

DLA Piper LLP (us)
One Fountain Square
11911 Freedom Drive, Suite 300
Reston, Virginia 20190-5159
www.dlapiper.com

James M. Heintz jim.heintz@dlapiper.com T 703.773.4148 F 703.773.5008

April 5, 2018

VIA E-FILING

Scott S. Harris Clerk of Court Supreme Court of the United States One First Street, NE Washington, DC 20543

Re: Case No. 17-1137, United Therapeutics Corporation v. SteadyMed Ltd. Request for Extension of Time to File Brief in Opposition

Dear Mr. Harris:

Please see the attached letter requesting an extension of time in Case No. 17-1137. This letter was sent to the Court via UPS Next Day Air on April 3, 2018, and is now being e-filed on the Court's website.

Very truly yours,

DLA Piper LLP (US)

/s/ James M. Heintz

James M. Heintz
Partner
Counsel for Respondent SteadyMed Ltd.

Admitted to practice in the District of Columbia and New York

cc: Richard Lee Torczon, Jr.

Wilson Sonsini Goodrich and Rosati PC

1700 K Street, NW

Floor 5

Washington, DC 20006-3817

202-973-8811

rtorczon@wsgr.com

Counsel of Record for Petitioner



DLA Piper LLP (US) 401 B Street, Suite 1700 San Diego, California 92101-4297 www.dlapiper.com

Stanley J. Panikowski stanley.panikowski@dlapiper.com T 619.699.2643 F 619.764.6643

April 3, 2018

VIA UPS NEXT DAY AIR

Scott S. Harris Clerk of Court Supreme Court of the United States One First Street, NE Washington, DC 20543

Re.

Case No. 17-1137, United Therapeutics Corporation v. SteadyMed Ltd.

Request for Extension of Time to File Brief in Opposition

Dear Mr. Harris:

Pursuant to Rules 15.3 and 30.4 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Respondent SteadyMed Ltd. respectfully requests a 30-day extension of time to file its Brief in Opposition to the Petition for Writ of Certiorari in Case No. 17-1137. Absent an extension, Respondent's Brief in Opposition would be due on April 6, 2018, pursuant to the Court's March 7, 2018 request for a response to the petition. If the extension is granted, the new due date for Respondent's Brief in Opposition would be May 7, 2018.

The primary reason for this extension request is that the sole question presented in the petition for certiorari in this case expressly depends on this Court's decision in the pending case of *Oil States Energy Services*, *LLC v. Greene's Energy Group*, *LLC*, No. 16-712 (argued Nov. 27, 2017). If the Court issues its decision in *Oil States* before Respondent's Brief in Opposition is due, that decision may effectively dispose of the petition for certiorari in this case or otherwise inform Respondent's response to the petition. The other reason for the extension request is to allow the undersigned counsel more time to prepare a response to the petition in light of recent and imminent briefing deadlines, oral arguments, and other obligations in various appellate and trial courts.

Respondent therefore respectfully requests an extension of time to May 7, 2018 to file its Brief in Opposition.



Scott S. Harris April 3, 2018 Page Two

Thank you for considering this request.

Very truly yours,

DLA Piper LLP (US)

Stanley J. Panikowski

Partner

Counsel for Respondent SteadyMed Ltd.

CC:

Richard Lee Torczon, Jr.

Wilson Sonsini Goodrich and Rosati PC

1700 K Street, NW

Floor 5

Washington, DC 20006-3817

202-973-8811

rtorczon@wsgr.com

Counsel of Record for Petitioner Served via UPS Next Day Air