you stick by your guns? By that I mean, would you keep your opinion and not give in just because you were in the minority?
- A Yes, I would. If I think I'm right about it, I'm very stubborn.
- Q But you'll listen to other persons?
- A My husband, he's a black and white person, right or wrong. With me a lot of things are in the gray area and I try to hear both sides of every situation, but

EXAMINATION BY MR. GROFF:

- Q Mr. Butler just asked you about the -- kind of the converse is what I am going to ask you about, ma'am, and that is, just the same as if you thought death was appropriate. After hearing all the evidence, do you think you'd just as stubbornly stick to your guns if you thought that was the right decision?
- A Oh, yes.

Я

- I need to ask you a couple of questions about some of the matters addressed in your questionnaire. We did shuffling around because we got copies and we didn't have that back page of it so we got those and we have read that. The first thing I want to ask you about, every prosecutor is unique and I hope I'm unique in the way I put on a case, and you made comments a little bit about your views of the case and how Judge Konenkamp did that back in 1976. I'm not necessarily the same kind of prosecutor as Judge Konenkamp was and can you put that aside, who I am?
- A Yes.
- Q And I hope I'm not too theatrical to offend you, but even if I were, do you think you could decide that case?

- A Yes. I understand this is a different case, too.
- Q Judge Konenkamp read to you on Monday quite a long orientation and towards the end reaffirmed something he said before and that is something, you need to decide this case without mere bias or sympathy. Do you understand?
- A Yes.

2.2

- Q Here's what I'm concerned about. Because of the thing you mentioned in 1976, that trial you sat through, I can imagine the kind of emotions that might have brought out in you, but you understand that's over now?
- A Yeah.
- Q Do you feel any sympathy today, based upon that experience, for this Defendant because he's on trial in a murder case?
- A No.
- When we talk about the death penalty we have already talked a little bit about your feelings and some of the circumstances you were thinking about that might justify the imposition. I want to talk to you about the reality of what is happening here. When you got the questionnaire a few weeks back, did you visualize in your mind that you actually might be here speaking to us about the questionnaire?

- A I knew I would be.
- Q You thought you might be coming in?
- A Oh, yeah.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, the same way today, as you think about it, there is a very real chance that you might be on that jury and you might be selected and what I want to talk to you about is you visualizing into the future like you visualized coming to Court. I'm not trying to get any sort of commitment or promise from you about what your verdict might be. I just want you to assume some things and see if you can visualize yourself doing them. Can you visualize yourself being part of a 12-person jury who after hearing the entire case and after really talking about it, decided that the verdict of death was appropriate and then coming into the courtroom as part of that group and facing the Defendant in this case, Mr. Rhines, and having that to be your verdict, that he's going to be put to death, can you visualize yourself doing that?

A Yes.

I know it's difficult to kind of think about for most people. The second part of that is, I don't know if this happened in the case you saw before, but one of the things that can happen, because it has to be a

unanimous verdict is that every individual can be polled to determine, is that your individual verdict, and that could happen as well. In other words, Judge 3 Konenkamp could ask you, ma'am, is this your verdict putting the Defendant to death, and you'd have to 5 respond in front of him, if it were your verdict, 6 yes, this is my verdict putting you to death Mr. 7 Rhines. Can you visualize yourself doing that? 8 9 Yes. Α Once again, it would depend on the facts and 10 circumstances? 11 Yeah. 12 Α 13

Q You don't have any...

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 .

- I would have to be convinced. 14
 - I want to talk about convincing now for a minute. Q Before I get to that, are there any friends or relatives out there that you think might kind of get down on your case if you came back with a death verdict in a murder case; some people that are really opposed to the death penalty and would say, how could you ever do that?
 - I know one lady who says she's very much against the death penalty.
 - Would that have any effect on that?
 - No, she has the right to her opinion.

Now, the other thing you learned from that other experience you went through and you heard again on Monday from Judge Konenkamp was proof in any case, whether a D.W.I. case or some sort of a theft case, the case you sat and listened to or a murder case, the proof has always got to be beyond a reasonable doubt? Yes. A And as we get to this case, which probably is the most serious anyone could ever sit on, the standard of proof doesn't change, it's still proof beyond a reasonable doubt, and not proof to an absolute certainty or perfection. Do you understand? A Yes. Just because it's a very serious case and I know you Õ are taking it seriously, do you think you'd make the State prove its case to a burden not required by law, which would be an absolute certainty or would you

beyond a reasonable doubt?

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A This is a very serious case and I would have to be very convinced that what this man is being charged with that he did do it, except I don't know how far you think I have to take it, in my mind to be convinced -- beyond a reasonable doubt or an

keep the burden where it's always been to proof

Ή.	1	absolute, 1 don't know.
2	Q	In layman's terms, do you expect a perfect case from
3		me?
4	A	From you?
5	Ω	I'm going to present the case, do you expect a
6		perfect case?
7	A	I don't know what a perfect case is.
8	Q	I don't know either.
9	A	No, I don't expect it.
10	Q	You need to be convinced though?
11	A	Yes.
12	Ω	Would you do your best to follow the Court's
13		instructions?
14	A	Yes, I would.
15	MR.	GROFF: I'll pass for cause. Thank you.
16	THE	COURT: Let me remind you that you are now a
17		prospective juror on the case and you remain such.
18		We will notify you probably within a week or so if
19		you made the final jury panel. It is very important
20		in the meantime that you not discuss the case with
21		anyone or let anyone discuss it with you and also
22		that you not listen to or watch any media accounts
23		concerning this case. Will you promise me you'll not
24		do so?

Yes.

