

No. 17-7053

---

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

---

NEIL J. GILLESPIE, PETITIONER

vs.

REVERSE MORTGAGE SOLUTIONS, RESPONDENT

---

RULE 44.2 PETITION FOR REHEARING OF AN ORDER DENYING  
PETITION NO. 17-7053 FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

---

March 19, 2018

by

Neil J. Gillespie, *pro se*  
8092 SW 115th Loop  
Ocala, Florida 34481  
Tel: 352-854-7807  
Email: neilgillespie@mfi.net

## LIST OF PARTIES

NEIL J. GILLESPIE, PETITIONER

A disabled non-lawyer appearing *pro se*

8092 SW 115th Loop

Ocala, Florida 34481

Tel: 352-854-7807

Email: neilgillespie@mfi.net

vs.

REVERSE MORTGAGE SOLUTIONS, RESPONDENT

Represented by: Curtis Alan Wilson, Esq., Florida Bar No. 77669

McCalla Raymer Leibert Pierce, LLC

225 E. Robinson St. Suite 115

Orlando, FL 32801

Phone: 407-674-1850; Fax: 321-248-0420

Email: MRService@mrpllc.com

Email: MRService@mccalla.com

### Indispensable Parties Not Sued

PENELOPE M. GILLESPIE, BORROWER, DIED SEPTEMBER 16, 2009

ESTATE OF PENELOPE M. GILLESPIE, CLOSED WITH NOTICE OF TRUST JUNE 24, 2014

### Other Parties

13CA000115AX	DEVELOPMENT & CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF AMERICA
13CA000115AX	ELIZABETH BAUERLE*
13CA000115AX	JOETTA GILLESPIE*
13CA000115AX	MARK GILLESPIE*
13CA000115AX	OAK RUN HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION INC
13CA000115AX	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

\*Justin R. Infurna, Esq., LL.M, The Infurna Law Firm, P.A.

Attorney for Defendants Mark Gillespie, Joetta Gillespie, Elizabeth Bauerle, Scott Bidgood.

121 South Orange Ave., Ste. 1500, Orlando, Florida 32801

Telephone: (800)-774-1560; Fax: (407)386-3419

Primary Email: justin@infurnalaw.com; Secondary Email: justininfurna@gmail.com

### Fake Parties

- Unknown parties
- Neil J. Gillespie and Mark Gillespie as Co-Trustees of the Gillespie Family Living Trust Agreement dated February 10, 1997 (the Trust terminated on February 2, 2015)
- Unknown Settlers/Beneficiaries of The Gillespie Family Living Trust Agreement dated February 10, 1997 (NONE)

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

OPINIONS BELOW ..... 1

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED ..... 2

PETITION FOR REHEARING OF AN ORDER DENYING PETITION  
FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI ..... 3

REASONS FOR GRANTING REHEARING ..... 11

CONCLUSION.....12

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH, RULE 44.2.....end

**INDEX TO APPENDICES**

Separate Appendix NOTICE OF CRMINAL COMPLAINT TO FBI SPECIAL AGENT IN  
CHARGE ERIC W. SPORRE, TAMPA DIVISION

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

**OPINIONS BELOW**

This Court denied certiorari in Petition No. 17-7053 by order entered February 20, 2018.

The Clerk's letter dated February 20, 2018 follows this page, and states,

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case:  
The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Any petition for the rehearing of an order denying a petition for a writ of certiorari shall be filed within 25 days after the date of the order of denial. (Rule 44.2).

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under Rule 44.2 and 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

**Supreme Court of the United States  
Office of the Clerk  
Washington, DC 20543-0001**

**Scott S. Harris**  
Clerk of the Court  
(202) 479-3011

February 20, 2018

Mr. Neil J. Gillespie  
8092 SW 115th Loop  
Ocala, FL 34481


Re: Neil J. Gillespie  
v. Reverse Mortgage Solutions  
No. 17-7053

Dear Mr. Gillespie:

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case:

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Sincerely,



**Scott S. Harris, Clerk**

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Due Process Clause, Fifth Amendment, and Fourteenth Amendment, U.S. Constitution

Title 18 of the United States Code (U.S.C.), including but not limited to 18 U.S.C. § 371.

18 U.S. Code § 371 - Conspiracy to commit offense or to defraud United States

If two or more persons conspire either to commit any offense against the United States, or to defraud the United States, or any agency thereof in any manner or for any purpose, and one or more of such persons do any act to effect the object of the conspiracy, each shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than five years, or both....

