State of California DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE



455 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE, SUITE 11000 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102-7004

> Telephone: (415) 510-3919 Facsimile: (415) 703-2552 E-Mail: joshua.klein@doj.ca.gov

March 16, 2018

Hon. Scott S. Harris Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, Northeast Washington, DC 20543

RE: National Institute of Family and Life Advocates, dba NIFLA, et al. v. Xavier Becerra,

Attorney General of California, et al. (Case No. 16-1140)

Dear Mr. Harris:

This case is scheduled for oral argument on Tuesday, March 20. In preparing for argument, it has come to our attention that a factual statement in our brief warrants clarification.

Page 50 of the brief for the state respondents states that clinics that are both Medi-Cal and F-PACT providers "are able to enroll women in full-service publicly funded programs on the spot." See also Br. 10, 50-51; J.A. 54-55 (legislative report); Pet. App. 77a (legislative finding). More precisely, F-PACT providers (all of whom are also Medi-Cal providers) are required to provide onsite enrollment in the F-PACT program. See Cal. Welf. & Inst. Code § 24003(c). They may also process on-site enrollment under the Medi-Cal Presumptive Eligibility for Pregnant Women program, but only if they have also enrolled as "qualified providers" under that program. See 42 U.S.C. § 1396r-1(b)(2)(C).

I would appreciate your bringing this letter to the attention of the Court before the time set for argument.

Sincerely,

JOSHUA A. KLEIN Deputy Solicitor General

For

XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General

cc: David A. Cortman Noel J. Francisco Thomas D. Bunton Carrie L. Mitchell