## IN THE Supreme Court of the United States

MICHAEL J. BIESTEK,

Petitioner,

v.

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Commissioner\ of\ Social\ Security,} \\ {\it Respondent.} \end{array}$ 

On a Writ of Certiorari
To the United States Court of Appeals
For the Sixth Circuit

## MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DISPENSE WITH PREPARATION OF JOINT APPENDIX

ISHAN K. BHABHA
Counsel of Record
Jenner & Block LLP
1099 New York Ave., NW,
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 637-6327
ibhabha@jenner.com

Pursuant to Rule 26.8 of the Rules of this Court, Petitioner respectfully seeks

leave to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix.

The Court granted certiorari to consider the following question of law: "whether a

vocational expert's testimony can constitute substantial evidence of 'other work,' 20

C.F.R. § 404.1520(a)(4)(v), available to an applicant for social security benefits on the

basis of a disability, when the expert fails upon the applicant's request to provide the

underlying data on which that testimony is premised." Rule 26.1 provides that the joint

appendix need not include any items that are already reproduced in the appendix to the

petition for writ of certiorari. In this case, the appendix to the petition for a writ of

certiorari includes the relevant decisions from the Sixth Circuit, District Court, and

administrative hearing. In Petitioner's view, no other portion of the record merits special

attention such as would warrant the preparation and expense of a joint appendix, and

preparation of a joint appendix would not materially assist the Court's consideration of

the case.

Respondent does not object to dispensing with the preparation of a joint appendix.

Respectfully submitted,

ISHAN K. BHABHA
Counsel of Record

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

1099 New York Ave., NW,

Suite 900

Washington, DC 20001

(202) 637-6327

ibhabha@jenner.com