18 U.S. Code § 242 - Deprivation of rights under color of law

Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishments, pains, or penalties, on account of such person being an alien, or by reason of his color, or race, than are prescribed for the punishment of citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if bodily injury results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include the use, attempted use, or threatened use of a dangerous weapon, explosives, or fire, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both;...

### FLORIDA STATUTES, CHAPTER 837, PERJURY

837.06 False official statements.—Whoever knowingly makes a false statement in writing with the intent to mislead a public servant in the performance of his or her official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or s. 775.083.

### FLORIDA STATUTES, CHAPTER 838, BRIBERY; MISUSE OF PUBLIC OFFICE

838.022 Official misconduct.—

(1) It is unlawful for a public servant or public contractor, to knowingly and intentionally obtain a benefit for any person or to cause unlawful harm to another, by:

(a) Falsifying, or causing another person to falsify, any official record or official document;

Chapter 825, Florida Statutes, ABUSE, NEGLECT, AND EXPLOITATION OF ELDERLY PERSONS AND DISABLED ADULTS.

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR REHEARING OF AN ORDER DENYING A  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI (Rule 44.2)

Neil J. Gillespie, an indigent nonlawyer, unable to obtain adequate counsel, a consumer of legal and court services affecting interstate commerce, a consumer of personal, family and household goods and services, consumer transactions in interstate commerce, a person with disabilities, a vulnerable adult age 62 suffering the infirmities of aging, henceforth in the first person, reluctantly appears *pro se* to save my Florida residential homestead from wrongful foreclosure, and presents intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect and other substantial grounds not previously presented under Rule 44.2.

PART 1.

It has come to my attention that 5thDCA docket in 5D17-2273 (Exhibit 1) shows the Florida Supreme Court only transferred my petition for review, but none of my appendices in my

EMERGENCY PETITION TO CANCEL NON-JURY TRIAL 10.00 AM TODAY  
Filing # 59132663 E-Filed 07/18/2017 07:24:12 AM.

My Emergency Petition was supported by 9 Appendices, including 4 Affidavits, but the 5thDCA never got those documents to review from the Florida Supreme Court.

Appendix A - Defendants' Emergency Motion to Cancel Hearing July 18, 2017  
Appendix B - Defendants' Notice of Filing U.S. Supreme Court Petition and Response.  
Appendix 1 Order Dismissal Mar-31-2017, Notice Appeal Mar-27-2017  
Appendix 2 Notice of Filing Federal Civil Rights Complaint  
Appendix 3 US Supreme Court Clerk's reply letter Mr Higgins Oct-19-2016  
Appendix 4 Affidavit of Neil Gillespie re Dr. Kassels Jun-12-2017  
Appendix 5, Affidavit of Neil J Gillespie of Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)  
Appendix 6 Affidavit of Neil J Gillespie HECM Age Limits  
Appendix 7 Affidavit of Neil J Gillespie - Defenses and Claims In Recoupment

On July 18, 2017 at 7:24 AM in The Florida Supreme Court, I filed what amounts to an Emergency Petition for Temporary Injunction under Rule 1.610(a), Fla R Civ Pro.

EMERGENCY PETITION TO CANCEL NON-JURY TRIAL 10.00 AM TODAY  
Filing # 59132663 E-Filed 07/18/2017 07:24:12 AM

My Emergency Petition was supported by 9 Appendices, including 4 Affidavits, including,

- Appendix A - Defendants' Emergency Motion to Cancel Hearing July 18, 2017
- Appendix B - Defendants' Notice of Filing U.S. Supreme Court Petition and Response.
- Appendix 1 Order Dismissal Mar-31-2017, Notice Appeal Mar-27-2017
- Appendix 2 Notice of Filing Federal Civil Rights Complaint
- Appendix 3 US Supreme Court Clerk's reply letter Mr Higgins Oct-19-2016
- Appendix 4 Affidavit of Neil Gillespie re Dr. Kassels Jun-12-2017
- Appendix 5, Affidavit of Neil J Gillespie of Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)
- Appendix 6 Affidavit of Neil J Gillespie HECM Age Limits
- Appendix 7 Affidavit of Neil J Gillespie - Defenses and Claims In Recoupment

In failing to provide my 9 Appendices, including 4 Affidavits, to the 5thDCA when it [wrongly] transferred the case as a Petition for Writ of Prohibition, the Florida Supreme Court denied me Due Process under the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution:

The Constitution states only one command twice. The Fifth Amendment says to the federal government that no one shall be "deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law." The Fourteenth Amendment, ratified in 1868, uses the same eleven words, called the Due Process Clause, to describe a legal obligation of all states. These words have as their central promise an assurance that all levels of American government must operate within the law ("legality") and provide fair procedures....

