No. 17-7716

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DANIEL K. GARCIA, PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNITED STATES

NOEL J. FRANCISCO <u>Solicitor General</u> <u>Counsel of Record</u> <u>Department of Justice</u> <u>Washington, D.C. 20530-0001</u> <u>SupremeCtBriefs@usdoj.gov</u> (202) 514-2217 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 17-7716

DANIEL K. GARCIA, PETITIONER

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNITED STATES

Petitioner contends (Pet. 4-9) that his prior convictions for robbery, in violation of Fla. Stat. § 812.13, were not convictions for "violent felon[ies]" under the elements clause of the Armed Career Criminal Act of 1984, 18 U.S.C. 924(e)(2)(B)(i). The Court is currently considering an identical question in <u>Stokeling</u> v. United States, No. 17-5554 (cert. granted Apr. 2, 2018).

Nevertheless, the petition for a writ of certiorari appears to be substantially out of time. The court of appeals issued its order denying petitioner's motion for a certificate of appealability on July 18, 2017. Pet. App. A2. This Court's Rules provide in pertinent part that a petition for a writ of certiorari

"is timely when it is filed * * * within 90 days after entry of the judgment." Sup. Ct. R. 13.1. Although petitioner filed a motion for reconsideration of the court of appeals' order, Pet. App. A1, this Court's Rules identify a timely "petition for rehearing" as the only type of postjudgment filing in the court of appeals that would in itself extend the time for filing a petition for a writ of certiorari. Sup. Ct. R. 13.3; see 11th Cir. R. 22-1(c) ("The denial of a certificate of appealability, whether by a single circuit judge or by a panel, may be the subject of a motion for reconsideration but may not be the subject of a petition for panel rehearing or a petition for rehearing en banc."). Based on the date of the judgment, petitioner's deadline for filing a petition for a writ of certiorari was October 16, 2017, and he did not file his petition for a writ of certiorari until November 29, 2017. Although this Court has discretion to consider an untimely petition for a writ of certiorari in a criminal case if "the ends of justice so require," Schacht v. United States, 398 U.S. 58, 63-65 (1970); see Bowles v. Russell, 551 U.S. 205, 212 (2007), petitioner has not sought leave to file his petition out of time.

Accordingly, absent a sufficient justification by petitioner, the Court may wish to deny the petition for a writ of certiorari as untimely. Should the Court choose not to deny the petition as untimely, it should hold the petition pending the Court's decision

2

in <u>Stokeling</u> and then dispose of the petition as appropriate in light of that decision.*

Respectfully submitted.

NOEL J. FRANCISCO Solicitor General

APRIL 2018

^{*} The government waives any further response to the petition for a writ of certiorari unless this Court requests otherwise.