IN THE Supreme Court of the United States

ASHLEY SVEEN AND ANTONE SVEEN,

Petitioners,

v.

KAYE MELIN,

Respondent.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DISPENSE WITH PREPARATION OF JOINT APPENDIX

ADAM G. UNIKOWSKY

Counsel of Record

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

1099 New York Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20001

(202) 639-6000

aunikowsky@jenner.com

Pursuant to Rule 26.8 of the Rules of this Court, Petitioner respectfully seeks leave to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix.

The Court granted certiorari to consider the following question of law: "Does the application of a revocation-upon-divorce statute to a contract signed before the statute's enactment violate the Contracts Clause?" Rule 26.1 provides that the Joint Appendix need not include any items that are already reproduced in the appendix to the petition for writ of certiorari. In this case, the appendix to the petition for a writ of certiorari includes the relevant decisions from the Eighth Circuit and District Court. In Petitioner's view, no other portion of the record merits special attention such as would warrant the preparation and expense of a joint appendix, and preparation of a joint appendix would not materially assist the Court's consideration of the case.

I have been authorized to state that Respondent agrees that a joint appendix is not necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

ADAM G. UNIKOWSKY

Counsel of Record

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

1099 New York Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20001 (202) 639-6000

aunikowsky@jenner.com