Wex Due Process Article by Richard Strauss, Legal Information Institute Cornell Law  
[https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/due\\_process](https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/due_process)

PART 2.      Separate Appendix.

On February 12, 2018 I filed in the trial court NOTICE OF CRMINAL COMPLAINT TO FBI SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE ERIC W. SPORRE, TAMPA DIVISION.

Eric W. Sporre  
Special Agent in Charge  
FBI Tampa Division

February 12, 2018



5525 West Gray Street  
Tampa, FL 33609  
Tel. (813) 253-1000

Dear Special Agent In Charge Sporre:

Enclosed a certified copy of FINAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE. Exhibit A. This instrument is entered in the official records of Marion County by David R. Ellspermann, Clerk & Comptroller, CFN# 2017065654 BK 6612Pgs 0679-0684 07/19/2017 05:43:26 PM, in the residential foreclosure of my Florida homestead on a federal reverse mortgage.

Pursuant to Title 18 of the United States Code (U.S.C.), including but not limited to 18 U.S.C. § 371, I request you investigate the fraud or impairment of a legitimate government activity, the FINAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE, in my residential federal Home Equity Conversion Mortgage. The case is captioned *Reverse Mortgage Solutions, Inc. v. Neil J. Gillespie, et al.*

**Plaintiff:** Reverse Mortgage Solutions, Inc. (RMS)

**Defendants:** Neil J. Gillespie, et al.

**Court:** Marion County Circuit Civil, Case No. 2013-CA-000115

**Presiding Judge:** Ann Melinda Craggs, Marion County Florida Circuit Court Judge

**Plaintiff's Counsel:** Curtis Alan Wilson, Esq., McCalla Raymer Leibert Pierce, LLC,  
225 E. Robinson St. Suite 115, Orlando, FL 32801, Phone: 407-674-1850

*A Home Equity Conversion Mortgage*, or HECM, is a Federal Housing Administration (FHA) "reverse" mortgage program administered by the Secretary, United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (Secretary or HUD) to enable home owners over 62 years old access the subject home's equity. 12 U.S.C. § 1715z20 et seq. and 24 C.F.R. Part 206.

FHA Case Number: 091-4405741  
BofA/RMS acct/loan #68011002615899

My HECM is a federally insured loan backed by the full faith and credit of the United States Government. The FINAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE attempts to defraud the United States Government, and myself as homeowner, as follows:

1. Omits the fact that on July 18, 2017 I was taken by ambulance to the hospital after becoming sick during a non-jury trial on the foreclosure of my home. I was alone and without counsel to represent me. Judge Craggs continued the trial without me and ruled for the bank.
2. Court records in case no. 2013-CA-000115 show that Judge Craggs continued the nonjury foreclosure trial without me, and did not enter any of my documents into evidence.
3. Wrongly awarded the Plaintiff Filing Fees of \$4,549.60. The actual amount is \$1,065.50.

4. Wrongly awarded the Plaintiff's counsel attorney's fees of \$19,109, which exceeds the amount in the HUD Mortgagee Letter 2005-30; and exceeds the amount in the judiciary foreclosure standard of \$2,250 found in the HUD Mortgagee Letter 2013-38 by \$16,859.
5. Plaintiff's counsel wrongly filed this case as a commercial foreclosure for the purpose of judge shopping, to keep off the backlog foreclosure docket presided over by retired judges, and increase the time to litigate the case from 1 year to 5 years, to collect an additional \$16,859.
6. I have not been lawfully served in this lawsuit, as reflected in the record.
7. A Clerk's receipt dated Aug-28-2017 shows the foreclosure case parties:

DEVELOPMENT & CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF AMERICA  
 ELIZABETH BAUERLE  
 JOETTA GILLESPIE  
 MARK GILLESPIE  
 NEIL J GILLESPIE  
 OAK RUN HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION INC  
 REVERSE MORTGAGE SOLUTIONS INC  
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

There are NO "TRUST" PARTIES, and NO "UNKNOWN" PARTIES on the receipt.

---

The *Final Judgment of Foreclosure*, (Exhibit A) appears on the Clerk's docket at DOC-477. Paragraph 3 states that the Plaintiff is due Filing Fees of \$4,549.60.

