

Chief of Staff

## STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

BRAD D. SCHIMEL ATTORNEY GENERAL

Paul W. Connell Deputy Attorney General Delanie M. Breuer 17 W. Main Street P.O. Box 7857 Madison, WI 53707-7857 www.doj.state.wi.us

Misha Tseytlin Solicitor General tseytlinm@doj.state.wi.us (608) 267-9323 FAX: (608) 261-7206

November 28, 2017

Mr. Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, NE Washington D.C. 20543

Re:

Asboth v. Wisconsin

Pending Petition for Writ of Certiorari

Dear Mr. Harris:

Respondent State of Wisconsin respectfully requests an extension of 45 days in which to file its Brief in Opposition to the above-referenced Petition. The brief is currently due December 20, 2017. Granting this extension would make the brief due Monday, February 5, 2018 (since February 3, 2018, is a Saturday).

The attorneys assigned to this case are assigned to several other cases with briefing deadlines or oral arguments over the next several weeks, including the State's Response Brief in State v. Jones, No 15AP2665, due December 1, 2017, in the Wisconsin Supreme Court; oral argument in Wisconsin Department of Workforce Development v. Wisconsin Labor and Industry Review Commission, No. 16AP1365. set for December 1, 2017, in the Wisconsin Supreme Court; oral argument in Tetra Tech EC, Inc. v. Wisconsin Department of Revenue, No. 15AP2019, also set for December 1, 2017, in the Wisconsin Supreme Court; the State's Opening Brief in State v. Kerr, No. 16AP2455, due December 26, 2017, in the Wisconsin Supreme Court; the Reply Brief in support of the Petition for Writ of Certiorari in Labor and Industry Review Commission of Wisconsin v. Coleman, No. 17-579 in this Court; the State's Response Brief in State v. Cox, No. 16AP1745, due January 3, 2018, in the Wisconsin Supreme Court; and the State's Amicus Curiae Brief in support of the Cross-Respondent-Petitioner in Mayo v. Wisconsin Injured Patients and Families Compensation Fund, No. 14AP2812, due January 16, 2018, in the Wisconsin Supreme Court.

Therefore, the Respondent requests an extension of time in which to file its Brief in Opposition to ensure that the attorneys assigned to the case have time to provide the Court with a thorough and responsive brief. Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States November 28, 2017 Page 2

Counsel for the Respondent has contacted the current counsel of record for Petitioner Kenneth M. Asboth, who consents to the proposed extension of briefing.

I have, electronically and via first-class mail, served a copy of this letter on the Petitioner's counsel of record, and hereby certify that all parties required to be served have been served.

Sincerely,

Misha Tseytlin

Wisconsin Solicitor General

Counsel of Record for Respondent

MT:rwm

Cc: Mark T. Stancil, Counsel of Record for Petitioner