25

FRANCES CERSOSIMO:

THE COURT: If we are unable to reach you for some 1 reason, if you haven't heard from us by next Tuesday 2 at noon, call the Clerk's Office to check in to make 3 sure we are still staying in touch with your. Thank you, very much. 5 We will continue jury examination at 1:00 o'clock. 6 7 (A recess was had from 12:00 o'clock to 1:00 o'clock.) THE COURT: State may exercise. State has exercised its 8 seventh peremptory challenge. The Clerk may bring in 9 another prospective juror. You were previously sworn 10 so you still remain under oath. 11 (Prospective Juror DONITA HALEY, having previously been 12 13 sworn, testified as follows:) EXAMINATION BY MR. STONEFIELD: 14 15 Good afternoon. Hi. 16 A Tell us your name. 17 18 Donita Haley. Α You and I are acquainted through your friendship with 19 a couple of people who work in the same office that I 20 do? 21 Yes. 22 Α This is Mr. Butler and this is Mr. Rhines and Mr. 23 Q Gilbert and you understand why you are up here today? 24

25

A

Yes.

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) IN CIRCUIT COURT
2	COUNTY OF PENNINGTON) SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
3	
4	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA,
5	Plaintiff,
6	v. JURY TRIAL
7	CHARLES RUSSELL RHINES, 93-81
8	Defendant. VOLUME IV
9 .	
10	PROCEEDINGS: The following matters were had before the HONORABLE JOHN K. KONENKAMP, Circuit Judge at
1,1	Rapid City, South Dakota, on the 7th day of January, 1993.
12	APPEARANCES: MR. DENNIS GROFF, MR. JAY MILLER, and. MR. MARK VARGO
13	State's Attorney's Office
14	Pennington County Rapid City, South Dakota
15	FOR THE STATE
16	
17	MR. JOSEPH BUTLER
18	Attorney at Law PO Box 2670 Rapid City, South Dakota and
19	
30	MR. WAYNE GILBERT Attorney at Law
21	3202 West Main Street Rapid City, South Dakota and
22	MR. MICHAEL STONEFIELD
23	Public Defender Pennington County
24	Rapid City, South Dakota FOR THE DEFENDANT
I	

<u>مد</u>	×	1 1001000 100 100
2	A	Yes, two years.
3	õ.	Were you majoring in any specific area?
4	A	Computer Science.
5	Q	Are you applying what you learned now or is it a
6		completely different field?
7	A.	We work on computers, but it's a different field.
8	Ω	Do you have any brothers or sisters?
9	A	One brother he lives here in town.
10	Q	Mr. Corrin, would you take a look at that list of
11		names in front of you there and see if you recognize
12		any of those names?
13	A	No, I don't.
14	Q	Those people are potential witnesses. Have you ever
15		served on a jury before?
16	. A	No, I haven't.
17	Q	Have you ever been involved with law enforcement, I'r
18		not talking about speeding tickets, but ever charged
19 .		with a crime or you or any member of your family?
20	A	No.
21	Ω	Mr. Corrin, you understand the nature of this case,
22	**	don't you, that Mr. Rhines is on trial for first
		degree murder?
23		
24	A	Right.
25	036	Marking to the evidence with show, is such a

1		homosexual and there might be several witnesses who
2		* are also bonosexuals; Also vivou dadn't know that
3		before did. you?
4	4	No. I didn't.
5	Q	What impact does that have on you and your perception
6		of Mr. Rhines?
7	A	Not really any. He has the same rights as everybody
8		else does.
9	Ω	Even you recognize that it is a different lifestyle
10		then yours, but nevertheless he has his right to live
11		his own life?
12	A	Right, and that was his choice.
13	Ω.	Are you a member of any church?
14	·A	Peace Lutheran Church.
15	Q	Are you a regular churchgoer?
16	A .	Yeah.
17	Ω	You and your family?
18	A	Right.
19	Q	Mr. Corrin, this case involves the death penalty or
20		what sometimes is called capital punishment, do you
21]. -	understand that?
22	A	Yes.
23	۵	When did you first find out that it involved capital
24		punishment?
25	A	Not until I got the survey in the mail.

1	Ω.	That's when you righted that out:
2	A	Yes.
3	Ω	How much have you heard about this case?
4	A	I haven't really heard anything other than probably
5		the most information I got from it was from the
6		survey and the information in the survey is about all
. 7		I know about the case.
8	Q	Do you remember reading about it in the paper?
9	A	If I did read anything in the paper about it, I don't
10		recall anything that I read.
11	Q	Do you recall seeing anything or hearing anything on
12		the TV?
13 :	A	No. Since I received the survey I have avoided
1.4		watching TV when that's on or reading anything in the
15		paper about that.
16	Ω	After you got the survey?
17	A	r did.
18	Ω	That indicates that you are conscientious.
19	A	Yeah.
20	Ω	That's good.
21	Ω	That you deliberately avoided trying to find out
22		about anything?
23	A	Right.
24	Ω	This type of proceeding is a little bit different
25		than the ordinary criminal proceeding, because of the

possibility of capital punishment. It's really going to involve two phases. The first phase is the determination of guilt, that is, whether or not Mr. Rhines is guilty of first degree murder. Then the jury in the second phase, if it determines that Mr. Rhines is guilty of first degree murder, sits in judgment as to the punishment, and at that point, the second point, there really are two alternatives that the jury has. One alternative is life imprisonment without parole and that means in South Dakota just what it sounds like, that is, he would have to spend the rest of his life in jail without the possibility of parole. And of course, the second alternative is the imposition of the death penalty; do you understand that?

- A Yes.
- Now, from what I can understand about you, ever since you found that out, I bet you have been doing some thinking, haven't you?
- A Yeah.
- Q What are your views of the death penalty at this time?
- A If the evidence showed that he was guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, I could enforce the death penalty, but the evidence would have to be clear.