Principal	\$123,200.85
Interest to date of this judgment until 7/18/2017	\$184.20
Monthly Service Fee	\$30.00
Property Inspections	\$1,320.00
Filing Fees	\$4,549.60
<u>Attorney's fees</u>	
Finding as to reasonable hourly rate: \$175.00	
Attorney's Fees Total	\$19,109.00
TOTAL	\$148,363.32

- The Final Judgment of Foreclosure showing Filing Fees of \$4,549.60 is wrong.
- The actual Filing Fees in this Foreclosure case are \$1,065.50.

- The Final Judgment of Foreclosure overstates the Filing Fees by \$3,484.10.

Gregory C. Harrell is General Counsel to David R. Ellspermann, Marion County Clerk of Court & Comptroller. On 8/23/2017 @ 6.02 PM I emailed Mr. Harrell for records of the filing fees:

Regarding Filing Fees of \$4,549.60 shown at paragraph 3, FINAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE (attached), provide records for the Filing Fees of \$4,549.60 claimed. Was that money paid to the Clerk?

Mr. Harrell responded by email on 8/24/2017 @ 1:30 PM:

The Clerk's Office does not possess records supporting the plaintiff's having paid \$4,549.60 worth of filing fees, as referenced in the Final Judgment of Foreclosure in Case No. 2013.CA.115.

I responded to Mr. Harrell by email on 8/26/2017 @ 8.58 AM:

Thank you for your prompt reply. Kindly provide records showing what the plaintiff actually paid in filing fees in Case No. 2013.CA.115, and to whom the money was paid.

Mr. Harrell responded by email on 8/28/2017 @ 7:03 AM:

Docket #5 in Case No. 13.CA.115 is a 1-page Notice of Refund to McCalla Raymer, dated 1/9/13, which reflects that plaintiff's counsel paid the Clerk's Office a total of \$1,077.50 for filing fees, summons issuance fees, and recording/indexing costs. Because plaintiff's counsel paid \$1,077.50 but only actually owed \$1,065.50, our office sent them a refund of \$12.00. A certified copy of the aforementioned notice can be made available to you for \$3.00.

My email chain with Mr. Harrell, as forwarded to the FBI Tampa Division on December 21, 2017 to tampa.division@ic.fbi.gov, appears at Exhibit B.

A certified copy of the Notice of Refund to McCalla Raymer appears as Exhibit C and shows:

REVERSE MORTGAGE SOLUTIONS INC

vs.

NEIL J GILLESPIE

Case Number: 13-00115-CA

TO: MCCALLA RAYMER  
225 EAST ROBINSON STREET STE 660  
ORLANDO, FL 32801

Enclosed please find our check made payable to you in the amount of \$12.00. Our records reflect we received payment of \$1,077.50, of which \$1,065.50 was applied in the above-styled case. Therefore, the enclosed check is a refund of the balance.

If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Date: January 09, 2013  
MAILING ADDRESS  
Marion County Clerk's Office  
Circuit Civil Division  
P.O. Box 1030  
Ocala, Florida 34478-1030

DAVID R. ELLSPERMANN  
Clerk of Circuit Court,  
Marion County, Florida  
By:       /s/        
Deputy Clerk

A receipt August 28, 2017 for \$6.00 for certified public records appears at Exhibit D by the office of David R. Ellspermann Clerk of the Circuit and County Courts, Marion County Florida.

The Clerk's receipt appearing at Exhibit D shows the foreclosure case parties:

13CA000115AX DEVELOPMENT & CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION OF AMERICA  
13CA000115AX ELIZABETH BAUERLE  
13CA000115AX JOETTA GILLESPIE  
13CA000115AX MARK GILLESPIE  
13CA000115AX NEIL J GILLESPIE  
13CA000115AX OAK RUN HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION INC  
13CA000115AX REVERSE MORTGAGE SOLUTIONS INC  
13CA000115AX UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Tellingly there are NO "TRUST" PARTIES, and NO "UNKNOWN" PARTIES on the receipt. Actual trust parties, and actual unknown parties, would have required appointment of counsel which the Court refused to do, for the benefit of the Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel, with the assistance of Clerk David R. Ellspermann. Any "trust" or "unknown" parties alleged by the Court in this case amounts to Fraud Upon The Court.

Fraud upon the court is an egregious offense against the integrity of the judicial system and is more than a simple assertion of facts in a pleading which might later fail for lack of proof. *Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Reeves*, 92 So. 3d 249, 252 (Fla. 1st DCA 2012).

The integrity of the civil litigation process depends on truthful disclosure of facts. A system that depends on an adversary's ability to uncover falsehoods is doomed to failure, which is why this kind of conduct must be discouraged in the strongest possible way. . . . This is an area where the trial court is and should be vested with discretion to fashion the apt remedy." *Cox v. Burke*, 706 So. 2d 43, 47 (Fla. 5th DCA 1998).

The AFFIDAVIT OF NEIL J. GILLESPIE Re Non-Jury Home Foreclosure Trial July 18, 2017 appears at Exhibit E, and states at paragraph 2:

2. On July 18, 2017 I was taken by ambulance to the hospital after becoming sick during a non-jury trial on the foreclosure of my home. I was alone and without counsel to represent me. Presiding Judge Ann Melinda Craggs continued the trial without me and ruled for the bank.

The HUD MORTGAGEE LETTER 2013-38 appears at Exhibit F.

Attorney Justin R. Infurna, Esq., represents my brother Mark Gillespie in an appeal, see the pleading at Exhibit G, filed Aug-11-2017 in 13-CA-115, "Defendant Mark Gillespie's Verified Motion To Vacate Final Judgment of Foreclosure and Cancel Sep-19-2017 Foreclosure Sale".

A foreclosure sale on my home was canceled by court order September 18, 2017 the Plaintiff's motion to cancel for a FEMA Moratorium due to Hurricane Irma, a declared natural disaster.

Today I notice the case was re-closed, so I filed,

USSC PETITION NO. 17-7053 DISTRIBUTED FOR CONFERENCE OF FEB-16-2018  
Filing # 67822570 E-Filed 02/12/2018 12:23:58 PM

USSC PETITION NO. 17-7054 DISTRIBUTED FOR CONFERENCE OF FEB-16-2018  
Filing # 67823484 E-Filed 02/12/2018 12:34:01 PM

to inform the record that the case is open, at the highest level, the United States Supreme Court.

Signature block omitted.

PART 3.

Below are the corresponding Florida Statutes violated by the facts shown in PART 2:

FLORIDA STATUTES, CHAPTER 837, PERJURY

837.06 False official statements.—Whoever knowingly makes a false statement in writing with the intent to mislead a public servant in the performance of his or her official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or s. 775.083.

Judge Craggs knowingly made a false statement in writing, the *Final Judgment of Foreclosure*, with the intent to mislead the Clerk, a public servant, in the performance of his official duties.

FLORIDA STATUTES, CHAPTER 838, BRIBERY; MISUSE OF PUBLIC OFFICE

838.022 Official misconduct.—

(1) It is unlawful for a public servant or public contractor, to knowingly and intentionally obtain a benefit for any person or to cause unlawful harm to another, by:

(a) Falsifying, or causing another person to falsify, any official record or official document;

Judge Craggs is a public servant, who, by entering the *Final Judgment of Foreclosure*, knowingly and intentionally obtain a benefit for:

The Plaintiff, REVERSE MORTGAGE SOLUTIONS, INC., and its legal counsel, including Curtis Alan Wilson, Esq., and McCalla Raymer Leibert Pierce, LLC,

Judge Craggs is a public servant, who, by entering the *Final Judgment of Foreclosure*, knowingly and intentionally caused unlawful harm to:

Defendant NEIL J GILLESPIE  
Defendant MARK GILLESPIE  
Defendant JOETTA GILLESPIE  
Defendant ELIZABETH BAUERLE

Judge Craggs falsified the *Final Judgment of Foreclosure*, an official document in the foreclosure case that was entered as an official record of Marion County, Florida.

The foregoing is also a violation of Chapter 825, Florida Statutes, ABUSE, NEGLECT, AND EXPLOITATION OF ELDERLY PERSONS AND DISABLED ADULTS. I am both a disabled adult and elderly person, age 62.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION FOR REHEARING

For a man's house is his castle . . . .\*

—Sir Edward Coke  
Third Institute (1644)


The maxim that a "man's house is his castle" is one of the oldest and most deeply rooted principles in Anglo-American jurisprudence. It reflects an egalitarian spirit that embraces all levels of society down to the "poorest man" living "in his cottage." The maxim also forms part of the fabric of the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution, which protects people, their homes, and their property against unreasonable searches and seizures by the government.

\*Citation: Sir Edward Coke, Third Institute of the Laws of England 162 (1644). The complete quotation is: "For a man's house is his castle, *et domus sua cuique tutissimum refugium*." The Latin means: "and his home his safest refuge." See *Semayne's Case* (1603) 77 Eng. Rep. 194 (K.B.) ("[T]he house of every one is to him as his castle and fortress, as well for his defence against injury and violence, as for his repose."), *quoted in* *Wilson v. Layne*, 526 U.S. 603, 609–10 (1999); *Weeks v. United States*, 232 U.S. 383, 390 (1914) ("[E]very man's house is his castle." (quoting Judge Thomas McIntyre Cooley, *A Treatise on the Constitutional Limitations Which Rest upon the Legislative Power of the States of the American Union* 299 (1868))); William Blackstone, 3 *Commentaries* 288 (1768) ("[E]very man's house is looked upon by the law to be his castle..."); William Blackstone, 4 *Commentaries* 223 (1765–1769) ("[T]he law of England has so particular and tender a regard to the immunity of a man's house, that it stiles it his castle, and will never suffer it to be violated with impunity..."); *Miller v. United States*, 357 U.S. 301, 307 (1958) (quoting William Pitt's 1763 speech in Parliament: "The poorest man may in his cottage bid defiance to all the forces of the crown. It may be frail; its roof may shake; the wind may blow through it; the storm may enter; the rain may enter; but the king of England may not enter—all his force dares not cross the threshold of the ruined tenement!").

**CONCLUSION**

The petition for rehearing Petition No. 17-7053 for writ of certiorari should be granted, together with such other and further relief as the Supreme Court deems just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted, March 19, 2018.

  
Neil J. Gillespie, petitioner pro se





Fifth District Court of Appeal

<a href="#">Case List</a>	<a href="#">Case</a>	<a href="#">Docket</a>	<a href="#">File Document</a>	<a href="#">Pending Filings</a>	<a href="#">Rejected Filings</a>	<a href="#">My Notifications</a>	<a href="#">My Profile</a>	<a href="#">Logoff</a>	<a href="#">Help &amp; Support</a>
---------------------------	----------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------	------------------------------------

Case No: 5D

17-2273

**NEIL J. GILLESPIE, INDIVIDUALLY, AND AS FORMER TRUSTEE (F.S CH. 736 PART III) OF THE TERMINATED GILLESPIE FAMILY LIVING TRUST AGREEMENT DATED FEBRUARY 10, 1997 (TERMINATED TRUST)  
vs  
REVERSE MORTGAGE SOLUTIONS, INC.**

	Date	Type	Pleading	Note
	08/25/2017	SC	Supreme Court Disposition	SC17-1570 CASE DISMISSED
	08/23/2017	SC	Review sent to Supreme Court	
	08/22/2017	SC	Notice of Appeal	
	08/22/2017	Notice	Notice of Appeal to Supreme Court	
	08/21/2017	Brief/Record	Returned Records	NO RECORD
	08/21/2017	Mandate	Disp. w/o Mandate	
	08/02/2017	Order	Order Denying Original Petition	
	08/02/2017	Disposition	Denied	ON MERITS
	07/31/2017	Order	ORD- Waive Petition	
	07/31/2017	Event	Affidavit	OF INDIGENCY; AMENDED; FILED HERE 7/30/17; PS NEIL J. GILLESPIE
	07/31/2017	Event	Affidavit	OF INDIGENCY; FILED HERE 7/29/17; PS NEIL J. GILLESPIE
	07/19/2017	Letter	Acknowledgement Letter 1	2nd AMENDED FOR JUDGE CHANGE
	07/19/2017	Letter	Acknowledgement Letter 1	AMENDED LOWER COURT CASE NUMBER
	07/18/2017	Order	Order to pay filing fee - Writ (300)	
	07/18/2017	Letter	Acknowledgement Letter 1	
	07/18/2017	Invoice	Filing Fee \$300	: Invoice #: 2017 - 1012850 Amount: 300
	07/18/2017	Petition	Petition Filed	TRANSFERRED FROM THE SUPREME COURT 7/18/17


CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH

Petition No. 17-7053

I, NEIL J. GILLESPIE appearing pro se, CERTIFY in accordance with Rule 44.2 that this petition for the rehearing of an order denying Petition No. 17-7053 for a writ of certiorari is limited to intervening circumstances of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented, and that it is presented in good faith and not for delay.

I solemnly swear, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing facts, upon information and belief, are true, correct, and complete, so help me God.

Respectfully submitted March 19, 2018.



NEIL J. GILLESPIE, petitioner pro se  
8092 SW 415th Loop  
Ocala Florida 34481  
Telephone: 352-854-7807  
Email: neilgillespie@mfi.net

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**